Optimizing Top-k Multiclass SVM via Semismooth Newton Algorithm

San Zhang, Si Li, Fellow, IEEE

Abstract—Top-k performance has recently received increasing atfention in large data categories. Advances like top-k multiclasssvM have consistently improved the top-k accuracy. However, the key ingredient in the state-of-the-art optimization schemebased upon Stochastic Dual Coordinate Ascent (SDCA) reliesonthe sorting method which yields $O(d\log d)$ complexifty. Inthis paper, we leverage the semismoothness of the problem andpropose an optimized top-k multiclass SVM algorithm, which employs semismooth Newton algorithm for the key building block to improve the training speed. Our method enjoys a local superlinear convergence rate in theory. In practice, experimental results confirm the validity. Our algorithm is 4 times faster than the existing method in large synthetic problems; Moreover, on real-world datasets it also shows significant improvement intraining time.

Index Terms—Multiclass SVM,top-k error,SDCA optsimization, root finding,semismooth Newton method.

I. INTRODUCTION

ULTICLASS classification is a fundamental problemin pattern recognition and has been attracting much attention in the machine learning community [1]–[5]. Themajor challenges posed by large-scale dataset for trainingmulticlass classifier lies not only in the data size, but also in thenumber of data categories [6]-[8]. For example, there are 1000object categories for the image classification task in ImageNetvisual recognition challenge [8]. When the object classes increase, an important issue, i.e. the overlapping categories, emerges. Many real-world classification tasks involve largenumbers of overlapping categories [9], which lead to classambiguity. Thus, it is customary to report top-k accuracyfor large-scale object recognition problems [10]–[13], wherethe top-k accuracy is the fraction of test data for which thecounted correct label is among the top-k predicted labels bythe model. However, all these reported top-k error rates are based on the top-1 error. Recently, Lapin et al. generalizeCrammer and Singer's Multiclass Support Vector Machine(MSVM) [14] to top-k MSVM which leads to improvements in top-k performance [15], [16]. Since the direct extension of MSVM to nonconvex top-k zero-one loss will encounter acomputationally intractable problem; it minimizes the surro-gate function, i.e., so-called top-k hinge loss which is a tightconvex upper bound of the top-k zero-one loss. Furthermore, a highly efficient SDCA procedure [17] is proposed to solvethe optimization problem.

S. Zhang and S. Liare with the Department of Automation, Hefei University, Anhui Province, 230031, China (e-mails: sanzhang, sili @mails.hfut.edu.cn).

II. TOP-k MULTICLASS SUPPORT VECTOR MACHINE

we first review the well-known MSVM proposed by Crammer and Singer [14]. Given a set of n instance-label pairs $(x_i,y_i), i=1,...,n$, where $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and the associated label y_i is an integer from the set $y=\{1,...,Y\}$. Let the weight vector w_j be the j-th column of parameter matrix $W_{p\times Y}$. Crammer and Singer's MSVM solves the following problem

$$\min_{\mathbf{W}} \frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{Y}} \|\mathbf{w}_i\|^2 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell(\mathbf{W}; x_i, y_i), \tag{1}$$

where is referred to as the positive regularization parameter and $\ell(\cdot)$ is called the loss function of example (x_i,y_i) . The loss function is defined as

$$\ell(\mathbf{W}; \boldsymbol{x}_i, y_i) := \max_{i \in \mathcal{Y}} \{ \mathbb{I}(j \neq y_i) + \langle \mathbf{w}_j - \mathbf{w}_{y_i}, \boldsymbol{x}_i \rangle \},$$

where $\mathbb{I}(\cdot)$ is the indicator function which takesa value of one if its argument is true. Then the multiclass decision function has the form

$$\underset{j \in \mathcal{Y}}{argmax} \langle \mathbf{w}_j, \boldsymbol{x} \rangle.$$

Let e_j be the j-th unit vector in $(R)^Y$ and 1 with ones in all elements. For every i, let $c_i = 1 - e_{y_i}$, and $b_i = \mathbf{W}^\top \mathbf{x_i} - (\mathbf{W}^\top \mathbf{x_i})_{\mathbf{y_i}}$. To lighten the notation we denote the j-th largest component of b_i by $b_{[j]}, i.e., b_{[1]} \geq b_{[2]} \geq \cdots \geq b_{[Y]}$.

Thus the loss function can be rewritten as

$$\ell b_i = \max\{0, (c_i + b_i)_{[1]}\}.$$

Recently, Lapin et al. extended the above loss function to the top-k hingle loss [15], [16],

$$\ell_k(b_i) = \max\{0, \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k (c_j + b_j)_{[j]}\},\tag{2}$$

where $1 \le k < Y$. We show that the top-k multiclass SVM can be cast as an unconstrained optimization problem

$$\min_{\mathbf{W}} \frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{Y}} \|\mathbf{w}_j\|^2 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell_k(\mathbf{W}; x_i, y_i)$$
 (3)

A. Dual Problem of Top-k MSVM

To solve the top-k MSVM problem (3) using the SDCA framework, one may first derive its dual form. Following the notation given in [18], let $\mathbf{X_i} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{pY} \times \mathbf{Y}}$ be the matrix whose j-th column is $vec(x_i(e_j - eyi)^\top)$ and $w = vec(\mathbf{W})$. Then,

$$\mathbf{b_i} = \mathbf{X_i^\top} \mathbf{w}.$$

Hence we can reformulate the primal optimization problem of top-k MSVM as

$$\min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^{p^Y}} P(w) := \frac{\lambda}{2} w^\top w + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell_k(\mathbf{w}; x_i, y_i)$$
(4)

We obtain its equivalent optimization problem

$$\min \frac{1}{2} \|\alpha_i\|_2^2 + a^{\top}{}_i \alpha_i + \frac{1}{2} (1^{\top} \alpha_i)^2$$

$$s.t.0 \le -\alpha_i \le \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} -\alpha_i$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} -\alpha_i \le 1$$

$$\alpha_i^{y_i} = 0$$
(5)

where

$$a_i = \frac{1}{\rho_i} (c_i + \mathbf{X}_i^{\top} \hat{\mathbf{w}}), \rho_i = \frac{1}{\mathbf{n}\lambda} \|\mathbf{x}_i\|^2.$$

Here calculating $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\top}\hat{\mathbf{w}}$ still takes $O(pY^2)$ operations, which is too expensive. We reshape the vector \hat{w} into a p-by-Y matrix \hat{W} . Thus the computation

$$\mathbf{X}_i^{\top}\mathbf{\hat{w}} = \mathbf{\hat{W}}^{\top}\mathbf{x}_i - (\mathbf{\hat{W}}^{\top}\mathbf{x}_i)_{\mathbf{y}_i}$$

takes O(pY) operations. In order to avoid the heavy notation, we drop the subscript of a_i and let $z=\alpha_i^{y_i}, s=\sum z_j$, the above optimization problem (5) can be rewritten as

$$\min_{z,s} \frac{1}{2} ||z - a||^2 + \frac{1}{2} s^2$$

$$s.t.s = \sum_{s \le 1} z_j$$

$$s \le 1$$

$$0 \le z_j \le s/k$$
(6)

Once the problem (6) is solved, a sufficient increase of the dual objective will be achieved. Whilst for the primal problem, the process will lead to the update

$$w = w + \frac{1}{n\lambda} \mathbf{X_i} (\alpha_i - \alpha_i^{\text{old}}). \tag{7}$$

A pseudo-code of the SDCA algorithm for the top-k MSVM is depicted as Algorithm 1. To have the first w, we can initialize $\alpha_i=0$ and then w=0.

Algorithm 1 Stochastic Dual Coordinate Ascent Algorithm for Top-k MSVM

Require: $\alpha, \lambda, k, \epsilon$ 1: $w \leftarrow \sum_i \frac{1}{n\lambda} \mathbf{X_i} \alpha_i$ 2: while α is not optimal do 3: Randomly permute the training examples 4: for $i = 1, \dots, n$ do 5: $\alpha_i^{old} \leftarrow \alpha_i$ 6: Update α_i by solving sub-problem (6) 7: $w \leftarrow w + \frac{1}{n\lambda} \mathbf{X_i} (\alpha_i - \alpha_i^{\text{old}})$

9: end while Ensure: w, α

III. EXPERIMENTS

In this section, we first demonstrate the performance of oursemismooth Newton method on synthetic data. Then, we applyour algorithm to the top-k multiclass sVM problem to showthe efficiency compared with the existing method in [15].Ouralgorithms used to solve problem are implemented in C with aMatlab interface and run on 3.1GHz Intel Xeon (E5-2687W)Linux machine with 128G of memory. The compiler used isGCC 4.8.4. Both our code and libsdca package of [15] ensurethe "-O3" optimization flag is set. The experiments are carriedout in Matlab 2016a. All the implementation will be released publicly on website.

A. Efficiency of the Proposed Algorithm

To investigate the scalability in the problem dimension ofour algorithm, two synthetic problems are randomly gener-ated with d ranging between 50, 000 and 2,000,000. In thefirst test problem, a_j is randomly chosen from the uniform distribution U(15,25) as in [19], [20]. In the second test, following the setup of [15], [21], data entries are sampled from the normal distribution N(0,1). In the third synthetic problem, aj is chosen by independent draws from uniform distribution U(-1,1). For pure comparison, we assume the problem without the constraint $s \leq r$. Thus, the knapsack problem which corresponds to the s = r case will not occur these synthetic problems.

We first present numerical results to investigate the scalability of our proposed algorithm compared with the sorting-based method for different values of k=1,5,10. Fig. 1(a),1(b) and 1(c) correspond to the first, the second and the thirdtest problems respectively. They tell us that the running timesgrow linearly with the problem size for both the sorting-based method and our proposed algorithm. However, our algorithm 1 is consistently much faster than the sorting-based method. When the problem size $d \geq 2 \times 10^6$, ourproposed algorithm is 2.5 times faster in the first problem,and 4 times faster in both the second and the third problemsrespectively. In addition to the superlinear convergence, these mismooth Newton method accesses to accurate solutions ina few iterations. Our numerical results suggest that it usually takes $3\sim 5$ iterations to converge.

TABLE I
DATASETS USED IN THE EXPERIMENTAL EVALUATION.

Dataset	Classes	Features	Training size	Testing size
FMD	10	2048	500	500
News20	20	15,478	15,935	3,993
Letter	26	16	15,000	5,000
INDoor67	67	4,096	5,360	1,340
Caltech101	101	784	4,100	4,100
Flowers	102	2,048	2,040	6,149
CUB	200	2,048	5,994	5,794
SUN397	397	4,096	19,850	19,850
ALOI	1,000	128	86,400	21,600
ImageNet	1,000	2,048	1,281,167	50,000

IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this paper, we leverage the semismoothness of the optimization problem and develop an optimized top-k multiclass




Fig. 1. Scaling of our algorithm compared with sorting method. Left: $a_i \sim U(10, 25)$. Middle: $a_i \sim N(0, 1)$. Right: $a_i \sim U(-1, 1)$.

SVM. While our proposed semismooth Newton method enjoysthe local superlinear convergence rate, we also present anefficient algorithm to obtain the starting point, which worksquite well in practice for the Newton iteration. Experimentalresults on both synthetic and real-world datasets show thatour proposed method scales better with larger numbers ofcategories and offers faster convergence compared with the existing sorting-based algorithm. We note that there are manyother semismooth scenarios, such as ReLU activation functionin deep neural networks and hinge loss in the empirical riskminimization problem. It must be very appealing to exploit the semismooth structure and propose more efficient machinelearning algorithms in future work.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the reviewers for their valuable suggestions on improving this paper. Thanks also goes to Wu Wang for the helpful email exchange.

REFERENCES

- C. M. Bishop, Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning. Secaucus, NJ, USA: Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., 2006.
 C.-W. Hsu and C.-J. Lin, "A comparison of methods for multiclass sup-
- [2] C.-W. Hsu and C.-J. Lin, "A comparison of methods for multiclass support vector machines," <u>IEEE transactions on Neural Networks</u>, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 415–425, 2002.
- [3] R. Rifkin and A. Klautau, "In defense of one-vs-all classification," Journal of machine learning research, vol. 5, no. Jan, pp. 101–141, 2004.
- [4] G.-X. Yuan, C.-H. Ho, and C.-J. Lin, "Recent advances of large-scale linear classification," <u>Proceedings of the IEEE</u>, vol. 100, no. 9, pp. 2584– 2603, 2012.
- [5] A. Rocha and S. K. Goldenstein, "Multiclass from binary: Expanding one-versus-all, one-versus-one and ecoc-based approaches," <u>IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems</u>, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 289–302, 2014.
- [6] J. Deng, A. Berg, K. Li, and L. Fei-Fei, "What does classifying more than 10,000 image categories tell us?" <u>Computer Vision–ECCV 2010</u>, pp. 71–84, 2010.
- [7] B. Zhou, A. Lapedriza, J. Xiao, A. Torralba, and A. Oliva, "Learning deep features for scene recognition using places database," in <u>Advances</u> in neural information processing systems, 2014, pp. 487–495.
- [8] O. Russakovsky, J. Deng, H. Su, J. Krause, S. Satheesh, S. Ma, Z. Huang, A. Karpathy, A. Khosla, M. Bernstein et al., "Imagenet large scale visual recognition challenge," <u>International Journal of Computer Vision</u>, vol. 115, no. 3, pp. 211–252, 2015.
- [9] L. Cai and T. Hofmann, "Exploiting known taxonomies in learning overlapping concepts." in <u>IJCAI</u>, vol. 7, 2007, pp. 708–713.
- [10] A. Krizhevsky, I. Sutskever, and G. E. Hinton, "Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks," in <u>Advances in neural</u> information processing systems, 2012, pp. 1097–1105.
- [11] K. Simonyan and A. Zisserman, "Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image recognition," arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.1556, 2014.

- [12] C. Szegedy, W. Liu, Y. Jia, P. Sermanet, S. Reed, D. Anguelov, D. Erhan, V. Vanhoucke, and A. Rabinovich, "Going deeper with convolutions," in <u>Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern</u> Recognition, 2015, pp. 1–9.
- [13] K. He, X. Zhang, S. Ren, and J. Sun, "Deep residual learning for image recognition," in Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2016, pp. 770–778.
- [14] K. Crammer and Y. Singer, "On the algorithmic implementation of multiclass kernel-based vector machines," <u>Journal of Machine Learning</u> Research, vol. 2, pp. 265–292, 2001.
- [15] M. Lapin, M. Hein, and B. Schiele, "Top-k multiclass svm," in <u>Advances</u> in Neural Information Processing Systems, 2015, pp. 325–333.
- [16] ——, "Loss functions for top-k error: Analysis and insights," in 29th IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR 2016). Las Vegas, NV, USA: IEEE Computer Society, 2016, pp. 1468–1477.
- [17] Y. Zhang and X. Lin, "Stochastic primal-dual coordinate method for regularized empirical risk minimization." in ICML, 2015, pp. 353–361.
- [18] S. Shalev-Shwartz and T. Zhang, "Accelerated proximal stochastic dual coordinate ascent for regularized loss minimization," <u>Mathematical Programming</u>, vol. 155, no. 1, pp. 105–145, 2016.
- [19] R. Cominetti, W. F. Mascarenhas, and P. J. Silva, "A newton's method for the continuous quadratic knapsack problem," <u>Mathematical Programming Computation</u>, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 151–169, 2014.
- [20] K. Kiwiel, "Variable fixing algorithms for the continuous quadratic knapsack problem," Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, vol. 136, no. 3, pp. 445–458, 2008.
- [21] P. Gong, K. Gai, and C. Zhang, "Efficient euclidean projections via piecewise root finding and its application in gradient projection," Neurocomputing, vol. 74, no. 17, pp. 2754–2766, 2011.