

Reporting: wrangle report

Objectives

Data wrangling is a process that entails gathering, assessing and cleaning data. These form the objectives of the data wrangling process of the project:

- **Gathering** - Collecting and loading data from various endpoints
- **Assessing** - Visually and programmatically looking at the data for various issues
- **Cleaning** - Correcting the issues from the assess stage

This process is also iterative.

Step 1: Gather Data

In this step, data from three different points were to be gathered using various methods:

1. `twitter_archive_enhanced.csv` which was manually downloaded and loaded into the notebook as required.
2. `image_predictions.tsv` which was loaded to the notebook programmatically.
3. Retweet count and favorite data accessed from the Twitter API through Tweepy library and saved to `tweet_json.txt` and loaded to the notebook. This was possible through a script that used tweet IDs from archive data to get the data required seamlessly.

Step 2 and 3: Assessing and Cleaning Data

When assessing data, one faces issues to do with quality and tidiness. This is done through visually or programmatically assessing the data.

Quality Issues

| Dataset | Observation | Solution |
|--------------|---|--|
| Archive data | Data contains replies and retweets instead of original tweets | Removed retweeted and reply tweets and kept original tweets only |
| | The columns <code>doggo</code> , <code>floofer</code> , <code>pupper</code> and <code>puppo</code> have <code>None</code> representing missing values | Changed <code>None</code> values to <code>np.nan</code> values |
| | <code>timestamp</code> is object data type instead of datetime | Data type changed from object to datetime data type |
| | <code>text</code> has links in them | Removed the links |
| | The <code>rating_numerator</code> has incorrect values and datatypes | Extracted the numerator rating values again from the text column |
| | The <code>rating_denominator</code> has incorrect values | Extracted the denominator rating values again from the text column |
| | | Extracted the source values again from |

The `source` column values are closed within `<a>` tags in the source column

Some columns are not necessary for analysis Removed the unnecessary columns

Image
predictions
data

There are duplicated image url's in `jpg_url` Removed the duplicated image url rows

Tidiness Issues

| Dataset | Observation | Solution |
|------------------------|--|---|
| Archive data | The columns <code>doggo</code> , <code>floofer</code> , <code>pupper</code> and <code>puppo</code> should be in one column i.e <code>dog_stage</code> | Melted the four columns into one column |
| Image predictions data | The columns <code>p1</code> <code>p1_conf</code> <code>p1_dog</code> <code>p2</code> <code>p2_conf</code> <code>p2_dog</code> <code>p3</code> <code>p3_conf</code> and <code>p3_dog</code> should be in two columns i.e <code>breed</code> and <code>conf</code> | Picked the greatest true p1 confidence level value and corresponding dog breeds into new columns while dropping these columns |
| General | All datasets should be combined into one dataset | Merged all datasets into one dataset using tweet ids |

Results

The result was a final dataset that merged data from the three sources after effectively cleaning the data. This data was stored into a csv file called `twitter_archive_master.csv` .