# **Logistic Regression: From Binary to Multi-Class**

Shuiwang Ji

Texas A&M University College Station, TX 77843 sji@tamu.edu Yaochen Xie

Texas A&M University College Station, TX 77843 ethanycx@tamu.edu

### 1 Introduction

This introduction to the multi-class logistic regression (LR) aims at providing a complete, self-contained, and easy-to-understand introduction to multi-class LR. We start with a quick review of the binary LR and then generalize the binary LR to multi-class case. We further discuss the connections between the binary LR and the multi-class LR. This document is based on lecture notes by Shuiwang Ji and compiled by Yaochen Xie at Texas A&M University. It can be used for undergraduate and graduate level classes.

# 2 Binary Logistic Regression

The binary LR predicts the label  $y_i \in \{-1, +1\}$  for a given sample  $x_i$  by estimating a probability  $P(y|x_i)$  and comparing with a pre-defined threshold.

Recall the sigmoid function is defined as

$$\theta(s) = \frac{e^s}{1 + e^s} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-s}},\tag{1}$$

where  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\theta$  denotes the sigmoid function. The  $\theta$  maps any value in  $\mathbb{R}$  to a number in (0,1) and meanwhile preserves the order of any two input numbers as  $\theta(\cdot)$  is a monotonically increasing function.

The probability is thus represented by

$$P(y|\boldsymbol{x}) = \begin{cases} \theta(\boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{x}) & \text{if } y = 1 \\ 1 - \theta(\boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{x}) & \text{if } y = -1. \end{cases}$$

This can also be expressed compactly as

$$P(y|\mathbf{x}) = \theta(y\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x}),\tag{2}$$

due to the fact that  $\theta(-s) = 1 - \theta(s)$ . Note that in the binary case, we only need to estimate one probability, as the probabilities for +1 and -1 sum to one.

# 3 Multi-Class Logistic Regression

The binary logistic regression assumes that the label  $y_i \in \{-1, +1\}$   $(i = 1, \cdots, N)$ , while in the multi-class cases there are more than two classes, i.e.,  $y_i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, K\}$   $(i = 1, \cdots, N)$ , where K is the number of classes and N is the number of samples. In this case, we need to estimate the probability for each of the K classes. The hypothesis in binary LR is hence generalized to the multi-class case as

$$\boldsymbol{h}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} P(y=1|\boldsymbol{x};w) \\ P(y=2|\boldsymbol{x};w) \\ \dots \\ P(y=K|\boldsymbol{x};w) \end{bmatrix}$$
(3)

A critical assumption here is that there is no ordinal relationship between the classes. So we will need one linear signal for each of the K classes, which should be independent conditioned on x. As a result, in the multi-class LR, we compute K linear signals by the dot product between the input x and K independent weight vectors  $w_k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, K$  as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{w}_{1}^{T} \boldsymbol{x} \\ \boldsymbol{w}_{2}^{T} \boldsymbol{x} \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{w}_{K}^{T} \boldsymbol{x} \end{bmatrix}$$
 (4)

So far, the only thing left to obtain the hypothesis is to map the K linear outputs (as a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^K$ ) to the K probabilities (as a probability distribution among the K classes).

#### 3.1 Softmax

In order to accomplish such a mapping, we introduce the softmax function, which is generalized from the sigmoid function and defined as below. Given a K-dimensional vector  $v = [v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_K]^T \in \mathbb{R}^K$ ,

$$\operatorname{softmax}(\boldsymbol{v}) = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} e^{v_k}} \begin{bmatrix} e^{v_1} \\ e^{v_2} \\ \vdots \\ e^{v_K} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{5}$$

It is easy to verify that the softmax maps a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^K$  to  $(0,1)^K$ . All elements in the output vector of softmax sum to 1 and their orders are preserved. Thus the hypothesis in (3) can be written as

$$\boldsymbol{h}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} P(y=1|\boldsymbol{x};w) \\ P(y=2|\boldsymbol{x};w) \\ \vdots \\ P(y=K|\boldsymbol{x};w) \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} e^{\boldsymbol{w}_{k}^{T}\boldsymbol{x}}} \begin{bmatrix} e^{\boldsymbol{w}_{1}^{T}\boldsymbol{x}} \\ e^{\boldsymbol{w}_{2}^{T}\boldsymbol{x}} \\ \vdots \\ e^{\boldsymbol{w}_{K}^{T}\boldsymbol{x}} \end{bmatrix}.$$
(6)

We will further discuss the connection between the softmax function and the sigmoid function by showing that the sigmoid in binary LR is equivalent to the softmax in multi-class LR when K=2 in Section 4.

#### 3.2 Cross Entropy

We optimize the multi-class LR by minimizing a loss (cost) function, measuring the error between predictions and the true labels, as we did in the binary LR. Therefore, we introduce the cross-entropy in Equation (7) to measure the distance between two probability distributions.

The cross entropy is defined by

$$H(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}) = -\sum_{i=1}^{K} p_i \log(q_i), \tag{7}$$

where  $P=(p_1,\cdots,p_K)$  and  $Q=(q_1,\cdots,q_K)$  are two probability distributions. In multi-class LR, the two probability distributions are the true distribution and predicted vector in Equation (3), respectively.

Here the true distribution refers to the one-hot encoding of the label. For label k (k is the correct class), the one-hot encoding is defined as a vector whose element being 1 at index k, and 0 everywhere else.

#### 3.3 Loss Function

Now the loss for a training sample x in class c is given by

$$loss(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}; \boldsymbol{w}) = H(\boldsymbol{y}, \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}) \tag{8}$$

$$= -\sum_{k} y_k \log \hat{y}_k \tag{9}$$

$$= -\log \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}_c \tag{10}$$

$$= -\log \frac{e^{\boldsymbol{w}_{c}^{T}\boldsymbol{x}}}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} e^{\boldsymbol{w}_{k}^{T}\boldsymbol{x}}}$$
(11)

where y denotes the one-hot vector and  $\hat{y}$  is the predicted distribution  $h(x_i)$ . And the loss on all samples  $(\boldsymbol{X}_i, \boldsymbol{Y}_i)_{i=1}^N$  is

$$loss(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}; \mathbf{w}) = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} I[y_i = k] \log \frac{e^{\mathbf{w}_k^T \mathbf{x}_i}}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} e^{\mathbf{w}_k^T \mathbf{x}_i}}$$
(12)

# **Properties of Multi-class LR**

#### **Shift-invariance in Parameters**

The softmax function in multi-class LR has an invariance property when shifting the parameters. Given the weights  $w = (w_1, \dots, w_K)$ , suppose we subtract the same vector u from each of the Kweight vectors, the outputs of softmax function will remain the same.

*Proof.* To prove this, let us denote  $w' = \{w_i'\}_{i=1}^K$ , where  $w_i' = w_i - u$ . We have

$$P(y = k | \boldsymbol{x}; \boldsymbol{w}') = \frac{e^{(\boldsymbol{w}_k - \boldsymbol{u})^T \boldsymbol{x}}}{\sum_{i=1}^K e^{(\boldsymbol{w}_i - \boldsymbol{u})^T \boldsymbol{x}}}$$
(13)

$$= \frac{e^{\mathbf{w}_{k}^{T} \mathbf{x}} e^{-\mathbf{u}^{T} \mathbf{x}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{K} e^{\mathbf{w}_{i}^{T} \mathbf{x}} e^{-\mathbf{u}^{T} \mathbf{x}}}$$

$$= \frac{e^{\mathbf{w}_{k}^{T} \mathbf{x}} e^{-\mathbf{u}^{T} \mathbf{x}}}{(\sum_{i=1}^{K} e^{\mathbf{w}_{i}^{T} \mathbf{x}}) e^{-\mathbf{u}^{T} \mathbf{x}}}$$
(15)

$$= \frac{e^{\boldsymbol{w}_{i}^{K} \boldsymbol{x}} e^{-\boldsymbol{u}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}}}{(\sum_{i=1}^{K} e^{\boldsymbol{w}_{i}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}}) e^{-\boldsymbol{u}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}}}$$
(15)

$$= \frac{e^{(\boldsymbol{w}_k)^T \boldsymbol{x}}}{\sum_{i=1}^K e^{(\boldsymbol{w}_i)^T \boldsymbol{x}}}$$
(16)

$$=P(y=k|\boldsymbol{x};\boldsymbol{w}), \tag{17}$$

which completes the proof.

# 4.2 Equivalence to Sigmoid

Once we have proved the shift-invariance, we are able to show that when K=2, the softmax-based multi-class LR is equivalent to the sigmoid-based binary LR. In particular, the hypothesis of both LR are equivalent.

Proof.

$$h_{\boldsymbol{w}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{e^{\boldsymbol{w}_{1}^{T}\boldsymbol{x}} + e^{\boldsymbol{w}_{2}^{T}\boldsymbol{x}}} \begin{bmatrix} e^{\boldsymbol{w}_{1}^{T}\boldsymbol{x}} \\ e^{\boldsymbol{w}_{2}^{T}\boldsymbol{x}} \end{bmatrix}$$
(18)

$$= \frac{1}{e^{(\boldsymbol{w}_1 - \boldsymbol{w}_1)^T \boldsymbol{x}} + e^{(\boldsymbol{w}_2 - \boldsymbol{w}_1)^T \boldsymbol{x}}} \begin{bmatrix} e^{(\boldsymbol{w}_1 - \boldsymbol{w}_1)^T \boldsymbol{x}} \\ e^{(\boldsymbol{w}_2 - \boldsymbol{w}_1)^T \boldsymbol{x}} \end{bmatrix}$$
(19)

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{1 + e^{(\mathbf{w}_2 - \mathbf{w}_1)^T \mathbf{x}}} \\ \frac{e^{(\mathbf{w}_2 - \mathbf{w}_1)^T \mathbf{x}}}{1 + e^{(\mathbf{w}_2 - \mathbf{w}_1)^T \mathbf{x}}} \end{bmatrix}$$
(20)

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{1+e^{-\hat{\boldsymbol{w}}^T\boldsymbol{w}}} \\ \frac{e^{-\hat{\boldsymbol{w}}^T\boldsymbol{w}}}{1+e^{-\hat{\boldsymbol{w}}^T\boldsymbol{w}}} \end{bmatrix}$$
 (21)

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{1+e^{-\hat{\boldsymbol{w}}^T\boldsymbol{x}}} \\ 1 - \frac{1}{1+e^{-\hat{\boldsymbol{w}}^T\boldsymbol{x}}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} h_{\hat{\boldsymbol{w}}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \\ 1 - h_{\hat{\boldsymbol{w}}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \end{bmatrix}, \tag{22}$$

where  $\hat{\boldsymbol{w}} = \boldsymbol{w}_1 - \boldsymbol{w}_2$ . This completes the proof.

## 4.3 Relations between binary and multi-class LR

In the assignment, we've already proved that minimizing the logistic regression loss is equivalent to minimizing the cross-entropy loss with binary outcomes. We hereby show the proof again as below.

Proof.

$$\arg \min_{\mathbf{w}} E_{in}(\mathbf{w}) = \arg \min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \ln(1 + e^{-y_n \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_n})$$

$$= \arg \min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \ln \frac{1}{\theta(y_n \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_n)}$$

$$= \arg \min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \ln \frac{1}{P(y_n | \mathbf{x}_n)}$$

$$= \arg \min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} I[y_n = +1] \ln \frac{1}{P(y_n | \mathbf{x}_n)} + I[y_n = -1] \ln \frac{1}{P(y_n | \mathbf{x}_n)}$$

$$= \arg \min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} I[y_n = +1] \ln \frac{1}{h(\mathbf{x}_n)} + I[y_n = -1] \ln \frac{1}{1 - h(\mathbf{x}_n)}$$

$$= \arg \min_{\mathbf{w}} p \log \frac{1}{q} + (1 - p) \log \frac{1}{1 - q}$$

$$= \arg \min_{\mathbf{w}} H(\{p, 1 - p\}, \{q, 1 - q\})$$

where  $p = I[y_n = +1]$  and  $q = h(\boldsymbol{x}_n)$ . This completes the proof.

The equivalence between logistic regression loss and the cross-entropy loss, as proved above, shows that we always obtain identical weights  $\boldsymbol{w}$  by minimizing the two losses. The equivalence between the losses, together with the equivalence between sigmoid and softmax, leads to the conclusion that the binary logistic regression is a particular case of multi-class logistic regression when K=2.

# 5 Derivative of multi-class LR

To optimize the multi-class LR by gradient descent, we now derive the derivative of softmax and cross entropy. The derivative of the loss function can thus be obtained by the chain rule.

#### 5.1 Derivative of softmax

Let  $p_i$  denotes the *i*-th element of softmax(a). Then for j = i, we have

$$\frac{\partial p_i}{\partial a_j} = \frac{\partial p_i}{\partial a_i} = \frac{\partial \frac{e^{a_i}}{\sum_{k=1}^K e^{a_k}}}{\partial a_i}$$
 (23)

$$=\frac{e^{a_i}\sum_{k=1}^{K}e^{a_k}-e^{2a_i}}{(\sum_{k=1}^{K}e^{a_k})^2}$$
(24)

$$= \frac{e^{a_i}}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} e^{a_k}} \cdot \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{K} e^{a_k} - e^{a_i}}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} e^{a_k}}$$
(25)

$$=p_i(1-p_i) \tag{26}$$

$$=p_i(1-p_i) \tag{27}$$

And for  $j \neq i$ ,

$$\frac{\partial p_i}{\partial a_j} = \frac{\partial \frac{e^{a_i}}{\sum_{k=1}^K e^{a_k}}}{\partial a_j} \tag{28}$$

$$= \frac{0 - e^{a_i} e^{a_j}}{(\sum_{k=1}^K e^{a_k})^2}$$

$$= -\frac{e^{a_i}}{\sum_{k=1}^K e^{a_k}} \cdot \frac{e^{a_j}}{\sum_{k=1}^K e^{a_k}}$$
(30)

$$= -\frac{e^{a_i}}{\sum_{k=1}^K e^{a_k}} \cdot \frac{e^{a_j}}{\sum_{k=1}^K e^{a_k}}$$
 (30)

$$= -p_i p_j \tag{31}$$

If we unify the two cases with the Kronecker delta, we will have

$$\frac{\partial p_i}{\partial a_j} = p_i(\delta_{ij} - p_j),$$

where

$$\delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & if \ i = j \\ 0 & if \ i \neq j \end{cases}$$

# Derivative of cross entropy loss with softmax

The Cross Entropy Loss is given by:

$$L = -\sum_{i} y_i log(p_i)$$

where  $p_i = \operatorname{softmax}_i(a) = \frac{e^{a_i}}{\sum_{k=1}^K e^{a_k}}$  and  $y_i$  denotes the *i*-th element of the one-hot vector. The derivative of cross entropy is

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial a_k} = -\sum_i y_i \frac{\partial \log(p_i)}{\partial a_k} \tag{32}$$

$$= -\sum_{i} y_{i} \frac{\partial \log(p_{i})}{\partial p_{i}} \cdot \frac{\partial p_{i}}{\partial a_{k}}$$
(33)

$$= -\sum_{i} y_i \frac{1}{p_i} \cdot \frac{\partial p_i}{\partial a_k} \tag{34}$$

$$= -\sum_{i} y_i \frac{1}{p_i} \cdot p_i (\delta_{ki} - p_k) \tag{35}$$

$$= -y_k(1 - p_k) + \sum_{i \neq k} y_i p_k \tag{36}$$

$$= p_k \sum_{i=1}^{K} y_i - y_k \tag{37}$$

$$=p_k - y_k \tag{38}$$

Note that here we use the fact that  $\sum_{i=1}^{K} y_i = 1$ .

# Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by National Science Foundation grants IIS-1908220, IIS-1908198, IIS-1908166, DBI-1147134, DBI-1922969, DBI-1661289, CHE-1738305, National Institutes of Health grant 1R21NS102828, and Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency grant N66001-17-2-4031.