

# **A Connection Between Star Formation Rate and Dark Matter Halos at $Z \sim 6$ In 2013 Planck Cosmology.**

F.L. Gómez-Cortés<sup>1</sup>

Departamento de Física, Universidad de los Andes, Colombia

Received \_\_\_\_\_; accepted \_\_\_\_\_

## ABSTRACT

This work relates baryonic matter and dark matter at redshift  $z = 5.9$  using observational data from CFHTLS (Willott 2013), HUDF09 (Bouwens 2006, 2012), UKIDSS and SDXS (McLure 2009), and results of the Multidark Simulation (Riebe 2013) in a cubic box of  $1000\text{Mpc h}^{-1}$  length with 2013 Planck Cosmology. The Luminosity Function (LF) is fitted via four parameters with the Markov Chain Monte Carlo method. The relationship between the Dark Matter Halos Mass and Star Formation Rate is obtained using the relationship between the UV continuum (from the fitted LF) and Star Formation Rate (SFR) by Kennicutt (1998). Cosmic variance effects are studied on smaller boxes of  $250\text{Mpc h}^{-1}$  length.

*Subject headings:* Dark Matter, LF, SFR, High Redshift Galaxies, Reionization

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1. Halo Mass Function (HMF)

The HMF is created using the DMH catalog from the Multidark Database (Riebe 2013).

### 1.2. Cosmic Variance

Is important to know and understand the existence of local fluctuations or inhomogeneities due the observed scale.

For this part is necessary to study the HMF on smaller boxes

## 2. Relationship between Galaxy Luminosity Function (GLF) and Dark Matter Halo (DMH) mass

In one hand we have observational results: the GLF for star-forming galaxies at high redshift ( $z=5.9$ )(Bouwens 2006; Willott 2013) expressed in terms of magnitude in the ultraviolet range (M1350). In the other hand we have a DMH catalog, result from the Multidark Simulation with Planck Cosmology.

A  $1000 \text{ Mpc}^3 h^3$  cubic box containing near to  $11 \times 10^6$  dark matter halos.

The idea is to connect the observed GLF with the DMH catalog. We assume that each halo contains one and just one galaxy, and its luminosity is given by:

$$L_{\text{galaxy}} = \alpha M_{\text{halo}}^{\beta} \tag{1}$$

but this function is given in magnitude units. Is necessary to convert magnitude to luminosity.

### 3. Magnitude to Luminosity

The Luminosity is an intrinsic propertie of the stars. It doesn't deppends of the distance. It's directly related to the energy flux emmited. The luminosity  $L_\nu$  at a given frecueny  $\nu$  is has  $[\text{WHz}^{-1}]$  or  $[\text{erg s}^{-1}\text{Hz}^{-1}]$  units.

The magnitude is brightnes star classification inherited from ancient Greeks. It deppends of the stellar distance. The absolute magnitude is a modern classification independent of distance. Taking the Sun as reference, the absolute magnitud at a given wavelengt is given by:

$$M_\lambda = M_{\lambda_\odot} - 2.5 \log_{10} \left( \frac{L_\lambda}{L_{\lambda_\odot}} \right)$$

The solar absolute magnitude in the U filter is:

$$M_{\text{U}\odot} = 5.61$$

and the luminosity:

$$1L_{\text{U}\odot} = 10^{18.48} \text{ergs s}^{-1}\text{Hz}^{-1}$$

$$1L_{\text{U}\odot} = 3.02 \times 10^{18} \text{ergs s}^{-1}\text{Hz}^{-1}$$

Replacing in the absolute magnitude equation:

$$M_{\text{U}} = 5.61 - 2.5 \log_{10}(L_{\text{U}}) + 25 \times 18.48$$

gives:

$$M_{\text{U}} = 51.82 - 2.5 \log_{10}(L_{\text{U}})$$

#### 3.1. Best Fitting Parameters

A big mistake.

$$\log \neq \log_{10}$$

–Here comes the fitting graph–

And the fitting parameters

$$\alpha = ?$$

$$\beta = ?$$

## 4. Stellar Formation Rate

### 4.1. The UV Continuum

The UV region is dominated by big blue young stars, is possible to make an extrapolation to small young stars(Kennicutt 1998). On stellar-formation galaxies the spectrum has a UV continuum nearly flat. This is a good approximation:

$$\text{SFR} (M_{\odot}\text{yr}^{-1}) = 1.4 \times 10^{28} L_{\nu} (\text{erg s}^{-1}\text{Hz}^{-1})$$

With Initial Mass Function (IMF) between  $0.1M_{\odot}$  and  $100M_{\odot}$ , in the range of  $1250 - 2500\text{\AA}$

## 4.2. The Fitting Model

This fitting model contains four parameters:  $(m/M)_0$ ,  $M_1$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ , where  $m :=$  Stellar mass, and  $M :=$  Dark Matter Halo mass.

$$\frac{m}{M} = 2 \left( \frac{m}{M} \right)_0 \left[ \left( \frac{M}{M_1} \right)^{-\beta} + \left( \frac{M}{M_1} \right)^{\gamma} \right]^{-1} \quad (2)$$

This is similar to the proposed by Moster (2010). Another model cited in the article contains five parameters:  $m_0$ ,  $M_1$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$ .

$$m(M) = m_0 \frac{(M/M_1)^{\gamma_1}}{[1 + (M/M_1)^{\beta}]^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)/\beta}}$$

“We use a statistical approach to determine the relationship between the stellar masses of galaxies and the masses of the dark matter halos in which they reside. We obtain a parameterized stellar-to-halo mass (SHM) relation by populating halos and subhalos in an N-body simulation with galaxies and requiring that the observed stellar mass function be reproduced.” (Moster 2010)

## 5. Observations

### 5.1. CFHTLS

CFHTLS - Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope Legacy Survey - MegaCam (Willott 2013)

“We identify a sample of 40 Lyman break galaxies brighter than magnitude  $z = 25.3$  across an area of almost 4 square degrees. Sensitive spectroscopic observations of seven galaxies provide redshifts for four, of which only two have moderate to strong Ly emission lines. All four have clear continuum breaks in their spectra.” Willott (2013).

“The imaging data used to select high-redshift galaxies come primarily from the 3.6 m Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope. Optical observations with MegaCam in the u g r i

$z$  filters are from CFHTLS Deep which covered four  $\sim 1$  square degree fields with typical total integration time of 75 ks in  $u$ , 85 ks in  $g$ , 145 ks in  $r$ , 230 ks in  $i$  and 175 ks in  $z$ . The seeing in the final stacks at  $i$  and  $z$  range from 0.66 to 0.76 arcsec. The data used here are from the 6th data release, T0006, which contains all the data acquired over the five years of the project ” Willott (2013).

“These optical data are complemented by near-IR data from the WIRCam Deep Survey (WIRDS; Bielby et al. 2012). WIRDS used the WIRCam near-IR imager at the CFHT” Willott (2013).

## 5.2. HUDF09

“Table 1 summarizes the search fields used for the  $z = 5-8$  LF determinations and the approximate depths of the available ACS+WFC3/IR observations. Our primary data set consists of the full two-year WFC3/IR observations of the HUDF and two flanking fields obtained with the 192-orbit HUDF09 program (PI Illingworth: GO 11563). Our second data set is the 145 arcmin<sup>2</sup> ACS+WFC3/IR observations over the wide-area Early Release Science (Windhorst et al. 2011) and CDF-South CANDELS” Bouwens (2012)

“A detailed summary of the ACS HUDF, HUDF-Ps, and GOODS data we use for our dropout selections is provided in our previous work (B06). Nevertheless, a brief description of the data is included here. The ACS HUDF data we use are the version 1.0 reductions of Beckwith et al. (2006) and extend to 5 point-source limits of 29Y30 in the B 435 V 606 i 775 z 850 bands. The HUDF-Ps reductions we use are from B06 and take advantage of the deep (k72 orbit) BViz ACS data fields taken in parallel with the HUDF NICMOS program (Thompson et al. 2005). Together the parallel data from this program sum to create two very deep ACS fields that we can use for dropout searches. While of somewhat

variable depths, the central portions of these fields (12Y20 arcmin<sup>2</sup>) reach some 0.6Y0.9 mag deeper than the data in the original ACS GOODS program (Giavalisco et al. 2004a). Finally, for the ACS GOODS reductions, we use an updated version of those generated for our previous z 6 study (B06).” Bouwens (2006)

### 5.3. UKIDSS & SDXS

“The UDS is the deepest of five near-IR surveys currently underway at the UK InfraRed Telescope (UKIRT) with the new WFCAM imager (Casali et al. 2007) which together comprise the UKIDSS (Lawrence et al. 2007). The UDS covers an area of 0.8 deg<sup>2</sup> centred on RA = 02:17:48, Dec. = 05:05:57 (J2000) and is already the deepest, large area, near-IR survey ever undertaken. The data utilized in this paper were taken from the first UKIDSS Data Release (DR1; Warren et al. 2007), which included JK imaging of the entire UDS field to 5 depths of J = 23.9, K = 23.8 (1.6 arcsec diameter apertures). The UKIDSS DR1 became publicly available to the world wide astronomical community in 2008 January and can be downloaded from the WFCAM Science Archive. 2 The UDS field is covered by a wide variety of deep, multiwavelength observations ranging from the X-ray through to the radio (see Cirasuolo et al. 2008 for a recent summary). However, for this study the most important multiwavelength observations are the deep optical imaging of the field taken with Suprime-Cam (Miyazaki et al. 2002) on Subaru as part of the Subaru/XMMNewton Deep Survey (Sekiguchi et al. 2005). The optical imaging consists of five overlapping Suprime-Cam pointings, and covers an area of 1.3 deg<sup>2</sup>. The whole field has been imaged in the BV Ri z filters, to typical 5 depths of B = 27.9, V = 27.4, R = 27.2, i = 27.2 and z = 26.2 (1.6 arcsec diameter apertures). The reduced optical imaging of the SXDS is now publicly available 3 and full details of the observations, data reduction and calibration procedures are provided in Furusawa et al. (2008). The high-redshift galaxies



investigated in this study were selected from a contiguous area of  $0.63 \text{ deg}^2$  (excluding areas contaminated by bright stars and CCD blooming) covered by both the UDS near-IR and SXDS optical imaging.” McLure (2009)

#### **5.4. The Drop-out Technique - Lyman Break Technique**

Steidel (2003)

### **6. Discussion**

### **7. Summary**

### **A. Appendix material**

## REFERENCES

- Bouwens, R. J. et al. 2006, ApJ, 653, 53
- Bouwens, R. J. et al. 2012, ApJ, 752, 5
- Kennicutt, Robert C., Jr. 1998, ARA&A, 36, 189
- McLure, R. J. et al. 2009, MNRAS, 395, 2196
- Moster, Benjamin P. et al. 2010, ApJ, 710, 903
- Riebe, K. et al. 2013, AN, 334, 691
- Steidel, Charles C. et al. 2003, ApJ, 592, 728
- Willott, Chris J. et al. 2013, AJ, 145, 4