

A formalized extension of the substitution lemma in Coq

Flávio L. C. de Moura

Departamento de Ciência da Computação
Universidade de Brasília, Brasília, Brazil
flaviomoura@unb.br

Maria Julia

Departamento de Ciência da Computação
Universidade de Brasília, Brasília, Brazil
majuhdl@gmail.com

TBD

1 Introduction

In this work, we are interested in formalizing an extension of the substitution lemma[1] in the Coq proof assistant. The substitution lemma is an important result concerning the composition of the substitution operation, and is usually presented as follows: if x does not occur in the set of free variables of the term v then $t\{x/u\}\{y/v\} =_{\alpha} t\{y/v\}\{x/u\{y/v\}\}$. This is a well known result already formalized several times in the context of the λ -calculus [2].

In the context of the λ -calculus with explicit substitutions its formalization is not straightforward because, in addition to the metasubstitution operation, there is the explicit substitution operator. Our formalization is done in a nominal setting that uses the MetaLib package of Coq, but no particular explicit substitution calculi is taken into account because the expected behaviour between the metasubstitution operation with the explicit substitution constructor is the same regardless the calculus.

2 A syntactic extension of the λ -calculus

We consider a generic signature with the following constructors:

Inductive *n_sexp* : **Set** :=
| *n_var* (*x*:*atom*)
| *n_abs* (*x*:*atom*) (*t*:*n_sexp*)
| *n_app* (*t1*:*n_sexp*) (*t2*:*n_sexp*)
| *n_sub* (*t1*:*n_sexp*) (*x*:*atom*) (*t2*:*n_sexp*).

where *n_var* is the constructor for variables, *n_abs* for abstractions, *n_app* for applications and *n_sub* for the explicit substitution operation.

Lemma *shuffle_swap'* : $\forall w y n z,$
 $w \neq z \rightarrow y \neq z \rightarrow$
 $(\text{swap } w y (\text{swap } y z n)) = (\text{swap } z w (\text{swap } y w n)).$

Lemma *swap_var_equivariance* : $\forall v x y z w,$
 $\text{swap_var } x y (\text{swap_var } z w v) =$
 $\text{swap_var } (\text{swap_var } x y z) (\text{swap_var } x y w) (\text{swap_var } x y v).$

The notion of α -equivalence is defined as follows:

Inductive $aeq : n_sexp \rightarrow n_sexp \rightarrow \mathbf{Prop} :=$

- | $aeq_var : \forall x,$
 $aeq (n_var x) (n_var x)$
- | $aeq_abs_same : \forall x t1 t2,$
 $aeq t1 t2 \rightarrow aeq (n_abs x t1) (n_abs x t2)$
- | $aeq_abs_diff : \forall x y t1 t2,$
 $x \neq y \rightarrow x \text{ 'notin' } fv_nom t2 \rightarrow$
 $aeq t1 (swap y x t2) \rightarrow$
 $aeq (n_abs x t1) (n_abs y t2)$
- | $aeq_app : \forall t1 t2 t1' t2',$
 $aeq t1 t1' \rightarrow aeq t2 t2' \rightarrow$
 $aeq (n_app t1 t2) (n_app t1' t2')$
- | $aeq_sub_same : \forall t1 t2 t1' t2' x,$
 $aeq t1 t1' \rightarrow aeq t2 t2' \rightarrow$
 $aeq (n_sub t1 x t2) (n_sub t1' x t2')$
- | $aeq_sub_diff : \forall t1 t2 t1' t2' x y,$
 $aeq t2 t2' \rightarrow x \neq y \rightarrow x \text{ 'notin' } fv_nom t1' \rightarrow$
 $aeq t1 (swap y x t1') \rightarrow$
 $aeq (n_sub t1 x t2) (n_sub t1' y t2').$

where ...

Lemma $aeq_fv_nom : \forall t1 t2, t1 =_a t2 \rightarrow fv_nom t1 [=] fv_nom t2.$

Lemma $aeq_swap1 : \forall t1 t2 x y, t1 =_a t2 \rightarrow (swap x y t1) =_a (swap x y t2).$

Lemma $aeq_swap2 : \forall t1 t2 x y, (swap x y t1) =_a (swap x y t2) \rightarrow t1 =_a t2.$

Corollary $aeq_swap : \forall t1 t2 x y, t1 =_a t2 \leftrightarrow (swap x y t1) =_a (swap x y t2).$

Lemma $aeq_abs : \forall t x y, y \text{ 'notin' } fv_nom t \rightarrow (n_abs y (swap x y t)) =_a (n_abs x t).$

Lemma $swap_reduction : \forall t x y,$
 $x \text{ 'notin' } fv_nom t \rightarrow y \text{ 'notin' } fv_nom t \rightarrow (swap x y t) =_a t.$

Lemma $aeq_swap_swap : \forall t x y z, z \text{ 'notin' } fv_nom t \rightarrow x \text{ 'notin' } fv_nom t \rightarrow (swap z x (swap x y t)) =_a (swap z y t).$

Lemma $aeq_sym : \forall t1 t2, t1 =_a t2 \rightarrow t2 =_a t1.$

Lemma $aeq_trans : \forall t1 t2 t3, t1 =_a t2 \rightarrow t2 =_a t3 \rightarrow t1 =_a t3.$

Require Import *Setoid Morphisms.*

Instance *Equivalence_aeq : Equivalence aeq.*

Lemma $aeq_sub : \forall t1 t2 x y, y \text{ 'notin' } fv_nom t1 \rightarrow (n_sub (swap x y t1) y t2) =_a (n_sub t1 x t2).$

2.1 Capture-avoiding substitution

We need to use size to define capture avoiding substitution. Because we sometimes swap the name of the bound variable, this function is *not* structurally recursive. So, we add an extra argument to the function that decreases with each recursive call.

Fixpoint subst_rec (n:nat) (t:n_sexp) (u :n_sexp) (x:atom) : n_sexp := match n with | 0 => t | S m => match t with | n_var y => if (x == y) then u else t | n_abs y t1 => if (x == y) then t else let (z,_) := atom_fresh (fv_nom u 'union' fv_nom t 'union' ¹) in n_abs z (subst_rec m (swap y z t1) u x) | n_app t1 t2 => n_app (subst_rec m t1 u x) (subst_rec m t2 u x) | n_sub t1 y t2 => if (x == y) then n_sub t1 y (subst_rec m t2 u x) else let (z,_) := atom_fresh (fv_nom u 'union' fv_nom t 'union' ²) in n_sub (subst_rec m (swap y z t1) u x) z (subst_rec m t2 u x) end end.

Require Import Recdef.

```
Function subst_rec_fun (t:n_sexp) (u :n_sexp) (x:atom) {measure size t} : n_sexp :=
  match t with
  | n_var y =>
    if (x == y) then u else t
  | n_abs y t1 =>
    if (x == y) then t
    else let (z,_) :=
      atom_fresh (fv_nom u 'union' fv_nom t1 'union' {{x}} 'union' {{y}}) in
      n_abs z (subst_rec_fun (swap y z t1) u x)
  | n_app t1 t2 =>
    n_app (subst_rec_fun t1 u x) (subst_rec_fun t2 u x)
  | n_sub t1 y t2 =>
    if (x == y) then n_sub t1 y (subst_rec_fun t2 u x)
    else let (z,_) :=
      atom_fresh (fv_nom u 'union' fv_nom t1 'union' {{x}} 'union' {{y}}) in
      n_sub (subst_rec_fun (swap y z t1) u x) z (subst_rec_fun t2 u x)
  end.
```

The definitions subst_rec and subst_rec_fun are alpha-equivalent. Theorem subst_rec_fun_equiv: forall t u x, (subst_rec (size t) t u x) =a (subst_rec_fun t u x). Proof. intros t u x. functional induction (subst_rec_fun t u x).

- simpl. rewrite e0. apply aeq_refl.
- simpl. rewrite e0. apply aeq_refl.
- simpl. rewrite e0. apply aeq_refl.
- simpl. rewrite e0. destruct (atom_fresh (Metatheory.union (fv_nom u) (Metatheory.union (remove y (fv_nom t1)) (singleton x)))). admit.
- simpl. admit.
- simpl. rewrite e0. admit.
- simpl. rewrite e0.

Admitted.

Require Import EquivDec. Generalizable Variable A.

Definition equiv_decb {EqDec A} (x y : A) : bool := if x == y then true else false.

Definition nequiv_decb {EqDec A} (x y : A) : bool := negb (equiv_decb x y).

¹ x

² x

Infix “==b” := equiv_decb (no associativity, at level 70). Infix “<>b” := nequiv_decb (no associativity, at level 70).

Parameter Inb : atom -> atoms -> bool. Definition equalb s s' := forall a, Inb

Function subst_rec_b (t:n_sexp) (u :n_sexp) (x:atom) {measure size t} : n_sexp := match t with | n_var y => if (x == y) then u else t | n_abs y t1 => if (x == y) then t else if (Inb y (fv_nom u)) then let (z,-) := atom_fresh (fv_nom u 'union' fv_nom t 'union' ³) in n_abs z (subst_rec_b (swap y z t1) u x) else n_abs y (subst_rec_b t1 u x) | n_app t1 t2 => n_app (subst_rec_b t1 u x) (subst_rec_b t2 u x) | n_sub t1 y t2 => if (x == y) then n_sub t1 y (subst_rec_b t2 u x) else if (Inb y (fv_nom u)) then let (z,-) := atom_fresh (fv_nom u 'union' fv_nom t 'union' ⁴) in n_sub (subst_rec_b (swap y z t1) u x) z (subst_rec_b t2 u x) else n_sub (subst_rec_b t1 u x) y (subst_rec_b t2 u x) end. Proof.

- intros. simpl. rewrite swap_size_eq. auto.
- intros. simpl. lia.
- intros. simpl. lia.
- intros. simpl. lia.
- intros. simpl. lia.
- intros. simpl. rewrite swap_size_eq. lia.

Defined.

Our real substitution function uses the size of the size of the term as that extra argument.

Definition *m_subst* (u : n_sexp) (x:atom) (t:n_sexp) :=
subst_rec_fun t u x.

Notation "[x := u] t" := (m_subst u x t) (at level 60).

Lemma *m_subst_var_eq* : $\forall u x,$
 $[x := u](n_var x) = u.$

Lemma *m_subst_var_neq* : $\forall u x y, x \neq y \rightarrow$
 $[y := u](n_var x) = n_var x.$

Lemma *fv_nom_remove*: $\forall t u x y, y \text{ 'notin' } fv_nom u \rightarrow y \text{ 'notin' } remove\ x\ (fv_nom\ t) \rightarrow y \text{ 'notin' } fv_nom\ ([x := u]\ t).$

Search remove. **Search** remove.

Lemma *m_subst_app*: $\forall t1\ t2\ u\ x, [x := u](n_app\ t1\ t2) = n_app\ ([x := u]t1)\ ([x := u]t2).$

Lemma *aeq_m_subst'*: $\forall t\ t'\ u\ u'\ x\ x', t =_a (swap\ x\ x'\ t') \rightarrow u =_a u' \rightarrow ([x := u]\ t) =_a ([x' := u']\ t').$
Search eq_dec.

Corollary *aeq_m_subst*: $\forall t\ t'\ u\ u'\ x, t =_a t' \rightarrow u =_a u' \rightarrow ([x := u]\ t) =_a ([x := u']\ t').$

Lemma *swap_subst_rec_fun*: $\forall x\ y\ z\ t\ u, swap\ x\ y\ (subst_rec_fun\ t\ u\ z) =_a subst_rec_fun\ (swap\ x\ y\ t)\ (swap\ x\ y\ u)\ (swap_var\ x\ y\ z).$

Lemma *m_subst_abs* : $\forall u\ x\ y\ t, m_subst\ u\ x\ (n_abs\ y\ t) =_a$
if (x == y) then (n_abs y t)
else let (z,-) := atom_fresh (fv_nom u 'union' fv_nom (n_abs y t) 'union' { {x} }) in

³_x

⁴_x

$n_abs\ z\ (m_subst\ u\ x\ (swap\ y\ z\ t))$.

Search *aeq_swap_swap*. **Search** *swap*. **Search** *aeq*. **Search** *n_abs*. **Search** *remove*.

Lemma *m_subst_abs_eq* : $\forall u\ x\ t, [x := u](n_abs\ x\ t) = n_abs\ x\ t$.

Lemma *m_subst_abs_neq* : $\forall u\ x\ y\ z\ t, x \neq y \rightarrow z\ 'notin'\ (fv_nom\ u\ 'union'\ fv_nom\ (n_abs\ y\ t)\ 'union'\ \{\{x\}\}) \rightarrow [x := u](n_abs\ y\ t) = n_abs\ z\ ([x := u](swap\ y\ z\ t))$.

Search *n_abs*.

Lemma *m_subst_abs_diff* : $\forall t\ u\ x\ y, x \neq y \rightarrow x\ 'notin'\ (remove\ y\ (fv_nom\ t)) \rightarrow [x := u](n_abs\ y\ t) = n_abs\ y\ t$.

Search *n_abs*.

Lemma *m_subst_notin* : $\forall t\ u\ x, x\ 'notin'\ fv_nom\ t \rightarrow [x := u]t = t$.

Search *n_sub*. **Search** *n_sub*.

3 The substitution lemma for the metasubstitution

In the pure λ -calculus, the substitution lemma is probably the first non trivial property. In our framework, we have defined two different substitution operation, namely, the metasubstitution denoted by $[x:=u]t$ and the explicit substitution that has *n_sub* as a constructor. In what follows, we present the main steps of our proof of the substitution lemma for the metasubstitution operation:

Lemma *m_subst_notin_m_subst*: $\forall t\ u\ v\ x\ y, y\ 'notin'\ fv_nom\ t \rightarrow [y := v]([x := u]t) = [x := [y := v]u]t$.

Lemma *m_subst_lemma*: $\forall e1\ e2\ x\ e3\ y, x \neq y \rightarrow x\ 'notin'\ (fv_nom\ e3) \rightarrow ([y := e3]([x := e2]e1)) = ([x := ([y := e3]e2)]([y := e3]e1))$.

We proceed by case analysis on the structure of *e1*. The cases in between square brackets below mean that in the first case, *e1* is a variable named *z*, in the second case *e1* is an abstraction of the form $\lambda z.e11$, in the third case *e1* is an application of the form $(e11\ e12)$, and finally in the fourth case *e1* is an explicit substitution of the form $e11\langle z := e12 \rangle$.

References

- [1] H. P. Barendregt (1984): *The Lambda Calculus : Its Syntax and Semantics (Revised Edition)*. North Holland.
- [2] Stefan Berghofer & Christian Urban (2007): *A Head-to-Head Comparison of de Bruijn Indices and Names*. *Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science* 174(5), pp. 53–67, doi:[10.1016/j.entcs.2007.01.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.entcs.2007.01.018).