We discuss the existence and uniqueness theorem of solution of ordinary differential equation -> focus on uniqueness using the BELLMAN-GRONWALL LEMMA

We consider on ordinary differential equation $\dot{x} = f(x,t)$ with some initial condition $x(t_0) = x_0$, with $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ f(0,0): R" × R+ -> R"

IF FUNCTION +(.,.) IS LIPSCHITZ CONTINUOUS IN X AND PIECEWISE CONTINUOUS IN TITUE +, THEN THERE EXISTS AN UNIQUE SOWTHON, FOR THAT INITIAL CON DITION

> almost every where (at some point the derivative does not exist)

WE ARE NOT GOING TO PROVE THIS, BUT WE WILL DISCUSS SOME OF THE TOOLS USED IN THE PROOF

no The machinery used to two uniqueness is called the Bellman - Granwall lemma

Lemma - Suppose we have functions U(.) and K(.)

- We also have some constant C1>0 and some initial time to

BOTH ARE REAL-VAWED, PIECEWISE CONTINUOUS (PC) POSITIVE (10 on 1R+)

IF u(t) can be reounded u(t) < ca+ ft(e) u(e) dt Then we that the FOLLOWING BOUND HOLLS ms M(t) ≤ C1e (to K(E) dt

BELTZAN-GRONWALL

THE LETTERA CAN BE EASILY PROVEN

- Let assume that t>to and define U(t) = C1 + \int k(\alpha)u(\alpha)dt ~ u(t) = U(t)

- We then multiply this inequality on both size by function

K(t) e It. K(2) dt

~ m(t) K(t) e- /to K(2) de

non nonnegative

nonnegative (ma will not change the sign of the inequality) - We get ML+) K(+) e - Rearranging ML+) K(+) e - Rearranging ML+) K(+) e - U(+) K(+) e - U(+) K(+) e - U(+) K(+) e - U(+) K(+) e

mode [u(t)e-Stokke)de] < 0

which can be integrated between to and t u(t) = U(t) = C1 e

A which ends the poot.

The use of the Bellman-Gronwall Lemma in ploving the uniqueness of ofdinary differential equation with given initial conditions x=f(x,t) with x(to)=x0

Emplose we derived a solution O(+) (a function that satisties both the differential equ. and the mitigal combition)

How to show that there is no other such function?

We can assume that such function is Not unique and see it a contradiction can be derived

I another function y(t) that satisfies both the differential equation and the initial condition (thus, a solution)

We know that:

$$\dot{\phi}(t) = f(\phi(t), t)$$
, $\phi(t) = x_0 \longrightarrow \phi(t) = x_0 + \int_{t_0}^{t} f(\phi(z), z) dz$
 $\dot{\phi}(t) = f(\gamma(t), t)$, $\psi(t) = x_0 \longrightarrow \psi(t) = x_0 + \int_{t_0}^{t} f(\gamma(z), z) dz$

By rewriting the differential equations in integral form

We can take the difference between the two, to get
$$\|\phi(t) - \psi(t)\| = \|\int_{t_0}^{t} f(\phi(c), \epsilon) d\epsilon - \int_{t_0}^{t} f(\phi(c), \epsilon) d\epsilon\|$$

$$= \|\int_{t_0}^{t} [f(\phi(c), \epsilon) - f(\psi(c), \epsilon)] d\epsilon\|$$

$$= \int_{t_0}^{t} \|f(\phi(c), \epsilon) - f(\psi(c), \epsilon)\| d\epsilon$$

$$\leq K \int_{t_0}^{t} \|\phi(t) - \psi(t)\| d\epsilon$$

$$K \geq K(t)$$
The supremum

The Bellman - Gronwall Lemma

The distance between O(t) and U(t) is less or equal to 0. exto Redt

This, too definition of the norm

this can ship be twe if O(t) = 1011

(which completes the proof)

the two different tunctions are equal