

latex

florian

November 9, 2019

Contents

```
theory MC
imports Main
begin
declare [[show-types]]

thm monotone-def
definition monotone :: ('a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set)  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where monotone  $\tau \equiv (\forall p\ q. p \subseteq q \longrightarrow \tau\ p \subseteq \tau\ q)$ 

lemma monotoneE: monotone  $\tau \Longrightarrow p \subseteq q \Longrightarrow \tau\ p \subseteq \tau\ q$ 
by (simp add: monotone-def)

lemma lfp1: monotone  $\tau \longrightarrow (\text{lfp } \tau = \bigcap \{Z. \tau\ Z \subseteq Z\})$ 
by (simp add: monotone-def lfp-def)

lemma gfp1: monotone  $\tau \longrightarrow (\text{gfp } \tau = \bigcup \{Z. Z \subseteq \tau\ Z\})$ 
by (simp add: monotone-def gfp-def)

primrec power :: ['a  $\Rightarrow$  'a, nat]  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a) ((-  $\wedge$  -) 40)
where
  power-zero: (f  $\wedge$  0) = ( $\lambda x. x$ ) |
  power-suc: (f  $\wedge$  (Suc n)) = (f o (f  $\wedge$  n))

lemma predtrans-empty:
  assumes monotone  $\tau$ 
  shows  $\forall i. (\tau \wedge i) (\{\}) \subseteq (\tau \wedge (i + 1)) (\{\})$ 
proof (rule allI, induct-tac i)
  show  $(\tau \wedge 0::nat) \{\} \subseteq (\tau \wedge (0::nat) + (1::nat)) \{\}$  by simp
next show  $\bigwedge (i::nat) n::nat. (\tau \wedge n) \{\} \subseteq (\tau \wedge n + (1::nat)) \{\}$ 
   $\Longrightarrow (\tau \wedge \text{Suc } n) \{\} \subseteq (\tau \wedge \text{Suc } n + (1::nat)) \{\}$ 
proof -
  fix i n
  assume a :  $(\tau \wedge n) \{\} \subseteq (\tau \wedge n + (1::nat)) \{\}$ 
  have  $(\tau ((\tau \wedge n) \{\})) \subseteq (\tau ((\tau \wedge (n + (1 :: nat)))) \{\}))$  using assms
  apply (rule monotoneE)
  by (rule a)
```

thus $(\tau \hat{\ } \text{Suc } n) \{\} \subseteq (\tau \hat{\ } \text{Suc } n + (1 :: \text{nat})) \{\}$ **by** *simp*
 qed
 qed

lemma *ex-card*: $\text{finite } S \implies \exists n :: \text{nat}. \text{card } S = n$
by *simp*

lemma *less-not-le*: $\llbracket (x :: \text{nat}) < y; y \leq x \rrbracket \implies \text{False}$
by *arith*

lemma *infchain-outruns-all*:
 assumes *finite* (*UNIV* :: 'a set)
 and $\forall i :: \text{nat}. (\tau \hat{\ } i) \{\} :: 'a \text{ set} \subset (\tau \hat{\ } i + (1 :: \text{nat})) \{\}$
 shows $\forall j :: \text{nat}. \exists i :: \text{nat}. j < \text{card } ((\tau \hat{\ } i) \{\})$
proof (*rule allI, induct-tac j*)
 show $\exists i :: \text{nat}. (0 :: \text{nat}) < \text{card } ((\tau \hat{\ } i) \{\})$ **using** *assms*
 apply (*drule-tac* $x = 0$ **in** *spec*)
 apply (*rule-tac* $x = 1$ **in** *exI*)
 apply *simp*
 apply (*subgoal-tac* $\text{card } \{\} = 0$)
 apply (*erule subst*)
 apply (*rule psubset-card-mono*)
 apply (*rule-tac* $B = \text{UNIV}$ **in** *finite-subset*)
 apply *simp*
 apply *assumption+*
 by *simp*
 next show $\bigwedge (j :: \text{nat}) n :: \text{nat}. \exists i :: \text{nat}. n < \text{card } ((\tau \hat{\ } i) \{\})$
 $\implies \exists i :: \text{nat}. \text{Suc } n < \text{card } ((\tau \hat{\ } i) \{\})$
proof –
 fix $j \ n$
 assume $a: \exists i :: \text{nat}. n < \text{card } ((\tau \hat{\ } i) \{\})$
 obtain i **where** $n < \text{card } ((\tau \hat{\ } (i :: \text{nat})) \{\})$
 apply (*rule exE*)
 apply (*rule a*)
 by *simp*
 thus $\exists i. \text{Suc } n < \text{card } ((\tau \hat{\ } i) \{\})$ **using** *assms*
 apply (*rule-tac* $x = i + 1$ **in** *exI*)
 apply (*subgoal-tac* $\text{card } ((\tau \hat{\ } i) \{\}) < \text{card } ((\tau \hat{\ } i + (1 :: \text{nat})) \{\})$)
 apply *arith*
 apply (*rule psubset-card-mono*)
 apply (*rule-tac* $B = \text{UNIV}$ **in** *finite-subset*)
 apply *simp*
 apply (*rule assms*)
 by (*erule spec*)
 qed
 qed

lemma *no-infinite-subset-chain*:
 assumes *finite* (*UNIV* :: 'a set)

and *monotone* ($\tau :: ('a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set})$)
and $\forall i :: \text{nat. } ((\tau :: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}) \wedge i) \{\} \subset (\tau \wedge i + (1 :: \text{nat})) (\{\} :: 'a \text{ set})$
shows *False*

proof –

have $a: \forall (j :: \text{nat}). (\exists (i :: \text{nat}). (j :: \text{nat}) < \text{card}((\tau \wedge i)(\{\} :: 'a \text{ set})))$ **using** *assms*
apply (*erule-tac* $\tau = \tau$ **in** *infchain-outruns-all*)
by *assumption*
hence $b: \exists (n :: \text{nat}). \text{card}(UNIV :: 'a \text{ set}) = n$ **using** *assms*
by (*erule-tac* $S = UNIV$ **in** *ex-card*)
from *this* **obtain** n **where** $c: \text{card}(UNIV :: 'a \text{ set}) = n$ **by** (*erule exE*)
hence $d: \exists i :: \text{nat. } \text{card } UNIV < \text{card } ((\tau \wedge i) \{\})$ **using** a
apply (*drule-tac* $x = \text{card } UNIV$ **in** *spec*)
by *assumption*
from *this* **obtain** i **where** $e: \text{card } (UNIV :: 'a \text{ set}) < \text{card } ((\tau \wedge i) \{\})$
by (*erule exE*)
hence $f: (\text{card}((\tau \wedge i)\{\})) \leq (\text{card } (UNIV :: 'a \text{ set}))$ **using** *assms*
thm *Finite-Set.card-mono*
apply (*rule-tac* $A = ((\tau \wedge i)\{\})$ **in** *Finite-Set.card-mono*)
apply *assumption*
by (*rule subset-UNIV*)
thus *False* **using** e
thm *less-not-le*
apply (*erule-tac* $y = \text{card}((\tau \wedge i)\{\})$ **in** *less-not-le*)
by *assumption*
qed

lemma *finite-fixp*:

assumes *finite*($UNIV :: 'a \text{ set}$)
and *monotone* ($\tau :: ('a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set})$)
shows $\exists i. (\tau \wedge i) (\{\}) = (\tau \wedge (i + 1))(\{\})$

proof –

have $a: \forall i :: \text{nat. } (\tau \wedge i) (\{\} :: 'a \text{ set}) \subseteq (\tau \wedge i + (1 :: \text{nat})) \{\}$
thm *predtrans-empty*
apply(*rule predtrans-empty*)
by (*rule assms*(2))
hence $b: (\exists i :: \text{nat. } \neg((\tau \wedge i) \{\} \subset (\tau \wedge (i + 1)) \{\}))$ **using** *assms*
apply (*subgoal-tac* $\neg (\forall i :: \text{nat. } (\tau \wedge i) \{\} \subset (\tau \wedge (i + 1)) \{\})$)
apply *blast*
apply (*rule notI*)
apply (*rule no-infinite-subset-chain*)
by *assumption*
thus $\exists i. (\tau \wedge i) (\{\}) = (\tau \wedge (i + 1))(\{\})$ **using** a
by *blast*
qed

```

lemma predtrans-UNIV:
  assumes monotone  $\tau$ 
  shows  $\forall i. (\tau \wedge i) (UNIV) \supseteq (\tau \wedge (i + 1))(UNIV)$ 
proof (rule allI, induct-tac i)
  show  $(\tau \wedge (0::nat) + (1::nat)) UNIV \subseteq (\tau \wedge 0::nat) UNIV$  by simp
next show  $\bigwedge(i::nat) n::nat.$ 
   $(\tau \wedge n + (1::nat)) UNIV \subseteq (\tau \wedge n) UNIV \implies (\tau \wedge Suc\ n + (1::nat)) UNIV$ 
 $\subseteq (\tau \wedge Suc\ n) UNIV$ 
  proof -
    fix  $i\ n$ 
    assume  $a: (\tau \wedge n + (1::nat)) UNIV \subseteq (\tau \wedge n) UNIV$ 
    have  $(\tau ((\tau \wedge n) UNIV)) \supseteq (\tau ((\tau \wedge (n + (1 :: nat)))) UNIV))$  using assms
    apply (rule monotoneE)
    by (rule a)
    thus  $(\tau \wedge Suc\ n + (1::nat)) UNIV \subseteq (\tau \wedge Suc\ n) UNIV$  by simp
  qed
qed

lemma Suc-less-le:  $x < (y - n) \implies x \leq (y - (Suc\ n))$ 
by simp

lemma card-univ-subtract:
  assumes finite  $(UNIV :: 'a\ set)$  and monotone  $(\tau :: 'a\ set \Rightarrow 'a\ set)$ 
  and  $(\forall i :: nat. ((\tau :: 'a\ set \Rightarrow 'a\ set) \wedge i + (1 :: nat)) (UNIV :: 'a\ set) \subset (\tau \wedge i) UNIV)$ 
  shows  $(\forall i :: nat. card((\tau \wedge i) (UNIV :: 'a\ set)) \leq (card (UNIV :: 'a\ set)) - i)$ 
proof (rule allI, induct-tac i)
  show  $card((\tau \wedge 0::nat) UNIV) \leq card (UNIV :: 'a\ set) - (0::nat)$  using assms
  by (simp)
next show  $\bigwedge(i::nat) n::nat.$ 
   $card((\tau \wedge n) (UNIV :: 'a\ set)) \leq card (UNIV :: 'a\ set) - n \implies$ 
   $card((\tau \wedge Suc\ n) (UNIV :: 'a\ set)) \leq card (UNIV :: 'a\ set) - Suc\ n$  using
assms
  proof -
    fix  $i\ n$ 
    assume  $a: card((\tau \wedge n) (UNIV :: 'a\ set)) \leq card (UNIV :: 'a\ set) - n$ 
    have  $b: (\tau \wedge n + (1::nat)) (UNIV :: 'a\ set) \subset (\tau \wedge n) UNIV$  using assms
    by (erule-tac x = n in spec)
    have  $card((\tau \wedge n + (1 :: nat)) (UNIV :: 'a\ set)) < card((\tau \wedge n) (UNIV :: 'a\ set))$ 
    apply (rule psubset-card-mono)
    apply (rule finite-subset)
    apply (rule subset-UNIV)
    apply (rule assms(1))
    by (rule b)
    thus  $card((\tau \wedge Suc\ n) (UNIV :: 'a\ set)) \leq card (UNIV :: 'a\ set) - Suc\ n$ 
using a
    by simp
  qed

```

qed

lemma *card-UNIV-tau-i-below-zero*:

assumes *finite* (*UNIV* :: 'a set) **and** *monotone* ($\tau :: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$)
and ($\forall i :: \text{nat}. ((\tau :: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}) \wedge i + (1 :: \text{nat})) (UNIV :: 'a \text{ set}) \subset (\tau \wedge i) UNIV$)
shows $\text{card}((\tau \wedge (\text{card } (UNIV :: 'a \text{ set}))) (UNIV :: 'a \text{ set})) \leq 0$
proof –
have ($\forall i :: \text{nat}. \text{card}((\tau \wedge i) (UNIV :: 'a \text{ set})) \leq (\text{card } (UNIV :: 'a \text{ set})) - i$)
using *assms*
by (*rule card-univ-subtract*)
thus $\text{card}((\tau \wedge (\text{card } (UNIV :: 'a \text{ set}))) (UNIV :: 'a \text{ set})) \leq 0$
apply (*drule-tac* $x = \text{card } (UNIV :: 'a \text{ set})$ **in** *spec*)
by *simp*

qed

lemma *finite-card-zero-empty*: $\llbracket \text{finite } S; \text{card } S \leq 0 \rrbracket \Rightarrow S = \{\}$

by *simp*

lemma *UNIV-tau-i-is-empty*:

assumes *finite* (*UNIV* :: 'a set) **and** *monotone* ($\tau :: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$)
and ($\forall i :: \text{nat}. ((\tau :: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}) \wedge i + (1 :: \text{nat})) (UNIV :: 'a \text{ set}) \subset (\tau \wedge i) UNIV$)
shows $(\tau \wedge (\text{card } (UNIV :: 'a \text{ set}))) (UNIV :: 'a \text{ set}) = \{\}$
proof –
have $\text{card } ((\tau \wedge \text{card } (UNIV :: 'a \text{ set})) UNIV) \leq (0 :: \text{nat})$ **using** *assms*
apply (*rule card-UNIV-tau-i-below-zero*)
·
thus $(\tau \wedge (\text{card } (UNIV :: 'a \text{ set}))) (UNIV :: 'a \text{ set}) = \{\}$ **using** *assms*
apply (*rule-tac* $S = (\tau \wedge (\text{card } (UNIV :: 'a \text{ set}))) (UNIV :: 'a \text{ set})$ **in** *finite-card-zero-empty*)
apply (*rule finite-subset*)
apply (*rule subset-UNIV*)
·

qed

lemma *down-chain-reaches-empty*:

assumes *finite* (*UNIV* :: 'a set) **and** *monotone* ($\tau :: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$)
and ($\forall i :: \text{nat}. ((\tau :: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}) \wedge i + (1 :: \text{nat})) UNIV \subset (\tau \wedge i) UNIV$)
shows $\exists (j :: \text{nat}). (\tau \wedge j) UNIV = \{\}$
proof –
have $(\tau \wedge ((\text{card } (UNIV :: 'a \text{ set})))) UNIV = \{\}$ **using** *assms*
apply (*rule UNIV-tau-i-is-empty*)
·
thus $\exists (j :: \text{nat}). (\tau \wedge j) UNIV = \{\}$
by (*rule exI*)

qed

lemma *no-infinite-subset-chain2*:

```

assumes finite ( $UNIV :: 'a \text{ set}$ ) and monotone ( $\tau :: ('a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set})$ )
and  $\forall i :: \text{nat}. (\tau \wedge i) \text{ UNIV} \supset (\tau \wedge i + (1 :: \text{nat})) \text{ UNIV}$ 
shows False
proof -
  have  $\exists j :: \text{nat}. (\tau \wedge j) \text{ UNIV} = \{\}$  using assms
  apply (rule down-chain-reaches-empty)
  .
  from this obtain  $j$  where  $a: (\tau \wedge j) \text{ UNIV} = \{\}$  by (erule exE)
  have  $(\tau \wedge j + (1 :: \text{nat})) \text{ UNIV} \subset (\tau \wedge j) \text{ UNIV}$  using assms
  by (erule-tac x = j in spec)
  thus False using a by simp
qed

lemma finite-fixp2:
assumes finite( $UNIV :: 'a \text{ set}$ ) and monotone ( $\tau :: ('a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set})$ )
shows  $\exists i. (\tau \wedge i) \text{ UNIV} = (\tau \wedge (i + 1)) \text{ UNIV}$ 
proof -
  have  $\forall i :: \text{nat}. (\tau \wedge i + (1 :: \text{nat})) \text{ UNIV} \subseteq (\tau \wedge i) \text{ UNIV}$ 
  apply (rule predtrans-UNIV) using assms
  by (simp add: assms(2))
  moreover have  $\exists i :: \text{nat}. \neg (\tau \wedge i + (1 :: \text{nat})) \text{ UNIV} \subset (\tau \wedge i) \text{ UNIV}$  using
assms
  proof -
    have  $\neg (\forall i :: \text{nat}. (\tau \wedge i) \text{ UNIV} \supset (\tau \wedge (i + 1)) \text{ UNIV})$ 
    apply (rule notI)
    apply (rule no-infinite-subset-chain2) using assms
    .
    thus  $\exists i :: \text{nat}. \neg (\tau \wedge i + (1 :: \text{nat})) \text{ UNIV} \subset (\tau \wedge i) \text{ UNIV}$  by blast
  qed
  ultimately show  $\exists i. (\tau \wedge i) \text{ UNIV} = (\tau \wedge (i + 1)) \text{ UNIV}$ 
  by blast
qed

lemma mono-monotone: mono ( $\tau :: ('a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set})$ )  $\implies$  monotone  $\tau$ 
by (simp add: monotone-def mono-def)

lemma monotone-mono: monotone ( $\tau :: ('a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set})$ )  $\implies$  mono  $\tau$ 
by (simp add: monotone-def mono-def)

lemma power-power:  $((\tau :: ('a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set})) \wedge \wedge n) = ((\tau :: ('a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set})) \wedge \wedge n)$ 
proof (induct-tac n)
  show  $\tau \wedge \wedge (0 :: \text{nat}) = (\tau \wedge 0 :: \text{nat})$  by (simp add: id-def)
  next show  $\bigwedge n :: \text{nat}. \tau \wedge \wedge n = (\tau \wedge n) \implies \tau \wedge \wedge \text{Suc } n = (\tau \wedge \text{Suc } n)$ 
  by simp
qed

lemma lfp-Kleene-iter-set: monotone ( $f :: ('a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set})$ )  $\implies$ 
 $(f \wedge \text{Suc}(n)) \{\} = (f \wedge n) \{\} \implies \text{lfp } f = (f \wedge n) \{\}$ 

```

by (simp add: monotone-mono lfp-Kleene-iter power-power)

lemma lfp-loop:

assumes finite (UNIV :: 'b set) and monotone ($\tau :: ('b \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ set})$)

shows $\exists n . \text{lfp } \tau = (\tau \wedge n) \{ \}$

proof -

have $\exists i :: \text{nat} . (\tau \wedge i) \{ \} = (\tau \wedge i + (1 :: \text{nat})) \{ \}$ using assms

by (rule finite-fixp)

from this obtain i where $(\tau \wedge i) \{ \} = (\tau \wedge i + (1 :: \text{nat})) \{ \}$

by (erule exE)

hence $(\tau \wedge i) \{ \} = (\tau \wedge \text{Suc } i) \{ \}$

by simp

hence $(\tau \wedge \text{Suc } i) \{ \} = (\tau \wedge i) \{ \}$

by (rule sym)

hence $\text{lfp } \tau = (\tau \wedge i) \{ \}$

by (simp add: assms(2) lfp-Kleene-iter-set)

thus $\exists n . \text{lfp } \tau = (\tau \wedge n) \{ \}$

by (rule exI)

qed

lemma Kleene-iter-gfp:

assumes mono f and $p \leq f p$ shows $p \leq (f \wedge k) (\text{top} :: 'a :: \text{order-top})$

proof(induction k)

case 0 show ?case by simp

next

case Suc

from monoD[OF assms(1) Suc] assms(2)

show ?case by simp

qed

lemma gfp-Kleene-iter: assumes mono f and $(f \wedge \text{Suc } k) \text{ top} = (f \wedge k) \text{ top}$

shows $\text{gfp } f = (f \wedge k) \text{ top}$

proof(rule antisym)

show $(f \wedge k) \text{ top} \leq \text{gfp } f$

proof(rule gfp-upperbound)

show $(f \wedge k) \text{ top} \leq f ((f \wedge k) \text{ top})$ using assms(2) by simp

qed

next

show $\text{gfp } f \leq (f \wedge k) \text{ top}$

using Kleene-iter-gfp[OF assms(1)] gfp-unfold[OF assms(1)] by simp

qed

lemma gfp-Kleene-iter-set:

assumes monotone ($f :: ('a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set})$)

and $(f \wedge \text{Suc}(n)) \text{ UNIV} = (f \wedge n) \text{ UNIV}$

shows $\text{gfp } f = (f \wedge n) \text{ UNIV}$

proof -

have a: mono f using assms

```

    by (erule-tac  $\tau = f$  in monotone-mono)
  hence b:  $(f \hat{\ } \text{Suc } (n)) \text{ UNIV} = (f \hat{\ } n) \text{ UNIV}$  using assms
    by (simp add: power-power)
  hence c:  $\text{gfp } f = (f \hat{\ } (n))(\text{UNIV} :: 'a \text{ set})$  using assms a
    thm gfp-Kleene-iter
    apply (erule-tac  $f = f$  and  $k = n$  in gfp-Kleene-iter)
  .
  thus  $\text{gfp } f = (f \hat{\ } (n))(\text{UNIV} :: 'a \text{ set})$  using assms a
    by (simp add: power-power)
qed

```

lemma *gfp-loop*:

```

  assumes finite ( $\text{UNIV} :: 'b \text{ set}$ )
  and monotone ( $\tau :: ('b \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ set})$ )
  shows  $\exists n . \text{gfp } \tau = (\tau \hat{\ } n)(\text{UNIV} :: 'b \text{ set})$ 
proof -
  have  $\exists i :: \text{nat}. (\tau \hat{\ } i)(\text{UNIV} :: 'b \text{ set}) = (\tau \hat{\ } i + (1 :: \text{nat})) \text{ UNIV}$  using assms
    by (rule finite-fixp2)
  from this obtain i where  $(\tau \hat{\ } i)(\text{UNIV} :: 'b \text{ set}) = (\tau \hat{\ } i + (1 :: \text{nat})) \text{ UNIV}$ 
  by (erule exE)
  thus  $\exists n . \text{gfp } \tau = (\tau \hat{\ } n)(\text{UNIV} :: 'b \text{ set})$  using assms
    apply (rule-tac  $x = i$  in exI)
    apply (rule gfp-Kleene-iter-set)
    apply assumption
    apply (rule sym)
    by simp
qed

```

```

class state =
  fixes state-transition :: [ $'a :: \text{type}$ ,  $'a$ ]  $\Rightarrow$  bool (( $- \rightarrow_i -$ ) 50)

```

```

definition AX where  $\text{AX } f \equiv \{s. \{f0. s \rightarrow_i f0\} \subseteq f\}$ 
definition EX' where  $\text{EX'} } f \equiv \{s. \exists f0 \in f. s \rightarrow_i f0\}$ 

```

```

definition AF where  $\text{AF } f \equiv \text{lfp } (\lambda Z. f \cup \text{AX } Z)$ 
definition EF where  $\text{EF } f \equiv \text{lfp } (\lambda Z. f \cup \text{EX'} } Z)$ 
definition AG where  $\text{AG } f \equiv \text{gfp } (\lambda Z. f \cap \text{AX } Z)$ 
definition EG where  $\text{EG } f \equiv \text{gfp } (\lambda Z. f \cap \text{EX'} } Z)$ 
definition AU where  $\text{AU } f1 f2 \equiv \text{lfp } (\lambda Z. f2 \cup (f1 \cap \text{AX } Z))$ 
definition EU where  $\text{EU } f1 f2 \equiv \text{lfp } (\lambda Z. f2 \cup (f1 \cap \text{EX'} } Z))$ 
definition AR where  $\text{AR } f1 f2 \equiv \text{gfp } (\lambda Z. f2 \cap (f1 \cup \text{AX } Z))$ 
definition ER where  $\text{ER } f1 f2 \equiv \text{gfp } (\lambda Z. f2 \cap (f1 \cup \text{EX'} } Z))$ 

```

```

datatype  $'a \text{ kripke} =$ 
  Kripke  $'a \text{ set } 'a \text{ set}$ 

```


primrec *states* **where** *states* (*Kripke S I*) = *S*
primrec *init* **where** *init* (*Kripke S I*) = *I*

definition *check* ($- \vdash -$ 50)
where $M \vdash f \equiv (\text{init } M) \subseteq \{s \in (\text{states } M). s \in f\}$

definition *state-transition-refl* ($(- \rightarrow_{i*} -)$ 50)
where $s \rightarrow_{i*} s' \equiv ((s, s') \in \{(x, y). \text{state-transition } x \ y\}^*)$

lemma *EF-lem0*: $(x \in EF \ f) = (x \in f \cup EX' \ (\text{lfp } (\lambda Z :: ('a :: \text{state}) \text{ set. } f \cup EX' \ Z)))$

proof –

have $\text{lfp } (\lambda Z :: ('a :: \text{state}) \text{ set. } f \cup EX' \ Z) =$
 $f \cup (EX' \ (\text{lfp } (\lambda Z :: 'a \text{ set. } f \cup EX' \ Z)))$
apply (*rule def-lfp-unfold*)
apply (*rule reflexive*)
apply (*unfold mono-def EX'-def*)
by *auto*
thus $(x \in EF \ (f :: ('a :: \text{state}) \text{ set})) = (x \in f \cup EX' \ (\text{lfp } (\lambda Z :: ('a :: \text{state}) \text{ set. } f \cup EX' \ Z)))$
by (*simp add: EF-def*)
qed

lemma *EF-lem00*: $(EF \ f) = (f \cup EX' \ (\text{lfp } (\lambda Z :: ('a :: \text{state}) \text{ set. } f \cup EX' \ Z)))$

proof (*rule equalityI*)

show $EF \ f \subseteq f \cup EX' \ (\text{lfp } (\lambda Z :: 'a \text{ set. } f \cup EX' \ Z))$
apply (*rule subsetI*)
by (*simp add: EF-lem0*)
next show $f \cup EX' \ (\text{lfp } (\lambda Z :: 'a \text{ set. } f \cup EX' \ Z)) \subseteq EF \ f$
apply (*rule subsetI*)
by (*simp add: EF-lem0*)
qed

lemma *EF-lem000*: $(EF \ f) = (f \cup EX' \ (EF \ f))$

proof (*subst EF-lem00*)

show $f \cup EX' \ (\text{lfp } (\lambda Z :: 'a \text{ set. } f \cup EX' \ Z)) = f \cup EX' \ (EF \ f)$
apply (*fold EF-def*)
by (*rule refl*)
qed

lemma *EF-lem1*: $x \in f \vee x \in (EX' \ (EF \ f)) \implies x \in EF \ f$

proof (*simp add: EF-def*)

assume $a: x \in f \vee x \in EX' \ (\text{lfp } (\lambda Z :: 'a \text{ set. } f \cup EX' \ Z))$
show $x \in \text{lfp } (\lambda Z :: 'a \text{ set. } f \cup EX' \ Z)$
proof –
have $b: \text{lfp } (\lambda Z :: ('a :: \text{state}) \text{ set. } f \cup EX' \ Z) =$
 $f \cup (EX' \ (\text{lfp } (\lambda Z :: ('a :: \text{state}) \text{ set. } f \cup EX' \ Z)))$
apply (*rule def-lfp-unfold*)

```

    apply (rule reflexive)
    apply (unfold mono-def EX'-def)
    by auto
  thus  $x \in \text{lfp } (\lambda Z::'a \text{ set. } f \cup EX' Z)$  using  $a$ 
    apply (subst b)
    by blast
qed
qed

```

```

lemma EF-lem2b:
  assumes  $x \in (EX' (EF f))$ 
  shows  $x \in EF f$ 
proof (rule EF-lem1)
  show  $x \in f \vee x \in EX' (EF f)$ 
    apply (rule disjI2)
    by (rule assms)
qed

```

```

lemma EF-lem2a: assumes  $x \in f$  shows  $x \in EF f$ 
proof (rule EF-lem1)
  show  $x \in f \vee x \in EX' (EF f)$ 
    apply (rule disjI1)
    by (rule assms)
qed

```

```

lemma EF-lem2c: assumes  $x \notin f$  shows  $x \in EF (- f)$ 
proof -
  have  $x \in (- f)$  using assms
  by simp
  thus  $x \in EF (- f)$ 
    by (rule EF-lem2a)
qed

```

```

lemma EF-lem2d: assumes  $x \notin EF f$  shows  $x \notin f$ 
proof -
  have  $x \in f \implies x \in EF f$ 
    by (erule EF-lem2a)
  thus  $x \notin f$  using assms
    thm contrapos-nn
    apply (erule-tac  $P = x \in f$  in contrapos-nn)
    apply (erule meta-mp)
    .
qed

```

```

lemma EF-lem3b: assumes  $x \in EX' (f \cup EX' (EF f))$  shows  $x \in (EF f)$ 
proof (simp add: EF-lem0)
  show  $x \in f \vee x \in EX' (\text{lfp } (\lambda Z::'a \text{ set. } f \cup EX' Z))$ 
    apply (rule disjI2)
    apply (fold EF-def)

```

apply (*subst EF-lem00*)
apply (*fold EF-def*)
by (*rule asms*)
qed

lemma *EX-lem0l*: $x \in (EX' f) \implies x \in (EX' (f \cup g))$
proof (*unfold EX'-def*)
show $x \in \{s::'a. \exists f0::'a \in f. s \rightarrow_i f0\} \implies x \in \{s::'a. \exists f0::'a \in f \cup g. s \rightarrow_i f0\}$
by *blast*
qed

lemma *EX-lem0r*: $x \in (EX' g) \implies x \in (EX' (f \cup g))$
proof (*unfold EX'-def*)
show $x \in \{s::'a. \exists f0::'a \in g. s \rightarrow_i f0\} \implies x \in \{s::'a. \exists f0::'a \in f \cup g. s \rightarrow_i f0\}$
by *blast*
qed

lemma *EX-step*: **assumes** $x \rightarrow_i y$ **and** $y \in f$ **shows** $x \in EX' f$
proof (*unfold EX'-def*)
show $x \in \{s::'a. \exists f0::'a \in f. s \rightarrow_i f0\}$
apply *simp*
apply (*rule-tac x = y in bexI*)
by (*rule asms*)+
qed

lemma *EF-E[rule-format]*: $\forall f. x \in (EF (f :: ('a :: state) set)) \longrightarrow x \in (f \cup EX' (EF f))$
proof –
have $a: \bigwedge f::'a \text{ set}. EF (f :: ('a :: state) set) = f \cup EX' (EF f)$
by (*rule EF-lem000*)
thus $(\forall f. x \in EF (f :: ('a :: state) set) \longrightarrow x \in f \cup EX' (EF f))$
apply (*rule-tac P = ($\lambda f. x \in EF (f :: ('a :: state) set) \longrightarrow x \in f \cup EX' (EF f)$) in allI*)
apply (*subst a*)
apply (*rule impI*)
by *assumption*
qed

lemma *EF-step*: **assumes** $x \rightarrow_i y$ **and** $y \in f$ **shows** $x \in EF f$
proof (*rule EF-lem3b*)
show $x \in EX' (f \cup EX' (EF f))$
apply (*rule EX-step*)
apply (*rule asms(1)*)
by (*simp add: asms(2)*)
qed

lemma *EF-step-step*: **assumes** $x \rightarrow_i y$ **and** $y \in EF f$ **shows** $x \in EF f$
proof –
have $y \in f \cup EX' (EF f)$

apply (*rule EF-E*)
by (*rule assms(2)*)
thus $x \in EF\ f$
apply (*rule-tac* $x = x$ **and** $f = f$ **in** *EF-lem3b*)
apply (*rule EX-step*)
by (*rule assms*)
qed

lemma *EF-step-star*: $\llbracket x \rightarrow_{i^*} y; y \in f \rrbracket \implies x \in EF\ f$
proof (*simp add: state-transition-refl-def*)
show $(x, y) \in \{(x::'a, y::'a). x \rightarrow_i y\}^* \implies y \in f \implies x \in EF\ f$
proof (*erule converse-rtrancl-induct*)
show $y \in f \implies y \in EF\ f$
by (*erule EF-lem2a*)
next show $\bigwedge (ya::'a) z::'a. y \in f \implies$
 $(ya, z) \in \{(x::'a, y::'a). x \rightarrow_i y\} \implies$
 $(z, y) \in \{(x::'a, y::'a). x \rightarrow_i y\}^* \implies z \in EF\ f \implies ya \in EF\ f$
apply (*clarify*)
apply (*erule EF-step-step*)
by *assumption*
qed
qed

lemma *EF-induct-prep*:
assumes $(a::'a::state) \in lfp\ (\lambda Z. (f::'a::state\ set) \cup EX'\ Z)$
and *mono* $(\lambda Z. (f::'a::state\ set) \cup EX'\ Z)$
shows $(\bigwedge x::'a::state.$
 $x \in ((\lambda Z. (f::'a::state\ set) \cup EX'\ Z)(lfp\ (\lambda Z. (f::'a::state\ set) \cup EX'\ Z) \cap$
 $\{x::'a::state. (P::'a::state \Rightarrow bool)\ x\})) \implies P\ x) \implies$
 $P\ a$
proof –
show $(\bigwedge x::'a::state.$
 $x \in ((\lambda Z. (f::'a::state\ set) \cup EX'\ Z)(lfp\ (\lambda Z. (f::'a::state\ set) \cup EX'\ Z) \cap$
 $\{x::'a::state. (P::'a::state \Rightarrow bool)\ x\})) \implies P\ x) \implies$
 $P\ a$
apply (*rule-tac* $A = EF\ f$ **in** *def-lfp-induct-set*)
apply (*rule EF-def*)
apply (*rule assms(2)*)
by (*simp add: EF-def assms*)
qed

lemma *EF-induct*: $(a::'a::state) \in EF\ (f :: 'a :: state\ set) \implies$
 $mono\ (\lambda Z. (f::'a::state\ set) \cup EX'\ Z) \implies$
 $(\bigwedge x::'a::state.$
 $x \in ((\lambda Z. (f::'a::state\ set) \cup EX'\ Z)(EF\ f \cap \{x::'a::state. (P::'a::state \Rightarrow$
 $bool)\ x\})) \implies P\ x) \implies$
 $P\ a$
proof (*simp add: EF-def*)
show $a \in lfp\ (\lambda Z::'a\ set. f \cup EX'\ Z) \implies$

$\text{mono } (\lambda Z::'a \text{ set. } f \cup EX' Z) \implies$
 $(\bigwedge x::'a. x \in f \vee x \in EX' (\text{lfp } (\lambda Z::'a \text{ set. } f \cup EX' Z) \cap \text{Collect } P) \implies P x)$
 $\implies P a$
apply (erule EF-induct-prep)
apply assumption
by simp
qed

lemma valEF-E: $M \vdash EF f \implies x \in \text{init } M \implies x \in EF f$
proof (simp add: check-def)
show $\text{init } M \subseteq \{s::'a \in \text{states } M. s \in EF f\} \implies x \in \text{init } M \implies x \in EF f$
apply (drule subsetD)
apply assumption
by simp
qed

lemma EF-step-star-rev[rule-format]: $x \in EF s \implies (\exists y \in s. x \rightarrow_i^* y)$
proof (erule EF-induct)
show $\text{mono } (\lambda Z::'a \text{ set. } s \cup EX' Z)$
apply (simp add: mono-def EX'-def)
by force
next show $\bigwedge x::'a. x \in s \cup EX' (EF s \cap \{x::'a. \exists y::'a \in s. x \rightarrow_i^* y\}) \implies \exists y::'a \in s. x \rightarrow_i^* y$
apply (erule UnE)
apply (rule-tac $x = x$ in bexI)
apply (simp add: state-transition-refl-def)
apply assumption
apply (simp add: EX'-def)
apply (erule bexE)
apply (erule IntE)
apply (drule CollectD)
apply (erule bexE)
apply (rule-tac $x = xb$ in bexI)
apply (simp add: state-transition-refl-def)
apply (rule rtrancl-trans)
apply (rule r-into-rtrancl)
apply (rule CollectI)
apply simp
by assumption+
qed

lemma EF-step-inv: $(I \subseteq \{sa::'s :: \text{state. } (\exists i::'s \in I. i \rightarrow_i^* sa) \wedge sa \in EF s\})$
 $\implies \forall x \in I. \exists y \in s. x \rightarrow_i^* y$
proof (clarify)
show $\bigwedge x::'s. I \subseteq \{sa::'s. (\exists i::'s \in I. i \rightarrow_i^* sa) \wedge sa \in EF s\} \implies x \in I \implies \exists y::'s \in s. x \rightarrow_i^* y$
apply (drule subsetD)
apply assumption
apply (drule CollectD)

```

    apply (erule conjE)
  by (erule EF-step-star-rev)
qed

```

```

lemma AG-in-lem:  $x \in AG\ s \implies x \in s$ 
proof (simp add: AG-def gfp-def)
  show  $\exists xa \subseteq s. xa \subseteq AX\ xa \wedge x \in xa \implies x \in s$ 
    apply (erule exE)
    apply (erule conjE)+
    by (erule subsetD, assumption)
qed

```

```

lemma AG-lem1:  $x \in s \wedge x \in (AX\ (AG\ s)) \implies x \in AG\ s$ 
proof (simp add: AG-def)
  show  $x \in s \wedge x \in AX\ (gfp\ (\lambda Z::'a\ set. s \cap AX\ Z)) \implies x \in gfp\ (\lambda Z::'a\ set. s \cap AX\ Z)$ 
    apply (subgoal-tac  $gfp\ (\lambda Z::'a\ set. s \cap AX\ Z) =$ 
       $s \cap (AX\ (gfp\ (\lambda Z::'a\ set. s \cap AX\ Z)))$ )
    apply (erule ssubst)
    apply simp
    apply (rule def-gfp-unfold)
    apply (rule reflexive)
    apply (unfold mono-def AX-def)
    by auto
qed

```

```

lemma AG-lem2:  $x \in AG\ s \implies x \in (s \cap (AX\ (AG\ s)))$ 
proof -
  have  $a: AG\ s = s \cap (AX\ (AG\ s))$ 
    apply (simp add: AG-def)
    apply (rule def-gfp-unfold)
    apply (rule reflexive)
    apply (unfold mono-def AX-def)
    by auto
  thus  $x \in AG\ s \implies x \in (s \cap (AX\ (AG\ s)))$ 
    by (erule subst)
qed

```

```

lemma AG-lem3:  $AG\ s = (s \cap (AX\ (AG\ s)))$ 
proof (rule equalityI)
  show  $AG\ s \subseteq s \cap AX\ (AG\ s)$ 
    apply (rule subsetI)
    by (erule AG-lem2)
  next show  $s \cap AX\ (AG\ s) \subseteq AG\ s$ 
    apply (rule subsetI)
    apply (rule AG-lem1)
    by simp

```

qed

lemma *AG-step*: $y \rightarrow_i z \implies y \in AG\ s \implies z \in AG\ s$

proof (*drule AG-lem2*)

show $y \rightarrow_i z \implies y \in s \cap AX\ (AG\ s) \implies z \in AG\ s$

apply (*erule IntE*)

apply (*unfold AX-def*)

apply *simp*

apply (*erule subsetD*)

by *simp*

qed

lemma *AG-all-s*: $x \rightarrow_i^* y \implies x \in AG\ s \implies y \in AG\ s$

proof (*simp add: state-transition-refl-def*)

show $(x, y) \in \{(x::'a, y::'a). x \rightarrow_i y\}^* \implies x \in AG\ s \implies y \in AG\ s$

apply (*erule rtrancl-induct*)

proof –

show $x \in AG\ s \implies x \in AG\ s$ **by** *assumption*

next show $\bigwedge(y::'a) z::'a.$

$x \in AG\ s \implies$

$(x, y) \in \{(x::'a, y::'a). x \rightarrow_i y\}^* \implies$

$(y, z) \in \{(x::'a, y::'a). x \rightarrow_i y\} \implies y \in AG\ s \implies z \in AG\ s$

apply *clarify*

by (*erule AG-step, assumption*)

qed

qed

lemma *AG-imp-notnotEF*:

$I \neq \{\} \implies ((Kripke\ \{s :: ('s :: state). \exists i \in I. (i \rightarrow_i^* s)\} (I :: ('s :: state) set)$

$\vdash AG\ s)) \implies$

$(\neg(Kripke\ \{s :: ('s :: state). \exists i \in I. (i \rightarrow_i^* s)\} (I :: ('s :: state) set) \vdash EF\ (-s)))$

proof (*rule notI, simp add: check-def*)

assume *a0*: $I \neq \{\}$ **and**

a1: $I \subseteq \{sa::'s. (\exists i::'s \in I. i \rightarrow_i^* sa) \wedge sa \in AG\ s\}$ **and**

a2: $I \subseteq \{sa::'s. (\exists i::'s \in I. i \rightarrow_i^* sa) \wedge sa \in EF\ (-s)\}$

show *False*

proof –

have *a3*: $\{sa::'s. (\exists i::'s \in I. i \rightarrow_i^* sa) \wedge sa \in AG\ s\} \cap$

$\{sa::'s. (\exists i::'s \in I. i \rightarrow_i^* sa) \wedge sa \in EF\ (-s)\} = \{\}$

proof –

have $(? x. x \in \{sa::'s. (\exists i::'s \in I. i \rightarrow_i^* sa) \wedge sa \in AG\ s\} \wedge$

$x \in \{sa::'s. (\exists i::'s \in I. i \rightarrow_i^* sa) \wedge sa \in EF\ (-s)\}) \implies$

False

proof –

assume *a4*: $(? x. x \in \{sa::'s. (\exists i::'s \in I. i \rightarrow_i^* sa) \wedge sa \in AG\ s\} \wedge$

$x \in \{sa::'s. (\exists i::'s \in I. i \rightarrow_i^* sa) \wedge sa \in EF\ (-s)\})$

from *a4* **obtain** *x* **where** *a5*: $x \in \{sa::'s. (\exists i::'s \in I. i \rightarrow_i^* sa) \wedge sa \in$

$AG\ s\} \wedge$

$x \in \{sa::'s. (\exists i::'s \in I. i \rightarrow_i^* sa) \wedge sa \in EF(-s)\}$

by (erule exE)
 hence $x \in s \wedge x \in -s$
 proof –
 have $a6: x \in s$ using $a5$
 apply (subgoal-tac $x \in AG\ s$)
 apply (erule AG-in-lem)
 by simp
 moreover have $x \in -s$ using $a5$
 proof –
 have $x \in EF\ s$
 apply (rule-tac $y = x$ in $EF\text{-step-star}$)
 apply (simp add: state-transition-refl-def)
 by (rule a6)
 thus $x \in -s$ using $a5$
 proof –
 have $x \in EF(-s)$ using $a5$
 by simp
 moreover from this obtain y where $a7: y \in -s \wedge x \rightarrow_i^* y$
 apply (rotate-tac -1)
 apply (drule EF-step-star-rev)
 by blast
 moreover have $y \in AG\ s$ using $a7\ a5$
 apply (subgoal-tac $x \in AG\ s$)
 apply (erule conjE)
 apply (drule AG-all-s)
 apply assumption+
 by simp
 ultimately show $x \in -s$ using $a5$
 apply (rotate-tac -1)
 apply (drule AG-in-lem)
 by blast
 qed
 qed
 ultimately show $x \in s \wedge x \in -s$
 by (rule conjI)
 qed
 thus False
 by blast
 qed
 thus $\{sa::'s. (\exists i::'s \in I. i \rightarrow_i^* sa) \wedge sa \in AG\ s\} \cap$
 $\{sa::'s. (\exists i::'s \in I. i \rightarrow_i^* sa) \wedge sa \in EF(-s)\} = \{\}$
 by blast
 qed
 moreover have $b: ?x. x : I$ using $a0$
 by blast
 moreover obtain x where $x \in I$
 apply (rule exE)
 apply (rule b)


```

    by simp
  ultimately show False using a0 a1 a2
    by blast
qed
qed

lemma check2-def: (Kripke S I ⊢ f) = (I ⊆ S ∩ f)
proof (simp add: check-def)
  show (I ⊆ {s::'a ∈ S. s ∈ f}) = (I ⊆ S ∧ I ⊆ f) by blast
qed

end
theory AirInsider
imports MC
begin
datatype action = get | move | eval | put
typedecl actor
type-synonym identity = string
consts Actor :: string => actor
type-synonym policy = ((actor => bool) * action set)

definition ID :: [actor, string] => bool
where ID a s ≡ (a = Actor s)

datatype location = Location nat

datatype igraph = Lgraph (location * location)set location => identity list
              actor => (string list * string list) location => string list
datatype infrastructure =
  Infrastructure igraph
              [igraph ,location] => policy set

primrec loc :: location => nat
where loc(Location n) = n
primrec gra :: igraph => (location * location)set
where gra(Lgraph g a c l) = g
primrec agra :: igraph => (location => identity list)
where agra(Lgraph g a c l) = a
primrec cgra :: igraph => (actor => string list * string list)
where cgra(Lgraph g a c l) = c
primrec lgra :: igraph => (location => string list)
where lgra(Lgraph g a c l) = l

definition nodes :: igraph => location set
where nodes g == { x. (? y. ((x,y): gra g) | ((y,x): gra g))}

definition actors-graph :: igraph => identity set
where actors-graph g == {x. ? y. y : nodes g ∧ x ∈ set(agra g y)}

```

```

primrec graphI :: infrastructure  $\Rightarrow$  igraph
where graphI (Infrastructure g d) = g
primrec delta :: [infrastructure, igraph, location]  $\Rightarrow$  policy set
where delta (Infrastructure g d) = d
primrec tspace :: [infrastructure, actor ]  $\Rightarrow$  string list * string list
  where tspace (Infrastructure g d) = cgra g
primrec lspace :: [infrastructure, location ]  $\Rightarrow$  string list
where lspace (Infrastructure g d) = lgra g

definition credentials :: string list * string list  $\Rightarrow$  string set
  where credentials lxl  $\equiv$  set (fst lxl)
definition has :: [igraph, actor * string]  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where has G ac  $\equiv$  snd ac  $\in$  credentials(cgra G (fst ac))
definition roles :: string list * string list  $\Rightarrow$  string set
  where roles lxl  $\equiv$  set (snd lxl)
definition role :: [igraph, actor * string]  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where role G ac  $\equiv$  snd ac  $\in$  roles(cgra G (fst ac))

definition isin :: [igraph,location, string]  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where isin G l s  $\equiv$  s  $\in$  set(lgra G l)

datatype psy-states = happy | depressed | disgruntled | angry | stressed
datatype motivations = financial | political | revenge | curious | competitive-advantage
  | power | peer-recognition

datatype actor-state = Actor-state psy-states motivations set
primrec motivation :: actor-state  $\Rightarrow$  motivations set
where motivation (Actor-state p m) = m
primrec psy-state :: actor-state  $\Rightarrow$  psy-states
where psy-state (Actor-state p m) = p

definition tipping-point :: actor-state  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  tipping-point a  $\equiv$  ((motivation a  $\neq$  {}))  $\wedge$  (happy  $\neq$  psy-state a))

consts Isolation :: [actor-state, (identity * identity) set ]  $\Rightarrow$  bool

definition lay-off :: [infrastructure,actor set]  $\Rightarrow$  infrastructure
where lay-off G A  $\equiv$  G

consts social-graph :: (identity * identity) set

```

definition $UasI :: [identity, identity] \Rightarrow bool$
where $UasI\ a\ b \equiv (Actor\ a = Actor\ b) \wedge (\forall\ x\ y. x \neq a \wedge y \neq a \wedge Actor\ x = Actor\ y \longrightarrow x = y)$

definition $UasI' :: [actor \Rightarrow bool, identity, identity] \Rightarrow bool$
where $UasI'\ P\ a\ b \equiv P\ (Actor\ b) \longrightarrow P\ (Actor\ a)$

consts $astate :: identity \Rightarrow actor\text{-}state$

definition $Insider :: [identity, identity\ set] \Rightarrow bool$
where $Insider\ a\ C \equiv (tipping\text{-}point\ (astate\ a) \longrightarrow (\forall\ b \in C. UasI\ a\ b))$

definition $Insider' :: [actor \Rightarrow bool, identity, identity\ set] \Rightarrow bool$
where $Insider'\ P\ a\ C \equiv (tipping\text{-}point\ (astate\ a) \longrightarrow (\forall\ b \in C. UasI'\ P\ a\ b \wedge inj\text{-}on\ Actor\ C))$

definition $atI :: [identity, igrph, location] \Rightarrow bool\ (-\ @_{(-)}\ -\ 50)$
where $a\ @_G\ l \equiv a \in set(agra\ G\ l)$

definition $enables :: [infrastructure, location, actor, action] \Rightarrow bool$
where
 $enables\ I\ l\ a\ a' \equiv (\exists\ (p,e) \in delta\ I\ (graphI\ I)\ l. a' \in e \wedge p\ a)$

definition $behaviour :: infrastructure \Rightarrow (location * actor * action)set$
where $behaviour\ I \equiv \{(t,a,a').\ enables\ I\ t\ a\ a'\}$

definition $misbehaviour :: infrastructure \Rightarrow (location * actor * action)set$
where $misbehaviour\ I \equiv \neg(behaviour\ I)$

lemma $not\text{-}enableI: (\forall\ (p,e) \in delta\ I\ (graphI\ I)\ l. (\sim(h : e) \mid (\sim(p(a)))) \implies \sim(enables\ I\ l\ a\ h))$
by $(simp\ add: enables\text{-}def, blast)$

lemma $not\text{-}enableI2: [\bigwedge\ p\ e. (p,e) \in delta\ I\ (graphI\ I)\ l \implies (\sim(t : e) \mid (\sim(p(a))))] \implies \sim(enables\ I\ l\ a\ t)$
by $(rule\ not\text{-}enableI, rule\ ballI, auto)$

lemma $not\text{-}enableE: [\sim(enables\ I\ l\ a\ t); (p,e) \in delta\ I\ (graphI\ I)\ l] \implies (\sim(t : e) \mid (\sim(p(a))))$

by (*simp add: enables-def, rule impI, force*)

lemma *not-enableE2*: $\llbracket \sim(\text{enables } I \ l \ a \ t); (p, e) \in \text{delta } I \ (\text{graphI } I) \ l; \\ t : e \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (\sim(p(a)))$
by (*simp add: enables-def, force*)

primrec *del* :: $['a, 'a \text{ list}] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list}$

where

del-nil: $\text{del } a \ [] = []$ |

del-cons: $\text{del } a \ (x \# ls) = (\text{if } x = a \text{ then } ls \text{ else } x \# (\text{del } a \ ls))$

primrec *jonce* :: $['a, 'a \text{ list}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where

jonce-nil: $\text{jonce } a \ [] = \text{False}$ |

jonce-cons: $\text{jonce } a \ (x \# ls) = (\text{if } x = a \text{ then } (a \notin (\text{set } ls)) \text{ else } \text{jonce } a \ ls)$

primrec *nodup* :: $['a, 'a \text{ list}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where

nodup-nil: $\text{nodup } a \ [] = \text{True}$ |

nodup-step: $\text{nodup } a \ (x \# ls) = (\text{if } x = a \text{ then } (a \notin (\text{set } ls)) \text{ else } \text{nodup } a \ ls)$

definition *move-graph-a* :: $[\text{identity}, \text{location}, \text{location}, \text{igraph}] \Rightarrow \text{igraph}$

where *move-graph-a* $n \ l \ l' \ g \equiv \text{Lgraph } (\text{gra } g)$

$(\text{if } n \in \text{set } ((\text{agra } g) \ l) \ \& \ n \notin \text{set } ((\text{agra } g) \ l') \text{ then} \\ ((\text{agra } g)(l := \text{del } n \ (\text{agra } g \ l)))(l' := (n \# (\text{agra } g \ l')))) \\ \text{else } (\text{agra } g))(cgra \ g)(lgra \ g)$

inductive *state-transition-in* :: $[\text{infrastructure}, \text{infrastructure}] \Rightarrow \text{bool } ((- \rightarrow_n -)$
50)

where

move: $\llbracket G = \text{graphI } I; a @_G l; l \in \text{nodes } G; l' \in \text{nodes } G;$

$(a) \in \text{actors-graph}(\text{graphI } I); \text{enables } I \ l' \ (\text{Actor } a) \text{ move};$

$I' = \text{Infrastructure } (\text{move-graph-a } a \ l \ l' \ (\text{graphI } I))(\text{delta } I) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow I \rightarrow_n I'$

| *get* : $\llbracket G = \text{graphI } I; a @_G l; a' @_G l'; \text{has } G \ (\text{Actor } a, z);$

$\text{enables } I \ l \ (\text{Actor } a) \text{ get};$

$I' = \text{Infrastructure}$

$(\text{Lgraph } (\text{gra } G)(\text{agra } G)$

$((\text{cgra } G)(\text{Actor } a' :=$

$(z \# (\text{fst}(\text{cgra } G \ (\text{Actor } a'))), \text{snd}(\text{cgra } G \ (\text{Actor } a')))))$

$(\text{lgra } G))$

$(\text{delta } I)$

$\rrbracket \Longrightarrow I \rightarrow_n I'$

| *put* : $\llbracket G = \text{graphI } I; a @_G l; \text{enables } I \ l \ (\text{Actor } a) \text{ put};$

$I' = \text{Infrastructure}$

$(\text{Lgraph } (\text{gra } G)(\text{agra } G)(\text{cgra } G)$

$((\text{lgra } G)(l := [z])))$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \quad (\text{delta } I) \parallel \\
\Rightarrow & I \rightarrow_n I' \\
| \text{ put-remote} : & \parallel G = \text{graph } I \text{ } I; \text{ enables } I \text{ } l \text{ (Actor } a) \text{ put;} \\
& I' = \text{Infrastructure} \\
& \quad (\text{Lgraph } (\text{gra } G)(\text{agra } G)(\text{cgra } G) \\
& \quad \quad ((\text{lgra } G)(l := [z]))) \\
& \quad (\text{delta } I) \parallel \\
\Rightarrow & I \rightarrow_n I'
\end{aligned}$$

instantiation *infrastructure* :: state
begin

definition

state-transition-infra-def: $(i \rightarrow_i i') = (i \rightarrow_n (i' :: \text{infrastructure}))$

instance

by (*rule MC.class.MC.state.of-class.intro*)

definition *state-transition-in-refl* $((- \rightarrow_n^* -) \ 50)$

where $s \rightarrow_n^* s' \equiv ((s, s') \in \{(x, y). \text{state-transition-in } x \ y\}^*)$

lemma *del-del*[*rule-format*]: $n \in \text{set } (\text{del } a \ S) \longrightarrow n \in \text{set } S$

by (*induct-tac S, auto*)

lemma *del-dec*[*rule-format*]: $a \in \text{set } S \longrightarrow \text{length } (\text{del } a \ S) < \text{length } S$

by (*induct-tac S, auto*)

lemma *del-sort*[*rule-format*]: $\forall \ n. (\text{Suc } n :: \text{nat}) \leq \text{length } (l) \longrightarrow n \leq \text{length } (\text{del } a \ (l))$

by (*induct-tac l, simp, clarify, case-tac n, simp, simp*)

lemma *del-jonce*: $\text{jonce } a \ l \longrightarrow a \notin \text{set } (\text{del } a \ l)$

by (*induct-tac l, auto*)

lemma *del-nodup*[*rule-format*]: $\text{nodup } a \ l \longrightarrow a \notin \text{set } (\text{del } a \ l)$

by (*induct-tac l, auto*)

lemma *nodup-up*[*rule-format*]: $a \in \text{set } (\text{del } a \ l) \longrightarrow a \in \text{set } l$

by (*induct-tac l, auto*)

lemma *del-up* [*rule-format*]: $a \in \text{set } (\text{del } aa \ l) \longrightarrow a \in \text{set } l$

by (*induct-tac l, auto*)

lemma *nodup-notin*[*rule-format*]: $a \notin \text{set } \text{list} \longrightarrow \text{nodup } a \ \text{list}$

```

by (induct-tac list, auto)

lemma nodup-down[rule-format]: nodup a l  $\longrightarrow$  nodup a (del a l)
  by (induct-tac l, simp+, clarify, erule nodup-notin)

lemma del-notin-down[rule-format]: a  $\notin$  set list  $\longrightarrow$  a  $\notin$  set (del aa list)
  by (induct-tac list, auto)

lemma del-not-a[rule-format]: x  $\neq$  a  $\longrightarrow$  x  $\in$  set l  $\longrightarrow$  x  $\in$  set (del a l)
  by (induct-tac l, auto)

lemma nodup-down-notin[rule-format]: nodup a l  $\longrightarrow$  nodup a (del aa l)
  by (induct-tac l, simp+, rule conjI, clarify, erule nodup-notin, (rule impI)+,
      erule del-notin-down)

lemma move-graph-eq: move-graph-a a l l g = g
  by (simp add: move-graph-a-def, case-tac g, force)

lemma delta-invariant:  $\forall z z'. z \rightarrow_n z' \longrightarrow \text{delta}(z) = \text{delta}(z')$ 
  by (clarify, erule state-transition-in.cases, simp+)

lemma init-state-policy0:
  assumes  $\forall z z'. z \rightarrow_n z' \longrightarrow \text{delta}(z) = \text{delta}(z')$ 
    and  $(x, y) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x \rightarrow_n y\}^*$ 
    shows  $\text{delta}(x) = \text{delta}(y)$ 
proof -
  have ind:  $(x, y) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x \rightarrow_n y\}^*$ 
     $\longrightarrow \text{delta}(x) = \text{delta}(y)$ 
  proof (insert assms, erule rtrancl.induct)
    show  $(\bigwedge a::\text{infrastructure}. (\forall (z::\text{infrastructure})(z'::\text{infrastructure}). (z \rightarrow_n z') \longrightarrow (\text{delta } z = \text{delta } z'))$ 
 $\implies$ 
       $(( (a, a) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x \rightarrow_n y\}^*) \longrightarrow$ 
 $(\text{delta } a = \text{delta } a))$ 
    by (rule impI, rule refl)
  next fix a b c
    assume a0:  $\forall (z::\text{infrastructure}) z'::\text{infrastructure}. z \rightarrow_n z' \longrightarrow \text{delta } z = \text{delta } z'$ 
    and a1:  $(a, b) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x \rightarrow_n y\}^*$ 
    and a2:  $(a, b) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x \rightarrow_n y\}^* \longrightarrow$ 
 $\text{delta } a = \text{delta } b$ 
    and a3:  $(b, c) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x \rightarrow_n y\}$ 
    show  $(a, c) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x \rightarrow_n y\}^* \longrightarrow$ 
 $\text{delta } a = \text{delta } c$ 
  proof -
    have a4:  $\text{delta } b = \text{delta } c$  using a0 a1 a2 a3 by simp
    show ?thesis using a0 a1 a2 a3 by simp
  qed

```

qed
 show ?thesis
 by (insert ind, insert assms(2), simp)
 qed

lemma *init-state-policy*: $\llbracket (x,y) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x \rightarrow_n y\}^* \rrbracket \implies$

$\text{delta}(x) = \text{delta}(y)$

by (rule *init-state-policy0*, rule *delta-invariant*)

lemma *same-nodes0*[*rule-format*]: $\forall z z'. z \rightarrow_n z' \longrightarrow \text{nodes}(\text{graphI } z) = \text{nodes}(\text{graphI } z')$

by (clarify, erule *state-transition-in.cases*,
 (simp add: *move-graph-a-def atI-def actors-graph-def nodes-def*)+)

lemma *same-nodes*: $(I, y) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x \rightarrow_n y\}^* \implies \text{nodes}(\text{graphI } y) = \text{nodes}(\text{graphI } I)$

by (erule *rtranc-induct*, rule *refl*, drule *CollectD*, simp, drule *same-nodes0*, simp)

lemma *same-actors0*[*rule-format*]: $\forall z z'. z \rightarrow_n z' \longrightarrow \text{actors-graph}(\text{graphI } z) = \text{actors-graph}(\text{graphI } z')$

proof (clarify, erule *state-transition-in.cases*)

show $\bigwedge (z::\text{infrastructure}) (z'::\text{infrastructure}) (G::\text{igraph}) (I::\text{infrastructure}) (a::\text{char list})$

$(l::\text{location}) (a'::\text{char list}) (za::\text{char list}) I'::\text{infrastructure}.$

$z = I \implies$

$z' = I' \implies$

$G = \text{graphI } I \implies$

$a @_G l \implies$

$a' @_G l \implies$

$\text{has } G (\text{Actor } a, za) \implies$

$\text{enables } I l (\text{Actor } a) \text{ get} \implies$

$I' =$

Infrastructure

$(\text{Lgraph } (\text{gra } G) (\text{agra } G))$

$((\text{cgra } G)(\text{Actor } a' := (za \# \text{fst } (\text{cgra } G (\text{Actor } a'))), \text{snd } (\text{cgra } G (\text{Actor } a')))) (\text{lgra } G))$

$(\text{delta } I) \implies$

$\text{actors-graph } (\text{graphI } z) = \text{actors-graph } (\text{graphI } z')$

by (simp add: *actors-graph-def nodes-def*)

next show $\bigwedge (z::\text{infrastructure}) (z'::\text{infrastructure}) (G::\text{igraph}) (I::\text{infrastructure}) (a::\text{char list})$

$(l::\text{location}) (I'::\text{infrastructure}) za::\text{char list}.$

$z = I \implies$

$z' = I' \implies$

$G = \text{graphI } I \implies$

$a @_G l \implies$

$\text{enables } I l (\text{Actor } a) \text{ put} \implies$

```

      I' = Infrastructure (Lgraph (gra G) (agra G) (cgra G) ((lgra G)(l := [za])))
(delta I) ==>
  actors-graph (graphI z) = actors-graph (graphI z')
  by (simp add: actors-graph-def nodes-def)
next show  $\bigwedge(z::\text{infrastructure}) (z'::\text{infrastructure}) (G::\text{igraph}) (I::\text{infrastructure})$ 
(l::location)
  (a::char list) (I'::infrastructure) za::char list.
  z = I ==>
  z' = I' ==>
  G = graphI I ==>
  enables I l (Actor a) put ==>
  I' = Infrastructure (Lgraph (gra G) (agra G) (cgra G) ((lgra G)(l := [za])))
(delta I) ==>
  actors-graph (graphI z) = actors-graph (graphI z')
  by (simp add: actors-graph-def nodes-def)
next fix z z' G I a l l' I'
  show z = I ==> z' = I' ==> G = graphI I ==> a @G l ==>
    l ∈ nodes G ==> l' ∈ nodes G ==> a ∈ actors-graph (graphI I) ==>
    enables I l' (Actor a) move ==>
    I' = Infrastructure (move-graph-a a l l' (graphI I)) (delta I) ==>
    actors-graph (graphI z) = actors-graph (graphI z')
  proof (rule equalityI)
    show z = I ==> z' = I' ==> G = graphI I ==> a @G l ==>
      l ∈ nodes G ==> l' ∈ nodes G ==> a ∈ actors-graph (graphI I) ==>
      enables I l' (Actor a) move ==>
      I' = Infrastructure (move-graph-a a l l' (graphI I)) (delta I) ==>
      actors-graph (graphI z) ⊆ actors-graph (graphI z')
  by (rule subsetI, simp add: actors-graph-def, (erule exE)+, case-tac x = a,
    rule-tac x = l' in exI, simp add: move-graph-a-def nodes-def atI-def,
    rule-tac x = ya in exI, rule conjI, simp add: move-graph-a-def nodes-def
    atI-def,
    (erule conjE)+, simp add: move-graph-a-def, rule conjI, clarify,
    simp add: move-graph-a-def nodes-def atI-def, rule del-not-a, assumption+,
    clarify)
  next show z = I ==> z' = I' ==> G = graphI I ==> a @G l ==>
    l ∈ nodes G ==> l' ∈ nodes G ==> a ∈ actors-graph (graphI I) ==>
    enables I l' (Actor a) move ==>
    I' = Infrastructure (move-graph-a a l l' (graphI I)) (delta I) ==>
    actors-graph (graphI z') ⊆ actors-graph (graphI z)
  by (rule subsetI, simp add: actors-graph-def, (erule exE)+,
    case-tac x = a, rule-tac x = l in exI, simp add: move-graph-a-def nodes-def
    atI-def,
    rule-tac x = ya in exI, rule conjI, simp add: move-graph-a-def nodes-def
    atI-def,
    (erule conjE)+, simp add: move-graph-a-def, case-tac ya = l, simp,
    case-tac a ∈ set (agra (graphI I) l) ∧ a ∉ set (agra (graphI I) l'), simp,
    case-tac l = l', simp+, erule del-up, simp,
    case-tac a ∈ set (agra (graphI I) l) ∧ a ∉ set (agra (graphI I) l'), simp,
    case-tac ya = l', simp+)

```



```

qed
qed

lemma same-actors:  $(I, y) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x \rightarrow_n y\}^*$ 
 $\implies \text{actors-graph}(\text{graphI } I) = \text{actors-graph}(\text{graphI } y)$ 
proof (erule rtrancl-induct)
  show  $\text{actors-graph}(\text{graphI } I) = \text{actors-graph}(\text{graphI } I)$ 
  by (rule refl)
next show  $\bigwedge(y::\text{infrastructure}) z::\text{infrastructure}.$ 
 $(I, y) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x \rightarrow_n y\}^* \implies$ 
 $(y, z) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x \rightarrow_n y\} \implies$ 
 $\text{actors-graph}(\text{graphI } I) = \text{actors-graph}(\text{graphI } y) \implies$ 
 $\text{actors-graph}(\text{graphI } I) = \text{actors-graph}(\text{graphI } z)$ 
  by (drule CollectD, simp, drule same-actors0, simp)
qed

end
end
theory Airplane
imports AirInsider
begin

declare [[show-types]]

datatype doorstate = locked | norm | unlocked
datatype position = air | airport | ground

locale airplane =

fixes airplane-actors :: identity set
defines airplane-actors-def:  $\text{airplane-actors} \equiv \{"Bob", "Charly", "Alice"\}$ 

fixes airplane-locations :: location set
defines airplane-locations-def:
 $\text{airplane-locations} \equiv \{\text{Location } 0, \text{Location } 1, \text{Location } 2\}$ 

fixes cockpit :: location
defines cockpit-def:  $\text{cockpit} \equiv \text{Location } 2$ 
fixes door :: location
defines door-def:  $\text{door} \equiv \text{Location } 1$ 
fixes cabin :: location
defines cabin-def:  $\text{cabin} \equiv \text{Location } 0$ 

fixes global-policy ::  $[\text{infrastructure}, \text{identity}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
defines global-policy-def:  $\text{global-policy } I a \equiv a \notin \text{airplane-actors}$ 
 $\longrightarrow \neg(\text{enables } I \text{ cockpit } (\text{Actor } a) \text{ put})$ 

```

```

fixes ex-creds :: actor ⇒ (string list * string list)
defines ex-creds-def: ex-creds ≡
  (λ x. (if x = Actor "Bob"
    then (["PIN"], ["pilot"])
    else (if x = Actor "Charly"
      then (["PIN"], ["copilot"])
      else (if x = Actor "Alice"
        then (["PIN"], ["flightattendant"])
        else ([], []))))))

fixes ex-locs :: location ⇒ string list
defines ex-locs-def: ex-locs ≡ (λ x. if x = door then ["norm"] else
  (if x = cockpit then ["air"] else []))

fixes ex-locs' :: location ⇒ string list
defines ex-locs'-def: ex-locs' ≡ (λ x. if x = door then ["locked"] else
  (if x = cockpit then ["air"] else []))

fixes ex-graph :: igraph
defines ex-graph-def: ex-graph ≡ Lgraph
  {(cockpit, door), (door, cabin)}
  (λ x. if x = cockpit then ["Bob", "Charly"]
    else (if x = door then []
      else (if x = cabin then ["Alice"] else [])))
  ex-creds ex-locs

fixes aid-graph :: igraph
defines aid-graph-def: aid-graph ≡ Lgraph
  {(cockpit, door), (door, cabin)}
  (λ x. if x = cockpit then ["Charly"]
    else (if x = door then []
      else (if x = cabin then ["Bob", "Alice"] else [])))
  ex-creds ex-locs'

fixes aid-graph0 :: igraph
defines aid-graph0-def: aid-graph0 ≡ Lgraph
  {(cockpit, door), (door, cabin)}
  (λ x. if x = cockpit then ["Charly"]
    else (if x = door then ["Bob"]
      else (if x = cabin then ["Alice"] else [])))
  ex-creds ex-locs

fixes agid-graph :: igraph
defines agid-graph-def: agid-graph ≡ Lgraph
  {(cockpit, door), (door, cabin)}
  (λ x. if x = cockpit then ["Charly"]
    else (if x = door then []

```

else (if $x = \text{cabin}$ then ["Bob", "Alice"] else []))
ex-creds ex-locs

fixes *local-policies* :: [*igraph*, *location*] \Rightarrow *policy set*

defines *local-policies-def*: *local-policies* $G \equiv$

(λy . if $y = \text{cockpit}$ then
 $\{(\lambda x$. ($? n$. ($n @_G \text{cockpit}$) $\wedge \text{Actor } n = x$), $\{\text{put}\}$),
 $(\lambda x$. ($? n$. ($n @_G \text{cabin}$) $\wedge \text{Actor } n = x \wedge \text{has } G (x, \text{"PIN"})$
 $\wedge \text{isin } G \text{ door "norm"}), \{\text{move}\})$
 $\}$
else (if $y = \text{door}$ then $\{(\lambda x$. True , $\{\text{move}\}$),
 $(\lambda x$. ($? n$. ($n @_G \text{cockpit}$) $\wedge \text{Actor } n = x$), $\{\text{put}\})\}$
else (if $y = \text{cabin}$ then $\{(\lambda x$. True , $\{\text{move}\})\}$
else $\{\}$))))

fixes *local-policies-four-eyes* :: [*igraph*, *location*] \Rightarrow *policy set*

defines *local-policies-four-eyes-def*: *local-policies-four-eyes* $G \equiv$

(λy . if $y = \text{cockpit}$ then
 $\{(\lambda x$. ($? n$. ($n @_G \text{cockpit}$) $\wedge \text{Actor } n = x$) \wedge
 $2 \leq \text{length}(\text{agra } G y) \wedge (\forall h \in \text{set}(\text{agra } G y). h \in \text{airplane-actors}),$
 $\{\text{put}\})\}$,
 $(\lambda x$. ($? n$. ($n @_G \text{cabin}$) $\wedge \text{Actor } n = x \wedge \text{has } G (x, \text{"PIN"}) \wedge$
 $\text{isin } G \text{ door "norm"}), \{\text{move}\})$
 $\}$
else (if $y = \text{door}$ then
 $\{(\lambda x$. ($? n$. ($n @_G \text{cockpit}$) $\wedge \text{Actor } n = x$) $\wedge 3 \leq \text{length}(\text{agra } G$
 $\text{cockpit}), \{\text{move}\})\}$
else (if $y = \text{cabin}$ then
 $\{(\lambda x$. ($? n$. ($n @_G \text{door}$) $\wedge \text{Actor } n = x$), $\{\text{move}\})\}$
else $\{\}$))))

fixes *Airplane-scenario* :: *infrastructure*

defines *Airplane-scenario-def*:

Airplane-scenario $\equiv \text{Infrastructure ex-graph local-policies}$

fixes *Airplane-in-danger* :: *infrastructure*

defines *Airplane-in-danger-def*:

Airplane-in-danger $\equiv \text{Infrastructure aid-graph local-policies}$

fixes *Airplane-getting-in-danger0* :: *infrastructure*

defines *Airplane-getting-in-danger0-def*:

Airplane-getting-in-danger0 $\equiv \text{Infrastructure aid-graph0 local-policies}$

fixes *Airplane-getting-in-danger* :: *infrastructure*

```

defines Airplane-getting-in-danger-def:
Airplane-getting-in-danger  $\equiv$  Infrastructure agid-graph local-policies

fixes Air-states
defines Air-states-def: Air-states  $\equiv$  { I. Airplane-scenario  $\rightarrow_n^*$  I }

fixes Air-Kripke
defines Air-Kripke  $\equiv$  Kripke Air-states {Airplane-scenario}

fixes Airplane-not-in-danger :: infrastructure
defines Airplane-not-in-danger-def:
Airplane-not-in-danger  $\equiv$  Infrastructure aid-graph local-policies-four-eyes

fixes Airplane-not-in-danger-init :: infrastructure
defines Airplane-not-in-danger-init-def:
Airplane-not-in-danger-init  $\equiv$  Infrastructure ex-graph local-policies-four-eyes

fixes Air-tp-states
defines Air-tp-states-def: Air-tp-states  $\equiv$  { I. Airplane-not-in-danger-init  $\rightarrow_n^*$  I
}

fixes Air-tp-Kripke
defines Air-tp-Kripke  $\equiv$  Kripke Air-tp-states {Airplane-not-in-danger-init}

fixes Safety :: [infrastructure, identity]  $\Rightarrow$  bool
defines Safety-def: Safety I a  $\equiv$  a  $\in$  airplane-actors
 $\longrightarrow$  (enables I cockpit (Actor a) move)

fixes Security :: [infrastructure, identity]  $\Rightarrow$  bool
defines Security-def: Security I a  $\equiv$  (isin (graphI I) door "locked")
 $\longrightarrow$   $\neg$ (enables I cockpit (Actor a) move)

fixes foe-control :: [location, action]  $\Rightarrow$  bool
defines foe-control-def: foe-control l c  $\equiv$ 
(! I :: infrastructure. (? x :: identity.
 $x$  @graphI I l  $\wedge$  Actor x  $\neq$  Actor "Eve")
 $\longrightarrow$   $\neg$ (enables I l (Actor "Eve") c))

assumes Eve-precipitating-event: tipping-point (astate "Eve")
assumes Insider-Eve: Insider "Eve" {"Charly"}
assumes isin-inj:  $\forall$  G. inj (isin G door)
assumes cockpit-foe-control: foe-control cockpit put

```

begin

lemma *ex-inv*: *global-policy* *Airplane-scenario* "Bob"
by (*simp add: Airplane-scenario-def global-policy-def airplane-actors-def*)

lemma *ex-inv2*: *global-policy* *Airplane-scenario* "Charly"
by (*simp add: Airplane-scenario-def global-policy-def airplane-actors-def*)

lemma *ex-inv3*: \neg *global-policy* *Airplane-scenario* "Eve"
proof (*simp add: Airplane-scenario-def global-policy-def, rule conjI*)
 show "Eve" \notin *airplane-actors* **by** (*simp add: airplane-actors-def*)
next show
 enables (*Infrastructure ex-graph local-policies*) *cockpit* (*Actor* "Eve") *put*
 proof –
 have *a*: *Actor* "Charly" = *Actor* "Eve"
 by (*insert Insider-Eve, unfold Insider-def, (drule mp),*
 rule Eve-precipitating-event, simp add: UasI-def)
 show ?thesis
 by (*insert a, simp add: Airplane-scenario-def enables-def ex-creds-def local-policies-def*
ex-graph-def,
 insert Insider-Eve, unfold Insider-def, (drule mp), rule Eve-precipitating-event,
 simp add: UasI-def, rule-tac x = "Charly" in exI, simp add: cockpit-def
atI-def)
 qed
qed

lemma *Safety*: *Safety* *Airplane-scenario* ("Alice")
proof –
 show *Safety* *Airplane-scenario* "Alice"
 by (*simp add: Airplane-scenario-def Safety-def enables-def ex-creds-def*
local-policies-def ex-graph-def cockpit-def, rule impI,
rule-tac x = "Alice" in exI, simp add: atI-def cabin-def ex-locs-def door-def,
rule conjI, simp add: has-def credentials-def, simp add: isin-def credentials-def)
qed

lemma *inj-lem*: $\llbracket \text{inj } f; x \neq y \rrbracket \implies f\ x \neq f\ y$
by (*simp add: inj-eq*)

lemma *locl-lemma0*: *isin* *G* *door* "norm" \neq *isin* *G* *door* "locked"
by (*rule-tac f = isin G door in inj-lem, simp add: isin-inj, simp*)

lemma *locl-lemma*: *isin* *G* *door* "norm" = $(\neg \text{isin } G \text{ door "locked"})$
by (*insert locl-lemma0, blast*)

lemma *Security*: *Security* *Airplane-scenario* *s*

by (*simp add: Airplane-scenario-def Security-def enables-def local-policies-def ex-locs-def locl-lemma*)

lemma *Security-problem: Security Airplane-scenario "Bob"*
by (*rule Security*)

lemma *pilot-can-leave-cockpit: (enables Airplane-scenario cabin (Actor "Bob") move)*
by (*simp add: Airplane-scenario-def Security-def ex-creds-def ex-graph-def enables-def local-policies-def ex-locs-def, simp add: cockpit-def cabin-def door-def*)

lemma *ex-inv4: \neg global-policy Airplane-in-danger "Eve"*
proof (*simp add: Airplane-in-danger-def global-policy-def, rule conjI*)
show "Eve" \notin airplane-actors **by** (*simp add: airplane-actors-def*)
next show *enables (Infrastructure aid-graph local-policies) cockpit (Actor "Eve")*
put
proof –
have *a: Actor "Charly" = Actor "Eve"*
by (*insert Insider-Eve, unfold Insider-def, (drule mp), rule Eve-precipitating-event, simp add: UasI-def*)
show *?thesis*
apply (*insert a, erule subst*)
by (*simp add: enables-def local-policies-def cockpit-def aid-graph-def atI-def*)
qed
qed

lemma *Safety-in-danger:*
fixes *s*
assumes *s \in airplane-actors*
shows \neg (*Safety Airplane-in-danger s*)
proof (*simp add: Airplane-in-danger-def Safety-def enables-def assms*)
show $\forall x::(\text{actor} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \times \text{action set} \in \text{local-policies aid-graph cockpit}.$
 \neg (*case x of (p::actor \Rightarrow bool, e::action set) \Rightarrow move \in e \wedge p (Actor s)*)
by (*simp add: local-policies-def aid-graph-def ex-locs'-def isin-def*)
qed

lemma *Security-problem': \neg (enables Airplane-in-danger cockpit (Actor "Bob") move)*
proof (*simp add: Airplane-in-danger-def Security-def enables-def local-policies-def ex-locs-def locl-lemma, rule impI*)
assume *has aid-graph (Actor "Bob", "PIN")*
show ($\forall n::\text{char list}.$
 $\text{Actor } n = \text{Actor "Bob"} \longrightarrow n @_{\text{aid-graph cabin}} \longrightarrow \text{isin aid-graph door "locked"}$)

by (simp add: aid-graph-def isin-def ex-locs'-def)
qed

lemma ex-inv5: $a \in \text{airplane-actors} \longrightarrow \text{global-policy Airplane-not-in-danger } a$
by (simp add: Airplane-not-in-danger-def global-policy-def)

lemma ex-inv6: $\text{global-policy Airplane-not-in-danger } a$
proof (simp add: Airplane-not-in-danger-def global-policy-def, rule impI)
 assume $a \notin \text{airplane-actors}$
 show $\neg \text{enables (Infrastructure aid-graph local-policies-four-eyes) cockpit (Actor } a) \text{ put}$
by (simp add: aid-graph-def ex-locs'-def enables-def local-policies-four-eyes-def)
qed

lemma step0: $\text{Airplane-scenario} \rightarrow_n \text{Airplane-getting-in-danger0}$
proof (rule-tac $l = \text{cockpit}$ **and** $l' = \text{door}$ **and** $a = \text{"Bob"}$ **in** move, rule refl)
 show $\text{"Bob"} @_{\text{graphI Airplane-scenario}} \text{cockpit}$
 by (simp add: Airplane-scenario-def atI-def ex-graph-def)
next show $\text{cockpit} \in \text{nodes (graphI Airplane-scenario)}$
 by (simp add: ex-graph-def Airplane-scenario-def nodes-def, blast)+
next show $\text{door} \in \text{nodes (graphI Airplane-scenario)}$
 by (simp add: actors-graph-def door-def cockpit-def nodes-def cabin-def,
 rule-tac $x = \text{Location 2}$ **in** exI,
 simp add: Airplane-scenario-def ex-graph-def cockpit-def door-def)
next show $\text{"Bob"} \in \text{actors-graph (graphI Airplane-scenario)}$
 by (simp add: actors-graph-def Airplane-scenario-def nodes-def ex-graph-def,
 blast)
next show $\text{enables Airplane-scenario door (Actor "Bob") move}$
 by (simp add: Airplane-scenario-def enables-def local-policies-def ex-creds-def
 door-def cockpit-def)
next show $\text{Airplane-getting-in-danger0} =$
 $\text{Infrastructure (move-graph-a "Bob" cockpit door (graphI Airplane-scenario))}$
 $(\text{delta Airplane-scenario})$
 proof –
 have $a: (\text{move-graph-a "Bob" cockpit door (graphI Airplane-scenario)}) =$
 aid-graph0
 by (simp add: move-graph-a-def door-def cockpit-def Airplane-scenario-def
 aid-graph0-def ex-graph-def, rule ext, simp add: cabin-def door-def)
 show ?thesis
 by (unfold Airplane-getting-in-danger0-def, insert a, erule ssubst,
 simp add: Airplane-scenario-def)
 qed
qed

lemma step1: $\text{Airplane-getting-in-danger0} \rightarrow_n \text{Airplane-getting-in-danger}$
proof (rule-tac $l = \text{door}$ **and** $l' = \text{cabin}$ **and** $a = \text{"Bob"}$ **in** move, rule refl)
 show $\text{"Bob"} @_{\text{graphI Airplane-getting-in-danger0}} \text{door}$

```

    by (simp add: Airplane-getting-in-danger0-def atI-def aid-graph0-def door-def
cockpit-def)
next show door ∈ nodes (graphI Airplane-getting-in-danger0)
    by (simp add: aid-graph0-def Airplane-getting-in-danger0-def nodes-def, blast)+
next show cabin ∈ nodes (graphI Airplane-getting-in-danger0)
    by (simp add: actors-graph-def door-def cockpit-def nodes-def cabin-def,
rule-tac x = Location 1 in exI,
simp add: Airplane-getting-in-danger0-def aid-graph0-def cockpit-def door-def
cabin-def)
next show "Bob" ∈ actors-graph (graphI Airplane-getting-in-danger0)
    by (simp add: actors-graph-def door-def cockpit-def nodes-def cabin-def
Airplane-getting-in-danger0-def aid-graph0-def, blast)
next show enables Airplane-getting-in-danger0 cabin (Actor "Bob") move
    by (simp add: Airplane-getting-in-danger0-def enables-def local-policies-def ex-creds-def
door-def
cockpit-def cabin-def)
next show Airplane-getting-in-danger =
Infrastructure (move-graph-a "Bob" door cabin (graphI Airplane-getting-in-danger0))
(delta Airplane-getting-in-danger0)
    by (unfold Airplane-getting-in-danger-def,
simp add: Airplane-getting-in-danger0-def agid-graph-def aid-graph0-def
move-graph-a-def door-def cockpit-def cabin-def, rule ext,
simp add: cabin-def door-def)
qed

```

```

lemma step2: Airplane-getting-in-danger →n Airplane-in-danger
proof (rule-tac l = door and a = "Charly" and z = "locked" in put-remote,
rule refl)
    show enables Airplane-getting-in-danger door (Actor "Charly") put
    by (simp add: enables-def local-policies-def ex-creds-def door-def cockpit-def,
unfold Airplane-getting-in-danger-def,
simp add: local-policies-def cockpit-def cabin-def door-def,
rule-tac x = "Charly" in exI, rule conjI,
simp add: atI-def agid-graph-def door-def cockpit-def, rule refl)
next show Airplane-in-danger =
Infrastructure
(Lgraph (gra (graphI Airplane-getting-in-danger)) (agra (graphI Airplane-getting-in-danger))
(cgra (graphI Airplane-getting-in-danger))
(((lgra (graphI Airplane-getting-in-danger))(door := ["locked"])))
(delta Airplane-getting-in-danger)
    by (unfold Airplane-in-danger-def, simp add: aid-graph-def agid-graph-def
ex-locs'-def ex-locs-def Airplane-getting-in-danger-def, force)
qed

```

```

lemma step0r: Airplane-scenario →n* Airplane-getting-in-danger0
    by (simp add: state-transition-in-refl-def, insert step0, auto)

```

```

lemma step1r: Airplane-getting-in-danger0 →n* Airplane-getting-in-danger
    by (simp add: state-transition-in-refl-def, insert step1, auto)

```


lemma *step2r*: *Airplane-getting-in-danger* \rightarrow_n^* *Airplane-in-danger*
by (*simp add*: *state-transition-in-refl-def*, *insert step2*, *auto*)

theorem *step-allr*: *Airplane-scenario* \rightarrow_n^* *Airplane-in-danger*
by (*insert step0r step1r step2r*, *simp add*: *state-transition-in-refl-def*)

theorem *aid-attack*: *Air-Kripke* $\vdash EF \{x. \neg \text{global-policy } x \text{ "Eve"}\}$
proof (*simp add*: *check-def Air-Kripke-def*, *rule conjI*)
show *Airplane-scenario* $\in \text{Air-states}$
by (*simp add*: *Air-states-def state-transition-in-refl-def*)
next show *Airplane-scenario* $\in EF \{x::\text{infrastructure}. \neg \text{global-policy } x \text{ "Eve"}\}$
by (*rule EF-lem2b*, *subst EF-lem000*, *rule EX-lem0r*, *subst EF-lem000*, *rule EX-step*,
unfold state-transition-infra-def, *rule step0*, *rule EX-lem0r*,
rule-tac y = Airplane-getting-in-danger in EX-step,
unfold state-transition-infra-def, *rule step1*, *subst EF-lem000*, *rule EX-lem0l*,
rule-tac y = Airplane-in-danger in EX-step, *unfold state-transition-infra-def*,
rule step2, *rule CollectI*, *rule ex-inv4*)
qed

lemma *actors-unique-loc-base*:
assumes $I \rightarrow_n I'$
and $(\forall l l'. a @_{\text{graphI } I} l \wedge a @_{\text{graphI } I} l' \longrightarrow l = l') \wedge$
 $(\forall l. \text{nodup } a (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l))$
shows $(\forall l l'. a @_{\text{graphI } I'} l \wedge a @_{\text{graphI } I'} l' \longrightarrow l = l') \wedge$
 $(\forall l. \text{nodup } a (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I') l))$
proof (*rule state-transition-in.cases*, *rule assms(1)*)
show $\bigwedge (G::\text{igraph}) (Ia::\text{infrastructure}) (aa::\text{char list}) (l::\text{location}) (a'::\text{char list})$
 $(z::\text{char list})$
 $I'a::\text{infrastructure}.$
 $I = Ia \implies$
 $I' = I'a \implies$
 $G = \text{graphI } Ia \implies$
 $aa @_G l \implies$
 $a' @_G l \implies$
 $\text{has } G (\text{Actor } aa, z) \implies$
 $\text{enables } Ia l (\text{Actor } aa) \text{ get} \implies$
 $I'a =$
 Infrastructure
 $(L\text{graph } (\text{gra } G) (\text{agra } G)$
 $((\text{cgra } G)(\text{Actor } a' := (z \# \text{fst } (\text{cgra } G (\text{Actor } a')), \text{snd } (\text{cgra } G (\text{Actor } a')))))$
 $(l\text{gra } G))$
 $(\text{delta } Ia) \implies$
 $(\forall (l::\text{location}) l'::\text{location}. a @_{\text{graphI } I'} l \wedge a @_{\text{graphI } I'} l' \longrightarrow l = l') \wedge$
 $(\forall l::\text{location}. \text{nodup } a (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I') l))$ **using** *assms*
by (*simp add*: *atI-def*)

```

next fix  $G$   $Ia$   $aa$   $l$   $I'a$   $z$ 
  assume  $a0$ :  $I = Ia$  and  $a1$ :  $I' = I'a$  and  $a2$ :  $G = \text{graphI } Ia$  and  $a3$ :  $aa @_G l$ 
    and  $a4$ :  $\text{enables } Ia \ l \ (\text{Actor } aa) \ \text{put}$ 
    and  $a5$ :  $I'a = \text{Infrastructure } (\text{Lgraph } (\text{gra } G) (\text{agra } G) (\text{cgra } G) ((\text{lgra } G)(l$ 
:=  $[z]))) \ (\text{delta } Ia)$ 
  show  $(\forall (l::\text{location}) \ l'::\text{location}. a @_{\text{graphI } I'} l \wedge a @_{\text{graphI } I'} l' \longrightarrow l = l') \wedge$ 
 $(\forall l::\text{location}. \text{nodup } a \ (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I') \ l))$  using  $\text{assms}$ 
  by  $(\text{simp add: } a0 \ a1 \ a2 \ a3 \ a4 \ a5 \ \text{atI-def})$ 
next show  $\bigwedge (G::\text{igraph}) \ (Ia::\text{infrastructure}) \ (l::\text{location}) \ (aa::\text{char list}) \ (I'a::\text{infrastructure})$ 
 $z::\text{char list}.$ 
 $I = Ia \implies$ 
 $I' = I'a \implies$ 
 $G = \text{graphI } Ia \implies$ 
 $\text{enables } Ia \ l \ (\text{Actor } aa) \ \text{put} \implies$ 
 $I'a = \text{Infrastructure } (\text{Lgraph } (\text{gra } G) (\text{agra } G) (\text{cgra } G) ((\text{lgra } G)(l := [z])))$ 
 $(\text{delta } Ia) \implies$ 
 $(\forall (l::\text{location}) \ l'::\text{location}. a @_{\text{graphI } I'} l \wedge a @_{\text{graphI } I'} l' \longrightarrow l = l') \wedge$ 
 $(\forall l::\text{location}. \text{nodup } a \ (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I') \ l))$ 
by  $(\text{clarify, simp add: assms atI-def})$ 
next show  $\bigwedge (G::\text{igraph}) \ (Ia::\text{infrastructure}) \ (aa::\text{char list}) \ (l::\text{location}) \ (l'::\text{location})$ 
 $I'a::\text{infrastructure}.$ 
 $I = Ia \implies$ 
 $I' = I'a \implies$ 
 $G = \text{graphI } Ia \implies$ 
 $aa @_G l \implies$ 
 $l \in \text{nodes } G \implies$ 
 $l' \in \text{nodes } G \implies$ 
 $aa \in \text{actors-graph } (\text{graphI } Ia) \implies$ 
 $\text{enables } Ia \ l' \ (\text{Actor } aa) \ \text{move} \implies$ 
 $I'a = \text{Infrastructure } (\text{move-graph-a } aa \ l \ l' \ (\text{graphI } Ia)) \ (\text{delta } Ia) \implies$ 
 $(\forall (l::\text{location}) \ l'::\text{location}. a @_{\text{graphI } I'} l \wedge a @_{\text{graphI } I'} l' \longrightarrow l = l') \wedge$ 
 $(\forall l::\text{location}. \text{nodup } a \ (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I') \ l))$ 
proof  $(\text{simp add: move-graph-a-def, rule conjI, clarify, rule conjI, clarify, rule$ 
 $\text{conjI, clarify})$ 
show  $\bigwedge (G::\text{igraph}) \ (Ia::\text{infrastructure}) \ (aa::\text{char list}) \ (l::\text{location}) \ (l'::\text{location})$ 
 $(I'a::\text{infrastructure}) \ (la::\text{location}) \ l'a::\text{location}.$ 
 $I' =$ 
 $\text{Infrastructure}$ 
 $(\text{Lgraph } (\text{gra } (\text{graphI } I)))$ 
 $(\text{if } a \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l) \wedge a \notin \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l') \text{ then } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I))(l := \text{del } a \ (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l), l' := a \ \# \ \text{agra}$ 
 $(\text{graphI } I) \ l')$ 
 $\text{else } \text{agra } (\text{graphI } I))$ 
 $(\text{cgra } (\text{graphI } I)) \ (\text{lgra } (\text{graphI } I)))$ 
 $(\text{delta } I) \implies$ 
 $a @_{\text{graphI } I} l \implies$ 
 $l \in \text{nodes } (\text{graphI } I) \implies$ 
 $l' \in \text{nodes } (\text{graphI } I) \implies$ 
 $a \in \text{actors-graph } (\text{graphI } I) \implies$ 

```

```

    enables I l' (Actor a) move ==>
    a ∈ set (agra (graphI I) l) ==>
    a ∉ set (agra (graphI I) l') ==>
    a @Lgraph (gra (graphI I)) ((agra (graphI I))(l := del a (agra (graphI I) l), l' := a # agra (graphI I) l))
la ==>
    a @Lgraph (gra (graphI I)) ((agra (graphI I))(l := del a (agra (graphI I) l), l' := a # agra (graphI I) l))
l'a ==>
    la = l'a
apply (case-tac la ≠ l' ∧ la ≠ l ∧ l'a ≠ l' ∧ l'a ≠ l)
apply (simp add: atI-def)
apply (subgoal-tac la = l' ∨ la = l ∨ l'a = l' ∨ l'a = l)
prefer 2
using assms(2) atI-def apply blast
apply blast
by (metis agra.simps assms(2) atI-def del-nodup fun-upd-apply)
next show ∧(G::igraph) (Ia::infrastructure) (aa::char list) (l::location) (l'::location)
    I'a::infrastructure.
    I' =
    Infrastructure
    (Lgraph (gra (graphI I))
    (if a ∈ set (agra (graphI I) l) ∧ a ∉ set (agra (graphI I) l')
    then (agra (graphI I))(l := del a (agra (graphI I) l), l' := a # agra
(graphI I) l')
    else agra (graphI I))
    (cgra (graphI I)) (lgra (graphI I)))
    (delta I) ==>
    a @graphI I l ==>
    l ∈ nodes (graphI I) ==>
    l' ∈ nodes (graphI I) ==>
    a ∈ actors-graph (graphI I) ==>
    enables I l' (Actor a) move ==>
    a ∈ set (agra (graphI I) l) ==>
    a ∉ set (agra (graphI I) l') ==>
    ∀ la::location.
    (la = l → l ≠ l' → nodup a (del a (agra (graphI I) l))) ∧
    (la ≠ l → la ≠ l' → nodup a (agra (graphI I) la))
by (simp add: assms(2) nodup-down)
next show ∧(G::igraph) (Ia::infrastructure) (aa::char list) (l::location) (l'::location)
    I'a::infrastructure.
    I' =
    Infrastructure
    (Lgraph (gra (graphI I))
    (if a ∈ set (agra (graphI I) l) ∧ a ∉ set (agra (graphI I) l')
    then (agra (graphI I))(l := del a (agra (graphI I) l), l' := a # agra
(graphI I) l')
    else agra (graphI I))
    (cgra (graphI I)) (lgra (graphI I)))
    (delta I) ==>
    a @graphI I l ==>

```

$$\begin{array}{l}
l \in \text{nodes } (\text{graphI } I) \implies \\
l' \in \text{nodes } (\text{graphI } I) \implies \\
a \in \text{actors-graph } (\text{graphI } I) \implies \\
\text{enables } I \ l' \ (\text{Actor } a) \ \text{move} \implies \\
(a \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l) \longrightarrow a \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l')) \longrightarrow \\
(\forall (l::\text{location}) \ l'::\text{location}. \\
\quad a \ @_{\text{Lgraph } (\text{gra } (\text{graphI } I)) \ (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I)) \ (\text{cgra } (\text{graphI } I)) \ (\text{lgra } (\text{graphI } I))} \\
l \wedge \\
\quad a \ @_{\text{Lgraph } (\text{gra } (\text{graphI } I)) \ (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I)) \ (\text{cgra } (\text{graphI } I)) \ (\text{lgra } (\text{graphI } I))} \\
l' \longrightarrow \\
\quad l = l') \wedge \\
(\forall l::\text{location}. \ \text{nodup } a \ (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l)) \\
\text{by } (\text{simp add: assms}(2) \ \text{atI-def}) \\
\text{next show } \bigwedge (G::\text{igraph}) \ (Ia::\text{infrastructure}) \ (aa::\text{char list}) \ (l::\text{location}) \ (l'::\text{location}) \\
\quad I'a::\text{infrastructure}. \\
\quad I = Ia \implies \\
\quad I' = \\
\quad \text{Infrastructure} \\
\quad (\text{Lgraph } (\text{gra } (\text{graphI } Ia))) \\
\quad (\text{if } aa \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } Ia) \ l) \wedge aa \notin \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } Ia) \ l') \\
\quad \text{then } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } Ia))(l := \text{del } aa \ (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } Ia) \ l), \ l' := aa \ \# \ \text{agra} \\
(\text{graphI } Ia) \ l') \\
\quad \text{else } \text{agra } (\text{graphI } Ia)) \\
\quad (\text{cgra } (\text{graphI } Ia)) \ (\text{lgra } (\text{graphI } Ia))) \\
\quad (\text{delta } Ia) \implies \\
\quad G = \text{graphI } Ia \implies \\
\quad aa \ @_{\text{graphI } Ia} \ l \implies \\
\quad l \in \text{nodes } (\text{graphI } Ia) \implies \\
\quad l' \in \text{nodes } (\text{graphI } Ia) \implies \\
\quad aa \in \text{actors-graph } (\text{graphI } Ia) \implies \\
\quad \text{enables } Ia \ l' \ (\text{Actor } aa) \ \text{move} \implies \\
\quad I'a = \\
\quad \text{Infrastructure} \\
\quad (\text{Lgraph } (\text{gra } (\text{graphI } Ia))) \\
\quad (\text{if } aa \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } Ia) \ l) \wedge aa \notin \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } Ia) \ l') \\
\quad \text{then } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } Ia))(l := \text{del } aa \ (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } Ia) \ l), \ l' := aa \ \# \ \text{agra} \\
(\text{graphI } Ia) \ l') \\
\quad \text{else } \text{agra } (\text{graphI } Ia)) \\
\quad (\text{cgra } (\text{graphI } Ia)) \ (\text{lgra } (\text{graphI } Ia))) \\
\quad (\text{delta } Ia) \implies \\
\quad aa \neq a \longrightarrow \\
\quad (aa \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } Ia) \ l) \wedge aa \notin \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } Ia) \ l')) \longrightarrow \\
\quad (\forall (la::\text{location}) \ l'a::\text{location}. \\
\quad a \ @_{\text{Lgraph } (\text{gra } (\text{graphI } Ia))} \quad ((\text{agra } (\text{graphI } Ia)) \quad (l := \text{del } aa \ (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } Ia) \ l), \ l' := \text{del } aa \ (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } Ia) \ l), \ l' \\
la \wedge \\
\quad a \ @_{\text{Lgraph } (\text{gra } (\text{graphI } Ia))} \quad ((\text{agra } (\text{graphI } Ia)) \quad (l := \text{del } aa \ (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } Ia) \ l), \ l' := \text{del } aa \ (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } Ia) \ l), \ l' \\
l'a \longrightarrow \\
\quad la = l'a) \wedge
\end{array}$$

$(\forall la::location.$
 $(la = l \longrightarrow$
 $(l = l' \longrightarrow \text{nodup } a \text{ (agra (graphI Ia) l'))} \wedge$
 $(l \neq l' \longrightarrow \text{nodup } a \text{ (del aa (agra (graphI Ia) l))})) \wedge$
 $(la \neq l \longrightarrow$
 $(la = l' \longrightarrow \text{nodup } a \text{ (agra (graphI Ia) l'))} \wedge$
 $(la \neq l' \longrightarrow \text{nodup } a \text{ (agra (graphI Ia) la))})) \wedge$
 $((aa \in \text{set (agra (graphI Ia) l)} \longrightarrow aa \in \text{set (agra (graphI Ia) l'))} \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall (l::location) l'::location.$
 $a @_{Lgraph \text{ (gra (graphI Ia)) (agra (graphI Ia)) (cgra (graphI Ia))} \quad (lgra \text{ (graphI Ia))}$
 $l \wedge$
 $a @_{Lgraph \text{ (gra (graphI Ia)) (agra (graphI Ia)) (cgra (graphI Ia))} \quad (lgra \text{ (graphI Ia))}$
 $l' \longrightarrow$
 $l = l') \wedge$
 $(\forall l::location. \text{nodup } a \text{ (agra (graphI Ia) l)))$
proof (clarify, simp add: atI-def, rule conjI, clarify, rule conjI, clarify, rule conjI,
clarify, rule conjI, clarify, simp, clarify, rule conjI, (rule impI)+)
show $\bigwedge(aa::char \text{ list}) (l::location) (l'::location) l'a::location.$
 $I' =$
Infrastructure
 $(Lgraph \text{ (gra (graphI I))}$
 $((agra \text{ (graphI I)})(l := \text{del aa (agra (graphI I) l)}, l' := aa \# \text{agra (graphI}$
 $I) l'))$
 $(cgra \text{ (graphI I)}) (lgra \text{ (graphI I)}))$
 $(\text{delta } I) \implies$
 $aa \in \text{set (agra (graphI I) l)} \implies$
 $l \in \text{nodes (graphI I)} \implies$
 $l' \in \text{nodes (graphI I)} \implies$
 $aa \in \text{actors-graph (graphI I)} \implies$
 $\text{enables } I l' (\text{Actor aa move}) \implies$
 $aa \neq a \implies$
 $aa \notin \text{set (agra (graphI I) l')} \implies$
 $l \neq l' \implies$
 $l'a \neq l \implies$
 $l'a = l' \implies a \in \text{set (del aa (agra (graphI I) l))} \implies a \notin \text{set (agra (graphI}$
 $I) l')$
by (meson assms(2) atI-def del-notin-down)
next show $\bigwedge(aa::char \text{ list}) (l::location) (l'::location) l'a::location.$
 $I' =$
Infrastructure
 $(Lgraph \text{ (gra (graphI I))}$
 $((agra \text{ (graphI I)})(l := \text{del aa (agra (graphI I) l)}, l' := aa \# \text{agra (graphI}$
 $I) l'))$
 $(cgra \text{ (graphI I)}) (lgra \text{ (graphI I)}))$
 $(\text{delta } I) \implies$
 $aa \in \text{set (agra (graphI I) l)} \implies$
 $l \in \text{nodes (graphI I)} \implies$
 $l' \in \text{nodes (graphI I)} \implies$
 $aa \in \text{actors-graph (graphI I)} \implies$

```

enables I l' (Actor aa) move ==>
aa ≠ a ==>
aa ∉ set (agra (graphI I) l') ==>
l ≠ l' ==>
l'a ≠ l ==>
l'a ≠ l' → a ∈ set (del aa (agra (graphI I) l)) → a ∉ set (agra (graphI
I) l'a)
  by (meson assms(2) atI-def del-notin-down)
next show ∧(aa::char list) (l::location) (l'::location) la::location.
I' =
Infrastructure
(Lgraph (gra (graphI I))
  (if aa ∉ set (agra (graphI I) l')
    then (agra (graphI I))(l := del aa (agra (graphI I) l), l' := aa # agra
(graphI I) l')
    else agra (graphI I))
  (cgra (graphI I)) (lgra (graphI I)))
(delta I) ==>
aa ∈ set (agra (graphI I) l) ==>
l ∈ nodes (graphI I) ==>
l' ∈ nodes (graphI I) ==>
aa ∈ actors-graph (graphI I) ==>
enables I l' (Actor aa) move ==>
aa ≠ a ==>
aa ∉ set (agra (graphI I) l') ==>
la ≠ l →
(la = l' →
  (∀ l'a::location.
    (l'a = l →
      l ≠ l' → a ∈ set (agra (graphI I) l') → a ∉ set (del aa (agra (graphI
I) l))) ∧
      (l'a ≠ l →
        l'a ≠ l' → a ∈ set (agra (graphI I) l') → a ∉ set (agra (graphI I)
l'a)))) ∧
      (la ≠ l' →
        (∀ l'a::location.
          (l'a = l →
            (l = l' → a ∈ set (agra (graphI I) la) → a ∉ set (agra (graphI I)
l')) ∧
            (l ≠ l' → a ∈ set (agra (graphI I) la) → a ∉ set (del aa (agra (graphI
I) l)))) ∧
            (l'a ≠ l →
              (l'a = l' → a ∈ set (agra (graphI I) la) → a ∉ set (agra (graphI I)
l')) ∧
              (l'a ≠ l' →
                a ∈ set (agra (graphI I) la) ∧ a ∈ set (agra (graphI I) l'a) → la =
l'a))))))
  by (meson assms(2) atI-def del-notin-down)
next show ∧(aa::char list) (l::location) l'::location.

```

```

I' =
Infrastructure
  (Lgraph (gra (graphI I))
    (if aa ∉ set (agra (graphI I) l')
      then (agra (graphI I))(l := del aa (agra (graphI I) l), l' := aa # agra
(graphI I) l')
      else agra (graphI I))
    (cgra (graphI I)) (lgra (graphI I)))
  (delta I) ⇒
aa ∈ set (agra (graphI I) l) ⇒
l ∈ nodes (graphI I) ⇒
l' ∈ nodes (graphI I) ⇒
aa ∈ actors-graph (graphI I) ⇒
enables I l' (Actor aa) move ⇒
aa ≠ a ⇒
aa ∉ set (agra (graphI I) l') ⇒
∀ la::location.
  (la = l →
    (l = l' → nodup a (agra (graphI I) l')) ∧
    (l ≠ l' → nodup a (del aa (agra (graphI I) l)))) ∧
  (la ≠ l →
    (la = l' → nodup a (agra (graphI I) l')) ∧ (la ≠ l' → nodup a (agra
(graphI I) la))))
  by (simp add: assms(2) nodup-down-notin)
next show ∧(aa::char list) (l::location) l'::location.
I' =
Infrastructure
  (Lgraph (gra (graphI I))
    (if aa ∉ set (agra (graphI I) l')
      then (agra (graphI I))(l := del aa (agra (graphI I) l), l' := aa # agra
(graphI I) l')
      else agra (graphI I))
    (cgra (graphI I)) (lgra (graphI I)))
  (delta I) ⇒
aa ∈ set (agra (graphI I) l) ⇒
l ∈ nodes (graphI I) ⇒
l' ∈ nodes (graphI I) ⇒
aa ∈ actors-graph (graphI I) ⇒
enables I l' (Actor aa) move ⇒
aa ≠ a ⇒
aa ∈ set (agra (graphI I) l') →
(∀ l::location) l'::location.
  a ∈ set (agra (graphI I) l) ∧ a ∈ set (agra (graphI I) l') → l = l' ∧
(∀ l::location. nodup a (agra (graphI I) l))
  using assms(2) atI-def by blast
qed
qed
qed

```

lemma *actors-unique-loc-step*:

assumes $(I, I') \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x \rightarrow_n y\}^*$
and $\forall a. (\forall l l'. a @_{\text{graphI } I} l \wedge a @_{\text{graphI } I} l' \longrightarrow l = l') \wedge$
 $(\forall l. \text{nodup } a \text{ (agra (graphI } I) l))$
shows $\forall a. (\forall l l'. a @_{\text{graphI } I'} l \wedge a @_{\text{graphI } I'} l' \longrightarrow l = l') \wedge$
 $(\forall l. \text{nodup } a \text{ (agra (graphI } I') l))$
proof –
have *ind*: $(\forall a. (\forall l l'. a @_{\text{graphI } I} l \wedge a @_{\text{graphI } I} l' \longrightarrow l = l') \wedge$
 $(\forall l. \text{nodup } a \text{ (agra (graphI } I) l))) \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall a. (\forall l l'. a @_{\text{graphI } I'} l \wedge a @_{\text{graphI } I'} l' \longrightarrow l = l') \wedge$
 $(\forall l. \text{nodup } a \text{ (agra (graphI } I') l)))$
proof (*insert assms(1), erule rtrancl.induct*)
show $\bigwedge a::\text{infrastructure}.$
 $(\forall aa::\text{char list}.$
 $(\forall (l::\text{location}) l'::\text{location}. aa @_{\text{graphI } a} l \wedge aa @_{\text{graphI } a} l' \longrightarrow l = l') \wedge$
 $(\forall l::\text{location}. \text{nodup } aa \text{ (agra (graphI } a) l))) \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall aa::\text{char list}.$
 $(\forall (l::\text{location}) l'::\text{location}. aa @_{\text{graphI } a} l \wedge aa @_{\text{graphI } a} l' \longrightarrow l = l') \wedge$
 $(\forall l::\text{location}. \text{nodup } aa \text{ (agra (graphI } a) l)))$ **by** *simp*
next show $\bigwedge (a::\text{infrastructure}) (b::\text{infrastructure}) (c::\text{infrastructure}).$
 $(a, b) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x \rightarrow_n y\}^* \implies$
 $(\forall aa::\text{char list}.$
 $(\forall (l::\text{location}) (l'::\text{location}). (aa @_{\text{graphI } a} l \wedge aa @_{\text{graphI } a} l') \longrightarrow l =$
 $l') \wedge$
 $(\forall l::\text{location}. \text{nodup } aa \text{ (agra (graphI } a) l))) \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall a::\text{char list}.$
 $(\forall (l::\text{location}) (l'::\text{location}). (a @_{\text{graphI } b} l \wedge a @_{\text{graphI } b} l') \longrightarrow l = l') \wedge$
 $(\forall l::\text{location}. \text{nodup } a \text{ (agra (graphI } b) l))) \implies$
 $(b, c) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x \rightarrow_n y\} \implies$
 $(\forall aa::\text{char list}.$
 $(\forall (l::\text{location}) l'::\text{location}. (aa @_{\text{graphI } a} l \wedge aa @_{\text{graphI } a} l') \longrightarrow l = l')$
 \wedge
 $(\forall l::\text{location}. \text{nodup } aa \text{ (agra (graphI } a) l))) \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall a::\text{char list}.$
 $(\forall (l::\text{location}) l'::\text{location}. (a @_{\text{graphI } c} l \wedge a @_{\text{graphI } c} l') \longrightarrow l = l') \wedge$
 $(\forall l::\text{location}. \text{nodup } a \text{ (agra (graphI } c) l)))$
by (*rule impI, rule allI, rule actors-unique-loc-base, drule CollectD,*
simp,erule impE, assumption, erule spec)
qed
show *?thesis*
by (*insert ind, insert assms(2), simp*)
qed

lemma *actors-unique-loc-aid-base*:

$\forall a. (\forall l l'. a @_{\text{graphI } \text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init}} l \wedge$
 $a @_{\text{graphI } \text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init}} l' \longrightarrow l = l') \wedge$
 $(\forall l. \text{nodup } a \text{ (agra (graphI } \text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init}) l))$

proof (*simp add: Airplane-not-in-danger-init-def ex-graph-def, clarify, rule conjI, clarify,*
rule conjI, clarify, rule impI, (rule allI)+, rule impI, simp add: atI-def)
show $\bigwedge(l::location) \ l'::location.$
"Charly"
 $\in \text{set } (\text{if } l = \text{cockpit} \text{ then } ["Bob", "Charly"]$
 $\text{else if } l = \text{door} \text{ then } [] \text{ else if } l = \text{cabin} \text{ then } ["Alice"] \text{ else } []) \wedge$
"Charly"
 $\in \text{set } (\text{if } l' = \text{cockpit} \text{ then } ["Bob", "Charly"]$
 $\text{else if } l' = \text{door} \text{ then } [] \text{ else if } l' = \text{cabin} \text{ then } ["Alice"] \text{ else } []) \implies$
 $l = l'$
by (*case-tac l = l', assumption, rule FalseE, case-tac l = cockpit \vee l = door \vee*
l = cabin,
erule disjE, simp, case-tac l' = door \vee l' = cabin, erule disjE, simp,
simp add: cabin-def door-def, simp, erule disjE, simp add: door-def cockpit-def,
simp add: cabin-def door-def cockpit-def, simp)
next show $\bigwedge a::char \text{ list.}$
"Charly" $\neq a \implies$
 $(\forall (l::location) \ l'::location.$
 $a @_{Lgraph} \{(cockpit, door), (door, cabin)\} \quad (\lambda x::location. \quad \text{if } x = \text{cockpit} \text{ then } ["Bob"$
 $l \wedge$
 $a @_{Lgraph} \{(cockpit, door), (door, cabin)\} \quad (\lambda x::location. \quad \text{if } x = \text{cockpit} \text{ then } ["Bob"$
 $l' \longrightarrow$
 $l = l')$
by (*clarify, simp add: atI-def, case-tac l = l', assumption, rule FalseE,*
case-tac l = cockpit \vee l = door \vee l = cabin, erule disjE, simp,
case-tac l' = door \vee l' = cabin, erule disjE, simp, simp add: cabin-def door-def,
simp, erule disjE, simp add: door-def cockpit-def, case-tac l = cockpit,
simp add: cabin-def cockpit-def, simp add: cabin-def door-def, case-tac l' =
cockpit,
simp, simp add: cabin-def, case-tac l' = door, simp, simp add: cabin-def,
simp)
qed

lemma *actors-unique-loc-aid-step:*
 $(Airplane-not-in-danger-init, I) \in \{(x::infrastructure, y::infrastructure). x \rightarrow_n y\}^*$
 $\implies \forall a. (\forall l \ l'. a @_{graphI \ I} l \wedge a @_{graphI \ I} l' \longrightarrow l = l') \wedge$
 $(\forall l. \text{nodup } a \ (agra \ (graphI \ I) \ l))$
by (*erule actors-unique-loc-step, rule actors-unique-loc-aid-base*)

lemma *Anid-airplane-actors: actors-graph (graphI Airplane-not-in-danger-init) =*
airplane-actors

proof (*simp add: Airplane-not-in-danger-init-def ex-graph-def actors-graph-def nodes-def*
airplane-actors-def, rule equalityI)

show $\{x::char\ list.$
 $\exists y::location.$
 $(y = door \longrightarrow$
 $(door = cockpit \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists y::location. y = cockpit \vee y = cabin \vee y = cockpit \vee y = cockpit \wedge$
 $cockpit = cabin) \wedge$
 $(x = "Bob" \vee x = "Charly")) \wedge$
 $door = cockpit) \wedge$
 $(y \neq door \longrightarrow$
 $(y = cockpit \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists y::location.$
 $y = door \vee$
 $cockpit = door \wedge y = cabin \vee$
 $y = cockpit \wedge cockpit = door \vee y = door \wedge cockpit = cabin) \wedge$
 $(x = "Bob" \vee x = "Charly")) \wedge$
 $(y \neq cockpit \longrightarrow y = cabin \wedge x = "Alice" \wedge y = cabin)))$
 $\subseteq \{"Bob", "Charly", "Alice"\}$
by (*rule subsetI*, *drule CollectD*, *erule exE*, (*erule conjE*)+,
simp add: door-def cockpit-def cabin-def, (*erule conjE*)+, *force*)
next show $\{"Bob", "Charly", "Alice"\}$
 $\subseteq \{x::char\ list.$
 $\exists y::location.$
 $(y = door \longrightarrow$
 $(door = cockpit \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists y::location.$
 $y = cockpit \vee y = cabin \vee y = cockpit \vee y = cockpit \wedge cockpit =$
 $cabin) \wedge$
 $(x = "Bob" \vee x = "Charly")) \wedge$
 $door = cockpit) \wedge$
 $(y \neq door \longrightarrow$
 $(y = cockpit \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists y::location.$
 $y = door \vee$
 $cockpit = door \wedge y = cabin \vee$
 $y = cockpit \wedge cockpit = door \vee y = door \wedge cockpit = cabin) \wedge$
 $(x = "Bob" \vee x = "Charly")) \wedge$
 $(y \neq cockpit \longrightarrow y = cabin \wedge x = "Alice" \wedge y = cabin)))$
by (*rule subsetI*, *rule CollectI*, *simp add: door-def cockpit-def cabin-def*,
case-tac x = "Bob", *force*, *case-tac x = "Charly"*, *force*,
subgoal-tac x = "Alice", *force*, *simp*)
qed

lemma *all-airplane-actors*: $(Airplane-not-in-danger-init, y) \in \{(x::infrastructure,$
 $y::infrastructure). x \rightarrow_n y\}^*$

$\implies actors-graph(graphI\ y) = airplane-actors$

by (*insert Anid-airplane-actors*, *erule subst*, *rule sym*, *erule same-actors*)

lemma *actors-at-loc-in-graph*: $\llbracket l \in nodes(graphI\ I); a \ @_{graphI\ I}\ l \rrbracket$

$\implies a \in actors-graph\ (graphI\ I)$

by (simp add: atI-def actors-graph-def, rule exI, rule conjI)

lemma not-en-get-Apnid:
 assumes (Airplane-not-in-danger-init,y) ∈ {(x::infrastructure, y::infrastructure).
 $x \rightarrow_n y\}^*$
 shows $\sim(\text{enables } y \text{ l (Actor a) get})$
proof –
 have delta y = delta(Airplane-not-in-danger-init)
 by (insert assms, rule sym, erule-tac init-state-policy)
 with assms show ?thesis
 by (simp add: Airplane-not-in-danger-init-def enables-def local-policies-four-eyes-def)

qed

lemma Apnid-tsp-test: $\sim(\text{enables Airplane-not-in-danger-init cockpit (Actor "Alice") get})$
 by (simp add: Airplane-not-in-danger-init-def ex-creds-def enables-def
 local-policies-four-eyes-def cabin-def door-def cockpit-def
 ex-graph-def ex-locs-def)

lemma Apnid-tsp-test-gen: $\sim(\text{enables Airplane-not-in-danger-init l (Actor a) get})$
 by (simp add: Airplane-not-in-danger-init-def ex-creds-def enables-def
 local-policies-four-eyes-def cabin-def door-def cockpit-def
 ex-graph-def ex-locs-def)

lemma test-graph-atI: "Bob" @_{graphI} Airplane-not-in-danger-init cockpit
 by (simp add: Airplane-not-in-danger-init-def ex-graph-def atI-def)

lemma two-person-inv:
 fixes z z'
 assumes (2::nat) ≤ length (agra (graphI z) cockpit)
 and nodes(graphI z) = nodes(graphI Airplane-not-in-danger-init)
 and delta(z) = delta(Airplane-not-in-danger-init)
 and (Airplane-not-in-danger-init,z) ∈ {(x::infrastructure, y::infrastructure).
 $x \rightarrow_n y\}^*$
 and $z \rightarrow_n z'$
 shows (2::nat) ≤ length (agra (graphI z') cockpit)
proof (insert assms(5), erule state-transition-in.cases)
 show $\bigwedge (G::igraph) (I::infrastructure) (a::char \text{ list}) (l::location) (a'::char \text{ list})$
 (za::char list)
 $I'::infrastructure.$
 $z = I \implies$
 $z' = I' \implies$
 $G = \text{graphI } I \implies$
 $a @_G l \implies$
 $a' @_G l \implies$

```

has G (Actor a, za) ==>
enables I l (Actor a) get ==>
I' =
Infrastructure
(Lgraph (gra G) (agra G)
((cgra G)(Actor a' := (za # fst (cgra G (Actor a')), snd (cgra G (Actor
a'))))) (lgra G))
(delta I) ==>
(2::nat) ≤ length (agra (graphI z') cockpit) using assms by simp
next show ∧(G::igraph) (I::infrastructure) (a::char list) (l::location) (I'::infrastructure)
za::char list.
z = I ==>
z' = I' ==>
G = graphI I ==>
a @G l ==>
enables I l (Actor a) put ==>
I' = Infrastructure (Lgraph (gra G) (agra G) (cgra G) ((lgra G)(l := [za])))
(delta I) ==>
(2::nat) ≤ length (agra (graphI z') cockpit) using assms by simp
next show ∧(G::igraph) (I::infrastructure) (l::location) (a::char list) (I'::infrastructure)
za::char list.
z = I ==>
z' = I' ==>
G = graphI I ==>
enables I l (Actor a) put ==>
I' = Infrastructure (Lgraph (gra G) (agra G) (cgra G) ((lgra G)(l := [za])))
(delta I) ==>
(2::nat) ≤ length (agra (graphI z') cockpit) using assms by simp
next show ∧(G::igraph) (I::infrastructure) (a::char list) (l::location) (l'::location)
I'::infrastructure.
z = I ==>
z' = I' ==>
G = graphI I ==>
a @G l ==>
l ∈ nodes G ==>
l' ∈ nodes G ==>
a ∈ actors-graph (graphI I) ==>
enables I l' (Actor a) move ==>
I' = Infrastructure (move-graph-a a l l' (graphI I)) (delta I) ==>
(2::nat) ≤ length (agra (graphI z') cockpit)
proof -
fix G :: igraph and I :: infrastructure and a :: char list and l :: location and l'
:: location and I' :: infrastructure
have f1: UasI "Eve" "Charly"
using Eve-precipitating-event Insider-Eve Insider-def by force
obtain ccs :: char list ⇒ char list and ccsa :: char list ⇒ char list where
f2: ∀ cs csa. (¬ UasI cs csa ∨ Actor cs = Actor csa ∧ (∀ csb. (csa = cs ∨
csb = cs ∨ Actor csa ≠ Actor csb) ∨ csa = csb)) ∧ (UasI cs csa ∨ Actor cs ≠
Actor csa ∨ (ccs cs ≠ cs ∧ ccsa cs ≠ cs ∧ Actor (ccs cs) = Actor (ccsa cs)) ∧

```

```

ccs cs ≠ ccsa cs)
  using UasI-def by moura
  have "Bob" @graphI (Infrastructure ex-graph local-policies) Location 2
  using Airplane-not-in-danger-init-def cockpit-def test-graph-atI by force
  then have Actor "Bob" = Actor "Eve"
  using Airplane-scenario-def airplane.cockpit-foe-control airplane-axioms cockpit-def
ex-inv3 global-policy-def by blast
  then show 2 ≤ length (agra (graphI z') cockpit)
  using f2 f1 by auto
qed
qed

```

lemma two-person-inv1:

```

  assumes (Airplane-not-in-danger-init,z) ∈ {(x::infrastructure, y::infrastructure).
x →n y}*
  shows (2::nat) ≤ length (agra (graphI z) cockpit)
proof (insert assms, erule rtrancl-induct)
  show (2::nat) ≤ length (agra (graphI Airplane-not-in-danger-init) cockpit)
  by (simp add: Airplane-not-in-danger-init-def ex-graph-def)
next show ∧(y::infrastructure) z::infrastructure.
  (Airplane-not-in-danger-init, y) ∈ {(x::infrastructure, y::infrastructure). x
→n y}* ⇒
  (y, z) ∈ {(x::infrastructure, y::infrastructure). x →n y} ⇒
  (2::nat) ≤ length (agra (graphI y) cockpit) ⇒ (2::nat) ≤ length (agra
(graphI z) cockpit)
  by (rule two-person-inv, assumption, rule same-nodes, assumption, rule sym,
rule init-state-policy, assumption+, simp)
qed

```

lemma nodup-card-insert:

```

  a ∉ set l ⟶ card (insert a (set l)) = Suc (card (set l))
by auto

```

lemma no-dup-set-list-num-eq[rule-format]:

```

  (∀ a. nodup a l) ⟶ card (set l) = length l
by (induct-tac l, simp, clarify, simp, erule impE, rule allI,
drule-tac x = aa in spec, case-tac a = aa, simp, erule nodup-notin, simp)

```

lemma two-person-set-inv:

```

  assumes (Airplane-not-in-danger-init,z) ∈ {(x::infrastructure, y::infrastructure).
x →n y}*
  shows (2::nat) ≤ card (set (agra (graphI z) cockpit))
proof -
  have a: card (set (agra (graphI z) cockpit)) = length(agra (graphI z) cockpit)
  by (rule no-dup-set-list-num-eq, insert assms, drule actors-unique-loc-aid-step,
drule-tac x = a in spec, erule conjE, erule-tac x = cockpit in spec)
  show ?thesis

```

by (insert *a*, *erule* *ssubst*, *rule* *two-person-inv1*, *rule* *assms*)
qed

lemma *Pred-all-unique*: $\bigwedge P. (\llbracket \forall x. (P\ x \longrightarrow (x = c)) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P\ c)$
apply (*case-tac* *P c*)
apply (*drule* *spec*)
oops

lemma *Pred-all-unique*: $\llbracket ?\ x. P\ x; (!\ x. P\ x \longrightarrow x = c) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P\ c$
by (*case-tac* *P c*, *assumption*, *erule* *exE*, *drule-tac* *x = x* **in** *spec*,
drule *mp*, *assumption*, *erule* *subst*)

lemma *Set-all-unique*: $\llbracket S \neq \{\}; (\forall x \in S. x = c) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow c \in S$
by (*rule-tac* *P = $\lambda x. x \in S$* **in** *Pred-all-unique*, *force*, *simp*)

lemma *airplane-actors-inv0*[*rule-format*]:

$\forall z\ z'. (\forall h::\text{char list} \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } z) \text{ cockpit}). h \in \text{airplane-actors}) \wedge$
 $(\text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init}, z) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x$
 $\rightarrow_n y\}^* \wedge$
 $z \rightarrow_n z' \longrightarrow (\forall h::\text{char list} \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } z') \text{ cockpit}). h \in$
 $\text{airplane-actors})$

proof (*clarify*, *erule* *state-transition-in.cases*)

show $\bigwedge (z::\text{infrastructure}) (z'::\text{infrastructure}) (h::\text{char list}) (G::\text{igraph}) (I::\text{infrastructure})$
 $(a::\text{char list}) (l::\text{location}) (a'::\text{char list}) (za::\text{char list}) I'::\text{infrastructure}.$
 $h \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } z') \text{ cockpit}) \Longrightarrow$
 $\forall h::\text{char list} \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } z) \text{ cockpit}). h \in \text{airplane-actors} \Longrightarrow$
 $(\text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init}, z) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x$
 $\rightarrow_n y\}^* \Longrightarrow$

$z = I \Longrightarrow$

$z' = I' \Longrightarrow$

$G = \text{graphI } I \Longrightarrow$

$a @_G l \Longrightarrow$

$a' @_G l \Longrightarrow$

$\text{has } G (\text{Actor } a, za) \Longrightarrow$

$\text{enables } I\ l (\text{Actor } a) \text{ get} \Longrightarrow$

$I' =$

Infrastructure

$(\text{Lgraph } (\text{gra } G) (\text{agra } G))$

$((\text{cgra } G)(\text{Actor } a' := (za \# \text{fst } (\text{cgra } G (\text{Actor } a')), \text{snd } (\text{cgra } G (\text{Actor } a'))))) (\text{lgra } G))$

$(\text{delta } I) \Longrightarrow$

$h \in \text{airplane-actors}$

by *simp*

next show $\bigwedge (z::\text{infrastructure}) (z'::\text{infrastructure}) (h::\text{char list}) (G::\text{igraph}) (I::\text{infrastructure})$
 $(a::\text{char list}) (l::\text{location}) (I'::\text{infrastructure}) za::\text{char list}.$
 $h \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } z') \text{ cockpit}) \Longrightarrow$
 $\forall h::\text{char list} \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } z) \text{ cockpit}). h \in \text{airplane-actors} \Longrightarrow$
 $(\text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init}, z) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x$
 $\rightarrow_n y\}^* \Longrightarrow$

$z = I \implies$
 $z' = I' \implies$
 $G = \text{graphI } I \implies$
 $a @_G l \implies$
 $\text{enables } I \ l \ (\text{Actor } a) \ \text{put} \implies$
 $I' = \text{Infrastructure } (\text{Lgraph } (\text{gra } G) (\text{agra } G) (\text{cgra } G) ((\text{lgra } G)(l := [za])))$
 $(\text{delta } I) \implies$
 $h \in \text{airplane-actors}$
by simp
next show $\bigwedge (z::\text{infrastructure}) (z'::\text{infrastructure}) (h::\text{char list}) (G::\text{igraph}) (I::\text{infrastructure})$
 $(l::\text{location}) (a::\text{char list}) (I'::\text{infrastructure}) \text{ za}::\text{char list}.$
 $h \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } z') \ \text{cockpit}) \implies$
 $\forall h::\text{char list} \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } z) \ \text{cockpit}). h \in \text{airplane-actors} \implies$
 $(\text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init}, z) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x$
 $\rightarrow_n y\}^* \implies$
 $z = I \implies$
 $z' = I' \implies$
 $G = \text{graphI } I \implies$
 $\text{enables } I \ l \ (\text{Actor } a) \ \text{put} \implies$
 $I' = \text{Infrastructure } (\text{Lgraph } (\text{gra } G) (\text{agra } G) (\text{cgra } G) ((\text{lgra } G)(l := [za])))$
 $(\text{delta } I) \implies$
 $h \in \text{airplane-actors}$
by simp
next show $\bigwedge (z::\text{infrastructure}) (z'::\text{infrastructure}) (h::\text{char list}) (G::\text{igraph}) (I::\text{infrastructure})$
 $(a::\text{char list}) (l::\text{location}) (l'::\text{location}) I'::\text{infrastructure}.$
 $h \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } z') \ \text{cockpit}) \implies$
 $\forall h::\text{char list} \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } z) \ \text{cockpit}). h \in \text{airplane-actors} \implies$
 $(\text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init}, z) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x$
 $\rightarrow_n y\}^* \implies$
 $z = I \implies$
 $z' = I' \implies$
 $G = \text{graphI } I \implies$
 $a @_G l \implies$
 $l \in \text{nodes } G \implies$
 $l' \in \text{nodes } G \implies$
 $a \in \text{actors-graph } (\text{graphI } I) \implies$
 $\text{enables } I \ l' \ (\text{Actor } a) \ \text{move} \implies$
 $I' = \text{Infrastructure } (\text{move-graph-a } a \ l \ l' \ (\text{graphI } I)) \ (\text{delta } I) \implies h \in$
 airplane-actors
proof (*simp add: move-graph-a-def,*
case-tac $a \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l) \wedge a \notin \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l')$
show $\bigwedge (z::\text{infrastructure}) (z'::\text{infrastructure}) (h::\text{char list}) (G::\text{igraph}) (I::\text{infrastructure})$
 $(a::\text{char list}) (l::\text{location}) (l'::\text{location}) I'::\text{infrastructure}.$
 $h \in \text{set } ((\text{if } a \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l) \wedge a \notin \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l')$
 $\text{then } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I))$
 $(l := \text{del } a \ (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l), l' := a \ \# \ \text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l')$
 $\text{else } \text{agra } (\text{graphI } I))$
 $\text{cockpit}) \implies$
 $\forall h::\text{char list} \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ \text{cockpit}). h \in \text{airplane-actors} \implies$

$(Airplane\text{-}not\text{-}in\text{-}danger\text{-}init, I) \in \{(x::infrastructure, y::infrastructure). x$
 $\rightarrow_n y\}^* \implies$
 $z = I \implies$
 $z' =$
Infrastructure
 $(Lgraph\ (gra\ (graphI\ I))$
 $(if\ a \in set\ (agra\ (graphI\ I)\ l) \wedge a \notin set\ (agra\ (graphI\ I)\ l')$
 $then\ (agra\ (graphI\ I))(l := del\ a\ (agra\ (graphI\ I)\ l),\ l' := a \# agra$
 $(graphI\ I)\ l')$
 $else\ agra\ (graphI\ I))$
 $(cgra\ (graphI\ I))\ (lgra\ (graphI\ I)))$
 $(delta\ I) \implies$
 $G = graphI\ I \implies$
 $a @_{graphI\ I}\ l \implies$
 $l \in nodes\ (graphI\ I) \implies$
 $l' \in nodes\ (graphI\ I) \implies$
 $a \in actors\text{-}graph\ (graphI\ I) \implies$
 $enables\ I\ l'\ (Actor\ a)\ move \implies$
 $I' =$
Infrastructure
 $(Lgraph\ (gra\ (graphI\ I))$
 $(if\ a \in set\ (agra\ (graphI\ I)\ l) \wedge a \notin set\ (agra\ (graphI\ I)\ l')$
 $then\ (agra\ (graphI\ I))(l := del\ a\ (agra\ (graphI\ I)\ l),\ l' := a \# agra$
 $(graphI\ I)\ l')$
 $else\ agra\ (graphI\ I))$
 $(cgra\ (graphI\ I))\ (lgra\ (graphI\ I)))$
 $(delta\ I) \implies$
 $\neg (a \in set\ (agra\ (graphI\ I)\ l) \wedge a \notin set\ (agra\ (graphI\ I)\ l')) \implies h \in$
airplane-actors
by simp
next show $\wedge(z::infrastructure)\ (z':infrastructure)\ (h::char\ list)\ (G::igraph)$
 $(I::infrastructure)$
 $(a::char\ list)\ (l::location)\ (l':location)\ I':infrastructure.$
 $h \in set\ ((if\ a \in set\ (agra\ (graphI\ I)\ l) \wedge a \notin set\ (agra\ (graphI\ I)\ l')$
 $then\ (agra\ (graphI\ I))$
 $(l := del\ a\ (agra\ (graphI\ I)\ l),\ l' := a \# agra\ (graphI\ I)\ l')$
 $else\ agra\ (graphI\ I))$
 $cockpit) \implies$
 $\forall h::char\ list \in set\ (agra\ (graphI\ I)\ cockpit). h \in airplane\text{-}actors \implies$
 $(Airplane\text{-}not\text{-}in\text{-}danger\text{-}init, I) \in \{(x::infrastructure, y::infrastructure). x$
 $\rightarrow_n y\}^* \implies$
 $z = I \implies$
 $z' =$
Infrastructure
 $(Lgraph\ (gra\ (graphI\ I))$
 $(if\ a \in set\ (agra\ (graphI\ I)\ l) \wedge a \notin set\ (agra\ (graphI\ I)\ l')$
 $then\ (agra\ (graphI\ I))(l := del\ a\ (agra\ (graphI\ I)\ l),\ l' := a \# agra$
 $(graphI\ I)\ l')$
 $else\ agra\ (graphI\ I))$

$(\text{cgra } (\text{graphI } I)) (\text{lgra } (\text{graphI } I)))$
 $(\text{delta } I) \implies$
 $G = \text{graphI } I \implies$
 $a @_{\text{graphI } I} l \implies$
 $l \in \text{nodes } (\text{graphI } I) \implies$
 $l' \in \text{nodes } (\text{graphI } I) \implies$
 $a \in \text{actors-graph } (\text{graphI } I) \implies$
 $\text{enables } I l' (\text{Actor } a) \text{ move} \implies$
 $I' =$
Infrastructure
 $(\text{Lgraph } (\text{gra } (\text{graphI } I)))$
 $(\text{if } a \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l) \wedge a \notin \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l')$
 $\text{then } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I))(l := \text{del } a (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l), l' := a \# \text{agra}$
 $(\text{graphI } I) l')$
 $\text{else } \text{agra } (\text{graphI } I))$
 $(\text{cgra } (\text{graphI } I)) (\text{lgra } (\text{graphI } I)))$
 $(\text{delta } I) \implies$
 $a \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l) \wedge a \notin \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l') \implies h \in$
airplane-actors
proof (*case-tac* $l' = \text{cockpit}$)
show $\bigwedge (z::\text{infrastructure}) (z'::\text{infrastructure}) (h::\text{char list}) (G::\text{igraph}) (I::\text{infrastructure})$
 $(a::\text{char list}) (l::\text{location}) (l'::\text{location}) I'::\text{infrastructure}.$
 $h \in \text{set } ((\text{if } a \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l) \wedge a \notin \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l')$
 $\text{then } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I))$
 $(l := \text{del } a (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l), l' := a \# \text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l')$
 $\text{else } \text{agra } (\text{graphI } I))$
 $\text{cockpit}) \implies$
 $\forall h::\text{char list} \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \text{cockpit}). h \in \text{airplane-actors} \implies$
 $(\text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init}, I) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x$
 $\rightarrow_n y\}^* \implies$
 $z = I \implies$
 $z' =$
Infrastructure
 $(\text{Lgraph } (\text{gra } (\text{graphI } I)))$
 $(\text{if } a \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l) \wedge a \notin \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l')$
 $\text{then } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I))(l := \text{del } a (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l), l' := a \# \text{agra}$
 $(\text{graphI } I) l')$
 $\text{else } \text{agra } (\text{graphI } I))$
 $(\text{cgra } (\text{graphI } I)) (\text{lgra } (\text{graphI } I)))$
 $(\text{delta } I) \implies$
 $G = \text{graphI } I \implies$
 $a @_{\text{graphI } I} l \implies$
 $l \in \text{nodes } (\text{graphI } I) \implies$
 $l' \in \text{nodes } (\text{graphI } I) \implies$
 $a \in \text{actors-graph } (\text{graphI } I) \implies$
 $\text{enables } I l' (\text{Actor } a) \text{ move} \implies$
 $I' =$
Infrastructure
 $(\text{Lgraph } (\text{gra } (\text{graphI } I)))$

(if $a \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l) \wedge a \notin \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l')$
 then $(\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I))(l := \text{del } a \ (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l), l' := a \ \# \ \text{agra}$
 $(\text{graphI } I) \ l')$
 else $\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I)$
 $(\text{cgra } (\text{graphI } I)) \ (\text{lgra } (\text{graphI } I)))$
 $(\text{delta } I) \implies$
 $a \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l) \wedge a \notin \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l') \implies$
 $l' \neq \text{cockpit} \implies h \in \text{airplane-actors}$
proof $(\text{case-tac cockpit} = l)$
show $\bigwedge (z::\text{infrastructure}) \ (z'::\text{infrastructure}) \ (h::\text{char list}) \ (G::\text{igraph})$
 $(I::\text{infrastructure})$
 $(a::\text{char list}) \ (l::\text{location}) \ (l'::\text{location}) \ I'::\text{infrastructure}.$
 $h \in \text{set } ((\text{if } a \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l) \wedge a \notin \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l')$
 then $(\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I))$
 $(l := \text{del } a \ (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l), l' := a \ \# \ \text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l')$
 else $\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I)$
 $\text{cockpit}) \implies$
 $\forall h::\text{char list} \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ \text{cockpit}). h \in \text{airplane-actors} \implies$
 $(\text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init}, I) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x$
 $\rightarrow_n y\}^* \implies$
 $z = I \implies$
 $z' =$
 Infrastructure
 $(\text{Lgraph } (\text{gra } (\text{graphI } I))$
 $(\text{if } a \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l) \wedge a \notin \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l')$
 then $(\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I))(l := \text{del } a \ (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l), l' := a \ \# \ \text{agra}$
 $(\text{graphI } I) \ l')$
 else $\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I)$
 $(\text{cgra } (\text{graphI } I)) \ (\text{lgra } (\text{graphI } I)))$
 $(\text{delta } I) \implies$
 $G = \text{graphI } I \implies$
 $a \ @_{\text{graphI } I} \ l \implies$
 $l \in \text{nodes } (\text{graphI } I) \implies$
 $l' \in \text{nodes } (\text{graphI } I) \implies$
 $a \in \text{actors-graph } (\text{graphI } I) \implies$
 $\text{enables } I \ l' \ (\text{Actor } a) \ \text{move} \implies$
 $I' =$
 Infrastructure
 $(\text{Lgraph } (\text{gra } (\text{graphI } I))$
 $(\text{if } a \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l) \wedge a \notin \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l')$
 then $(\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I))(l := \text{del } a \ (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l), l' := a \ \# \ \text{agra}$
 $(\text{graphI } I) \ l')$
 else $\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I)$
 $(\text{cgra } (\text{graphI } I)) \ (\text{lgra } (\text{graphI } I)))$
 $(\text{delta } I) \implies$
 $a \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l) \wedge a \notin \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l') \implies$
 $l' \neq \text{cockpit} \implies \text{cockpit} \neq l \implies h \in \text{airplane-actors}$
by simp
next show $\bigwedge (z::\text{infrastructure}) \ (z'::\text{infrastructure}) \ (h::\text{char list}) \ (G::\text{igraph})$

$(I::\text{infrastructure})$
 $(a::\text{char list}) (l::\text{location}) (l'::\text{location}) I'::\text{infrastructure}.$
 $h \in \text{set } ((\text{if } a \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l) \wedge a \notin \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l') \text{ then } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I))$
 $\quad (l := \text{del } a (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l), l' := a \# \text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l')$
 $\quad \text{else } \text{agra } (\text{graphI } I))$
 $\text{cockpit}) \implies$
 $\forall h::\text{char list} \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \text{ cockpit}). h \in \text{airplane-actors} \implies$
 $(\text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init}, I) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x$
 $\rightarrow_n y\}^* \implies$
 $z = I \implies$
 $z' =$
 Infrastructure
 $(\text{Lgraph } (\text{gra } (\text{graphI } I))$
 $\quad (\text{if } a \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l) \wedge a \notin \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l')$
 $\quad \text{then } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I))(l := \text{del } a (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l), l' := a \# \text{agra}$
 $(\text{graphI } I) l')$
 $\quad \text{else } \text{agra } (\text{graphI } I))$
 $\quad (\text{cgra } (\text{graphI } I)) (\text{lgra } (\text{graphI } I)))$
 $(\text{delta } I) \implies$
 $G = \text{graphI } I \implies$
 $a @_{\text{graphI } I} l \implies$
 $l \in \text{nodes } (\text{graphI } I) \implies$
 $l' \in \text{nodes } (\text{graphI } I) \implies$
 $a \in \text{actors-graph } (\text{graphI } I) \implies$
 $\text{enables } I l' (\text{Actor } a) \text{ move} \implies$
 $I' =$
 Infrastructure
 $(\text{Lgraph } (\text{gra } (\text{graphI } I))$
 $\quad (\text{if } a \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l) \wedge a \notin \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l')$
 $\quad \text{then } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I))(l := \text{del } a (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l), l' := a \# \text{agra}$
 $(\text{graphI } I) l')$
 $\quad \text{else } \text{agra } (\text{graphI } I))$
 $\quad (\text{cgra } (\text{graphI } I)) (\text{lgra } (\text{graphI } I)))$
 $(\text{delta } I) \implies$
 $a \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l) \wedge a \notin \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l') \implies$
 $l' \neq \text{cockpit} \implies \text{cockpit} = l \implies h \in \text{airplane-actors}$
 $\text{by } (\text{simp}, \text{erule bspec}, \text{erule del-up})$
qed
next show $\bigwedge (z::\text{infrastructure}) (z'::\text{infrastructure}) (h::\text{char list}) (G::\text{igraph})$
 $(I::\text{infrastructure})$
 $(a::\text{char list}) (l::\text{location}) (l'::\text{location}) I'::\text{infrastructure}.$
 $h \in \text{set } ((\text{if } a \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l) \wedge a \notin \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l')$
 $\quad \text{then } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I))$
 $\quad (l := \text{del } a (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l), l' := a \# \text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l')$
 $\quad \text{else } \text{agra } (\text{graphI } I))$
 $\text{cockpit}) \implies$
 $\forall h::\text{char list} \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \text{ cockpit}). h \in \text{airplane-actors} \implies$
 $(\text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init}, I) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \rightarrow_n y \}^* \Longrightarrow \\
& \quad z = I \Longrightarrow \\
& \quad z' = \\
& \quad \text{Infrastructure} \\
& \quad (Lgraph \ (gra \ (graphI \ I)) \\
& \quad \quad (if \ a \in \ set \ (agra \ (graphI \ I) \ l) \wedge a \notin \ set \ (agra \ (graphI \ I) \ l') \\
& \quad \quad \quad then \ (agra \ (graphI \ I))(l := del \ a \ (agra \ (graphI \ I) \ l), \ l' := a \ \# \ agra \\
& \quad \quad \quad (graphI \ I) \ l') \\
& \quad \quad \quad else \ agra \ (graphI \ I)) \\
& \quad \quad (cgra \ (graphI \ I)) \ (lgra \ (graphI \ I))) \\
& \quad (\delta I) \Longrightarrow \\
& \quad G = graphI \ I \Longrightarrow \\
& \quad a @_{graphI \ I} l \Longrightarrow \\
& \quad l \in nodes \ (graphI \ I) \Longrightarrow \\
& \quad l' \in nodes \ (graphI \ I) \Longrightarrow \\
& \quad a \in actors-graph \ (graphI \ I) \Longrightarrow \\
& \quad enables \ I \ l' \ (Actor \ a) \ move \Longrightarrow \\
& \quad I' = \\
& \quad \text{Infrastructure} \\
& \quad (Lgraph \ (gra \ (graphI \ I)) \\
& \quad \quad (if \ a \in \ set \ (agra \ (graphI \ I) \ l) \wedge a \notin \ set \ (agra \ (graphI \ I) \ l') \\
& \quad \quad \quad then \ (agra \ (graphI \ I))(l := del \ a \ (agra \ (graphI \ I) \ l), \ l' := a \ \# \ agra \\
& \quad \quad \quad (graphI \ I) \ l') \\
& \quad \quad \quad else \ agra \ (graphI \ I)) \\
& \quad \quad (cgra \ (graphI \ I)) \ (lgra \ (graphI \ I))) \\
& \quad (\delta I) \Longrightarrow \\
& \quad a \in \ set \ (agra \ (graphI \ I) \ l) \wedge a \notin \ set \ (agra \ (graphI \ I) \ l') \Longrightarrow \\
& \quad l' = cockpit \Longrightarrow h \in airplane-actors \\
& \quad \text{proof} \ (simp, \ erule \ disjE) \\
& \quad \text{show} \ \bigwedge (z::infrastructure) \ (z'::infrastructure) \ (h::char \ list) \ (G::igraph) \\
& \quad (I::infrastructure) \\
& \quad (a::char \ list) \ (l::location) \ (l'::location) \ I'::infrastructure. \\
& \quad \forall h::char \ list \in \ set \ (agra \ (graphI \ I) \ cockpit). \ h \in \ airplane-actors \Longrightarrow \\
& \quad (Airplane-not-in-danger-init, \ I) \in \ \{(x::infrastructure, \ y::infrastructure). \ x \\
& \rightarrow_n y \}^* \Longrightarrow \\
& \quad z = I \Longrightarrow \\
& \quad z' = \\
& \quad \text{Infrastructure} \\
& \quad (Lgraph \ (gra \ (graphI \ I)) \\
& \quad \quad ((agra \ (graphI \ I)) \\
& \quad \quad \quad (l := del \ a \ (agra \ (graphI \ I) \ l), \ cockpit := a \ \# \ agra \ (graphI \ I) \ cockpit)) \\
& \quad \quad (cgra \ (graphI \ I)) \ (lgra \ (graphI \ I))) \\
& \quad (\delta I) \Longrightarrow \\
& \quad G = graphI \ I \Longrightarrow \\
& \quad a @_{graphI \ I} l \Longrightarrow \\
& \quad l \in nodes \ (graphI \ I) \Longrightarrow \\
& \quad cockpit \in nodes \ (graphI \ I) \Longrightarrow \\
& \quad a \in actors-graph \ (graphI \ I) \Longrightarrow \\
& \quad enables \ I \ cockpit \ (Actor \ a) \ move \Longrightarrow
\end{aligned}$$

```

I' =
  Infrastructure
  (Lgraph (gra (graphI I))
    ((agra (graphI I))
      (l := del a (agra (graphI I) l), cockpit := a # agra (graphI I) cockpit))
    (cgra (graphI I)) (lgra (graphI I))))
  (delta I) ==>
  a ∈ set (agra (graphI I) l) ∧ a ∉ set (agra (graphI I) cockpit) ==>
  l' = cockpit ==> h ∈ set (agra (graphI I) cockpit) ==> h ∈ airplane-actors
  by (erule bspec)
next fix z z' h G I a l l' I'
  assume a0: ∀ h::char list ∈ set (agra (graphI I) cockpit). h ∈ airplane-actors
  and a1: (Airplane-not-in-danger-init, I) ∈ {(x::infrastructure, y::infrastructure)}.
x →n y}*
  and a2: z = I
  and a3: z' =
    Infrastructure
    (Lgraph (gra (graphI I))
      ((agra (graphI I))
        (l := del a (agra (graphI I) l), cockpit := a # agra (graphI I) cockpit))
        (cgra (graphI I)) (lgra (graphI I))))
    (delta I)
  and a4: G = graphI I
  and a5: a @graphI I l
  and a6: l ∈ nodes (graphI I)
  and a7: cockpit ∈ nodes (graphI I)
  and a8: a ∈ actors-graph (graphI I)
  and a9: enables I cockpit (Actor a) move
  and a10: I' =
    Infrastructure
    (Lgraph (gra (graphI I))
      ((agra (graphI I))
        (l := del a (agra (graphI I) l), cockpit := a # agra (graphI I) cockpit))
        (cgra (graphI I)) (lgra (graphI I))))
    (delta I)
  and a11: a ∈ set (agra (graphI I) l) ∧ a ∉ set (agra (graphI I) cockpit)
  and a12: l' = cockpit
  and a13: h = a
  show h ∈ airplane-actors
proof -
have a: delta(I) = delta(Airplane-not-in-danger-init)
  by (rule sym, rule init-state-policy, rule a1)
show ?thesis
  by (insert a0 a1 a2 a3 a4 a5 a6 a7 a8 a9 a10 a11 a12 a13 a,
    simp add: enables-def, erule bexE, simp add: Airplane-not-in-danger-init-def,
    unfold local-policies-four-eyes-def, simp, erule disjE, simp+,

    erule exE, (erule conjE)+,
    fold local-policies-four-eyes-def Airplane-not-in-danger-init-def,

```

drule all-airplane-actors, erule subst)

qed
 qed
 qed
 qed
 qed

lemma *airplane-actors-inv*:
 assumes $(\text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init}, z) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}).$
 $x \rightarrow_n y\}^*$
 shows $\forall h::\text{char list} \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } z) \text{ cockpit}). h \in \text{airplane-actors}$
proof –
 have *ind*: $(\text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init}, z) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}).$
 $x \rightarrow_n y\}^* \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall h::\text{char list} \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } z) \text{ cockpit}). h \in \text{airplane-actors})$
proof (*insert assms, erule rtrancl-induct*)
 show $(\text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init}, \text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init}) \in \{(x,y). x$
 $\rightarrow_n y\}^* \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall h::\text{char list} \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } \text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init}) \text{ cockpit}). h \in$
 $\text{airplane-actors})$
 by (*rule impI, rule ballI,*
simp add: Airplane-not-in-danger-init-def ex-graph-def airplane-actors-def
ex-locs-def,
blast)
 next show $\bigwedge (y::\text{infrastructure}) z::\text{infrastructure}.$
 $(\text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init}, y) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x$
 $\rightarrow_n y\}^* \implies$
 $(y, z) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x \rightarrow_n y\} \implies$
 $(\text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init}, y) \in \{(x,y). x \rightarrow_n y\}^* \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall h::\text{char list} \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } y) \text{ cockpit}). h \in \text{airplane-actors}) \implies$
 $(\text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init}, z) \in \{(x,y). x \rightarrow_n y\}^* \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall h::\text{char list} \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } z) \text{ cockpit}). h \in \text{airplane-actors})$
 by (*rule impI, rule ballI, rule-tac z = y in airplane-actors-inv0,*
rule conjI, erule impE, assumption+, simp)
 qed
 show ?thesis
 by (*insert ind, insert assms, simp*)
 qed

lemma *Eve-not-in-cockpit*: $(\text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init}, I)$
 $\in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x \rightarrow_n y\}^* \implies$
 $x \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \text{ cockpit}) \implies x \neq \text{"Eve"}$
by (*drule airplane-actors-inv, simp add: airplane-actors-def,*
drule-tac x = x in bspec, assumption, force)

lemma *tp-imp-control*:
 assumes $(\text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init}, I) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}).$

$x \rightarrow_n y\}^*$
shows $(? x :: \text{identity}. x @_{\text{graphI } I} \text{cockpit} \wedge \text{Actor } x \neq \text{Actor } \text{"Eve"})$
proof –
have $a0: (2 :: \text{nat}) \leq \text{card } (\text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \text{cockpit}))$
by $(\text{insert } \text{assms}, \text{erule } \text{two-person-set-inv})$
have $a1: \text{is-singleton}(\{\text{"Charly"}\})$
by $(\text{rule } \text{is-singletonI})$
have $a6: \neg(\forall x \in \text{set}(\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \text{cockpit}). (\text{Actor } x = \text{Actor } \text{"Eve"}))$
proof $(\text{rule } \text{notI})$
assume $a7: \forall x :: \text{char list} \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \text{cockpit}). \text{Actor } x = \text{Actor } \text{"Eve"}$
have $a5: \forall x :: \text{char list} \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \text{cockpit}). x = \text{"Charly"}$
by $(\text{insert } \text{assms } a0 \ a7, \text{rule } \text{ballI}, \text{drule-tac } x = x \text{ in } \text{bspec}, \text{assumption}, \text{subgoal-tac } x \neq \text{"Eve"}, \text{insert } \text{Insider-Eve}, \text{unfold } \text{Insider-def}, (\text{drule } \text{mp}),$
 $\text{rule } \text{Eve-precipitating-event}, \text{simp add: } \text{UasI-def}, \text{erule } \text{Eve-not-in-cockpit})$
have $a4: \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \text{cockpit}) = \{\text{"Charly"}\}$
by $(\text{rule } \text{equalityI}, \text{rule } \text{subsetI}, \text{insert } a5, \text{simp},$
 $\text{rule } \text{subsetI}, \text{simp}, \text{rule } \text{Set-all-unique}, \text{insert } a0, \text{force}, \text{rule } a5)$
have $a2: (\text{card}((\text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \text{cockpit})) :: \text{char list set})) = (1 :: \text{nat})$
by $(\text{insert } a1, \text{unfold } \text{is-singleton-altdef}, \text{erule } \text{ssubst}, \text{insert } a4, \text{erule } \text{ssubst},$
 $\text{fold } \text{is-singleton-altdef}, \text{rule } a1)$
have $a3: (2 :: \text{nat}) \leq (1 :: \text{nat})$
by $(\text{insert } a0, \text{insert } a2, \text{erule } \text{subst}, \text{assumption})$
show False
by $(\text{insert } a5 \ a4 \ a3 \ a2, \text{arith})$
qed
show $?thesis$ **by** $(\text{insert } \text{assms } a0 \ a6, \text{simp add: } \text{atI-def}, \text{blast})$
qed

lemma *Fend-2*: $(\text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init}, I) \in \{(x :: \text{infrastructure}, y :: \text{infrastructure}).$
 $x \rightarrow_n y\}^* \implies$
 $\neg \text{enables } I \text{ cockpit } (\text{Actor } \text{"Eve"}) \text{ put}$
by $(\text{insert } \text{cockpit-foe-control}, \text{simp add: } \text{foe-control-def}, \text{drule-tac } x = I \text{ in } \text{spec},$
 $\text{erule } \text{mp}, \text{erule } \text{tp-imp-control})$

theorem *Four-eyes-no-danger*: $\text{Air-tp-Kripke} \vdash \text{AG } (\{x. \text{global-policy } x \text{"Eve"}\})$
proof $(\text{simp add: } \text{Air-tp-Kripke-def } \text{check-def}, \text{rule } \text{conjI})$
show $\text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init} \in \text{Air-tp-states}$
by $(\text{simp add: } \text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init-def } \text{Air-tp-states-def}$
 $\text{state-transition-in-refl-def})$
next show $\text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init} \in \text{AG } \{x :: \text{infrastructure}. \text{global-policy } x \text{"Eve"}\}$
proof $(\text{unfold } \text{AG-def}, \text{simp add: } \text{gfp-def},$
 $\text{rule-tac } x = \{(x :: \text{infrastructure}) \in \text{states } \text{Air-tp-Kripke}. \sim(\text{"Eve"} @_{\text{graphI } x} \text{cockpit})\} \text{ in } \text{exI},$
 $\text{rule } \text{conjI})$
show $\{x :: \text{infrastructure} \in \text{states } \text{Air-tp-Kripke}. \neg \text{"Eve"} @_{\text{graphI } x} \text{cockpit}\}$

```

    ⊆ {x::infrastructure. global-policy x "Eve"}
  by (unfold global-policy-def, simp add: airplane-actors-def, rule subsetI,
      drule CollectD, rule CollectI, erule conjE,
      simp add: Air-tp-Kripke-def Air-tp-states-def state-transition-in-refl-def,
      erule Fend-2)
next show {x::infrastructure ∈ states Air-tp-Kripke. ¬ "Eve" @graphI x cockpit}
    ⊆ AX {x::infrastructure ∈ states Air-tp-Kripke. ¬ "Eve" @graphI x cockpit} ∧
    Airplane-not-in-danger-init
    ∈ {x::infrastructure ∈ states Air-tp-Kripke. ¬ "Eve" @graphI x cockpit}
proof
  show Airplane-not-in-danger-init
    ∈ {x::infrastructure ∈ states Air-tp-Kripke. ¬ "Eve" @graphI x cockpit}
  by (simp add: Airplane-not-in-danger-init-def Air-tp-Kripke-def Air-tp-states-def
      state-transition-refl-def ex-graph-def atI-def Air-tp-Kripke-def
      state-transition-in-refl-def)
next show {x::infrastructure ∈ states Air-tp-Kripke. ¬ "Eve" @graphI x cockpit}
    ⊆ AX {x::infrastructure ∈ states Air-tp-Kripke. ¬ "Eve" @graphI x cockpit}
proof (rule subsetI, simp add: AX-def, rule subsetI, rule CollectI, rule conjI)
  show ∧(x::infrastructure) xa::infrastructure.
    x ∈ states Air-tp-Kripke ∧ ¬ "Eve" @graphI x cockpit ⇒
    xa ∈ Collect (state-transition x) ⇒ xa ∈ states Air-tp-Kripke
  by (simp add: Air-tp-Kripke-def Air-tp-states-def state-transition-in-refl-def,
      simp add: atI-def, erule conjE,
      unfold state-transition-infra-def state-transition-in-refl-def,
      erule rtrancl-into-rtrancl, rule CollectI, simp)
next fix x xa
  assume a0: x ∈ states Air-tp-Kripke ∧ ¬ "Eve" @graphI x cockpit
  and a1: xa ∈ Collect (state-transition x)
  show ¬ "Eve" @graphI xa cockpit
proof -
  have b: (Airplane-not-in-danger-init, xa)
    ∈ {(x::infrastructure, y::infrastructure). x →n y}*
  proof (insert a0 a1, rule rtrancl-trans)
    show x ∈ states Air-tp-Kripke ∧ ¬ "Eve" @graphI x cockpit ⇒
      xa ∈ Collect (state-transition x) ⇒
      (x, xa) ∈ {(x::infrastructure, y::infrastructure). x →n y}*
    by (unfold state-transition-infra-def, force)
  next show x ∈ states Air-tp-Kripke ∧ ¬ "Eve" @graphI x cockpit ⇒
      xa ∈ Collect (state-transition x) ⇒
      (Airplane-not-in-danger-init, x) ∈ {(x::infrastructure, y::infrastructure).
x →n y}*
    by (erule conjE, simp add: Air-tp-Kripke-def Air-tp-states-def state-transition-in-refl-def)
  qed
  show ?thesis
  by (insert a0 a1 b, rule-tac P = "Eve" @graphI xa cockpit in notI,
      simp add: atI-def, drule Eve-not-in-cockpit, assumption, simp)
qed
qed

```


qed
qed
qed

end

end