I

Sciware on Modern C++ Generic programming Introduction to constraints & concepts

Olivier Parcollet



Generic programming in C++

• A function sqr that computes the square of x

```
auto sqr (auto x) {
  return x*x;
}

template<typename T>
auto sqr (T x) {
  return x*x;
}
```

• Given x of type T, the compiler will <u>instantiate</u> (i.e. generate & compile) a sqr function for the type T

• Compare to Python: looks similar, but auto is resolved at compile-time

```
def sqr(x):
   return x*x
```

```
double sqr (double x) {
  return x*x;
}

int sqr (int x) {
  return x*x;
}
```

A less trivial example : \sqrt{x} with Babylonian algorithm

• Iterative method $y_{n+1} = \frac{y_n + x/y_n}{2}$, starting from $y_0 = \frac{1+x}{2}$. Fixed point : y = x/y

```
auto babylonian(auto x, int N = 10) {
   auto y = (1+x)/2;
   for (int i = 0; i < N; ++i) y = (y + x/y)/2;
   return y;
}</pre>
```

```
auto sqr (auto x) {
  return x*x;
}
```

- Code reuse!
 - double, float
- High precision floating arithmetic (e.g. GMP, ARB library)
- •
- What is the condition on x for the algorithm to compile & work?

When an error occurs ...

```
auto babylonian(auto x, int N = 10);
```

```
struct bad{};
int main() {
   auto b = bad{};
   auto r = babylonian(b);
}
```

```
Mac:~/ clang++ -std=c++20 babylon_error.cpp
babylon_error.cpp:3:15: error: invalid operands to binary expression ('int' and 'bad')
    auto t = (1+x)/2;
    babylon_error.cpp:13:14: note: in instantiation of function template specialization 'babylonian<back'requested here
    auto r = babylonian(b);
1 error generated.</pre>
```

When an error occurs ...

• Error messages can be horribly long, e.g. with Standard Library

```
std::vector<A> v = ...;
std::sort(begin(v), end(v));
```

• Forgot to implement the comparison operator of for A.



```
In file included from /usr/local/opt/llvm/bin/../include/c++/v1/vector:275:
In file included from /usr/local/opt/llvm/bin/../include/c++/v1/__algorithm/equal.h:13:
/usr/local/opt/llvm/bin/../include/c++/v1/__algorithm/comp.h:73:71: error: invalid operands to
binary expression ('const A' and 'const A')
    bool operator()(const _T1& __x, const _T1& __y) const {return __x < __y;}</pre>
/usr/local/opt/llvm/bin/../include/c++/v1/__algorithm/sift_down.h:44:34: note: in
instantiation of member function 'std::__less<A>::operator()' requested here
    if ((\underline{\phantom{a}} child + 1) < \underline{\phantom{a}} len \& \underline{\phantom{a}} comp(*\underline{\phantom{a}} child_i, *(\underline{\phantom{a}} child_i + difference\_type(1)))) {
/usr/local/opt/llvm/bin/../include/c++/v1/__algorithm/make_heap.h:37:14: note: in
instantiation of function template specialization 'std::__sift_down<std::_ClassicAlgPolicy,
std::__less<A> &, std::__wrap_iter<A *>>' requested here
        std::__sift_down<_AlgPolicy>(__first, __comp_ref, __n, __first + __start);
/usr/local/opt/llvm/bin/../include/c++/v1/__algorithm/partial_sort.h:39:8: note: in
instantiation of function template specialization 'std::__make_heap<std::_ClassicAlgPolicy,
std::__less<A> &, std::__wrap_iter<A *>>' requested here
  std::__make_heap<_AlgPolicy>(__first, __middle, __comp);
/usr/local/opt/llvm/bin/../include/c++/v1/__algorithm/partial_sort.h:67:27: note: in
instantiation of function template specialization
'std::__partial_sort_impl<std::_ClassicAlgPolicy, std::__less<A> &, std::__wrap_iter<A *>,
std::__wrap_iter<A *>>' requested here
  auto __last_iter = std::__partial_sort_impl<_AlgPolicy>(__first, __middle, __last,
static_cast<_Comp_ref>(__comp));
/usr/local/opt/llvm/bin/../include/c++/v1/__algorithm/sort.h:681:10: note: in instantiation of
function template specialization 'std::__partial_sort<std::_ClassicAlgPolicy, std::__less<A>,
std::__wrap_iter<A *>, std::__wrap_iter<A *>>' requested here
    std::__partial_sort<_AlgPolicy>(__first, __last, __last, __comp);
/usr/local/opt/llvm/bin/../include/c++/v1/__algorithm/sort.h:694:8: note: in instantiation of
function template specialization 'std::__sort_impl<std::_ClassicAlgPolicy, std::__wrap_iter<A
*>, std::__less<A>>' requested here
  std::__sort_impl<_ClassicAlgPolicy>(std::move(__first), std::move(__last), __comp);
/usr/local/opt/llvm/bin/../include/c++/v1/__algorithm/sort.h:700:8: note: in instantiation of
function template specialization 'std::sort<std::__wrap_iter<A *>, std::__less<A>>' requested
here
  std::sort(__first, __last, __less<typename</pre>
iterator_traits<_RandomAccessIterator>::value_type>());
```

Issues with generic programming

```
auto babylonian(auto x, int N = 10);
```

Issue :

- Bad error message as we see the implementation details.
- User does not know what x can be !
- By the way, Python has the same problem (no types).

Solution

- Constraints on the type of x
- Express them in the signature of the function (so that the user can know)
- Check the constraints <u>before</u> generating the code (to have a meaningful error message).
- C++20 concepts.

Concept: a set of constraints on a type

- Two new C++ keywords : concept, requires
 - A function $T \to \text{bool}$ executed at compile time: does T satisfy these constraints ?
 - Constrain the algorithm

```
auto babylonian(Number auto x, int N = 10) {
   // Same as before
}
```

Terse syntax

```
#include <concepts>
template<typename T>
concept Number = requires(T x, T y) {
    {x+y} -> std::same_as<T>;
    {x-y} -> std::same_as<T>;
    {x*y} -> std::same_as<T>;
    {x/y} -> std::same_as<T>;
    {x/y} -> std::same_as<T>;
}
```

```
template<Number T>
T babylonian(T x, int N = 10) {
   // Same as before
}
```

General syntax

```
template<typename T>
requires(Number<T>)
T babylonian(T x, int N = 10) {
// Same as before
}
```

Concepts: meaningful error message

```
auto babylonian(auto x, int N = 10);
```

```
struct bad{};
int main() {
   auto b = bad{};
   auto r = babylonian(b);
}
```

Does not enter the logic of the function, and subsequent calls

```
babylon_error.cpp:22:14: error: no matching function for call to 'babylonian'
    auto r = babylonian(b);

babylon_error.cpp:12:6: note: candidate template ignored: constraints not satisfied [with x:auto = bad]
auto babylonian(Number auto x, int N = 10) {
    babylon_error.cpp:12:17: note: because 'bad' does not satisfy 'Number'
auto babylonian(Number auto x, int N = 10) {
    babylon_error.cpp:5:5: note: because 'x + y' would be invalid: invalid operands to binary expression ('bad' and 'bad')
    {x+y} -> std::same_as<T>;
1 error generated.
```

Concept

A set of a constraints on a type e.g. Number

Types

A "category" of types satisfying the concept

e.g. double, float, gmp

Algorithms

A set of generic algorithms working with <u>any</u> type satisfying the concept

e.g. sqr, babylonian, ...

Main idea of generic programming

What matters is how an object behaves, not what it is.

Concept

A set of a constraints on a type e.g. Number

Types

A "category" of types satisfying the concept

e.g. double, float, gmp

Algorithms

A set of generic algorithms working with <u>any</u> type satisfying the concept

e.g. sqr, babylonian, ...

- Separation data & algorithm
- Composability: # types × # algorithms possibilities

Concept

A set of a constraints on a type e.g. Number

Types

A "category" of types satisfying the concept

e.g. double, float, gmp

Algorithms

A set of generic algorithms working with <u>any</u> type satisfying the concept

e.g. sqr, babylonian, ...

Part of function signature, i.e. library documentation

auto babylonian(Number auto x, int N = 10);

Case study

A tiny matrix class with a few functions

Goal: zero overhead abstraction

- Clear & simple code, without performance penalty.
- Temporaries elimination, e.g. for $a,b \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$

```
double r = trace (a + b);
for (int i = 0; i < n; ++i)
r += a(i, i) + b(i, i);
```

How? a + b computed before calling trace, so $O(n^2)$ instead of O(n)?

- Techniques exists: "expression template", "metaprogramming".
 Used in all modern libraries: Eigen, triqs/nda (from CCQ), [precursor: Blitz++]
- C++20 concepts make them natural and easy to implement.

The Matrix concept

```
#include <concepts>
template <typename T>
concept Matrix = requires(T m) {
    { m(0, 0) } -> std::convertible_to<double>;
    { dim(m) } -> std::convertible_to<int>;
};
```

The type T behaves like a square matrix (of double)

- m(i,j) returns the value of the matrix m_{ij}
- dim(m) returns the dimension

Two algorithms

Use <u>only</u> what is in the concept.

$$Tr M = \sum_{i=1}^{n} M_{ii}$$

```
double trace (Matrix auto const & m) {
  double r = 0;
  int d = dim(m); // size of the matrix d x d
  for (int i=0; i<d; ++i) r += m(i,i);
  return r;
}</pre>
```

$$sum(M) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} M_{ij}$$

```
double sum(Matrix auto const &m) {
   double r = 0;
   int d = dim(m); // size of the matrix d x d
   for (int i = 0; i < d; ++i)
      for (int j = 0; j < d; ++j)
        r += m(i, j);
   return r;
}</pre>
```

Also insulate sum from other overloads for unrelated types...

A simple matrix class

Now I implement a simple class...

• Concepts are <u>non intrusive</u>

Satisfy Matrix concept

```
static_assert(Matrix<square_matrix>);
```

• Hence algorithms work ...

```
int main() {
  auto m = square_matrix{4};
  // ...
  auto t = trace(m)
}
```

Other Matrix classes ...

```
struct rank1_matrix {
   std::vector<double> x, y;
   double operator()(int i, int j) const { return x[i] * y[j];}
   // ...
};
int dim(rank1_matrix const &m) { return m.x.size();}
```

$$M_{ij} = x_i y_j$$

```
M_{ij} = x\delta_{ij}
```

```
struct kronecker_matrix {
  int n;
  double x;
  //...
  double operator()(int i, int j) const { return (I==j ? x : 0);}
};
int dim(kronecker_matrix const &m) { return m.n; }
```

Satisfy Matrix, hence previous algorithms (trace, sum) works

Concept

Matrix

Types

square_matrix, rank l _matrix, kronecker_matrix, . . .

Algorithms

• Functions Matrix $\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ e.g. trace, sum, ...

Concept

Matrix

Types

square_matrix, rank l _matrix, kronecker_matrix, ...

Algorithms

- Functions Matrix $\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ e.g. trace, sum, ...
- Functions Matrix \rightarrow Matrix e.g. $a \rightarrow abs(a)$
- Functions Matrix x Matrix \rightarrow Matrix e.g. $(a,b) \rightarrow a+b$

Abs, addition

```
Matrix auto abs(Matrix auto const &m);
```

```
[\operatorname{abs}(M)]_{ij} = |M_{ij}|
```

```
Matrix auto operator+(Matrix auto const &a, Matrix auto const &b);
Matrix auto operator-(Matrix auto const &a, Matrix auto const &b);
```

Composability

$$||A - B|| = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} |A_{ij} - B_{ij}|$$

$$\operatorname{Tr}(A+B) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{ii} + B_{ii}$$

Abs, addition

```
Matrix auto abs(Matrix auto const &m);
```

```
[\operatorname{abs}(M)]_{ij} = |M_{ij}|
```

```
Matrix auto operator-(Matrix auto const &a, Matrix auto const &b);
Matrix auto operator-(Matrix auto const &a, Matrix auto const &b);
```

Composability

```
int main() {
  auto a = square_matrix{2};
  auto b = rank1_matrix{{0, 3}, {1, 1}};

  double t = trace(a + b);
  double diff = sum(abs(a - b));

  Matrix auto s = a + b;
  Matrix auto m3 = square_matrix{a + b};
}

class square_matrix {
    //...
  public:
    square_matrix(int n);
    square_matrix(Matrix auto const &m);
    //...
};
```

Implementation abs

```
Matrix auto abs(Matrix auto const &m) {
   return lazy_mapped_matrix{m, [](auto &&x) { return std::abs(x); }};
}
```

• Mapping a function onto a Matrix (term by term) with $f(x) \equiv |x|$

$$M'_{ij} = f(M_{ij})$$

```
template <Matrix M, typename F> struct lazy_mapped_matrix { // implementation detail
   M const &m;
   F f;
   double operator()(int i, int j) const { return f(m(i, j)); }
   friend int dim(lazy_mapped_matrix const &m) { return dim(m.m); }
};
template<Matrix M, typename F> lazy_mapped_matrix(M, F)-> lazy_mapped_matrix <M, F>; // CTAD
```

```
double sum(Matrix auto const &m) {
  double r = 0;
  int d = dim(m);
  for (int i = 0; i < d; ++i)
    for (int j = 0; j < d; ++j)
      r += m(i, j);
  return r;
}</pre>
```

Why lazy?

```
template <Matrix M, typename F> struct lazy_mapped_matrix {
   M const &m;
   F f;
   double operator()(int i, int j) const { return f(m(i, j)); }
   friend int dim(lazy_mapped_matrix const &m) { return dim(m.m); }
};
```

The compiler take the generic trace and generates the code

```
double sum(lazy_mapped_matrix<M,F> auto const &m) {
  double r = 0;
  int d = dim(m.m);
  for (int i = 0; i < d; ++i)
    for (int j = 0; j < d; ++j)
      r += m.f(m.a(i,i));
  return r;
}</pre>
```

$$||A|| = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} |A_{ij}|$$

Exactly the hand written code. No temporaries

Addition implementation

```
Matrix auto operator+(Matrix auto const &a, Matrix auto const &b) {
  return lazy_add{a, b};
}
```

Lazy addition: just take references and wait to be called. Implementation detail, not for user

```
template <Matrix A, Matrix B> struct lazy_add {
   A const &a;
   B const &b;
   double operator()(int i, int j) const { return a(i, j) + b(i, j); }
   friend int dim(lazy_add const &x) { return dim(x.a); }
};
template <Matrix A, Matrix B> lazy_add(A, B) -> lazy_add<A, B>;
```

• Exercise: show that Tr(a+b) scales like dim, not dim²

$$\operatorname{Tr}(A+B) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{ii} + B_{ii}$$

Concept refinement

• Issue: for a rank I matrix, the sum algorithm is ridiculous ...

$$sum(M) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} M_{ij} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} y_j\right) \quad \text{if} \quad M_{ij} = x_i y_j$$

Solution:

A more refined concept Matrix_of_rankl Compiler will choose the most refined one.

```
double sum(UnrelatedThing auto const &m) {
   // ...
}
```

```
double sum(Matrix auto const &m) {
   // ...
}
```

```
double sum(Matrix_of_rank1 auto const &m) {
   // ...
}
```

Conclusion

• Generic programming, concept, constraint

- What I did not cover
 - ullet More on concepts: refine them, combine them, concepts depending on 2 types, ...
 - Feature to ease writing of generic code, take compile time decisions (e.g. if constexpr, requires "on the fly", folds, variadic template, ...)
 - Type erasure: from compile time to run time polymorphism.



Lazy again [exercise]

```
double trace (Matrix auto const & m) {
  double r = 0;
  int d = dim(m);
  for (int i=0; i<d; ++i) r += m(i,i);
  return r;
}</pre>
```

```
template <Matrix A, Matrix B> struct lazy_add {
   A const &a;
   B const &b;
   double operator()(int i, int j) const { return a(i, j) + b(i, j); }
   friend int dim(lazy_add const &m) { return dim(m.a); }
};
```

The compiler take the generic trace and generates the code

```
double trace(lazy_add<A,B> const & m) {
  double r = 0;
  int d = dim(m.a);
  for (int i=0; i<d; ++i) r += m.a(i,i)+ m.b(i,i);
  return r;
}</pre>
```

- Exactly the hand written code. No temporaries
- Scales like dim, not dim²

$$\operatorname{Tr}(A+B) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{ii} + B_{ii}$$