

# Flask Dashboard: A Lightweight Analytics Platform for Visualizing Evolving Service Performance in Python

NAMES ORDER TBA

Johann Bernoulli Institute of Mathematics and Computer Science  
University of Groningen  
Groningen, the Netherlands

Email: {v.andrikopoulos,m.f.lungu}@rug.nl, {t.klooster.l,p.p.vogel}@student.rug.nl

**Abstract**—The abstract goes here.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Every system is a distributed system nowadays [1].

Python is currently one of the most popular programming languages. At the time of writing this paper<sup>1</sup> Python is the 4th most popular programming language cf. the Tiobe Index<sup>2</sup>.

Todo: Flask summary goes here Flask is a Python micro-framework. It provides simplicity and flexibility by implementing a bare-minimum. Thus, it is up to the developer to extend the application to fulfill the needs. Setting up a simple Flask web-service requires no more than 5 lines of code. [2]

A search on GitHub with the keyword “language:Python” returns more than 500K open source projects written in the language. If we restrict the search by adding the keyword “Flask” we obtain a listing of 25K projects, that is, 5% of all the Python projects. Flask – advertised as a *micro-framework* – is a lightweight alternative to web site and service development.

However, there is no dedicated solution for monitoring the performance of Flask web-applications. Thus, every one of those Flask projects faces one of the following options when confronted with the need of gathering insight into the runtime behavior of their implemented service:

- 1) Use a heavyweight professional API monitoring setup they requires setting up a different server. ML: Thijs, Patrick: can we find a few examples of professional but overkill tools? ideally they require setting up a bunch of servers, and writing configs in XML! .
- 2) Implement their own analytics tool.
- 3) Live without analytics insight into their services.<sup>3</sup>

Todo: For the first point in the list, we can also argue that analytics solutions like Google Analytics can be used, but they have no notion of

<sup>1</sup>June 2017

<sup>2</sup>TIOBE programming community index is a measure of popularity of programming languages, created and maintained by the TIOBE Company based in Eindhoven, the Netherlands

<sup>3</sup>This is very real option: and is exactly what happened to the API that will be presented in this case study for many months.

versioning/integration with the development life cycle. Feel free to cite [3] for service evolution purposes

For projects which are done on a budget (e.g. research projects, startups) the first and the second options are often not available due to time and financial constraints.

To avoid these projects ending up in the third situation, in this paper we present a low-effort, lightweight service monitoring API for Flask-based Python web services.

Todo: The rest of this paper is structured as follows:...

VA: @all: I moved the remaining of this section to the Dashboard section since it makes more sense there

## II. CASE STUDY

Zeeguu is a platform and an ecosystem of applications for accelerating vocabulary acquisition in a foreign language [4] and is used as a case study for the remainder of this paper where all the figures are captured from the actual deployment of Flask Dashboard in the context of the Zeeguu platform<sup>4</sup>

The architecture of the ecosystem has at its core an API implemented with Flask and Python and a series of satellite applications that together offer three main intertwined features for the learner:

- 1) Reader applications that provide effortless translations for those texts which are too difficult for the readers
- 2) Interactive exercises personally generated based on the preferences and past likes of the learner
- 3) Article recommendations which are at the appropriate level of difficulty for the reader. The difficulty is estimated based on past exercise and reading activity

The core API provides correspondingly three types of functionality: contextual translations, article recommendations, and personalized exercise suggestions. The core API of system is a research project, which sustains at the moment of writing this article the reading and practice of about two hundred active beta-tester accounts.

<sup>4</sup>Within the Flask Dashboard the figures are interactive offering basic data exploration capabilities: filter, zoom, and details on demand[5]

Rule	HTTP Method	Endpoint	Last accessed ▾	Monitor
/static/<path/>filename>	OPTIONS, HEAD, GET	static	2017-06-23 23:41:11	<input type="checkbox"/>
/user_words	OPTIONS, HEAD, GET	api.studied_words	2017-06-23 23:26:43	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
/report_exercise_outcome/<exerc...	OPTIONS, POST	api.report_exercise_outcome	2017-06-23 23:15:39	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
/learned_language	OPTIONS, HEAD, GET	api.learned_language	2017-06-23 23:15:30	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
/bookmarks_to_study/<bookmark...	OPTIONS, HEAD, GET	api.bookmarks_to_study	2017-06-23 23:14:09	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
/interesting_feeds/<language_id>	OPTIONS, HEAD, GET	api.get_interesting_feeds_for_lan...	2017-06-23 23:13:48	<input type="checkbox"/>
/get_starred_articles	OPTIONS, HEAD, GET	api.get_starred_articles	2017-06-23 23:13:48	<input type="checkbox"/>
/get_feeds_being_followed	OPTIONS, HEAD, GET	api.get_feeds_being_followed	2017-06-23 23:13:48	<input type="checkbox"/>
/upload_user_activity_data	OPTIONS, POST	api.upload_user_activity_data	2017-06-23 23:13:43	<input type="checkbox"/>
/get_possible_translations/<from...	OPTIONS, POST	api.get_possible_translations	2017-06-23 23:13:37	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
/native_language	OPTIONS, HEAD, GET	api.native_language	2017-06-23 23:10:52	<input type="checkbox"/>

Fig. 1. All of the endpoints of the Flask app are shown such that a selection can be made for monitoring them

### III. THE FLASK DASHBOARD

The Flask Dashboard as well as the web service that is being monitored in the case study is written in Python using Flask. This makes binding to the web service relatively easy, as well as adding additional routes to the service for interacting with the Flask Dashboard.

To start using our Python library for service visualization, one needs one line of code to connect their Flask application object with the Flask Dashboard and an additional one to import the library:

Listing 1. Configuring the Flask Dashboard is straightforward

```
import dashboard
dashboard.bind(app=flask_app)
```

After binding to the service, Flask Dashboard will search for all endpoints defined in it. These will be presented to the user, which can select the ones that should be monitored, see Fig. 1. In order to monitor an endpoint, Flask Dashboard will create a function wrapper for the API function that corresponds to the endpoint. This way, the wrapper will be executed whenever that API call is made. The wrapper contains the code that takes care of monitoring an endpoint.

By default, Flask Dashboard is available at the /dashboard route of the Flask app. A custom route can also be defined by simply adding one extra line of code:

Listing 2. Configuring the Flask Dashboard with a custom route for it to be accessed on is straightforward

```
dashboard.config.link = 'custom-link'
```

There are three main endpoints that are available using Flask Dashboard: **Todo:** Replace 'one' below with the actual endpoint relative URL

- 1) one which shows a list of the endpoints of the service that can be monitored
- 2) one which contains an overview of the measurements of the selected endpoints
- 3) one which contains more detailed information about the measurements of a specific endpoint

As mentioned before, the first endpoint enables the user of the tool to specify which endpoints should be monitored. The

second endpoint consists of two parts, one of them being a table that shows for every monitored endpoint the number of hits it has gotten, the time it was last accessed and its average execution time. The second part is a view with four graphs which show:

- A heatmap of the total number of requests to the monitored endpoints
- A stacked bar chart that shows the total number of requests to the monitored endpoints per endpoint per day
- A boxplot graph showing the average execution time per version of the web service
- A boxplot graph showing the average execution time for every monitored endpoint

### Structure of the Paper

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows: In Sections IV, V, VI we dedicate a separate section to three types of analysis that Flask Dashboard supports and present a the way the visualizations support these types of analysis.

### IV. OVERALL ENDPOINT UTILIZATION

The most fundamental insight that a service maintainer needs regards service utilization.

Figure 2 shows a first perspective on endpoint utilization that Flask Dashboard provides: a stacked bar chart of the number of hits to various endpoints grouped by day <sup>5</sup> shows that at its peak the API has about 2500 hits per day. The way users interact with the platform can also be inferred since the endpoints are indicators of different activity types, e.g.:

- 1) **api.get\_possible\_translations** is an indicator of the amount of reading the users are doing
- 2) **api.report\_exercise\_outcome** is an indicator of the amount of vocabulary practice the users are doing




Fig. 2. The number of requests per endpoint per day view shows the overall utilization of the monitored application

This visualization also provides feedback to the maintainer when deciding about endpoint deprecation, the most elementary way of *understanding the needs of the downstream* [6]. In our case study, the maintainer decided to not remove several

<sup>5</sup>We recommend obtaining a color version of this paper for better readability

endpoints once they saw that, contrary to their expectations, they were being used.<sup>6</sup>

Todo: Add the time series graph and discuss it before the heatmap? We can then sell the heatmap better

A second type of *utilization* question that an API maintainer can answer by using the Flask Dashboard regards cyclic patterns of usage during various times of day.




Fig. 3. Usage patterns become easy to spot in the requests per hour heatmap

Figure 3 shows the users of the Zeeguu API not practicing languages at night, but otherwise hitting the API around the clock with several hundred hits per hour. VA: Mircea: Strictly speaking that's not what the figure shows: there is no practice during the early morning hours, with most of the activity focused around working hours and some light activity during the evening.

## V. VISUALIZING SERVICE PERFORMANCE

The Flask Dashboard also collects information regarding endpoint performance. The view in Figure 4 summarizes the response times for various endpoints by using box-and-whisker plots.

After investigating this view it became clear to the maintainer that three of the endpoints had very large variation in performance. One of the three was most critical was optimized first: the `api.get_possible_translations` is part of a live interaction and it having such variable performance was a usability problem for the users of the reader applications.

To be able to see their improvements in action, the maintainer had to add an extra configuration information to be able to find the ‘.git’ folder from where to retrieve the current version of the deployed application:

<sup>6</sup>Usage information can also be used to increase the confidence of the maintainer that a given endpoint is not used, although it is not a proof.




Fig. 4. The response time (in ms) per monitored endpoint view allows for identifying performance variability and balancing issues

Listing 3. Configuring the Flask Dashboard with the path to the .git folder enables the generation of evolutionary performance graphs

```
dashboard.config.git = 'path/to/git-root/of/app'
```

After redeploying the API, the dashboard can now automatically detect the current version of the project, and can group measurements by version. Flask Dashboard can now generate the view in Figure 5 where the performance of the give endpoint is tallied by version.




Fig. 5. Visualizing The Performance Evolution of the `api.get_possible_translations` endpoint

This way the maintainer could confirm that the performance of the translation endpoint improved: in the latest version (bottom-most box plot in Figure 5) the entire box plot moved to the left and there are fewer outliers.

The Flask Dashboard also collects **extra information about outliers**: Python stack trace, CPU load, request parameters, etc. in order to allow the maintainer to investigate the causes of these exceptionally slow response times.

In order to address this, but not degrade the usual performance the Flask Dashboard tracks for every endpoint a

Listing 4. Simply define a custom app-specific function for user retrieval and pass it to the Flask Dashboard to group information by user

```
# app specific way of extracting the user
# from a flask request object
def get_user_id(request):
    sid = int(request.args['session'])
    session = User.find_for_session(sid)
    return user_id

# attaching the get_user_id function
dashboard.config.get_group_by = get_user_id
```

running average value. When it detects that a given request is an outlier with respect to this past average running value, it triggers the *outlier data collection routine* which stores all the previously listed extra information about the current execution environment.

## VI. USER CENTERED VISUALIZATION

For service endpoints which run computations in real time, the operator of a system must understand the endpoint performance on a per-user basis, especially for situations where the system response time is a function of some individual user load<sup>7</sup>

Flask Dashboard provides a way of grouping information on a per user basis. However, to do this, the developer must specify the way in which a given API call can be associated with a given user. There are multiple ways, the simplest utilizes again the common expectations of Flask applications which offers a global `request` object which contains a `session` object which encapsulates information:

In the Zeeguu case study, one of the slowest endpoints, and one with the highest variability is **api.get\_article\_difficulties**: it retrieves a list of recommended articles for a given user. However, since a user can be subscribed to anything from one to three dozen article sources, and since the computation of the difficulty is personalized and it is slow, the variability in time among users is likely to be very large.

Figure 6 shows some of the results of calling the **api.get\_article\_difficulties** endpoint for various users. The figure shows that the response times for this endpoint can vary considerably for different users.

The limitation of the previous view is that it does not present the information also on a per version basis. To address this, a different visual perspective entitled *Evolving per-User Performance* can be defined. Figure 7 attempts to present the information that would be required in such an perspective:

For the situations in which the user information is not available, the Flask Dashboard tracks out of the box information about different IPs. In some cases this might be a sufficiently good approximation of the user diversity and identity.

<sup>7</sup>E.g. in GMail some users have two emails while other have twenty thousand and this induces different response times for different users




Fig. 6. The **api.get\_article\_difficulties** shows a very high variability across users




Fig. 7. Caption here

## VII. DISCUSSION

### A. Integrating with Different Deployment Strategies in Order to Automatically Monitor System Evolution

The main goal of the Flask Dashboard design was to allow analytics to be collected and insight to be gleaned by making the smallest possible changes to the running API. To allow the collection of evolutionary information

This technique assumes that the web server code which is the target of the monitoring is deployed using `git` in the following way:

- 1) The deployment engineer pulls the latest version of the code from the integration server; this will result in a new commit being pointed at by the HEAD pointer than previously
- 2) The deployment engineer restarts the new version of the service. At this point, the Flask Dashboard detects that a new HEAD is present in the local code base and consequently starts

associating all the new data points with this new commit<sup>8</sup>

The advantage of this approach is the need for minimal configurability. The disadvantage is that it will consider the smallest of the commits<sup>9</sup> and the shortest lived commits<sup>10</sup>, as a distinct way of grouping the data points.

Another possible extension point here is supporting other version control systems (e.g. Mercurial). However, this is a straightforward extension.

### B. User-Awareness

User awareness as presented in Section VI is useful, but the scalability of the approach must be taken into consideration. In our case study we had about several hundreds users (of which about two hundred were active during the )

### C. Tool and Source Code Availability

Flask Dashboard is implemented for Python 3.6 and is available on the Python Package Index repository<sup>11</sup> from where it can be installed on any system that has Python installed by running `pip install flask_dashboard` from the command line.

The code of Flask Dashboard is published under a permissive MIT license and is available on GitHub.<sup>12</sup>

The images in this paper are screenshots of the interactive visualizations from the deployment of Flask Dashboard in the context of the Zeeguu core API. The actual deployment can be consulted online by the reviewers and readers of this article<sup>13</sup>.

## VIII. RELATED WORK

Java Visualization [7]

Run-time monitoring of services [8]

An existing monitoring tool is Pingdom<sup>14</sup>, which monitors the uptime of an existing web-service. This tool works by pinging the websites (up to 60 times) every minute automatically. Thus this creates a lot of overhead and is bound to be noisy since it will also be influenced by the speed of the network connection<sup>15</sup>

## IX. CONCLUSION

The conclusion goes here.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank...

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Cavage, "There is no getting around it: you are building a distributed system," *Communications of the ACM*, vol. 56, no. 6, pp. 63–70, 2013.
- [2] A. Ronacher, "Quickstart," <http://flask.pocoo.org/docs/0.12/quickstart/#quickstart>, 2010, [Online; accessed 25-June-2017].
- [3] M. Papazoglou, V. Andrikopoulos, and S. Benbernou, "Managing evolving services," *Software*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 49–55, 2011.
- [4] M. F. Lungu, "Bootstrapping an ubiquitous monitoring ecosystem for accelerating vocabulary acquisition," in *Proceedings of the 10th European Conference on Software Architecture Workshops*, ser. ECSAW 2016. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2016, pp. 28:1–28:4. [Online]. Available: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2993412.3003389>

<sup>8</sup>The Flask Dashboard detects the current version of the analyzed system the first time it is attached to the application object, and thus, assumes that the Flask application is restarted when a new version is deployed. This is in tune with the current version of Flask, but if the web server will support dynamic updates in the future, this might have to be taken into account

<sup>9</sup>Even one that modifies a comment

<sup>10</sup>A commit which was active only for a half an hour before a new version with a bug fix was deployed

<sup>11</sup><http://pypi.org/TODO>

<sup>12</sup><https://github.com/mircealungu/automatic-monitoring-dasboard>

<sup>13</sup><https://zeeguu.unibe.ch/api/dashboard>. Username: *guest*, password: *vissoft*

<sup>14</sup><https://www.pingdom.com/company/why-pingdom>

<sup>15</sup>Another problem is that such a tool would

- [5] B. Shneiderman, "The eyes have it: A task by data type taxonomy for information visualizations," in *IEEE Visual Languages*, College Park, Maryland 20742, U.S.A., 1996, pp. 336–343.
- [6] N. Haenni, M. Lungu, N. Schwarz, and O. Nierstrasz, "A quantitative analysis of developer information needs in software ecosystems," in *Proceedings of the 2nd Workshop on Ecosystem Architectures (WEA'14)*, 2014, pp. 1–6. [Online]. Available: <http://scg.unibe.ch/archive/papers/Haen14a-QuantitativeEcosystemInformationNeeds.pdf>
- [7] W. De Pauw, E. Jensen, N. Mitchell, G. Sevitsky, J. M. Vlissides, and J. Yang, "Visualizing the execution of java programs," in *Revised Lectures on Software Visualization, International Seminar*. London, UK: Springer-Verlag, 2002, pp. 151–162.
- [8] C. Ghezzi and S. Guinea, "Run-time monitoring in service-oriented architectures," in *Test and analysis of web services*. Springer, 2007, pp. 237–264.