Investigating the Impact of Syntactic Features for Semantic Dependency Parsing

Maximilian Pfundstein January 10, 2020

Linköpings University

Table of contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Overview
- 3. Objectives
- 4. Results
- 5. Outlook

Introduction

Introduction | Research Question

- Syntactic and Semantic Dependency Parsing (SDP)
- · Acyclic Graphs
- Research Question: To what extend does predicted syntax help for semantic dependency parsing?

Introduction | Previous Results

- Theoretical baseline model by Dozat and Manning [Zeman et al., 2017]
- · Implementation by Daniel Roxbo [Roxbo, 2019]
- · Extension to learn syntactic graphs

Semantic Only Gold Syntax		Predicted Syntax	
87.40%	90.90%	89.30%	

Table 1: Semantic F_1 scores, test, out-of-domain.

Introduction - Multitask Learning

- Multitask Learning
- Presumption by [Kurtz et al., 2019] shows that there might be an information overlap
- Other research shows, that multitask learning might help [Peng et al., 2017]

Overview

Overview | Objectives

- · Allow to import the data from the .conllu format
- Increase the performance during the pre-processing by using caching to save computation time
- Implement multitask learning to simultaneously learn syntactic and semantic graphs and investigate the results

Objectives

Objectives | Import .conllu

- Separate files for syntax (.cpn) and semantic (.sdp)
- Combined file format CoNLL-U from [Buchholz and Marsi, 2006]

Objectives | Performance Improvement

- Preprocessing is conducted every time the source code is being invoked
- · Main functions:
 - · parse_conllu_labels
 - · parse_conllu_sentences
 - parse_conllu_targets

Objectives | Multitask Learning






Figure 1: Flowchart, with red representing the feedback loop.

Results


Results | Performance Improvement



Results | Multitask Learning




Multitask Learning




Multitask Learning

Syntactic F_1 Scores (last 50 epochs without Syn Dev Multi)




Results | Multitask Learning



Results | Multitask Learning





Results | Results

	In-Domain			Out-Of-Domain		
Setting	Syn Dev	Sem Dev	Syn Test	Sem Test	Syn Test	Sem Test
Sem Only	0	92.68%	0	92.39%	0	87.50%
Multitask Raw	94.54%	92.90%	-	-	90.92%	87.80%
Multitask Single	94.27%	92.54%	94.64%	92.60%	90.81%	87.41%
Multitask Multi	6.52%	92.64%	-	-	7.39%	87.71%
Multitask Loop	94.42%	93.01%	94.29%	92.51%	90.96%	87.87%

Table 2: F_1 scores for the different setups.

Outlook

Outlook

- Presumption by [Kurtz et al., 2019] confirmed, at least for this data set
- Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers (BERT)
- · Combine BERT and multitask learning

References

References i



Buchholz, S. and Marsi, E. (2006).

Conll-x shared task on multilingual dependency parsing. In Proceedings of the Tenth Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning, CoNLL-X '06, page 149–164, USA. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Kurtz, R., Roxbo, D., and Kuhlmann, M. (2019). Improving semantic dependency parsing with syntactic features.

In Proceedings of the First NLPL Workshop on Deep Learning for Natural Language Processing, pages 12–21, Turku, Finland. Linköping University Electronic Press.

References ii



Peng, H., Thomson, S., and Smith, N. A. (2017). Deep multitask learning for semantic dependency parsing.

In Proceedings of the 55th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), pages 2037–2048, Vancouver, Canada. Association for Computational Linguistics.



Roxbo, D. (2019).

A detailed analysis of semantic dependency parsing with deep neural networks.

Master's thesis, Linköping University, Human-Centered systems.

References iii



Zeman, D., Popel, M., Straka, M., Hajič, J., Nivre, J., Ginter, F., Luotolahti, J., Pyysalo, S., Petrov, S., Potthast, M., Tyers, F., Badmaeva, E., Gokirmak, M., Nedoluzhko, A., Cinková, S., Hajič jr., J., Hlaváčová, J., Kettnerová, V., Urešová, Z., Kanerva, J., Ojala, S., Missilä, A., Manning, C. D., Schuster, S., Reddy, S., Taji, D., Habash, N., Leung, H., de Marneffe, M.-C., Sanguinetti, M., Simi, M., Kanayama, H., de Paiva, V., Droganova, K., Martínez Alonso, H., Cöltekin, C., Sulubacak, U., Uszkoreit, H., Macketanz, V., Burchardt, A., Harris, K., Marheinecke, K., Rehm, G., Kayadelen, T., Attia, M., Elkahky, A., Yu, Z., Pitler, E., Lertpradit, S., Mandl, M., Kirchner, J., Alcalde, H. F., Strnadová, J., Banerjee, E., Manurung, R.,

References iv

Stella, A., Shimada, A., Kwak, S., Mendonça, G., Lando, T., Nitisaroj, R., and Li, J. (2017).

CoNLL 2017 shared task: Multilingual parsing from raw text to universal dependencies.

In Proceedings of the CoNLL 2017 Shared Task: Multilingual Parsing from Raw Text to Universal Dependencies, pages 1–19, Vancouver, Canada. Association for Computational Linguistics.