TP2 for Optim Image

Leman FENG

Email: flm8620@gmail.com Website: lemanfeng.com

November 26, 2017

Gradient Projeté

1.1

$$\nabla J = 2A^T(Ax - b)$$

1.2

Prove J is strongly convex:

$$J(x+h) = J(x) + \nabla J(x)^T h + h^T A^T A h$$

Notice that

$$h^T A^T A h = \langle h, A^T A h \rangle \geq \lambda_{min} ||h||^2$$

where λ_{min} is the minimum eigenvalue of matrix A^TA . So

$$J(x+h) \ge J(x) + \nabla J(x)^T h + \frac{2\lambda_{min}}{2} ||h||^2$$

the strongly convex parameter is $\mu = 2\lambda_{min}$.

$$\|\nabla J(x_1) - \nabla J(x_2)\| = 2\|A^T A(x_1 - x_2)\| \le 2\|A^T A\|\|x_1 - x_2\|$$

So the Lipshitzien constant M is $2\|A^TA\|$. Because A^TA is symmetric, so $\|A^TA\| = \lambda_{max}$, the max eigenvalue of A^TA .

1.3

Data:
$$x_0, \tau, \epsilon$$

 $x \leftarrow x_0$
while x not converged do
 $\begin{vmatrix} x \leftarrow x - \tau \nabla J \\ x \leftarrow \max(0, x) \end{vmatrix}$
end

According to Theorem 31 in poly, $\mu=2\lambda_{min}$ and $M=2\lambda_{max}$, so the step τ should be smaller than $\frac{2\mu}{M^2}=\frac{4\lambda_{min}}{4\lambda_{max}^2}=\frac{\lambda_{min}}{\lambda_{max}^2}$

1.5

Solution is [0, 0.4615]

1.6

We want to find a vector **b** such that at point [5,0] constrain $x_1 \geq 0$ is not actived and constrain $x_2 \geq 0$ is actived.

Kuhn Tucker relation gives:

$$\nabla J(\mathbf{x}) + \lambda [0, -1]^T = 0, \lambda > 0$$

$$\Rightarrow [10 + b_2 - b_1, -5 - 2b_1 - 3b_2] = [0, \lambda/2]$$

$$\Rightarrow b_1 = 10 + b_2, \ b_2 < -5$$

We can take $\mathbf{b} = [0, -10]^T$

Gradient projete bis

1

a

C is a cylinder with infinite height. C is convex because:

$$f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1^2 + x_2^2$$

is a convex function, so $f \leq 1$ defines a convex set.

 \mathbf{b}

$$P_C(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} [x_1/\sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}, x_2/\sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}, x_3] & x_1^2 + x_2^2 > 1\\ [x_1, x_2, x_3] & else \end{cases}$$

 $\mathbf{2}$

 \mathbf{a}

There exists a unique solution because C is a convex set and J is strongly convex.

b

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{Data:} \ x_0, \tau, \epsilon \\ x \leftarrow x_0 \\ \mathbf{while} \ x \ not \ converged \ \mathbf{do} \\ \mid \ x \leftarrow x - \tau \nabla J \\ x \leftarrow P_C x \\ \mathbf{end} \end{array}$$

Step should be smaller than $\frac{2\mu}{M^2} = \frac{\lambda_{min}}{\lambda_{max}^2} = 0.0093$

 \mathbf{d}

$$x^* = [0.9911, -0.1334, 0.8854], J = 1.609$$

 ϵ

When $\tau > 0.089$, the algorithm diverges.

3 Uzawa

1

 $\forall \mathbf{x} \neq 0$

$$\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x} = 2x_1^2 - x_1 x_2 + 2x_2^2 - x_2 x_3 + \dots + x_n^2$$

= $x_1^2 + (x_1 - x_2)^2 + \dots + (x_{n-1} + x_n)^2 + x_n^2$
> 0

 $x^T A x$ correspond to $\int |f'|$

 $\mathbf{2}$

$$L = \frac{1}{2}x^T A x - b^T x + \lambda^T C x - \lambda^T d$$

3

Use the result from Question 1, function $\frac{1}{2}x^TAx - b^x$ is strongly convex with parameter $\mu = \lambda_{\min}(A)$, the step ρ should verify $0 < \rho < \frac{2\lambda_{\min}(A)}{\|C\|^2}$

4

Algorithm converged to

 $\lambda = [0.3661, 0.8542, 1.70171], x = [0.4915, 0.2271, 1.0373, 1.7017, 2.7017, 1.0000]$

```
All \lambda_i is positive, so all constraints are actived. \nabla J = Ax - b = [-0.2441, -2.0746, -0.8542, -1.3356, 1.7017, -1.7017] <math>\lambda \nabla (Cx - d) = [0.2441, 2.0746, 0.8542, 1.3356, -1.7017, 1.7017] Clearly we have \nabla J + \lambda \nabla G = 0
```

5

When replace b by -b, $\lambda = [0, 0, 0]$, which means all constraints are not actived.