

Pseudoscalar decays to leptons in Weak effective theory

Matěj Hudec

January 29, 2021

The purpose of this note is to review the formulas for $\text{BR}(P \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell'^-)$ decays in general weak effective theory (WET), keeping an eye on the subtleties such as signs and CP asymmetries, and carefully following the contemporary conventions as employed in, e.g., **flavio**.

The WET is defined by the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{WET}(5)} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD+QED}}(\nu_L, e, d, u, s, \mu, c, \tau, b) + \mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}, \quad (1)$$

the non-renormalizable part of which reads

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = -\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = \sum_A^{\mathcal{O}_A = \mathcal{O}_A^\dagger} C_A \mathcal{O}_A + \sum_B^{\mathcal{O}_B \neq \mathcal{O}_B^\dagger} (C_B \mathcal{O}_B + C_B^* \mathcal{O}_B^\dagger). \quad (2)$$

We assume new physics relevant for $P^0 \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell'^-$ to reside in the operators which are usually cast in the following form:

$$\mathcal{O}_{9_{-qq'\ell\ell'}} = \mathcal{N} \left(\bar{q}'_L \gamma_\mu q_L \right) (\bar{\ell}' \gamma^\mu \ell) \quad \mathcal{O}'_{9_{-qq'\ell\ell'}} = \mathcal{N} \left(\bar{q}'_R \gamma_\mu q_R \right) (\bar{\ell}' \gamma^\mu \ell) \quad (3a)$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{10_{-qq'\ell\ell'}} = \mathcal{N} \left(\bar{q}'_L \gamma_\mu q_L \right) (\bar{\ell}' \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 \ell) \quad \mathcal{O}'_{10_{-qq'\ell\ell'}} = \mathcal{N} \left(\bar{q}'_R \gamma_\mu q_R \right) (\bar{\ell}' \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 \ell) \quad (3b)$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{S_{-qq'\ell\ell'}} = \mathcal{N} \zeta \left(\bar{q}'_L q_R \right) (\bar{\ell}' \ell) \quad \mathcal{O}'_{S_{-qq'\ell\ell'}} = \mathcal{N} \zeta \left(\bar{q}'_R q_L \right) (\bar{\ell}' \ell) \quad (3c)$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{P_{-qq'\ell\ell'}} = \mathcal{N} \zeta \left(\bar{q}'_L q_R \right) (\bar{\ell}' \gamma_5 \ell) \quad \mathcal{O}'_{P_{-qq'\ell\ell'}} = \mathcal{N} \zeta \left(\bar{q}'_R q_L \right) (\bar{\ell}' \gamma_5 \ell) \quad (3d)$$

The normalization coefficients \mathcal{N} and $\mathcal{N}\zeta$ differ among various papers. In general, they can be complex, which will turn out to be a bit cumbersome for the $K_{L,S}^0$ decays. We assume $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}$.

As the hadronic elements for the pseudoscalar mesons $P = \bar{q}' q$ read

$$\langle 0 | \bar{q}' \gamma^\mu q | P(k) \rangle = 0, \quad \langle 0 | \bar{q}' \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 q | P(k) \rangle = i f_P k^\mu, \quad (4a)$$

$$\langle 0 | \bar{q}' q | P(k) \rangle = 0, \quad \langle 0 | \bar{q}' \gamma_5 q | P(k) \rangle = -i f_P \frac{m_P^2}{m_q + m_{q'}} \equiv -i f_P \bar{m}_P, \quad (4b)$$

only the combinations

$$C_{\Delta X_{-qq'\ell\ell'}} = C_{X_{-qq'\ell\ell'}} - C'_{X_{-qq'\ell\ell'}} \quad (5)$$

matter. As $\bar{\psi}_1(\gamma_\mu)\gamma_5\psi_2 \xrightarrow{C} +\bar{\psi}_2(\gamma_\mu)\gamma_5\psi_1$ and pseudoscalar mesons are C -even, there are no extra phases or signs for the antiparticles in the relations (4).

The operators in Eqns. (3) are all non-hermitean (provided $q \neq q'$). Since

$$(\bar{\psi}\gamma_\mu\chi)^\dagger = +\bar{\chi}\gamma_\mu\psi, \quad (\bar{\psi}\gamma_\mu\gamma_5\chi)^\dagger = +\bar{\chi}\gamma_\mu\gamma_5\psi, \quad (6)$$

$$(\bar{\psi}\chi)^\dagger = +\bar{\chi}\psi, \quad (\bar{\psi}\gamma_5\chi)^\dagger = -\bar{\chi}\gamma_5\psi, \quad (7)$$

the operator $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta S_{-qq'\ell\ell'}} = \mathcal{O}_{S_{-qq'\ell\ell'}} - \mathcal{O}'_{S_{-qq'\ell\ell'}}$ spits an extra minus sign under hermitean conjugation while the other relevant operators, $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta 9, \Delta 10, \Delta P}$ in obvious notation, do not. In turn, hermiticity of the effective Hamiltonian implies the following relations among the relevant Wilson coefficients:

$$\mathcal{N}^* C_{\Delta 9_{-qq'\ell\ell'}}^* = +\mathcal{N} C_{\Delta 9_{-q'q\ell\ell}}, \quad \mathcal{N}^* C_{\Delta 10_{-qq'\ell\ell'}}^* = +\mathcal{N} C_{\Delta 10_{-q'q\ell\ell}}, \quad (8a)$$

$$\mathcal{N}^* C_{\Delta S_{-qq'\ell\ell'}}^* = -\mathcal{N} C_{\Delta S_{-q'q\ell\ell}}, \quad \mathcal{N}^* C_{\Delta P_{-qq'\ell\ell'}}^* = +\mathcal{N} C_{\Delta P_{-q'q\ell\ell}}. \quad (8b)$$

In **flavio**, the Wilson coefficients of the $_{s}d\ell\ell'$, $_{s}b\ell\ell'$ and $_{s}b\ell\ell'$ types are defined directly while those of the $_{s}d\ell\ell'$, $_{s}b\ell\ell'$ and $_{s}d\ell\ell'$ kinds can be obtained by means of the above relations. For example, the part of effective Hamiltonian relevant for leptonic decays of B_s and \bar{B}_s reads

$$\begin{aligned}
-\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}^{B_s, \bar{B}_s \rightarrow \ell\ell'} = \sum_{\ell, \ell'} \left[& -\frac{\mathcal{N}}{2} C_{\Delta 9_{s}b\ell\ell'} (\bar{s}\gamma_\mu\gamma_5 b) (\bar{\ell}'\gamma^\mu\ell) - \frac{\mathcal{N}^*}{2} C_{\Delta 9_{s}b\ell\ell'}^* (\bar{b}\gamma_\mu\gamma_5 s) (\bar{\ell}\gamma^\mu\ell') \right. \\
& -\frac{\mathcal{N}}{2} C_{\Delta 10_{s}b\ell\ell'} (\bar{s}\gamma_\mu\gamma_5 b) (\bar{\ell}'\gamma^\mu\gamma_5\ell) - \frac{\mathcal{N}^*}{2} C_{\Delta 10_{s}b\ell\ell'}^* (\bar{b}\gamma_\mu\gamma_5 s) (\bar{\ell}\gamma^\mu\gamma_5\ell') \\
& + \frac{\zeta\mathcal{N}}{2} C_{\Delta S_{s}b\ell\ell'} (\bar{s}\gamma_5 b) (\bar{\ell}'\ell) - \frac{\zeta\mathcal{N}^*}{2} C_{\Delta S_{s}b\ell\ell'}^* (\bar{b}\gamma_5 s) (\bar{\ell}\ell') \\
& \left. + \frac{\zeta\mathcal{N}}{2} C_{\Delta P_{s}b\ell\ell'} (\bar{s}\gamma_5 b) (\bar{\ell}'\gamma_5\ell) + \frac{\zeta\mathcal{N}^*}{2} C_{\Delta P_{s}b\ell\ell'}^* (\bar{b}\gamma_5 s) (\bar{\ell}\gamma_5\ell') \right]. \tag{9}
\end{aligned}$$

Of course, the effective interaction (9) is CP -invariant provided the coefficients are real.

The covariant S -matrix element for the decay of the weak eigenstate $\bar{P} \rightarrow \ell_1^+ \ell_2^-$, where $\bar{P}(q\bar{q}') = \bar{K}^0(s\bar{d}), \bar{B}^0(b\bar{d})$ or $\bar{B}_s(b\bar{s})$, takes the form

$$\mathcal{M}_{\bar{P} \rightarrow \ell_1^+ \ell_2^-} = -\frac{\mathcal{N}}{2} f_P \bar{u}(p_2) \left[m_P \mathcal{S}_{-qq'\ell_1\ell_2} + m_P \mathcal{P}_{-qq'\ell_1\ell_2} \gamma_5 \right] v(p_1) \tag{10}$$

with

$$m_P \mathcal{S}_{-qq'\ell_1\ell_2} = (m_2 - m_1) C_{\Delta 9_{-qq'\ell_1\ell_2}} + \bar{m}_P \zeta C_{\Delta S_{-qq'\ell_1\ell_2}}, \tag{11}$$

$$m_P \mathcal{P}_{-qq'\ell_1\ell_2} = (m_2 + m_1) C_{\Delta 10_{-qq'\ell_1\ell_2}} + \bar{m}_P \zeta C_{\Delta P_{-qq'\ell_1\ell_2}}. \tag{12}$$

The prefactor m_P multiplying \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{P} is a mere convention (used in **flavio** or Refs. [1, 2] but not in [3]). For $P(q'\bar{q}) = K^0(d\bar{s}), B^0(d\bar{b})$ or $B_s(s\bar{b})$, the matrix elements read

$$\mathcal{M}_{P \rightarrow \ell_1^+ \ell_2^-} = -\frac{\mathcal{N}^*}{2} f_P m_P \bar{u}(p_2) \left[-\mathcal{S}_{-qq'\ell_2\ell_1}^* + \mathcal{P}_{-qq'\ell_2\ell_1}^* \gamma_5 \right] v(p_1) \tag{13}$$

Notice that the lepton index swap in \mathcal{S} applies also to the lepton mass difference in the first term of Eq. (11).

1 Instantaneous B-meson decays

The B^0 and B_s mesons usually decay before the first oscillation. To some approximation, the oscillations can therefore be neglected and one can effectively consider the decay of the weak eigenstates. A more precise treatment will be discussed in Section 3.

From Eq. (10) or (13), one can derive, using the standard trace techniques,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{BR}(B_s \rightarrow \ell_1^- \ell_2^+) &= \text{BR}(\bar{B}_s \rightarrow \ell_1^+ \ell_2^-) = \\
&= \tau_{B_s} \frac{|\mathcal{N}|^2}{32\pi} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda(m_{B_s}^2, m_1^2, m_2^2)}}{m_{B_s}} f_{B_s}^2 \left[\left(m_{B_s}^2 - (m_1 + m_2)^2 \right) |\mathcal{S}_{-bs\ell_1\ell_2}|^2 + \left(m_{B_s}^2 - (m_1 - m_2)^2 \right) |\mathcal{P}_{-bs\ell_1\ell_2}|^2 \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

with $\lambda(a^2, b^2, c^2) = [a^2 - (b - c)^2][a^2 - (b + c)^2]$. The prediction is CP -symmetric regardless of possible complex phases of the Wilson coefficients.

Comparison with literature: The relevant equations in Ref. [3], cited in the **flavio** source code, is flawed by two subtle mistakes. It uses

$$\mathcal{N} = \frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{tb} V_{ts}^* \frac{e^2}{(4\pi)^2} = \frac{G_F \alpha}{\sqrt{2}\pi} V_{tb} V_{ts}^* = \frac{G_F \alpha}{\sqrt{2}\pi} \lambda_{b_s}^t \tag{15}$$

and $\zeta^{[3]} = 1$ in the calculations but claims $\zeta = (4\pi)^2/g^2$ in their eq. (3)_[3]. Furthermore, the WCs defined in their eq. (3)_[3], which can be related to the **flavio** conventions as $C_X^{3} = C_{X-bs\ell_2\ell_1}$, are important for the $\bar{B}_s \rightarrow \ell_1^- \ell_2^+$ decays and for the conjugated mode $B_s \rightarrow \ell_1^+ \ell_2^-$, but eq. (5)_[3] uses

them for $B_s \rightarrow \ell_1^- \ell_2^+$. In other words, the RHS of (5)_[3] in fact applies to $B_s \rightarrow \ell_1^+ \ell_2^-$ but the LHS reads $\text{BR}(B_s \rightarrow \ell_1^- \ell_2^+)$. The discussion under (6)_[3], which mentions solely the $C_{\Delta 9}$ -proportional term, indicates that the authors had not fully understood this subtlety. Apart from these issues, Eq. (14) agrees with (5)_[3].

The **flavio** implementation properly takes into account different (pseudo)scalar normalization coefficients ($\zeta^{\text{flavio}} = m_b$, $\zeta^{[3]} = 1$, ignores the typo in (3)_[3]). Unfortunately, **flavio** adopts the incorrect formula in (5)_[3] and employs $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{P}_{_bsl_1\ell_2}$ for predicting $B_s \rightarrow \ell_1^+ \ell_2^-$. This error probably is not very important at the moment as the standard **flavio** methods only yield predictions for the sum of both final states, $\text{BR}(B_s \rightarrow \ell_1^\pm \ell_2^\mp)$.

Considering the special case $\ell_1 = \ell_2$, Eq. (14) simplifies to the form which can be found, e.g., in Ref. [1], where the normalization convention differs only by a overall minus sign in \mathcal{N} from Eq. (15).

2 Decays of neutral kaons

Since the kaon particles are far from the weak basis. Neglecting the indirect CP violation, the relations between weak and mass eigenstates read

$$|K_L^0\rangle = \frac{|K^0\rangle + |\bar{K}^0\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad |K_S^0\rangle = \frac{|K^0\rangle - |\bar{K}^0\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}. \quad (16)$$

Accordingly, one obtains

$$\mathcal{M}_{K_{L,S}^0 \rightarrow \ell_1^+ \ell_2^-} = -\frac{1}{2} f_{K^0} \bar{u}(p_1) \left[\mathcal{S}_{\ell_1 \ell_2}^{K_{L,S}^0} + \mathcal{P}_{\ell_1 \ell_2}^{K_{L,S}^0} \gamma_5 \right] v(p_2), \quad (17)$$

where

$$\mathcal{S}_{\ell_1 \ell_2}^{K_{L,S}^0} = \frac{-\mathcal{N}^* \mathcal{S}_{_sdl_2\ell_1}^* \pm \mathcal{N} \mathcal{S}_{_sdl_1\ell_2}}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \mathcal{P}_{\ell_1 \ell_2}^{K_{L,S}^0} = \frac{\mathcal{N}^* \mathcal{P}_{_sdl_2\ell_1}^* \pm \mathcal{N} \mathcal{P}_{_sdl_1\ell_2}}{\sqrt{2}}. \quad (18)$$

Furthermore, the SM brings a long-distance contribution to the lepton flavour conserving amplitudes, consisting in a $\gamma\gamma$ intermediate state, which is not encoded in the effective operators in Eqns. (3). Including these long distance contributions, the amplitude coefficients for $\ell_1 = \ell_2 = \ell$ read

$$\mathcal{S}_{\ell\ell}^{K_L^0} = i\sqrt{2} \text{Im}(\mathcal{N} \mathcal{S}_{_sdl\ell}), \quad \mathcal{P}_{\ell\ell}^{K_L^0} = \sqrt{2} \text{Re}(\mathcal{N} \mathcal{P}_{_sdl\ell}) - m_\ell \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\gamma\gamma-\ell}^L, \quad (19a)$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{\ell\ell}^{K_S^0} = -\sqrt{2} \text{Re}(\mathcal{N} \mathcal{S}_{_sdl\ell}) + m_\ell \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\gamma\gamma-\ell}^S, \quad \mathcal{P}_{\ell\ell}^{K_S^0} = -i\sqrt{2} \text{Im}(\mathcal{N} \mathcal{P}_{_sdl\ell}). \quad (19b)$$

The long-distance contributions for the case $\ell = \mu$ are known [2]

$$m_\mu \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\gamma\gamma-\mu}^S = \sqrt{2} \frac{2G_F^2 m_W^2 m_\mu}{\pi^2 m_K} B_{\gamma\gamma-\mu}^S = \sqrt{2} (-2.65 + 1.14i) \times 10^{-11} \text{GeV}^{-2} \quad (20a)$$

$$m_\mu \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\gamma\gamma-\mu}^L = \sqrt{2} \frac{2G_F^2 m_W^2 m_\mu}{\pi^2 m_K} A_{\gamma\gamma-\mu}^S = \pm \sqrt{2} (0.54 - 3.96i) \times 10^{-11} \text{GeV}^{-2} \quad (20b)$$

up to an mere (but sometimes important) overall sign in one case. Our convention differs from [2] only in irrelevant overall phases of Eqns. (19) but the interference between short- and long-distance contribution matches well. Note that the current version of **flavio** uses the numerical values of $A_{\gamma\gamma-\mu}^L, B_{\gamma\gamma-\mu}^S$ as they follow from Eqns. (20) also for the electron channel; I don't know how important the differences are.

The BR can be readily obtained in direct analogy with Eq. (14):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{BR}(K_{L,S}^0 \rightarrow \ell_1^+ \ell_2^-) &= \frac{\tau_{K_{L,S}^0}}{32\pi} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{m_{K_0}^2} m_{K_0}^3 f_{K_0}^2 \\ &\times \left[\left(1 - \frac{(m_1 + m_2)^2}{m_{K_0}^2} \right) \left| \mathcal{S}_{\ell_1 \ell_2}^{K_{L,S}^0} \right|^2 + \left(1 - \frac{(m_1 - m_2)^2}{m_{K_0}^2} \right) \left| \mathcal{P}_{\ell_1 \ell_2}^{K_{L,S}^0} \right|^2 \right] \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Taking $\mathcal{N} = 1$, $\zeta = m_s$ and $\ell_1 = \ell_2 = \mu$, the result is fully consistent with Eq. (2.9)_[2]. In **flavio**,

$$\zeta = m_s, \quad \mathcal{N} = N \cdot \xi_t \equiv \left(\frac{G_F \alpha}{\sqrt{2}\pi} \right) \cdot (V_{ts} V_{td}^*) \quad (22)$$

is used, and the real prefactor N is withdrawn from the definition of \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{P} in (19) and forms an extra factor of $|N|^2$ in (21).

Note that a general but awkwardly cast formula for $\text{BR}(K_L^0 \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell'^-)$ can be found also in Ref. [4].

3 Time-dependent B decays

Nothing in there yet.

References

- [1] I. Doršner, S. Fajfer, A. Greljo, J.F. Kamenik and N. Košnik, *Physics of leptoquarks in precision experiments and at particle colliders*, *Phys. Rept.* **641** (2016) 1 [1603.04993].
- [2] V. Chobanova, G. D'Ambrosio, T. Kitahara, M. Lucio Martinez, D. Martinez Santos, I.S. Fernandez et al., *Probing SUSY effects in $K_S^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$* , *JHEP* **05** (2018) 024 [1711.11030].
- [3] D. Bečirević, O. Sumensari and R. Zukanovich Funchal, *Lepton flavor violation in exclusive $b \rightarrow s$ decays*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76** (2016) 134 [1602.00881].
- [4] O.U. Shanker, *Flavor Violation, Scalar Particles and Leptoquarks*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **206** (1982) 253.