

Group Interaction Logs

Groups: Man and the Muppets (MatM), Working on Sunday (WoS), N-Da Process (NPD), Dream Team (DT), and As Late As Possible (ALAP)

Date MM/DD/YYYY	Form of Communication	Work Accomplished	Groups Present
09/08/2022	in person during lab period	Groups discussed the Requirements Analysis Document (RAD) and the elements that would be shared between groups.	(all) MatM, WoS, NPD, DT, ALAP
09/22/2022	in person during lab period	Teams talked about the RAD, specifically fixing inconsistencies and coming up with questions to ask our client.	(all) MatM, WoS, NPD, DT, ALAP
10/20/2022	in person during lab period	Each group presented, asked questions, and took notes of important details relating to shared information.	(all) MatM, WoS, NPD, DT, ALAP
10/25/2022	in person after class	The teams got together and discussed the discrepancies between each RAD. Specific shared requirements were baselined and adjusted documents to match.	(all) MatM, WoS, NPD, DT, ALAP
10/27/2022	in person during lab period	A different project platform was suggested and the groups discussed the possibility of using it instead.	MatM, NPD, ALAP
11/08/2022	in person during class	Groups met during class and changed some of the requirements. For example, groups went from using the JagID number to IDN or Identification Number. This meeting was to ensure consistency across all of the teams.	(all) MatM, WoS, NPD, DT, ALAP
11/10/2022	in person during lab period	After Object Design presentations, the groups discussed things we need to improve upon such as better communication between teams and an increased number of meetings.	(all) MatM, WoS, NPD, DT, ALAP
11/15/2022	in person after class	The groups had a short meeting and briefly discussed test cases and the parts of code we planned to create.	MatM, WoS, NPD, ALAP
11/17/2022	in person during lab	The teams talked about utilizing a shared database versus separate/multiple databases per group.	(all) MatM, WoS, NPD, DT, ALAP

Date MM/DD/YYYY	Form of Communication	Work Accomplished	Groups Present
11/22/2022	individually over messages	MatM got together with the voting teams N-DP and DT to talk about the GUI.	MatM, NPD, DT
11/28/2022	individually over messages	The voting groups met to talk about matching GUI to ensure consistency.	NPD, DT
11/29/2022	in person after class	The teams got together one last time in person before final presentations to maintain uniformity and answer any final questions.	MatM, NPD, ALAP
11/30/2022	individually over messages	A few teams shared information about the UI and shared databases.	MatM, NPD, DT, ALAP

Group Interactions

Group 1- Voter Registration

Group 2- Ballot Creation

Group 3- Web Voting

Group 4- App Voting

Group 5- Tabulations

Group 1- Man and the Muppets

Group 2: WoS

- Due to scheduling conflicts, MatM and WoS were not able to meet as frequently as necessary for proper group interactions.
- Primarily, the team
- MatM and DT also collaborated with the other groups on the UI.

Group 3: N-DP

- Interactions between MatM and N-DP were very consistent due to the voting groups needing the information stored by MatM.
- Specific information shared includes IDN, last name, department(s) of major/minor, as well as whether each voter is a student or faculty.
- MatM and N-DP also collaborated with the other groups on the UI.

Group 4: DT

- Because both voting groups require the information stored by MatM, interactions between MatM and DT were fairly consistent.
- Specific information shared includes IDN, last name, department(s) of major/minor, as well as whether each voter is a student or faculty.
- MatM and DT also collaborated with the other groups on the UI.

Group 5: ALAP

- Because voter registration is the very beginning of the project and vote tabulation is at the very end, MatM and ALAP did not necessarily need to collaborate on many things.
- MatM and ALAP both facilitated the majority of the group meetings and went out of their way to ensure sufficient interactions.
- ALAP suggested having all the groups work on one collaborative project within Microsoft PowerApps which MatM agreed to.
- MatM and ALAP also collaborated with the other groups on the UI.

Group 2- Working on Sunday

Group 1: MatM

- Since our part of the project wasn't reliant on their voter registration system, our 1-on-1 meetings were relatively sparse over the course of total project development. This also wasn't helped by schedule conflicts.
- Most meetings were concerning issues of the overall project (i.e. format of exported files, what info needed to be in the files, etc.)
- Consulted with them concerning UI design, though efforts never came to fruition.
- Worked with them in maintaining an overall quality standard to our documentation.

Group 3: N-DP

- We worked with them to try and find ways to export ballot files that were compatible with their system.
- Worked with them to standardize the vernacular used within our documents.
- Consulted with them concerning UI design, though efforts never came to fruition.

Group 4: DT

- We worked with them to try and find ways to export ballot files that were compatible with their system.
- Worked with them to standardize the vernacular used within our documents.
- Consulted with them concerning UI design, though efforts never came to fruition.

Group 5: ALAP

- Worked with them to standardize the vernacular used within our documents.
- Consulted with them concerning UI design, though efforts never came to fruition.
- Discussed interaction with our databases.

Group 3- N-Da Process

Group 1: MatM

-
-
- Here is what I put on the MatM section if you would like to leach
 - Interactions between MatM and N-DP were very consistent due to the voting groups needing the information stored by MatM.
 - Specific information shared includes IDN, last name, department(s) of major/minor, as well as whether each voter is a student or faculty.
 - MatM and N-DP also collaborated with the other groups on the UI.

Group 2: WoS

-

Group 4: DT

-

Group 5: ALAP

-

Group 4- Dream Team

Group 1: MatM

-
-
- Here is what I put on the MatM section if you would like to leach
 - Because both voting groups require the information stored by MatM, interactions between MatM and DT were fairly consistent.
 - Specific information shared includes IDN, last name, department(s) of major/minor, as well as whether each voter is a student or faculty.
 - MatM and DT also collaborated with the other groups on the UI.

Group 2: WoS

-

Group 3: N-DP

-

Group 5: ALAP

-

Group 5- As Late As Possible

Group 1: MatM

- Due to not having very many overlapping components MatM and ALAP did not collaborate on too many of our objects.
- Collaborated with MatM on the look and feel of powerpoints to present a more uniform and professional presentations.
- Along with MatM, tried to bring the other groups together to try and force consistency across our products and deliverables.
- Worked with other groups to try and unify language used. Ex. contest being changed to topic so that all the teams understood what was being talked about.
- Worked with other groups on UI design.

Group 2: WoS

- Worked with other groups to try and unify language used. Ex. contest being changed to topic so that all the teams understood what was being talked about.
- Worked with other groups on UI design.

Group 3: N-DP

- Worked with other groups to try and unify language used. Ex. contest being changed to topic so that all the teams understood what was being talked about
- Worked with other groups on UI design.
- Confirmed with WoS that our UIs should be united in some way because we are both back-end teams who likely deal with the same people. But later agreed that we would not be able to implement a combined UI.
- We made an agreement with WoS to make the “Topic” object for elections and attach it to the ballot so it can be used by all the other teams to whom it is very relevant. It holds the candidates, the dates, and the name of the topic that is being voted on.
- Discussed how our databases would interact with WoS.

Group 4: DT

- Worked with other groups to try and unify language used. Ex. contest being changed to topic so that all the teams understood what was being talked about.
- Got the csv format from the voting team.
- Worked with other groups on UI design.