A formalized extension of the substitution lemma in Coq

Flávio L. C. de Moura

Departamento de Ciência da Computação Universidade de Brasília, Brasília, Brazil flaviomoura@unb.br Maria Julia

Departamento de Ciência da Computação Universidade de Brasília, Brasília, Brazil majuhdl@gmail.com

TBD

1 Introduction

In this work, we are insterested in formalizing an extension of the Substitution Lemma[1] in the Coq proof assistant. The Substitution Lemma is an important result concerning the composition of the substitution operation. It is usually presented as follows: if x does not occur in the set of free variables of the term y then

```
t^{x/u}=t^{y/v}^{x/u}{y/v}
TBC
```

2 A syntactic extension of the λ -calculus

```
Inductive n\_sexp: Set :=
  | n_var(x:atom) |
  n\_abs (x:atom) (t:n\_sexp)
  | n\_app(t1:n\_sexp)(t2:n\_sexp)
  | n\_sub(t1:n\_sexp)(x:atom)(t2:n\_sexp).
Fixpoint size(t: n\_sexp): nat :=
   match t with
   n_var x \Rightarrow 1
   | n_{-}abs x t \Rightarrow 1 + size t
   |n\_app\ t1\ t2 \Rightarrow 1 + size\ t1 + size\ t2
   | n\_sub\ t1\ x\ t2 \Rightarrow 1 + size\ t1 + size\ t2
   end.
Fixpoint fv\_nom(n:n\_sexp):atoms:=
   match n with
   | n_{-}var x \Rightarrow \{\{x\}\}\}
   | n\_abs \ x \ n \Rightarrow remove \ x \ (fv\_nom \ n)
   | n\_app\ t1\ t2 \Rightarrow fv\_nom\ t1 'union' fv\_nom\ t2
   | n\_sub\ t1\ x\ t2 \Rightarrow (remove\ x\ (fv\_nom\ t1)) 'union' fv\_nom\ t2
   end.
Definition swap\_var(x:atom)(y:atom)(z:atom) :=
   if (z == x) then y else if (z == y) then x else z.
```

```
Lemma swap\_var\_id: \forall x y, (swap\_var x x y = y).

Fixpoint swap (x:atom) (y:atom) (t:n\_sexp): n\_sexp:=

match t with

|n\_var z \Rightarrow n\_var (swap\_var x y z)

|n\_abs z t1 \Rightarrow n\_abs (swap\_var x y z) (swap x y t1)

|n\_app t1 t2 \Rightarrow n\_app (swap x y t1) (swap x y t2)

|n\_sub t1 z t2 \Rightarrow n\_sub (swap x y t1) (swap\_var x y z) (swap x y t2)

end.
```

Lemma remove_fv_swap: $\forall x \ y \ t$, x 'notin' $fv_nom \ t \rightarrow remove \ x \ (fv_nom \ (swap \ y \ x \ t)) [=] remove \ y \ (fv_nom \ t).$

Proof. The proof is by induction on the structure of t.

- The first case is when t is a variable, say x0. By hypothesis $x0 \neq x$, and we need to show that $remove\ x$ $(fv_nom\ (swap\ y\ x\ x0))$ [=] $remove\ y\ (fv_nom\ x0)$. There are two cases to consider: If x0 = y then both sides of the equality are the empty set, and we are done. If $x0 \neq y$ then we are also done because both sets are equal to the singleton containing x0.
- If t is an abstraction, say $n_-abs \ x0 \ t$ then Search remove. Search remove.

```
Inductive aeg: n\_sexp \rightarrow n\_sexp \rightarrow Prop :=
 | aeq_var : \forall x,
        aeq(n_var x)(n_var x)
 | aeq_abs_same : \forall x t1 t2,
        aeq\ t1\ t2 \rightarrow aeq\ (n\_abs\ x\ t1)\ (n\_abs\ x\ t2)
 | aeg\_abs\_diff : \forall x y t1 t2,
        x \neq y \rightarrow x 'notin' fv_nom t2 \rightarrow
        aeq t1 (swap y x t2) \rightarrow
        aeq(n\_abs x t1)(n\_abs y t2)
 | aeq\_app : \forall t1 t2 t1' t2',
        aeq\ t1\ t1' \rightarrow aeq\ t2\ t2' \rightarrow
        aeq (n_app t1 t2) (n_app t1' t2')
 | aeg\_sub\_same : \forall t1 t2 t1' t2' x,
        aeq\ t1\ t1' \rightarrow aeq\ t2\ t2' \rightarrow
        aeq(n\_sub\ t1\ x\ t2)(n\_sub\ t1'\ x\ t2')
 | aeq\_sub\_diff : \forall t1 t2 t1' t2' x y,
        aeq t2 t2' \rightarrow x \neq y \rightarrow x 'notin' fy_nom t1' \rightarrow
        aeq\ tl\ (swap\ y\ x\ tl') \rightarrow
        aeq(n\_sub\ t1\ x\ t2)(n\_sub\ t1'\ y\ t2').
Hint Constructors aeq.
Notation "t = a u" := (aeq t u) (at level 60).
Example aeq1: \forall x \ y, x \neq y \rightarrow (n\_abs \ x \ (n\_var \ x)) = a \ (n\_abs \ y \ (n\_var \ y)).
Lemma aeq\_var\_2: \forall x y, (n\_var x) = a(n\_var y) \rightarrow x = y.
Lemma aeg\_size: \forall t1 t2, t1 = a t2 \rightarrow size t1 = size t2.
Lemma aeq\_refl: \forall n, n = a n.
```

```
Lemma aeg\_fv\_nom : \forall t1 t2, t1 = a t2 \rightarrow fv\_nom t1 [=] fv\_nom t2.
Lemma aeq\_swap1: \forall t1 \ t2 \ x \ y, t1 = a \ t2 \rightarrow (swap \ x \ y \ t1) = a \ (swap \ x \ y \ t2).
Lemma aeg\_swap2: \forall t1 t2 x y, (swap x y t1) = a (swap x y t2) \rightarrow t1 = a t2.
Corollary aeq\_swap: \forall t1 t2 x y, t1 = a t2 \leftrightarrow (swap x y t1) = a (swap x y t2).
Lemma aeq\_abs: \forall t \ x \ y, \ y \ 'notin' \ fv\_nom \ t \rightarrow (n\_abs \ y \ (swap \ x \ y \ t)) = a \ (n\_abs \ x \ t).
Lemma swap_reduction: \forall t x y,
      x 'notin' fv\_nom\ t \rightarrow y 'notin' fv\_nom\ t \rightarrow (swap\ x\ y\ t) = a\ t.
Lemma aeq\_swap\_swap: \forall t \ x \ y \ z, \ z' \ notin' \ fv\_nom \ t \rightarrow x' \ notin' \ fv\_nom \ t \rightarrow (swap \ z \ x \ (swap \ x \ y \ t)) = a
(swap z y t).
Lemma aeq\_sym: \forall t1 t2, t1 = a t2 \rightarrow t2 = a t1.
Lemma aeg\_trans: \forall t1 t2 t3, t1 = a t2 \rightarrow t2 = a t3 \rightarrow t1 = a t3.
Lemma swap_comp: \forall x y z t, x \text{ 'notin' } fv\_nom t \rightarrow y \text{ 'notin' } fv\_nom t \rightarrow
       (swap \ x \ y \ (swap \ y \ z \ t)) = a \ (swap \ x \ z \ t).
Require Import Setoid Morphisms.
Instance Equivalence_aeq: Equivalence aeq.
Lemma aeq\_sub: \forall t1 \ t2 \ x \ y, \ y \ 'notin' \ fv\_nom \ t1 \rightarrow (n\_sub \ (swap \ x \ y \ t1) \ y \ t2) = a \ (n\_sub \ t1 \ x \ t2).
```

2.1 Capture-avoiding substitution

We need to use size to define capture avoiding substitution. Because we sometimes swap the name of the bound variable, this function is *not* structurally recursive. So, we add an extra argument to the function that decreases with each recursive call.

Fixpoint subst_rec (n:nat) (t:n_sexp) (u :n_sexp) (x:atom) : n_sexp := match n with $| 0 => t | S m => match t with | n_var y => if (x == y) then u else t | n_abs y t1 => if (x == y) then t else let (z,_) := atom_fresh (fv_nom u 'union' fv_nom t 'union' 1) in n_abs z (subst_rec m (swap y z t1) u x) | n_app t1 t2 => n_app (subst_rec m t1 u x) (subst_rec m t2 u x) | n_sub t1 y t2 => if (x == y) then n_sub t1 y (subst_rec m t2 u x) else let (z,_) := atom_fresh (fv_nom u 'union' fv_nom t 'union' 2) in n_sub (subst_rec m (swap y z t1) u x) z (subst_rec m t2 u x) end end.$

```
Require Import Recdef.
```

```
n\_app (subst\_rec\_fun t1 u x) (subst\_rec\_fun t2 u x)

|n\_sub\ t1\ y\ t2\Rightarrow

if (x == y) then n\_sub\ t1\ y (subst\_rec\_fun t2 u x)

else let (z,-) :=

atom\_fresh\ (fv\_nom\ u\ `union'\ fv\_nom\ t1\ `union'\ \{\{x\}\}\ `union'\ \{\{y\}\}\}) in

n\_sub\ (subst\_rec\_fun\ (swap\ y\ z\ t1)\ u\ x)\ z\ (subst\_rec\_fun\ t2\ u\ x)

end.
```

The definitions subst_rec_fun are alpha-equivalent. Theorem subst_rec_fun_equiv: forall t u x, (subst_rec (size t) t u x) =a (subst_rec_fun t u x). Proof. intros t u x. functional induction (subst_rec_fun t u x).

- simpl. rewrite e0. apply aeq_refl.
- simpl. rewrite e0. apply aeq_refl.
- simpl. rewrite e0. apply aeq_refl.
- simpl. rewrite e0. destruct (atom_fresh (Metatheory.union (fv_nom u) (Metatheory.union (remove y (fv_nom t1)) (singleton x)))). admit.
- simpl. admit.
- simpl. rewrite e0. admit.
- simpl. rewrite e0.

Admitted.

Require Import EquivDec. Generalizable Variable A.

Definition equiv_decb '{EqDec A} (x y : A): bool := if x == y then true else false.

Definition nequiv_decb ' $\{EqDec A\}$ (x y : A) : bool := negb (equiv_decb x y).

Infix "==b" := equiv_decb (no associativity, at level 70). Infix "<>b" := nequiv_decb (no associativity, at level 70).

Parameter Inb: atom -> atoms -> bool. Definition equalb s s' := forall a, Inb

Function subst_rec_b (t:n_sexp) (u :n_sexp) (x:atom) {measure size t} : n_sexp := match t with | n_var y => if (x == y) then u else t | n_abs y t1 => if (x == y) then t else if (Inb y (fv_nom u)) then let (z,_) := atom_fresh (fv_nom u 'union' fv_nom t 'union' 3) in n_abs z (subst_rec_b (swap y z t1) u x) else n_abs y (subst_rec_b t1 u x) | n_app t1 t2 => n_app (subst_rec_b t1 u x) (subst_rec_b t2 u x) | n_sub t1 y t2 => if (x == y) then n_sub t1 y (subst_rec_b t2 u x) else if (Inb y (fv_nom u)) then let (z,_) := atom_fresh (fv_nom u 'union' fv_nom t 'union' 4) in n_sub (subst_rec_b (swap y z t1) u x) z (subst_rec_b t2 u x) else n_sub (subst_rec_b t1 u x) y (subst_rec_b t2 u x) end. Proof.

- intros. simpl. rewrite swap_size_eq. auto.
- intros. simpl. lia.
- intros. simpl. lia.
- intros. simpl. lia.
- intros. simpl. lia.

³_

⁴**x**

• intros. simpl. rewrite swap_size_eq. lia.

Defined.

```
Our real substitution function uses the size of the size of the term as that extra argument.
```

```
Definition m\_subst(u: n\_sexp)(x:atom)(t:n\_sexp) :=
   subst\_rec\_fun\ t\ u\ x.
Notation "[x := u] t" := (m\_subst\ u\ x\ t) (at level 60).
Lemma m\_subst\_var\_eq : \forall u x,
     [x := u](n_{-}var x) = u.
Lemma m\_subst\_var\_neq : \forall u \ x \ y, x \neq y \rightarrow
     [y := u](n_{-}var x) = n_{-}var x.
Lemma fv\_nom\_remove: \forall t \ u \ x \ y, \ y 'notin' fv\_nom \ u \rightarrow y 'notin' remove \ x \ (fv\_nom \ t) \rightarrow y 'notin' fv\_nom
([x := u] t).
    Search remove. Search remove.
Lemma m\_subst\_app: \forall t1 t2 u x, [x := u](n\_app t1 t2) = n\_app ([x := u]t1) ([x := u]t2).
Lemma aeq\_m\_subst: \forall t t' u u' x, t = a t' \rightarrow u = a u' \rightarrow ([x := u] t) = a ([x := u'] t').
    Search eq_dec.
Lemma swap_subst_rec_fun: \forall x y z t u, swap x y (subst_rec_fun t u z) =a subst_rec_fun (swap x y t)
(swap x y u) (swap_var x y z).
Lemma m\_subst\_abs: \forall u x y t, m\_subst u x (n\_abs y t) = a
          if (x == y) then (n_abs\ y\ t)
          else let (z, -) := atom\_fresh (fv\_nom u 'union' fv\_nom (n\_abs y t) 'union' {{x}}) in
          n_abs\ z\ (m_subst\ u\ x\ (swap\ y\ z\ t\ )).
    Search aeq_swap_swap. Search swap. Search aeq. Search n_abs. Search remove.
Lemma m\_subst\_abs\_eq: \forall u x t, [x := u](n\_abs x t) = n\_abs x t.
Corollary m_subst_abs_neq: \forall u \ x \ y \ z \ t, x \neq y \rightarrow z \ 'notin' \ (fv_nom \ u \ 'union' \ fv_nom \ (n_abs \ y \ t)
'union' \{\{x\}\}\) \rightarrow [x := u](n\_abs\ y\ t) = a\ n\_abs\ z\ ([x := u](swap\ y\ z\ t)).
    Search n_abs.
Lemma m\_subst\_abs\_diff: \forall t \ u \ x \ y, \ x \neq y \rightarrow x \ `notin' \ (remove \ y \ (fv\_nom \ t)) \rightarrow [x := u](n\_abs \ y \ t) =
n_abs v t.
    Search n_abs.
Lemma m\_subst\_notin : \forall t \ u \ x, x \ `notin' \ fv\_nom \ t \rightarrow [x := u]t = a \ t.
    Search n\_sub. Search n\_sub.
```

3 The substitution lemma for the metasubstitution

In the pure λ -calculus, the substitution lemma is probably the first non trivial property. In our framework, we have defined two different substitution operation, namely, the metasubstitution denoted by [x:=u]t and the explicit substitution that has n_sub as a constructor. In what follows, we present the main steps of our proof of the substitution lemma for the metasubstitution operation:

```
Lemma m\_subst\_notin\_m\_subst: \forall t \ u \ v \ x \ y, \ y \ `notin` \ fv\_nom \ t \to [y := v]([x := u] \ t) = [x := [y := v]u] \ t.

Lemma m\_subst\_lemma: \forall \ e1 \ e2 \ x \ e3 \ y, \ x \neq y \to x \ `notin` \ (fv\_nom \ e3) \to

([y := e3]([x := e2]e1)) = a \ ([x := ([y := e3]e2)]([y := e3]e1)).
```

We proceed by functional induction on the structure of subst_rec_fun, the definition of the substitution. The induction splits the proof in seven cases: two cases concern variables, the next two concern abstractions, the next case concerns the application and the last two concern the explicit substitution. The first case is about the variable. It considers that there are two variables, x and y and they differ from one another. When we rewrite the lemmas concerning equality and negation on variables substitution, we have two cases. If we only have these two variables, we can use the equality lemma to find that both sides of the proof are equal and finish it using reflexivity and in the second case assumptions are used to finish the proof. The second case is also about variables. In it, we consider a third variable, z, meaning that each variable is different from the other. In the former case, we had that x = y. To unfold the cases in this proof, we need to destruct one variable as another. We chose to do x == z. This splits the proof in two cases. In the first case, we have that x = z. To expand this case, we use the lemma $m_s ubst_n otin$ as an auxiliary lemma. It is added as an hypothesis, using the specialization tactics to match the last case in that hypothesis to the proof we want. The case of application is solved by using the auxiliary lemmas on application. First, it is rewritten so that the substitution is made inside the aplication, instead of on it. The same lemma is applied multiple times to make sure nothing can be replaced anymore. This leads to a case that can be solved using the standard library lemmas.

References

[1] H. P. Barendregt (1984): The Lambda Calculus: Its Syntax and Semantics (Revised Edition). North Holland.