Q.I. Why in the constraint LQ MPC, the control input is calculated online? Why do not we use Dynamic Programming to find an explicit controller?

· Online calculation means that the control input in the future 15 determined to solve an open-loop aptimal control problem Coast over a finite size time at each sample time instant. is required to solve the optimization problem in efficient due to the feich that the curse-of-amenations Due to on 4,1 t Dynamic Programming approach will cause combinatorial explosion in solving the explicit consullers at high-dimensional Spaces Case.

Q.2. Assume a constraint linear Quadratic MPC is implemented with XLN) EXF as the terminal constraint. Can you clear their after N sample times, the system state is contained by Xf?

Answer:

x(k+1) = Axck) + Bu(k), x(0) = X KUIEX., YUNEU J'for all ke (0, N-1) X(N) EXF EX

XLK) EX X(1) EXEX LKHE (OIN) the state X at N sample times is an element of Xf; where every element of Xf is also an element of X

By applying the first control input ucuszy (0,1x)

(9): At sampling instant K=Kt1, the optimization problem becomes

νη (χ) = min η(ο:μ) ικ(ο:μη) [νημη (χ,η(ο:μ)), χ(ο:μμη)] νημη (χ, η(ο:μ)), χ(ο:μμη)) = χτωμη βεχ(μμη) + ξη (χτίη Ωχ(ί) μητί) βησ

often is comple through the system stocker is contained.

X(kH) = Ax(kH) + By(kH), X(o)=X

(K(kH)) = Ax(kH) + By(kH), X(o)=X

(K(kH)) = X(kH) + By(kH), X(o)=X

Hence X(N+1) is an element of Xf

(e.g. contained in Xf) since X(N) \in Xf \subsection Xf

(many and and another second and another Xf \subsection Xf

(many and another second and another Xf \subsection Xf

(many another second and another xf

(many another second and another xf

(many another second and another xf

(many another xf