



Combining Finite Element Methods and Neural Networks to Solve Elliptic Problems on 2D Geometries

Hélène Barucq¹, Michel Duprez², Florian Faucher¹, Emmanuel Franck³,
Frédérique Lecourtier², Vanessa Lleras⁴, Victor Michel-Dansac³ and
Nicolas Victorion¹

¹Project-Team Makutu, Inria, TotalEnergies, Pau, France

²Project-Team MIMESIS, Inria, Strasbourg, France


³Project-Team MACARON, Inria, Strasbourg, France

⁴IMAG, University of Montpellier, Montpellier, France

February 20, 2025

Scientific context

Context : Create real-time digital twins of an organ (e.g. liver).



Objective : Develop an hybrid finite element / neural network method.
accurate quick + parameterized

Parametric elliptic convection/diffusion PDE : For one or several $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$, find $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that


$$\mathcal{L}(u ; \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) = f(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\mu}), \quad (\mathcal{P})$$

where \mathcal{L} is the parametric differential operator defined by

$$\mathcal{L}(\cdot ; \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) : u \mapsto R(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\mu})u + C(\boldsymbol{\mu}) \cdot \nabla u - \frac{1}{Pe} \nabla \cdot (D(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \nabla u),$$

and some Dirichlet, Neumann or Robin BC (which can also depend on $\boldsymbol{\mu}$).

Pipeline of the Enriched FEM



Remark : The PINN prediction enriched Finite element approximation spaces.

Physics-Informed Neural Networks

Standard PINNs¹ (Weak BC) : Find the optimal weights θ^* , such that

$$\theta^* = \operatorname{argmin}_{\theta} (\omega_r J_r(\theta) + \omega_b J_b(\theta)), \quad (\mathcal{P}_\theta)$$

with

residual loss

$$J_r(\theta) = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \int_{\Omega} |\mathcal{L}(u_\theta(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\mu}); \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) - f(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\mu})|^2 d\mathbf{x} d\boldsymbol{\mu},$$

boundary loss

$$J_b(\theta) = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \int_{\partial\Omega} |u_\theta(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) - g(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\mu})|^2 d\mathbf{x} d\boldsymbol{\mu},$$

where u_θ is a neural network, $g = 0$ is the Dirichlet BC.

In (\mathcal{P}_θ) , ω_r and ω_b are some weights.

Monte-Carlo method : Discretize the cost functions by random process.

¹[Raissi et al., 2019]

Physics-Informed Neural Networks

Improved PINNs¹ (Strong BC) : Find the optimal weights θ^* such that

$$\theta^* = \operatorname{argmin}_{\theta} (\omega_r J_r(\theta) + \cancel{\omega_b J_b(\theta)}),$$

with $\omega_r = 1$ and


residual loss

$$J_r(\theta) = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \int_{\Omega} |\mathcal{L}(u_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\mu}); \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) - f(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\mu})|^2 d\mathbf{x} d\boldsymbol{\mu},$$

$$\partial\Omega = \{\varphi = 0\}$$

where u_{θ} is a neural network defined by

$$u_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) = \varphi(\mathbf{x}) w_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) + g(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\mu}),$$



with φ a level-set function, w_{θ} a NN and $g = 0$ the Dirichlet BC.

Thus, the Dirichlet BC is imposed exactly in the PINN : $u_{\theta} = g$ on $\partial\Omega$.

¹[Lagaris et al., 1998; Franck et al., 2024]

Finite Element Method¹

Variational Problem :

$$\text{Find } u_h \in V_h^0 \text{ such that, } \forall v_h \in V_h^0, a(u_h, v_h) = l(v_h), \quad (\mathcal{P}_h)$$


with h the characteristic mesh size, a and l the bilinear and linear forms given by

$$a(u_h, v_h) = \frac{1}{\text{Pe}} \int_{\Omega} D \nabla u_h \cdot \nabla v_h + \int_{\Omega} R u_h v_h + \int_{\Omega} v_h C \cdot \nabla u_h, \quad l(v_h) = \int_{\Omega} f v_h,$$

and V_h^0 the finite element space defined by

$$V_h^0 = \left\{ v_h \in C^0(\Omega), \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h, v_h|_K \in \mathbb{P}_k, v_h|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \right\},$$

where \mathbb{P}_k is the space of polynomials of degree at most k .



Linear system : Let $(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{N_h})$ a basis of V_h^0 .

Find $U \in \mathbb{R}^{N_h}$ such that $AU = b$

with

$$A = (a(\phi_i, \phi_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq N_h} \quad \text{and} \quad b = (l(\phi_j))_{1 \leq j \leq N_h}.$$

$$\mathcal{T}_h = \{K_1, \dots, K_{N_e}\}$$

(N_e : number of elements)

¹[Ern and Guermond, 2004]

How improve PINN prediction with FEM ?

Additive approach

Variational Problem : Let $u_\theta \in H^{k+1}(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$.

$$\text{Find } p_h^+ \in V_h^0 \text{ such that, } \forall v_h \in V_h^0, a(p_h^+, v_h) = I(v_h) - a(u_\theta, v_h), \quad (\mathcal{P}_h^+)$$


with the **enriched trial space** V_h^+ defined by

$$V_h^+ = \{u_h^+ = u_\theta + p_h^+, \quad p_h^+ \in V_h^0\}.$$

General Dirichlet BC : If $u = g$ on $\partial\Omega$, then

$$p_h^+ = g - u_\theta \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

with u_θ the PINN prior.



Convergence analysis

Theorem 1: Convergence analysis of the standard FEM [Ern and Guermond, 2004]

We denote $u_h \in V_h$ the solution of (\mathcal{P}_h) with V_h the standard trial space. Then,

$$|u - u_h|_{H^1} \leq C_{H^1} h^k |u|_{H^{k+1}},$$

$$\|u - u_h\|_{L^2} \leq C_{L^2} h^{k+1} |u|_{H^{k+1}}.$$

Theorem 2: Convergence analysis of the enriched FEM [Lecourtier et al., 2025]

We denote $u_h^+ \in V_h^+$ the solution of (\mathcal{P}_h^+) with V_h^+ the enriched trial space. Then,

$$|u - u_h^+|_{H^1} \leq \boxed{\frac{|u - u_\theta|_{H^{k+1}}}{|u|_{H^{k+1}}}} (C_{H^1} h^k |u|_{H^{k+1}}),$$

$$\|u - u_h^+\|_{L^2} \leq \boxed{\frac{|u - u_\theta|_{H^{k+1}}}{|u|_{H^{k+1}}}} (C_{L^2} h^{k+1} |u|_{H^{k+1}}).$$

Gains of the additive approach.

LECOURTIER Frédérique

Numerical results

2D Poisson problem on Square - Dirichlet BC

2D Anisotropic Elliptic problem on a Square - Dirichlet BC

2D Poisson problem on Annulus - Mixed BC

Numerical results

2D Poisson problem on Square - Dirichlet BC

2D Anisotropic Elliptic problem on a Square - Dirichlet BC

2D Poisson problem on Annulus - Mixed BC

Problem considered

Problem statement: Consider the Poisson problem with Dirichlet BC:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f, & \text{in } \Omega \times \mathcal{M}, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times \mathcal{M}, \end{cases}$$

with $\Omega = [-0.5\pi, 0.5\pi]^2$ and $\mathcal{M} = [-0.5, 0.5]^2$ ($p = 2$ parameters).

Analytical solution :

$$u(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) = \exp\left(-\frac{(x - \mu_1)^2 + (y - \mu_2)^2}{2}\right) \sin(2x) \sin(2y).$$

PINN training: MLP of 5 layers; LBFGs optimizer (5000 epochs).

Imposing the Dirichlet BC exactly in the PINN with the levelset ϕ defined by


$$\varphi(\mathbf{x}) = (x + 0.5\pi)(x - 0.5\pi)(y + 0.5\pi)(y - 0.5\pi).$$

Training time : less than 1 hour on a laptop GPU.

Numerical results

Error estimates : 1 set of parameters.


$$\mu^{(1)} = (0.05, 0.22)$$



Numerical results

Error estimates : 1 set of parameters.

$$\mu^{(1)} = (0.05, 0.22)$$



Gains achieved : $n_p = 50$ sets of parameters.

$$\mathcal{S} = \left\{ \mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(n_p)} \right\}$$

**Gains in L^2 rel error
of our method w.r.t. FEM**

k	min	max	mean
1	134.32	377.36	269.39
2	67.02	164.65	134.85
3	39.52	72.65	61.55


$N = 20$

$$\text{Gain : } \|u - u_h\|_{L^2} / \|u - u_h^+\|_{L^2}$$

Cartesian mesh : N^2 nodes.

Numerical results

Error estimates : 1 set of parameters.



N_{dofs} required to reach the same error e :

k	e	N_{dofs}	
		FEM	Add
1	$1 \cdot 10^{-3}$	14,161	64
	$1 \cdot 10^{-4}$	143,641	576
2	$1 \cdot 10^{-4}$	6,889	225
	$1 \cdot 10^{-5}$	31,329	1,089
3	$1 \cdot 10^{-5}$	6,724	784
	$1 \cdot 10^{-6}$	20,164	2,704

Numerical results

2D Poisson problem on Square - Dirichlet BC

2D Anisotropic Elliptic problem on a Square - Dirichlet BC

2D Poisson problem on Annulus - Mixed BC

Problem considered

Problem statement: Considering an Anisotropic Elliptic problem with Dirichlet BC:

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(D\nabla u) = f, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

with $\Omega = [0, 1]^2$ and $\mathcal{M} = [0.4, 0.6] \times [0.4, 0.6] \times [0.01, 1] \times [0.1, 0.8]$ ($p = 4$).

Right-hand side :

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) = \exp\left(-\frac{(x - \mu_1)^2 + (y - \mu_2)^2}{0.025\sigma^2}\right).$$

Diffusion matrix : (symmetric and positive definite)

$$D(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon x^2 + y^2 & (\epsilon - 1)xy \\ (\epsilon - 1)xy & x^2 + \epsilon y^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$


PINN training: MLP with Fourier Features¹ of 5 layers; Adam optimizer (15000 epochs). Imposing the Dirichlet BC exactly in the PINN with a level-set function.

¹[Tancik et al., 2020]

Numerical results

Error estimates : 1 set of parameters.


$$\mu^{(1)} = (0.51, 0.54, 0.52, 0.55)$$



Numerical results

Error estimates : 1 set of parameters.

$$\mu^{(1)} = (0.51, 0.54, 0.52, 0.55)$$



Gains achieved : $n_p = 50$ sets of parameters.

$$\mathcal{S} = \left\{ \mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(n_p)} \right\}$$

Gains in L^2 rel error
of our method w.r.t. FEM


k	min	max	mean
1	7.12	82.57	35.67
2	3.54	35.88	18.32
3	1.33	26.51	8.32

$N = 20$

$$\text{Gain} : \|u - u_h\|_{L^2} / \|u - u_h^+\|_{L^2}$$

Cartesian mesh : N^2 nodes.

Numerical results



$$\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(2)} = (0.46, 0.52, 0.05, 0.12)$$

Numerical results

2D Poisson problem on Square - Dirichlet BC

2D Anisotropic Elliptic problem on a Square - Dirichlet BC

2D Poisson problem on Annulus - Mixed BC

Problem considered

Problem statement: Considering the Poisson problem with mixed BC:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f, & \text{in } \Omega \times \mathcal{M}, \\ u = g, & \text{on } \Gamma_E \times \mathcal{M}, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} + u = g_R, & \text{on } \Gamma_I \times \mathcal{M}, \end{cases}$$

with $\Omega = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2, 0.25 \leq x^2 + y^2 \leq 1\}$ and $\mathcal{M} = [2.4, 2.6] (p = 1)$.

Analytical solution :

$$u(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\mu}) = 1 - \frac{\ln(\mu_1 \sqrt{x^2 + y^2})}{\ln(4)},$$

Boundary conditions :

$$g(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\mu}) = 1 - \frac{\ln(\mu_1)}{\ln(4)} \quad \text{and} \quad g_R(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\mu}) = 2 + \frac{4 - \ln(\mu_1)}{\ln(4)}.$$


PINN training: MLP of 5 layers; LBFGs optimizer (4000 epochs).
Imposing the mixed BC exactly in the PINN¹.

¹[Sukumar and Srivastava, 2022]

Numerical results

Error estimates : 1 set of parameters.

$$\mu^{(1)} = 2.51$$



Gains achieved : $n_p = 50$ sets of parameters.

$$\mathcal{S} = \left\{ \mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(n_p)} \right\}$$


**Gains in L^2 rel error
of our method w.r.t. FEM**

k	min	max	mean
1	15.12	137.72	55.5
2	31	77.46	58.41
3	18.72	21.49	20.6

$$h = 1.33 \cdot 10^{-1}$$

$$\text{Gain : } \|u - u_h\|_{L^2} / \|u - u_h^+\|_{L^2}$$

Numerical results



$$\mu^{(1)} = 2.51$$


Conclusion

Conclusion and Perspectives

- PINNs are good candidates for the enriched approach. [Appendix 1](#)
- Numerical validation of the theoretical results.
- The enriched approach provides the same results as the standard FEM method, but with coarser meshes. ⇒ Reduction of the computational cost.

Perspectives :

- Consider non-linear problems.
- Use PINN prediction to build an optimal mesh, via a posteriori error estimates.
- Validate the additive approach on more complex geometry.



LECOURTIER Frédérique

References

- A. Ern and J.-L. Guermond. *Theory and Practice of Finite Elements*. Springer New York, 2004.
- E. Franck, V. Michel-Dansac, and L. Navoret. Approximately well-balanced Discontinuous Galerkin methods using bases enriched with Physics-Informed Neural Networks. *J. Comput. Phys.*, 512:113144, 2024. ISSN 0021-9991.
- I. E. Lagaris, A. Likas, and D. I. Fotiadis. Artificial neural networks for solving ordinary and partial differential equations. *IEEE Trans. Neural Netw.*, 9(5):987–1000, 1998. ISSN 1045-9227.
- F. Lecourtier, H. Barucq, M. Duprez, F. Faucher, E. Franck, V. Lleras, V. Michel-Dansac, and N. Victorion. Enriching continuous lagrange finite element approximation spaces using neural networks, 2025.
- M. Raissi, P. Perdikaris, and G. E. Karniadakis. Physics-informed neural networks: A deep learning framework for solving forward and inverse problems involving nonlinear partial differential equations. *J. Comput. Phys.*, 378:686–707, 2019.
- N. Sukumar and A. Srivastava. Exact imposition of boundary conditions with distance functions in physics-informed deep neural networks. *Comput. Method. Appl. M.*, 389:114333, 2022. ISSN 0045-7825.
- M. Tancik, P. Srinivasan, and al. Fourier Features Let Networks Learn High Frequency Functions in Low Dimensional Domains. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 33, pages 7537–7547. Curran Associates, Inc., 2020.

Appendix

Appendix 1 : Data-driven vs Physics-Informed training

Problem considered

Problem statement: Consider the Poisson problem in 1D with Dirichlet BC:

$$\begin{cases} -\partial_{xx}u = f, & \text{in } \Omega \times \mathcal{M}, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times \mathcal{M}, \end{cases}$$

with $\Omega = [0, 1]^2$ and $\mathcal{M} = [0, 1]^3$ ($p = 3$ parameters).

Analytical solution : $u(x; \boldsymbol{\mu}) = \mu_1 \sin(2\pi x) + \mu_2 \sin(4\pi x) + \mu_3 \sin(6\pi x)$.

Construction of two priors: MLP of 6 layers; Adam optimizer (10000 epochs).

Imposing the Dirichlet BC exactly in the PINN with $\varphi(x) = x(x - 1)$.

- **Physics-informed training:** $N_{\text{col}} = 5000$ collocation points.


$$J_r(\theta) \simeq \frac{1}{N_{\text{col}}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{col}}} \left| \partial_{xx}u_\theta(\mathbf{x}_{\text{col}}^{(i)}; \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\text{col}}^{(i)}) + f(\mathbf{x}_{\text{col}}^{(i)}; \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\text{col}}^{(i)}) \right|^2.$$

- **Data-driven training:** $N_{\text{data}} = 5000$ data.

$$J_{\text{data}}(\theta) = \frac{1}{N_{\text{data}}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{data}}} \left| u_\theta^{\text{data}}(\mathbf{x}_{\text{data}}^{(i)}; \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\text{data}}^{(i)}) - u(\mathbf{x}_{\text{data}}^{(i)}; \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\text{data}}^{(i)}) \right|^2.$$

Priors derivatives

$$\mu^{(1)} = (0.3, 0.2, 0.1)$$



Additive approach in \mathbb{P}_1

1 set of parameters: $\mu^{(1)} = (0.3, 0.2, 0.1)$

FEM		PINN prior u_θ			Data prior u_θ^{data}	
N	error	N	error	gain	error	gain
16	$5.18 \cdot 10^{-2}$	16	$1.29 \cdot 10^{-3}$	40.34	$3.51 \cdot 10^{-3}$	14.78
32	$1.24 \cdot 10^{-2}$	32	$3.49 \cdot 10^{-4}$	35.41	$8.8 \cdot 10^{-4}$	14.06

50 set of parameters:

Gains in L^2 rel error of our method w.r.t. FEM						
	PINN prior u_θ			Data prior u_θ^{data}		
N	min	max	mean	min	max	mean
20	26.49	271.92	140.74	6.91	60.85	26.12
40	23.4	258.37	134.11	7.13	39.34	20.55

N : Nodes.

Appendix 2 : Multiplicative approach

Multiplicative approach

Lifted problem : Considering M such that $u_M = u + M > 0$ on Ω ,

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}(u_M) = f, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_M = M, & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Variational Problem : Let $u_{\theta,M} = u_\theta + M \in M + H^{k+1}(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$.

Find $p_h^\times \in 1 + V_h^0$ such that, $\forall v_h \in V_h^0$, $a(u_{\theta,M} p_h^\times, u_{\theta,M} v_h) = I(u_{\theta,M} v_h)$, (\mathcal{P}_h^\times)

with the **enriched trial space** V_h^\times defined by

$$\{u_{h,M}^\times = u_{\theta,M} p_h^\times, \quad p_h^\times \in 1 + V_h^0\}.$$

General Dirichlet BC : If $u = g$ on $\partial\Omega$, then

$$p_h^\times = \frac{g + M}{u_{\theta,M}} \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

with $u_{\theta,M}$ the PINN prior.

Convergence analysis

Theorem 3: Convergence analysis of the enriched FEM [Lecourtier et al., 2025]

We denote $u_{h,M}^X \in V_h^X$ the solution of (\mathcal{P}_h^X) with V_h^X the enriched trial space.
Then, denoting $u_h^X = u_{h,M}^X - M$,

$$|u - u_h^X|_{H^1} \leq \left| \frac{u_M}{u_{\theta,M}} \right|_{H^{q+1}} \frac{\|u_{\theta,M}\|_{W^{1,\infty}}}{|u|_{H^{q+1}}} (C_{H^1} h^k |u|_{H^{k+1}}),$$

$$\|u - u_h^X\|_{L^2} \leq C_{\theta,M} \left| \frac{u_M}{u_{\theta,M}} \right|_{H^{q+1}} \frac{\|u_{\theta,M}\|_{W^{1,\infty}}^2}{|u|_{H^{q+1}}} (C_{L^2} h^{k+1} |u|_{H^{k+1}}).$$

with

$$C_{\theta,M} = \|u_{\theta,M}^{-1}\|_{L^\infty} + 2|u_{\theta,M}^{-1}|_{W^{1,\infty}} + |u_{\theta,M}^{-1}|_{W^{2,\infty}}.$$

Comparison of the two enriched methods

Theorem 4: [Lecourtier et al., 2025]

We have

$$\left| \frac{u_M}{u_{\theta,M}} \right|_{H^{q+1}} \frac{\|u_{\theta,M}\|_{W^{1,\infty}}}{\|u\|_{H^{q+1}}} \xrightarrow[M \rightarrow \infty]{} \frac{|u - u_\theta|_{H^{k+1}}}{\|u\|_{H^{k+1}}},$$

in H^1 semi-norm and

$$C_{\theta,M} \left| \frac{u_M}{u_{\theta,M}} \right|_{H^{q+1}} \frac{\|u_{\theta,M}\|_{W^{1,\infty}}^2}{\|u\|_{H^{q+1}}} \xrightarrow[M \rightarrow \infty]{} \frac{|u - u_\theta|_{H^{k+1}}}{\|u\|_{H^{k+1}}},$$

in L^2 norm.

Multiplicative and Additive approaches.

Numerical results

Considering the 1D Poisson problem of [Appendix 1](#).

Error estimates : 1 set of parameters.

