

latex

florian

November 17, 2019

Contents

```
theory MC
imports Main
begin
declare [[show-types]]

thm monotone-def
definition monotone :: ('a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set)  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where monotone  $\tau \equiv (\forall p\ q. p \subseteq q \longrightarrow \tau\ p \subseteq \tau\ q)$ 

lemma monotoneE: monotone  $\tau \Longrightarrow p \subseteq q \Longrightarrow \tau\ p \subseteq \tau\ q$ 
by (simp add: monotone-def)

lemma lfp1: monotone  $\tau \longrightarrow (\text{lfp } \tau = \bigcap \{Z. \tau\ Z \subseteq Z\})$ 
by (simp add: monotone-def lfp-def)

lemma gfp1: monotone  $\tau \longrightarrow (\text{gfp } \tau = \bigcup \{Z. Z \subseteq \tau\ Z\})$ 
by (simp add: monotone-def gfp-def)

primrec power :: ['a  $\Rightarrow$  'a, nat]  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a) ((-  $\wedge$  -) 40)
where
  power-zero: (f  $\wedge$  0) = ( $\lambda x. x$ ) |
  power-suc: (f  $\wedge$  (Suc n)) = (f o (f  $\wedge$  n))

lemma predtrans-empty:
  assumes monotone  $\tau$ 
  shows  $\forall i. (\tau \wedge i) (\{\}) \subseteq (\tau \wedge (i + 1)) (\{\})$ 
proof (rule allI, induct-tac i)
  show  $(\tau \wedge 0::nat) \{\} \subseteq (\tau \wedge (0::nat) + (1::nat)) \{\}$  by simp
next show  $\bigwedge (i::nat) n::nat. (\tau \wedge n) \{\} \subseteq (\tau \wedge n + (1::nat)) \{\}$ 
   $\Longrightarrow (\tau \wedge \text{Suc } n) \{\} \subseteq (\tau \wedge \text{Suc } n + (1::nat)) \{\}$ 
proof -
  fix i n
  assume a :  $(\tau \wedge n) \{\} \subseteq (\tau \wedge n + (1::nat)) \{\}$ 
  have  $(\tau ((\tau \wedge n) \{\})) \subseteq (\tau ((\tau \wedge (n + (1 :: nat)))) \{\}))$  using assms
  apply (rule monotoneE)
  by (rule a)
```

thus $(\tau \hat{\ } \text{Suc } n) \{\} \subseteq (\tau \hat{\ } \text{Suc } n + (1 :: \text{nat})) \{\}$ **by** *simp*
 qed
 qed

lemma *ex-card*: $\text{finite } S \implies \exists n :: \text{nat}. \text{card } S = n$
by *simp*

lemma *less-not-le*: $\llbracket (x :: \text{nat}) < y; y \leq x \rrbracket \implies \text{False}$
by *arith*

lemma *infchain-outruns-all*:
 assumes *finite* (*UNIV* :: 'a set)
 and $\forall i :: \text{nat}. (\tau \hat{\ } i) \{\} :: 'a \text{ set} \subset (\tau \hat{\ } i + (1 :: \text{nat})) \{\}$
 shows $\forall j :: \text{nat}. \exists i :: \text{nat}. j < \text{card } ((\tau \hat{\ } i) \{\})$
proof (*rule allI, induct-tac j*)
 show $\exists i :: \text{nat}. (0 :: \text{nat}) < \text{card } ((\tau \hat{\ } i) \{\})$ **using** *assms*
 apply (*drule-tac* $x = 0$ **in** *spec*)
 apply (*rule-tac* $x = 1$ **in** *exI*)
 apply *simp*
 apply (*subgoal-tac* $\text{card } \{\} = 0$)
 apply (*erule subst*)
 apply (*rule psubset-card-mono*)
 apply (*rule-tac* $B = \text{UNIV}$ **in** *finite-subset*)
 apply *simp*
 apply *assumption+*
 by *simp*
 next show $\bigwedge (j :: \text{nat}) n :: \text{nat}. \exists i :: \text{nat}. n < \text{card } ((\tau \hat{\ } i) \{\})$
 $\implies \exists i :: \text{nat}. \text{Suc } n < \text{card } ((\tau \hat{\ } i) \{\})$
proof –
 fix $j \ n$
 assume $a: \exists i :: \text{nat}. n < \text{card } ((\tau \hat{\ } i) \{\})$
 obtain i **where** $n < \text{card } ((\tau \hat{\ } (i :: \text{nat})) \{\})$
 apply (*rule exE*)
 apply (*rule a*)
 by *simp*
 thus $\exists i. \text{Suc } n < \text{card } ((\tau \hat{\ } i) \{\})$ **using** *assms*
 apply (*rule-tac* $x = i + 1$ **in** *exI*)
 apply (*subgoal-tac* $\text{card } ((\tau \hat{\ } i) \{\}) < \text{card } ((\tau \hat{\ } i + (1 :: \text{nat})) \{\})$)
 apply *arith*
 apply (*rule psubset-card-mono*)
 apply (*rule-tac* $B = \text{UNIV}$ **in** *finite-subset*)
 apply *simp*
 apply (*rule assms*)
 by (*erule spec*)
 qed
 qed

lemma *no-infinite-subset-chain*:
 assumes *finite* (*UNIV* :: 'a set)

and *monotone* ($\tau :: ('a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set})$)
and $\forall i :: \text{nat. } ((\tau :: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}) \wedge i) \{\} \subset (\tau \wedge i + (1 :: \text{nat})) (\{\} :: 'a \text{ set})$
shows *False*

proof –

have $a: \forall (j :: \text{nat}). (\exists (i :: \text{nat}). (j :: \text{nat}) < \text{card}((\tau \wedge i)(\{\} :: 'a \text{ set})))$ **using** *assms*
apply (*erule-tac* $\tau = \tau$ **in** *infchain-outruns-all*)
by *assumption*
hence $b: \exists (n :: \text{nat}). \text{card}(UNIV :: 'a \text{ set}) = n$ **using** *assms*
by (*erule-tac* $S = UNIV$ **in** *ex-card*)
from this obtain n **where** $c: \text{card}(UNIV :: 'a \text{ set}) = n$ **by** (*erule exE*)
hence $d: \exists i :: \text{nat. } \text{card } UNIV < \text{card } ((\tau \wedge i) \{\})$ **using** a
apply (*drule-tac* $x = \text{card } UNIV$ **in** *spec*)
by *assumption*
from this obtain i **where** $e: \text{card } (UNIV :: 'a \text{ set}) < \text{card } ((\tau \wedge i) \{\})$
by (*erule exE*)
hence $f: (\text{card}((\tau \wedge i)\{\})) \leq (\text{card } (UNIV :: 'a \text{ set}))$ **using** *assms*
thm *Finite-Set.card-mono*
apply (*rule-tac* $A = ((\tau \wedge i)\{\})$ **in** *Finite-Set.card-mono*)
apply *assumption*
by (*rule subset-UNIV*)
thus *False* **using** e
thm *less-not-le*
apply (*erule-tac* $y = \text{card}((\tau \wedge i)\{\})$ **in** *less-not-le*)
by *assumption*
qed

lemma *finite-fixp*:

assumes *finite*($UNIV :: 'a \text{ set}$)
and *monotone* ($\tau :: ('a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set})$)
shows $\exists i. (\tau \wedge i) (\{\}) = (\tau \wedge (i + 1))(\{\})$

proof –

have $a: \forall i :: \text{nat. } (\tau \wedge i) (\{\} :: 'a \text{ set}) \subseteq (\tau \wedge i + (1 :: \text{nat})) \{\}$
thm *predtrans-empty*
apply(*rule predtrans-empty*)
by (*rule assms*(2))
hence $b: (\exists i :: \text{nat. } \neg((\tau \wedge i) \{\} \subset (\tau \wedge (i + 1)) \{\}))$ **using** *assms*
apply (*subgoal-tac* $\neg (\forall i :: \text{nat. } (\tau \wedge i) \{\} \subset (\tau \wedge (i + 1)) \{\})$)
apply *blast*
apply (*rule notI*)
apply (*rule no-infinite-subset-chain*)
by *assumption*
thus $\exists i. (\tau \wedge i) (\{\}) = (\tau \wedge (i + 1))(\{\})$ **using** a
by *blast*
qed

```

lemma predtrans-UNIV:
  assumes monotone  $\tau$ 
  shows  $\forall i. (\tau \wedge i) (UNIV) \supseteq (\tau \wedge (i + 1))(UNIV)$ 
proof (rule allI, induct-tac i)
  show  $(\tau \wedge (0::nat) + (1::nat)) UNIV \subseteq (\tau \wedge 0::nat) UNIV$  by simp
next show  $\bigwedge(i::nat) n::nat.$ 
   $(\tau \wedge n + (1::nat)) UNIV \subseteq (\tau \wedge n) UNIV \implies (\tau \wedge Suc\ n + (1::nat)) UNIV$ 
 $\subseteq (\tau \wedge Suc\ n) UNIV$ 
  proof -
    fix  $i\ n$ 
    assume  $a: (\tau \wedge n + (1::nat)) UNIV \subseteq (\tau \wedge n) UNIV$ 
    have  $(\tau ((\tau \wedge n) UNIV)) \supseteq (\tau ((\tau \wedge (n + (1 :: nat)))) UNIV))$  using assms
    apply (rule monotoneE)
    by (rule a)
    thus  $(\tau \wedge Suc\ n + (1::nat)) UNIV \subseteq (\tau \wedge Suc\ n) UNIV$  by simp
  qed
qed

lemma Suc-less-le:  $x < (y - n) \implies x \leq (y - (Suc\ n))$ 
by simp

lemma card-univ-subtract:
  assumes finite  $(UNIV :: 'a\ set)$  and monotone  $(\tau :: 'a\ set \Rightarrow 'a\ set)$ 
  and  $(\forall i :: nat. ((\tau :: 'a\ set \Rightarrow 'a\ set) \wedge i + (1 :: nat)) (UNIV :: 'a\ set) \subset$ 
 $(\tau \wedge i) UNIV)$ 
  shows  $(\forall i :: nat. card((\tau \wedge i) (UNIV :: 'a\ set)) \leq (card (UNIV :: 'a\ set)) - i)$ 
proof (rule allI, induct-tac i)
  show  $card((\tau \wedge 0::nat) UNIV) \leq card (UNIV :: 'a\ set) - (0::nat)$  using assms
  by (simp)
next show  $\bigwedge(i::nat) n::nat.$ 
   $card((\tau \wedge n) (UNIV :: 'a\ set)) \leq card (UNIV :: 'a\ set) - n \implies$ 
   $card((\tau \wedge Suc\ n) (UNIV :: 'a\ set)) \leq card (UNIV :: 'a\ set) - Suc\ n$  using
assms
  proof -
    fix  $i\ n$ 
    assume  $a: card((\tau \wedge n) (UNIV :: 'a\ set)) \leq card (UNIV :: 'a\ set) - n$ 
    have  $b: (\tau \wedge n + (1::nat)) (UNIV :: 'a\ set) \subset (\tau \wedge n) UNIV$  using assms
    by (erule-tac x = n in spec)
    have  $card((\tau \wedge n + (1 :: nat)) (UNIV :: 'a\ set)) < card((\tau \wedge n) (UNIV :: 'a$ 
 $set))$ 
    apply (rule psubset-card-mono)
    apply (rule finite-subset)
    apply (rule subset-UNIV)
    apply (rule assms(1))
    by (rule b)
    thus  $card((\tau \wedge Suc\ n) (UNIV :: 'a\ set)) \leq card (UNIV :: 'a\ set) - Suc\ n$ 
using a
    by simp
  qed

```

qed

lemma *card-UNIV-tau-i-below-zero*:

assumes *finite* (*UNIV* :: 'a set) **and** *monotone* ($\tau :: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$)
and ($\forall i :: \text{nat}. ((\tau :: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}) \wedge i + (1 :: \text{nat})) (UNIV :: 'a \text{ set}) \subset (\tau \wedge i) UNIV$)
shows $\text{card}((\tau \wedge (\text{card } (UNIV :: 'a \text{ set}))) (UNIV :: 'a \text{ set})) \leq 0$
proof –
have ($\forall i :: \text{nat}. \text{card}((\tau \wedge i) (UNIV :: 'a \text{ set})) \leq (\text{card } (UNIV :: 'a \text{ set})) - i$)
using *assms*
by (*rule card-univ-subtract*)
thus $\text{card}((\tau \wedge (\text{card } (UNIV :: 'a \text{ set}))) (UNIV :: 'a \text{ set})) \leq 0$
apply (*drule-tac* $x = \text{card } (UNIV :: 'a \text{ set})$ **in** *spec*)
by *simp*

qed

lemma *finite-card-zero-empty*: $\llbracket \text{finite } S; \text{card } S \leq 0 \rrbracket \Rightarrow S = \{\}$

by *simp*

lemma *UNIV-tau-i-is-empty*:

assumes *finite* (*UNIV* :: 'a set) **and** *monotone* ($\tau :: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$)
and ($\forall i :: \text{nat}. ((\tau :: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}) \wedge i + (1 :: \text{nat})) (UNIV :: 'a \text{ set}) \subset (\tau \wedge i) UNIV$)
shows $(\tau \wedge (\text{card } (UNIV :: 'a \text{ set}))) (UNIV :: 'a \text{ set}) = \{\}$
proof –
have $\text{card } ((\tau \wedge \text{card } (UNIV :: 'a \text{ set})) UNIV) \leq (0 :: \text{nat})$ **using** *assms*
apply (*rule card-UNIV-tau-i-below-zero*)
·
thus $(\tau \wedge (\text{card } (UNIV :: 'a \text{ set}))) (UNIV :: 'a \text{ set}) = \{\}$ **using** *assms*
apply (*rule-tac* $S = (\tau \wedge (\text{card } (UNIV :: 'a \text{ set}))) (UNIV :: 'a \text{ set})$ **in** *finite-card-zero-empty*)
apply (*rule finite-subset*)
apply (*rule subset-UNIV*)
·

qed

lemma *down-chain-reaches-empty*:

assumes *finite* (*UNIV* :: 'a set) **and** *monotone* ($\tau :: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$)
and ($\forall i :: \text{nat}. ((\tau :: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}) \wedge i + (1 :: \text{nat})) UNIV \subset (\tau \wedge i) UNIV$)
shows $\exists (j :: \text{nat}). (\tau \wedge j) UNIV = \{\}$
proof –
have $(\tau \wedge ((\text{card } (UNIV :: 'a \text{ set})))) UNIV = \{\}$ **using** *assms*
apply (*rule UNIV-tau-i-is-empty*)
·
thus $\exists (j :: \text{nat}). (\tau \wedge j) UNIV = \{\}$
by (*rule exI*)

qed

lemma *no-infinite-subset-chain2*:

assumes *finite* ($UNIV :: 'a \text{ set}$) **and** *monotone* ($\tau :: ('a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set})$)
and $\forall i :: \text{nat. } (\tau \wedge i) \text{ UNIV} \supset (\tau \wedge i + (1 :: \text{nat})) \text{ UNIV}$
shows *False*
proof –
have $\exists j :: \text{nat. } (\tau \wedge j) \text{ UNIV} = \{\}$ **using** *assms*
apply (*rule down-chain-reaches-empty*)
·
from this obtain j **where** $a: (\tau \wedge j) \text{ UNIV} = \{\}$ **by** (*erule exE*)
have $(\tau \wedge j + (1 :: \text{nat})) \text{ UNIV} \subset (\tau \wedge j) \text{ UNIV}$ **using** *assms*
by (*erule-tac x = j in spec*)
thus False using a by simp
qed

lemma *finite-fix2*:
assumes *finite*($UNIV :: 'a \text{ set}$) **and** *monotone* ($\tau :: ('a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set})$)
shows $\exists i. (\tau \wedge i) \text{ UNIV} = (\tau \wedge (i + 1)) \text{ UNIV}$
proof –
have $\forall i :: \text{nat. } (\tau \wedge i + (1 :: \text{nat})) \text{ UNIV} \subseteq (\tau \wedge i) \text{ UNIV}$
apply (*rule predtrans-UNIV*) **using** *assms*
by (*simp add: assms(2)*)
moreover have $\exists i :: \text{nat. } \neg (\tau \wedge i + (1 :: \text{nat})) \text{ UNIV} \subset (\tau \wedge i) \text{ UNIV}$ **using**
assms
proof –
have $\neg (\forall i :: \text{nat. } (\tau \wedge i) \text{ UNIV} \supset (\tau \wedge (i + 1)) \text{ UNIV})$
apply (*rule notI*)
apply (*rule no-infinite-subset-chain2*) **using** *assms*
·
thus $\exists i :: \text{nat. } \neg (\tau \wedge i + (1 :: \text{nat})) \text{ UNIV} \subset (\tau \wedge i) \text{ UNIV}$ **by blast**
qed
ultimately show $\exists i. (\tau \wedge i) \text{ UNIV} = (\tau \wedge (i + 1)) \text{ UNIV}$
by blast
qed

lemma *mono-monotone*: *mono* ($\tau :: ('a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set})$) \Longrightarrow *monotone* τ
by (*simp add: monotone-def mono-def*)

lemma *monotone-mono*: *monotone* ($\tau :: ('a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set})$) \Longrightarrow *mono* τ
by (*simp add: monotone-def mono-def*)

lemma *power-power*: $((\tau :: ('a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set})) \wedge \wedge n) = ((\tau :: ('a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set})) \wedge n)$
proof (*induct-tac n*)
show $\tau \wedge \wedge (0 :: \text{nat}) = (\tau \wedge 0 :: \text{nat})$ **by** (*simp add: id-def*)
next show $\bigwedge n :: \text{nat. } \tau \wedge \wedge n = (\tau \wedge n) \Longrightarrow \tau \wedge \wedge \text{Suc } n = (\tau \wedge \text{Suc } n)$
by simp
qed

lemma *lfp-Kleene-iter-set*: *monotone* ($f :: ('a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set})$) \Longrightarrow
 $(f \wedge \text{Suc}(n)) \{\} = (f \wedge n) \{\} \Longrightarrow \text{lfp } f = (f \wedge n) \{\}$

by (simp add: monotone-mono lfp-Kleene-iter power-power)

lemma lfp-loop:

assumes finite (UNIV :: 'b set) and monotone ($\tau :: ('b \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ set})$)

shows $\exists n . \text{lfp } \tau = (\tau \wedge n) \{ \}$

proof -

have $\exists i :: \text{nat} . (\tau \wedge i) \{ \} = (\tau \wedge i + (1 :: \text{nat})) \{ \}$ using assms

by (rule finite-fixp)

from this obtain i where $(\tau \wedge i) \{ \} = (\tau \wedge i + (1 :: \text{nat})) \{ \}$

by (erule exE)

hence $(\tau \wedge i) \{ \} = (\tau \wedge \text{Suc } i) \{ \}$

by simp

hence $(\tau \wedge \text{Suc } i) \{ \} = (\tau \wedge i) \{ \}$

by (rule sym)

hence $\text{lfp } \tau = (\tau \wedge i) \{ \}$

by (simp add: assms(2) lfp-Kleene-iter-set)

thus $\exists n . \text{lfp } \tau = (\tau \wedge n) \{ \}$

by (rule exI)

qed

lemma Kleene-iter-gfpf:

assumes mono f and $p \leq f p$ shows $p \leq (f \wedge k) (\text{top} :: 'a :: \text{order-top})$

proof(induction k)

case 0 show ?case by simp

next

case Suc

from monoD[OF assms(1) Suc] assms(2)

show ?case by simp

qed

lemma gfp-Kleene-iter: assumes mono f and $(f \wedge \text{Suc } k) \text{ top} = (f \wedge k) \text{ top}$

shows $\text{gfp } f = (f \wedge k) \text{ top}$

proof(rule antisym)

show $(f \wedge k) \text{ top} \leq \text{gfp } f$

proof(rule gfp-upperbound)

show $(f \wedge k) \text{ top} \leq f ((f \wedge k) \text{ top})$ using assms(2) by simp

qed

next

show $\text{gfp } f \leq (f \wedge k) \text{ top}$

using Kleene-iter-gfpf[OF assms(1)] gfp-unfold[OF assms(1)] by simp

qed

lemma gfp-Kleene-iter-set:

assumes monotone ($f :: ('a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set})$)

and $(f \wedge \text{Suc}(n)) \text{ UNIV} = (f \wedge n) \text{ UNIV}$

shows $\text{gfp } f = (f \wedge n) \text{ UNIV}$

proof -

have a: mono f using assms

```

    by (erule-tac  $\tau = f$  in monotone-mono)
  hence b:  $(f \hat{\ } \text{Suc } (n)) \text{ UNIV} = (f \hat{\ } n) \text{ UNIV}$  using assms
    by (simp add: power-power)
  hence c:  $\text{gfp } f = (f \hat{\ } (n))(\text{UNIV} :: 'a \text{ set})$  using assms a
    thm gfp-Kleene-iter
    apply (erule-tac  $f = f$  and  $k = n$  in gfp-Kleene-iter)
  .
  thus  $\text{gfp } f = (f \hat{\ } (n))(\text{UNIV} :: 'a \text{ set})$  using assms a
    by (simp add: power-power)
qed

```

lemma *gfp-loop*:

```

  assumes finite ( $\text{UNIV} :: 'b \text{ set}$ )
  and monotone ( $\tau :: ('b \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ set})$ )
  shows  $\exists n . \text{gfp } \tau = (\tau \hat{\ } n)(\text{UNIV} :: 'b \text{ set})$ 
proof -
  have  $\exists i :: \text{nat}. (\tau \hat{\ } i)(\text{UNIV} :: 'b \text{ set}) = (\tau \hat{\ } i + (1 :: \text{nat})) \text{ UNIV}$  using assms
    by (rule finite-fixp2)
  from this obtain i where  $(\tau \hat{\ } i)(\text{UNIV} :: 'b \text{ set}) = (\tau \hat{\ } i + (1 :: \text{nat})) \text{ UNIV}$ 
  by (erule exE)
  thus  $\exists n . \text{gfp } \tau = (\tau \hat{\ } n)(\text{UNIV} :: 'b \text{ set})$  using assms
    apply (rule-tac  $x = i$  in exI)
    apply (rule gfp-Kleene-iter-set)
    apply assumption
    apply (rule sym)
    by simp
qed

```

```

class state =
  fixes state-transition :: [ $'a :: \text{type}$ ,  $'a$ ]  $\Rightarrow$  bool (( $- \rightarrow_i -$ ) 50)

```

```

definition AX where  $\text{AX } f \equiv \{s. \{f0. s \rightarrow_i f0\} \subseteq f\}$ 
definition EX' where  $\text{EX'} } f \equiv \{s. \exists f0 \in f. s \rightarrow_i f0\}$ 

```

```

definition AF where  $\text{AF } f \equiv \text{lfp } (\lambda Z. f \cup \text{AX } Z)$ 
definition EF where  $\text{EF } f \equiv \text{lfp } (\lambda Z. f \cup \text{EX'} } Z)$ 
definition AG where  $\text{AG } f \equiv \text{gfp } (\lambda Z. f \cap \text{AX } Z)$ 
definition EG where  $\text{EG } f \equiv \text{gfp } (\lambda Z. f \cap \text{EX'} } Z)$ 
definition AU where  $\text{AU } f1 f2 \equiv \text{lfp } (\lambda Z. f2 \cup (f1 \cap \text{AX } Z))$ 
definition EU where  $\text{EU } f1 f2 \equiv \text{lfp } (\lambda Z. f2 \cup (f1 \cap \text{EX'} } Z))$ 
definition AR where  $\text{AR } f1 f2 \equiv \text{gfp } (\lambda Z. f2 \cap (f1 \cup \text{AX } Z))$ 
definition ER where  $\text{ER } f1 f2 \equiv \text{gfp } (\lambda Z. f2 \cap (f1 \cup \text{EX'} } Z))$ 

```

```

datatype  $'a \text{ kripke} =$ 
  Kripke  $'a \text{ set } 'a \text{ set}$ 

```


primrec *states* **where** *states* (*Kripke S I*) = *S*
primrec *init* **where** *init* (*Kripke S I*) = *I*

definition *check* ($- \vdash -$ 50)
where $M \vdash f \equiv (\text{init } M) \subseteq \{s \in (\text{states } M). s \in f\}$

definition *state-transition-refl* ($(- \rightarrow_{i*} -)$ 50)
where $s \rightarrow_{i*} s' \equiv ((s, s') \in \{(x, y). \text{state-transition } x \ y\}^*)$

lemma *EF-lem0*: $(x \in EF \ f) = (x \in f \cup EX' \ (\text{lfp } (\lambda Z :: ('a :: \text{state}) \text{ set. } f \cup EX' \ Z)))$

proof –

have $\text{lfp } (\lambda Z :: ('a :: \text{state}) \text{ set. } f \cup EX' \ Z) =$
 $f \cup (EX' \ (\text{lfp } (\lambda Z :: 'a \text{ set. } f \cup EX' \ Z)))$
apply (*rule def-lfp-unfold*)
apply (*rule reflexive*)
apply (*unfold mono-def EX'-def*)
by *auto*
thus $(x \in EF \ (f :: ('a :: \text{state}) \text{ set})) = (x \in f \cup EX' \ (\text{lfp } (\lambda Z :: ('a :: \text{state}) \text{ set. } f \cup EX' \ Z)))$
by (*simp add: EF-def*)

qed

lemma *EF-lem00*: $(EF \ f) = (f \cup EX' \ (\text{lfp } (\lambda Z :: ('a :: \text{state}) \text{ set. } f \cup EX' \ Z)))$

proof (*rule equalityI*)

show $EF \ f \subseteq f \cup EX' \ (\text{lfp } (\lambda Z :: 'a \text{ set. } f \cup EX' \ Z))$
apply (*rule subsetI*)
by (*simp add: EF-lem0*)
next show $f \cup EX' \ (\text{lfp } (\lambda Z :: 'a \text{ set. } f \cup EX' \ Z)) \subseteq EF \ f$
apply (*rule subsetI*)
by (*simp add: EF-lem0*)

qed

lemma *EF-lem000*: $(EF \ f) = (f \cup EX' \ (EF \ f))$

proof (*subst EF-lem00*)

show $f \cup EX' \ (\text{lfp } (\lambda Z :: 'a \text{ set. } f \cup EX' \ Z)) = f \cup EX' \ (EF \ f)$
apply (*fold EF-def*)
by (*rule refl*)

qed

lemma *EF-lem1*: $x \in f \vee x \in (EX' \ (EF \ f)) \implies x \in EF \ f$

proof (*simp add: EF-def*)

assume $a: x \in f \vee x \in EX' \ (\text{lfp } (\lambda Z :: 'a \text{ set. } f \cup EX' \ Z))$
show $x \in \text{lfp } (\lambda Z :: 'a \text{ set. } f \cup EX' \ Z)$
proof –
have $b: \text{lfp } (\lambda Z :: ('a :: \text{state}) \text{ set. } f \cup EX' \ Z) =$
 $f \cup (EX' \ (\text{lfp } (\lambda Z :: ('a :: \text{state}) \text{ set. } f \cup EX' \ Z)))$
apply (*rule def-lfp-unfold*)

```

      apply (rule reflexive)
      apply (unfold mono-def EX'-def)
      by auto
    thus  $x \in \text{lfp } (\lambda Z::'a \text{ set. } f \cup EX' Z)$  using  $a$ 
      apply (subst  $b$ )
      by blast
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma EF-lem2b:
  assumes  $x \in (EX' (EF f))$ 
  shows  $x \in EF f$ 
proof (rule EF-lem1)
  show  $x \in f \vee x \in EX' (EF f)$ 
    apply (rule disjI2)
    by (rule assms)
qed

```

```

lemma EF-lem2a: assumes  $x \in f$  shows  $x \in EF f$ 
proof (rule EF-lem1)
  show  $x \in f \vee x \in EX' (EF f)$ 
    apply (rule disjI1)
    by (rule assms)
qed

```

```

lemma EF-lem2c: assumes  $x \notin f$  shows  $x \in EF (- f)$ 
proof -
  have  $x \in (- f)$  using assms
  by simp
  thus  $x \in EF (- f)$ 
    by (rule EF-lem2a)
qed

```

```

lemma EF-lem2d: assumes  $x \notin EF f$  shows  $x \notin f$ 
proof -
  have  $x \in f \implies x \in EF f$ 
    by (erule EF-lem2a)
  thus  $x \notin f$  using assms
    thm contrapos-nn
  apply (erule-tac  $P = x \in f$  in contrapos-nn)
  apply (erule meta-mp)
  .
qed

```

```

lemma EF-lem3b: assumes  $x \in EX' (f \cup EX' (EF f))$  shows  $x \in (EF f)$ 
proof (simp add: EF-lem0)
  show  $x \in f \vee x \in EX' (\text{lfp } (\lambda Z::'a \text{ set. } f \cup EX' Z))$ 
    apply (rule disjI2)
    apply (fold EF-def)

```

apply (*subst EF-lem00*)
apply (*fold EF-def*)
by (*rule asms*)
qed

lemma *EX-lem0l*: $x \in (EX' f) \implies x \in (EX' (f \cup g))$
proof (*unfold EX'-def*)
show $x \in \{s :: 'a. \exists f0 :: 'a \in f. s \rightarrow_i f0\} \implies x \in \{s :: 'a. \exists f0 :: 'a \in f \cup g. s \rightarrow_i f0\}$
by *blast*
qed

lemma *EX-lem0r*: $x \in (EX' g) \implies x \in (EX' (f \cup g))$
proof (*unfold EX'-def*)
show $x \in \{s :: 'a. \exists f0 :: 'a \in g. s \rightarrow_i f0\} \implies x \in \{s :: 'a. \exists f0 :: 'a \in f \cup g. s \rightarrow_i f0\}$
by *blast*
qed

lemma *EX-step*: **assumes** $x \rightarrow_i y$ **and** $y \in f$ **shows** $x \in EX' f$
proof (*unfold EX'-def*)
show $x \in \{s :: 'a. \exists f0 :: 'a \in f. s \rightarrow_i f0\}$
apply *simp*
apply (*rule-tac* $x = y$ **in** *bexI*)
by (*rule asms*)+
qed

lemma *EF-E[rule-format]*: $\forall f. x \in (EF (f :: ('a :: state) set)) \longrightarrow x \in (f \cup EX' (EF f))$
proof –
have $a: \bigwedge f :: 'a \text{ set}. EF (f :: ('a :: state) set) = f \cup EX' (EF f)$
by (*rule EF-lem000*)
thus $(\forall f. x \in EF (f :: ('a :: state) set) \longrightarrow x \in f \cup EX' (EF f))$
apply (*rule-tac* $P = (\lambda f. x \in EF (f :: ('a :: state) set) \longrightarrow x \in f \cup EX' (EF f))$ **in** *allI*)
apply (*subst a*)
apply (*rule impI*)
by *assumption*
qed

lemma *EF-step*: **assumes** $x \rightarrow_i y$ **and** $y \in f$ **shows** $x \in EF f$
proof (*rule EF-lem3b*)
show $x \in EX' (f \cup EX' (EF f))$
apply (*rule EX-step*)
apply (*rule asms(1)*)
by (*simp add: asms(2)*)
qed

lemma *EF-step-step*: **assumes** $x \rightarrow_i y$ **and** $y \in EF f$ **shows** $x \in EF f$
proof –
have $y \in f \cup EX' (EF f)$

apply (*rule EF-E*)
by (*rule assms(2)*)
thus $x \in EF\ f$
apply (*rule-tac* $x = x$ **and** $f = f$ **in** *EF-lem3b*)
apply (*rule EX-step*)
by (*rule assms*)
qed

lemma *EF-step-star*: $\llbracket x \rightarrow_{i^*} y; y \in f \rrbracket \implies x \in EF\ f$
proof (*simp add: state-transition-refl-def*)
show $(x, y) \in \{(x::'a, y::'a). x \rightarrow_i y\}^* \implies y \in f \implies x \in EF\ f$
proof (*erule converse-rtrancl-induct*)
show $y \in f \implies y \in EF\ f$
by (*erule EF-lem2a*)
next show $\bigwedge (ya::'a) z::'a. y \in f \implies$
 $(ya, z) \in \{(x::'a, y::'a). x \rightarrow_i y\} \implies$
 $(z, y) \in \{(x::'a, y::'a). x \rightarrow_i y\}^* \implies z \in EF\ f \implies ya \in EF\ f$
apply (*clarify*)
apply (*erule EF-step-step*)
by *assumption*
qed
qed

lemma *EF-induct-prep*:
assumes $(a::'a::state) \in lfp\ (\lambda Z. (f::'a::state\ set) \cup EX'\ Z)$
and *mono* $(\lambda Z. (f::'a::state\ set) \cup EX'\ Z)$
shows $(\bigwedge x::'a::state.$
 $x \in ((\lambda Z. (f::'a::state\ set) \cup EX'\ Z)(lfp\ (\lambda Z. (f::'a::state\ set) \cup EX'\ Z) \cap$
 $\{x::'a::state. (P::'a::state \Rightarrow bool)\ x\})) \implies P\ x) \implies$
 $P\ a$
proof –
show $(\bigwedge x::'a::state.$
 $x \in ((\lambda Z. (f::'a::state\ set) \cup EX'\ Z)(lfp\ (\lambda Z. (f::'a::state\ set) \cup EX'\ Z) \cap$
 $\{x::'a::state. (P::'a::state \Rightarrow bool)\ x\})) \implies P\ x) \implies$
 $P\ a$
apply (*rule-tac* $A = EF\ f$ **in** *def-lfp-induct-set*)
apply (*rule EF-def*)
apply (*rule assms(2)*)
by (*simp add: EF-def assms*)
qed

lemma *EF-induct*: $(a::'a::state) \in EF\ (f :: 'a :: state\ set) \implies$
 $mono\ (\lambda Z. (f::'a::state\ set) \cup EX'\ Z) \implies$
 $(\bigwedge x::'a::state.$
 $x \in ((\lambda Z. (f::'a::state\ set) \cup EX'\ Z)(EF\ f \cap \{x::'a::state. (P::'a::state \Rightarrow$
 $bool)\ x\})) \implies P\ x) \implies$
 $P\ a$
proof (*simp add: EF-def*)
show $a \in lfp\ (\lambda Z::'a\ set. f \cup EX'\ Z) \implies$

$\text{mono } (\lambda Z::'a \text{ set. } f \cup EX' Z) \implies$
 $(\bigwedge x::'a. x \in f \vee x \in EX' (\text{lfp } (\lambda Z::'a \text{ set. } f \cup EX' Z) \cap \text{Collect } P) \implies P x)$
 $\implies P a$
apply (erule EF-induct-prep)
apply assumption
by simp
qed

lemma valEF-E: $M \vdash EF f \implies x \in \text{init } M \implies x \in EF f$
proof (simp add: check-def)
show $\text{init } M \subseteq \{s::'a \in \text{states } M. s \in EF f\} \implies x \in \text{init } M \implies x \in EF f$
apply (drule subsetD)
apply assumption
by simp
qed

lemma EF-step-star-rev[rule-format]: $x \in EF s \implies (\exists y \in s. x \rightarrow_i^* y)$
proof (erule EF-induct)
show $\text{mono } (\lambda Z::'a \text{ set. } s \cup EX' Z)$
apply (simp add: mono-def EX'-def)
by force
next show $\bigwedge x::'a. x \in s \cup EX' (EF s \cap \{x::'a. \exists y::'a \in s. x \rightarrow_i^* y\}) \implies \exists y::'a \in s. x \rightarrow_i^* y$
apply (erule UnE)
apply (rule-tac $x = x$ in bexI)
apply (simp add: state-transition-refl-def)
apply assumption
apply (simp add: EX'-def)
apply (erule bexE)
apply (erule IntE)
apply (drule CollectD)
apply (erule bexE)
apply (rule-tac $x = xb$ in bexI)
apply (simp add: state-transition-refl-def)
apply (rule rtrancl-trans)
apply (rule r-into-rtrancl)
apply (rule CollectI)
apply simp
by assumption+
qed

lemma EF-step-inv: $(I \subseteq \{sa::'s :: \text{state. } (\exists i::'s \in I. i \rightarrow_i^* sa) \wedge sa \in EF s\})$
 $\implies \forall x \in I. \exists y \in s. x \rightarrow_i^* y$
proof (clarify)
show $\bigwedge x::'s. I \subseteq \{sa::'s. (\exists i::'s \in I. i \rightarrow_i^* sa) \wedge sa \in EF s\} \implies x \in I \implies \exists y::'s \in s. x \rightarrow_i^* y$
apply (drule subsetD)
apply assumption
apply (drule CollectD)

```

    apply (erule conjE)
    by (erule EF-step-star-rev)
qed

```

```

lemma AG-in-lem:  $x \in AG\ s \implies x \in s$ 
proof (simp add: AG-def gfp-def)
  show  $\exists xa \subseteq s. xa \subseteq AX\ xa \wedge x \in xa \implies x \in s$ 
    apply (erule exE)
    apply (erule conjE)+
    by (erule subsetD, assumption)
qed

```

```

lemma AG-lem1:  $x \in s \wedge x \in (AX\ (AG\ s)) \implies x \in AG\ s$ 
proof (simp add: AG-def)
  show  $x \in s \wedge x \in AX\ (gfp\ (\lambda Z::'a\ set. s \cap AX\ Z)) \implies x \in gfp\ (\lambda Z::'a\ set. s \cap AX\ Z)$ 
    apply (subgoal-tac  $gfp\ (\lambda Z::'a\ set. s \cap AX\ Z) =$ 
       $s \cap (AX\ (gfp\ (\lambda Z::'a\ set. s \cap AX\ Z)))$ )
    apply (erule ssubst)
    apply simp
    apply (rule def-gfp-unfold)
    apply (rule reflexive)
    apply (unfold mono-def AX-def)
    by auto
qed

```

```

lemma AG-lem2:  $x \in AG\ s \implies x \in (s \cap (AX\ (AG\ s)))$ 
proof -
  have  $a: AG\ s = s \cap (AX\ (AG\ s))$ 
    apply (simp add: AG-def)
    apply (rule def-gfp-unfold)
    apply (rule reflexive)
    apply (unfold mono-def AX-def)
    by auto
  thus  $x \in AG\ s \implies x \in (s \cap (AX\ (AG\ s)))$ 
    by (erule subst)
qed

```

```

lemma AG-lem3:  $AG\ s = (s \cap (AX\ (AG\ s)))$ 
proof (rule equalityI)
  show  $AG\ s \subseteq s \cap AX\ (AG\ s)$ 
    apply (rule subsetI)
    by (erule AG-lem2)
  next show  $s \cap AX\ (AG\ s) \subseteq AG\ s$ 
    apply (rule subsetI)
    apply (rule AG-lem1)
    by simp

```

qed

lemma *AG-step*: $y \rightarrow_i z \implies y \in AG\ s \implies z \in AG\ s$

proof (*drule AG-lem2*)

show $y \rightarrow_i z \implies y \in s \cap AX\ (AG\ s) \implies z \in AG\ s$

apply (*erule IntE*)

apply (*unfold AX-def*)

apply *simp*

apply (*erule subsetD*)

by *simp*

qed

lemma *AG-all-s*: $x \rightarrow_i^* y \implies x \in AG\ s \implies y \in AG\ s$

proof (*simp add: state-transition-refl-def*)

show $(x, y) \in \{(x::'a, y::'a). x \rightarrow_i y\}^* \implies x \in AG\ s \implies y \in AG\ s$

apply (*erule rtrancl-induct*)

proof –

show $x \in AG\ s \implies x \in AG\ s$ **by** *assumption*

next show $\bigwedge(y::'a) z::'a.$

$x \in AG\ s \implies$

$(x, y) \in \{(x::'a, y::'a). x \rightarrow_i y\}^* \implies$

$(y, z) \in \{(x::'a, y::'a). x \rightarrow_i y\} \implies y \in AG\ s \implies z \in AG\ s$

apply *clarify*

by (*erule AG-step, assumption*)

qed

qed

lemma *AG-imp-notnotEF*:

$I \neq \{\} \implies ((Kripke\ \{s :: ('s :: state). \exists i \in I. (i \rightarrow_i^* s)\} (I :: ('s :: state) set)$

$\vdash AG\ s)) \implies$

$(\neg(Kripke\ \{s :: ('s :: state). \exists i \in I. (i \rightarrow_i^* s)\} (I :: ('s :: state) set) \vdash EF\ (-s)))$

proof (*rule notI, simp add: check-def*)

assume *a0*: $I \neq \{\}$ **and**

a1: $I \subseteq \{sa::'s. (\exists i::'s \in I. i \rightarrow_i^* sa) \wedge sa \in AG\ s\}$ **and**

a2: $I \subseteq \{sa::'s. (\exists i::'s \in I. i \rightarrow_i^* sa) \wedge sa \in EF\ (-s)\}$

show *False*

proof –

have *a3*: $\{sa::'s. (\exists i::'s \in I. i \rightarrow_i^* sa) \wedge sa \in AG\ s\} \cap$

$\{sa::'s. (\exists i::'s \in I. i \rightarrow_i^* sa) \wedge sa \in EF\ (-s)\} = \{\}$

proof –

have $(? x. x \in \{sa::'s. (\exists i::'s \in I. i \rightarrow_i^* sa) \wedge sa \in AG\ s\} \wedge$

$x \in \{sa::'s. (\exists i::'s \in I. i \rightarrow_i^* sa) \wedge sa \in EF\ (-s)\}) \implies$

False

proof –

assume *a4*: $(? x. x \in \{sa::'s. (\exists i::'s \in I. i \rightarrow_i^* sa) \wedge sa \in AG\ s\} \wedge$

$x \in \{sa::'s. (\exists i::'s \in I. i \rightarrow_i^* sa) \wedge sa \in EF\ (-s)\})$

from *a4* **obtain** *x* **where** *a5*: $x \in \{sa::'s. (\exists i::'s \in I. i \rightarrow_i^* sa) \wedge sa \in$

$AG\ s\} \wedge$

$x \in \{sa::'s. (\exists i::'s \in I. i \rightarrow_i^* sa) \wedge sa \in EF(-s)\}$

by (erule exE)
 hence $x \in s \wedge x \in -s$
 proof –
 have $a6: x \in s$ using $a5$
 apply (subgoal-tac $x \in AG\ s$)
 apply (erule AG-in-lem)
 by simp
 moreover have $x \in -s$ using $a5$
 proof –
 have $x \in EF\ s$
 apply (rule-tac $y = x$ in $EF\text{-step-star}$)
 apply (simp add: state-transition-refl-def)
 by (rule a6)
 thus $x \in -s$ using $a5$
 proof –
 have $x \in EF(-s)$ using $a5$
 by simp
 moreover from this obtain y where $a7: y \in -s \wedge x \rightarrow_i^* y$
 apply (rotate-tac -1)
 apply (drule EF-step-star-rev)
 by blast
 moreover have $y \in AG\ s$ using $a7\ a5$
 apply (subgoal-tac $x \in AG\ s$)
 apply (erule conjE)
 apply (drule AG-all-s)
 apply assumption+
 by simp
 ultimately show $x \in -s$ using $a5$
 apply (rotate-tac -1)
 apply (drule AG-in-lem)
 by blast
 qed
 qed
 ultimately show $x \in s \wedge x \in -s$
 by (rule conjI)
 qed
 thus False
 by blast
 qed
 thus $\{sa::'s. (\exists i::'s \in I. i \rightarrow_i^* sa) \wedge sa \in AG\ s\} \cap$
 $\{sa::'s. (\exists i::'s \in I. i \rightarrow_i^* sa) \wedge sa \in EF(-s)\} = \{\}$
 by blast
 qed
 moreover have $b: ?x. x : I$ using $a0$
 by blast
 moreover obtain x where $x \in I$
 apply (rule exE)
 apply (rule b)


```

    by simp
  ultimately show False using a0 a1 a2
    by blast
qed
qed

lemma check2-def: (Kripke S I ⊢ f) = (I ⊆ S ∩ f)
proof (simp add: check-def)
  show (I ⊆ {s::'a ∈ S. s ∈ f}) = (I ⊆ S ∧ I ⊆ f) by blast
qed

end
theory AirInsider
imports MC
begin
datatype action = get | move | eval | put

typedecl actor
consts Actor :: string ⇒ actor

type-synonym identity = string
type-synonym policy = ((actor ⇒ bool) * action set)

definition ID :: [actor, string] ⇒ bool
where ID a s ≡ (a = Actor s)

datatype location = Location nat

datatype igraph = Lgraph (location * location)set location ⇒ identity list
              actor ⇒ (string list * string list) location ⇒ string list
datatype infrastructure =
  Infrastructure igraph
              [igraph, location] ⇒ policy set

primrec loc :: location ⇒ nat
where loc(Location n) = n
primrec gra :: igraph ⇒ (location * location)set
where gra(Lgraph g a c l) = g
primrec agra :: igraph ⇒ (location ⇒ identity list)
where agra(Lgraph g a c l) = a
primrec cgra :: igraph ⇒ (actor ⇒ string list * string list)
where cgra(Lgraph g a c l) = c
primrec lgra :: igraph ⇒ (location ⇒ string list)
where lgra(Lgraph g a c l) = l

definition nodes :: igraph ⇒ location set
where nodes g == { x. (∃ y. ((x,y): gra g) | ((y,x): gra g))}

```

definition *actors-graph* :: *igraph* \Rightarrow *identity set*
where *actors-graph* *g* == {*x*. ? *y*. *y* : *nodes g* \wedge *x* \in *set(agra g y)*}

primrec *graphI* :: *infrastructure* \Rightarrow *igraph*
where *graphI* (*Infrastructure g d*) = *g*
primrec *delta* :: [*infrastructure*, *igraph*, *location*] \Rightarrow *policy set*
where *delta* (*Infrastructure g d*) = *d*
primrec *tspace* :: [*infrastructure*, *actor*] \Rightarrow *string list* * *string list*
where *tspace* (*Infrastructure g d*) = *cgra g*
primrec *lspace* :: [*infrastructure*, *location*] \Rightarrow *string list*
where *lspace* (*Infrastructure g d*) = *lgra g*

definition *credentials* :: *string list* * *string list* \Rightarrow *string set*
where *credentials* *lxl* \equiv *set (fst lxl)*
definition *has* :: [*igraph*, *actor* * *string*] \Rightarrow *bool*
where *has* *G ac* \equiv *snd ac* \in *credentials(cgra G (fst ac))*
definition *roles* :: *string list* * *string list* \Rightarrow *string set*
where *roles* *lxl* \equiv *set (snd lxl)*
definition *role* :: [*igraph*, *actor* * *string*] \Rightarrow *bool*
where *role* *G ac* \equiv *snd ac* \in *roles(cgra G (fst ac))*

definition *isin* :: [*igraph*, *location*, *string*] \Rightarrow *bool*
where *isin* *G l s* \equiv *s* \in *set(lgra G l)*

datatype *psy-states* = *happy* | *depressed* | *disgruntled* | *angry* | *stressed*
datatype *motivations* = *financial* | *political* | *revenge* | *curious* | *competitive-advantage*
| *power* | *peer-recognition*

datatype *actor-state* = *Actor-state psy-states motivations set*
primrec *motivation* :: *actor-state* \Rightarrow *motivations set*
where *motivation* (*Actor-state p m*) = *m*
primrec *psy-state* :: *actor-state* \Rightarrow *psy-states*
where *psy-state* (*Actor-state p m*) = *p*

definition *tipping-point* :: *actor-state* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
tipping-point *a* \equiv ((*motivation a* \neq {}) \wedge (*happy* \neq *psy-state a*))

consts *Isolation* :: [*actor-state*, (*identity* * *identity*) *set*] \Rightarrow *bool*

definition *lay-off* :: [*infrastructure*, *actor set*] \Rightarrow *infrastructure*
where *lay-off* *G A* \equiv *G*

consts *social-graph* :: (*identity* * *identity*) *set*

definition *UasI* :: [*identity*, *identity*] \Rightarrow *bool*

where *UasI* *a b* \equiv (*Actor a* = *Actor b*) \wedge (\forall *x y*. *x* \neq *a* \wedge *y* \neq *a* \wedge *Actor x* = *Actor y* \longrightarrow *x* = *y*)

definition *UasI'* :: [*actor* \Rightarrow *bool*, *identity*, *identity*] \Rightarrow *bool*

where *UasI'* *P a b* \equiv *P* (*Actor b*) \longrightarrow *P* (*Actor a*)

definition *Insider* :: [*identity*, *identity set*, *identity* \Rightarrow *actor-state*] \Rightarrow *bool*

where *Insider a C as* \equiv (*tipping-point* (*as a*) \longrightarrow (\forall *b* \in *C*. *UasI a b*))

definition *Insider'* :: [*actor* \Rightarrow *bool*, *identity*, *identity set*, *identity* \Rightarrow *actor-state*] \Rightarrow *bool*

where *Insider' P a C as* \equiv (*tipping-point* (*as a*) \longrightarrow (\forall *b* \in *C*. *UasI' P a b* \wedge *inj-on Actor C*))

definition *atI* :: [*identity*, *igraph*, *location*] \Rightarrow *bool* (- @₍₋₎ - 50)

where *a* @_{*G*} *l* \equiv *a* \in *set*(*agra G l*)

definition *enables* :: [*infrastructure*, *location*, *actor*, *action*] \Rightarrow *bool*

where

enables I l a a' \equiv (\exists (*p,e*) \in *delta I* (*graphI I*) *l*. *a'* \in *e* \wedge *p a*)

definition *behaviour* :: *infrastructure* \Rightarrow (*location* * *actor* * *action*)*set*

where *behaviour I* \equiv {(*t,a,a'*). *enables I t a a'*}

definition *misbehaviour* :: *infrastructure* \Rightarrow (*location* * *actor* * *action*)*set*

where *misbehaviour I* \equiv \neg (*behaviour I*)

lemma *not-enableI*: (\forall (*p,e*) \in *delta I* (*graphI I*) *l*. (\sim (*h* : *e*) | (\sim (*p(a)*))))
 $\implies \sim$ (*enables I l a h*)

by (*simp add: enables-def, blast*)

lemma *not-enableI2*: $\llbracket \bigwedge$ *p e*. (*p,e*) \in *delta I* (*graphI I*) *l* \implies

$(\sim(t : e) \mid (\sim(p(a)))) \Rightarrow \sim(\text{enables } I \ l \ a \ t)$
by (*rule not-enableI*, *rule ballI*, *auto*)

lemma *not-enableE*: $\llbracket \sim(\text{enables } I \ l \ a \ t); (p,e) \in \text{delta } I \ (\text{graphI } I) \ l \rrbracket$
 $\implies (\sim(t : e) \mid (\sim(p(a))))$
by (*simp add: enables-def*, *rule impI*, *force*)

lemma *not-enableE2*: $\llbracket \sim(\text{enables } I \ l \ a \ t); (p,e) \in \text{delta } I \ (\text{graphI } I) \ l;$
 $t : e \rrbracket \implies (\sim(p(a)))$
by (*simp add: enables-def*, *force*)

primrec *del* :: $['a, 'a \text{ list}] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list}$
where
del-nil: $\text{del } a \ [] = []$
del-cons: $\text{del } a \ (x \# ls) = (\text{if } x = a \text{ then } ls \text{ else } x \# (\text{del } a \ ls))$

primrec *jonce* :: $['a, 'a \text{ list}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where
jonce-nil: $\text{jonce } a \ [] = \text{False}$
jonce-cons: $\text{jonce } a \ (x \# ls) = (\text{if } x = a \text{ then } (a \notin (\text{set } ls)) \text{ else } \text{jonce } a \ ls)$

primrec *nodup* :: $['a, 'a \text{ list}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where
nodup-nil: $\text{nodup } a \ [] = \text{True}$
nodup-step: $\text{nodup } a \ (x \# ls) = (\text{if } x = a \text{ then } (a \notin (\text{set } ls)) \text{ else } \text{nodup } a \ ls)$

definition *move-graph-a* :: $[\text{identity}, \text{location}, \text{location}, \text{igraph}] \Rightarrow \text{igraph}$
where *move-graph-a* $n \ l \ l' \ g \equiv \text{Lgraph } (\text{gra } g)$
 $(\text{if } n \in \text{set } ((\text{agra } g) \ l) \ \& \ n \notin \text{set } ((\text{agra } g) \ l') \text{ then}$
 $((\text{agra } g)(l := \text{del } n \ (\text{agra } g \ l)))(l' := (n \# (\text{agra } g \ l'))))$
 $\text{else } (\text{agra } g)(\text{cgra } g)(\text{lgra } g)$

inductive *state-transition-in* :: $[\text{infrastructure}, \text{infrastructure}] \Rightarrow \text{bool } ((- \rightarrow_n -)$
50)

where
move: $\llbracket G = \text{graphI } I; a @_G l; l \in \text{nodes } G; l' \in \text{nodes } G;$
 $(a) \in \text{actors-graph}(\text{graphI } I); \text{enables } I \ l' \ (\text{Actor } a) \text{ move};$
 $I' = \text{Infrastructure } (\text{move-graph-a } a \ l \ l' \ (\text{graphI } I))(\text{delta } I) \rrbracket \implies I \rightarrow_n I'$
get : $\llbracket G = \text{graphI } I; a @_G l; a' @_G l; \text{has } G \ (\text{Actor } a, z);$
 $\text{enables } I \ l \ (\text{Actor } a) \text{ get};$
 $I' = \text{Infrastructure}$
 $(\text{Lgraph } (\text{gra } G)(\text{agra } G)$
 $((\text{cgra } G)(\text{Actor } a' :=$
 $(z \# (\text{fst}(\text{cgra } G \ (\text{Actor } a'))), \text{snd}(\text{cgra } G \ (\text{Actor } a')))))$
 $(\text{lgra } G))$
 $(\text{delta } I)$

$\llbracket \rrbracket \implies I \rightarrow_n I'$
 $| \text{ put} : \llbracket G = \text{graphI } I; a @_G l; \text{ enables } I l \text{ (Actor } a) \text{ put};$
 $I' = \text{Infrastructure}$
 $(Lgraph (gra G)(agra G)(cgra G)$
 $((lgra G)(l := [z])))$
 $(\text{delta } I) \rrbracket$
 $\implies I \rightarrow_n I'$
 $| \text{ put-remote} : \llbracket G = \text{graphI } I; \text{ enables } I l \text{ (Actor } a) \text{ put};$
 $I' = \text{Infrastructure}$
 $(Lgraph (gra G)(agra G)(cgra G)$
 $((lgra G)(l := [z])))$
 $(\text{delta } I) \rrbracket$
 $\implies I \rightarrow_n I'$

instantiation *infrastructure* :: state
begin

definition

state-transition-infra-def: $(i \rightarrow_i i') = (i \rightarrow_n (i' :: \text{infrastructure}))$

instance

by (*rule MC.class.MC.state.of-class.intro*)

definition *state-transition-in-refl* $((- \rightarrow_n^* -) \ 50)$

where $s \rightarrow_n^* s' \equiv ((s, s') \in \{(x, y). \text{ state-transition-in } x y\}^*)$

lemma *del-del*[*rule-format*]: $n \in \text{set } (del \ a \ S) \longrightarrow n \in \text{set } S$

by (*induct-tac S, auto*)

lemma *del-dec*[*rule-format*]: $a \in \text{set } S \longrightarrow \text{length } (del \ a \ S) < \text{length } S$

by (*induct-tac S, auto*)

lemma *del-sort*[*rule-format*]: $\forall \ n. (\text{Suc } n :: \text{nat}) \leq \text{length } (l) \longrightarrow n \leq \text{length } (del \ a \ (l))$

by (*induct-tac l, simp, clarify, case-tac n, simp, simp*)

lemma *del-jonce*: $\text{jonce } a \ l \longrightarrow a \notin \text{set } (del \ a \ l)$

by (*induct-tac l, auto*)

lemma *del-nodup*[*rule-format*]: $\text{nodup } a \ l \longrightarrow a \notin \text{set } (del \ a \ l)$

by (*induct-tac l, auto*)

lemma *nodup-up*[*rule-format*]: $a \in \text{set } (del \ a \ l) \longrightarrow a \in \text{set } l$

by (*induct-tac l, auto*)

```

lemma del-up[rule-format]:  $a \in \text{set } (\text{del } aa \ l) \longrightarrow a \in \text{set } l$ 
by (induct-tac l, auto)

lemma nodup-notin[rule-format]:  $a \notin \text{set list} \longrightarrow \text{nodup } a \ \text{list}$ 
by (induct-tac list, auto)

lemma nodup-down[rule-format]:  $\text{nodup } a \ l \longrightarrow \text{nodup } a \ (\text{del } a \ l)$ 
by (induct-tac l, simp+, clarify, erule nodup-notin)

lemma del-notin-down[rule-format]:  $a \notin \text{set list} \longrightarrow a \notin \text{set } (\text{del } aa \ \text{list})$ 
by (induct-tac list, auto)

lemma del-not-a[rule-format]:  $x \neq a \longrightarrow x \in \text{set } l \longrightarrow x \in \text{set } (\text{del } a \ l)$ 
by (induct-tac l, auto)

lemma nodup-down-notin[rule-format]:  $\text{nodup } a \ l \longrightarrow \text{nodup } a \ (\text{del } aa \ l)$ 
by (induct-tac l, simp+, rule conjI, clarify, erule nodup-notin, (rule impI)+,
    erule del-notin-down)

lemma move-graph-eq:  $\text{move-graph-a } a \ l \ l \ g = g$ 
by (simp add: move-graph-a-def, case-tac g, force)

lemma delta-invariant:  $\forall z \ z'. z \rightarrow_n z' \longrightarrow \text{delta}(z) = \text{delta}(z')$ 
by (clarify, erule state-transition-in.cases, simp+)

lemma init-state-policy0:
assumes  $\forall z \ z'. z \rightarrow_n z' \longrightarrow \text{delta}(z) = \text{delta}(z')$ 
and  $(x, y) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x \rightarrow_n y\}^*$ 
shows  $\text{delta}(x) = \text{delta}(y)$ 
proof -
have  $\text{ind: } (x, y) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x \rightarrow_n y\}^*$ 
→  $\text{delta}(x) = \text{delta}(y)$ 
proof (insert assms, erule rtrancl.induct)
show  $(\bigwedge a::\text{infrastructure}.$ 
     $(\forall (z::\text{infrastructure})(z':\text{infrastructure}). (z \rightarrow_n z') \longrightarrow (\text{delta } z = \text{delta } z'))$ 
→
     $((((a, a) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x \rightarrow_n y\}^*) \longrightarrow$ 
     $(\text{delta } a = \text{delta } a)))$ 
by (rule impI, rule refl)
next fix a b c
assume a0:  $\forall (z::\text{infrastructure}) \ z':\text{infrastructure}. z \rightarrow_n z' \longrightarrow \text{delta } z = \text{delta } z'$ 
and a1:  $(a, b) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x \rightarrow_n y\}^*$ 
and a2:  $(a, b) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x \rightarrow_n y\}^* \longrightarrow$ 
     $\text{delta } a = \text{delta } b$ 
and a3:  $(b, c) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x \rightarrow_n y\}$ 
show  $(a, c) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x \rightarrow_n y\}^* \longrightarrow$ 

```

```

      delta a = delta c
proof -
  have a4: delta b = delta c using a0 a1 a2 a3 by simp
  show ?thesis using a0 a1 a2 a3 by simp
qed
qed
show ?thesis
  by (insert ind, insert assms(2), simp)
qed

lemma init-state-policy:  $\llbracket (x,y) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x \rightarrow_n y\}^* \rrbracket \implies$ 

$$\text{delta}(x) = \text{delta}(y)$$

  by (rule init-state-policy0, rule delta-invariant)

lemma same-nodes0[rule-format]:  $\forall z z'. z \rightarrow_n z' \longrightarrow \text{nodes}(\text{graphI } z) = \text{nodes}(\text{graphI } z')$ 
  by (clarify, erule state-transition-in.cases,
    (simp add: move-graph-a-def atI-def actors-graph-def nodes-def)+)

lemma same-nodes:  $(I, y) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x \rightarrow_n y\}^* \implies \text{nodes}(\text{graphI } y) = \text{nodes}(\text{graphI } I)$ 
  by (erule rtrancl-induct, rule refl, drule CollectD, simp, drule same-nodes0, simp)

lemma same-actors0[rule-format]:  $\forall z z'. z \rightarrow_n z' \longrightarrow \text{actors-graph}(\text{graphI } z) = \text{actors-graph}(\text{graphI } z')$ 
proof (clarify, erule state-transition-in.cases)
  show  $\bigwedge (z::\text{infrastructure}) (z'::\text{infrastructure}) (G::\text{igraph}) (I::\text{infrastructure}) (a::\text{char list})$ 
     $(l::\text{location}) (a'::\text{char list}) (za::\text{char list}) I'::\text{infrastructure}.$ 
     $z = I \implies$ 
     $z' = I' \implies$ 
     $G = \text{graphI } I \implies$ 
     $a @_G l \implies$ 
     $a' @_G l \implies$ 
     $\text{has } G (\text{Actor } a, za) \implies$ 
     $\text{enables } I l (\text{Actor } a) \text{ get} \implies$ 
     $I' =$ 
     $\text{Infrastructure}$ 
     $(\text{Lgraph } (\text{gra } G) (\text{agra } G))$ 
     $((\text{cgra } G)(\text{Actor } a' := (za \# \text{fst } (\text{cgra } G (\text{Actor } a')), \text{snd } (\text{cgra } G (\text{Actor } a'))))) (\text{lgra } G))$ 
     $(\text{delta } I) \implies$ 
     $\text{actors-graph } (\text{graphI } z) = \text{actors-graph } (\text{graphI } z')$ 
  by (simp add: actors-graph-def nodes-def)
next show  $\bigwedge (z::\text{infrastructure}) (z'::\text{infrastructure}) (G::\text{igraph}) (I::\text{infrastructure})$ 
   $(a::\text{char list})$ 
     $(l::\text{location}) (I'::\text{infrastructure}) za::\text{char list}.$ 

```

```

 $z = I \implies$ 
 $z' = I' \implies$ 
 $G = \text{graphI } I \implies$ 
 $a @_G l \implies$ 
 $\text{enables } I \ l \ (\text{Actor } a) \ \text{put} \implies$ 
 $I' = \text{Infrastructure } (\text{Lgraph } (\text{gra } G) (\text{agra } G) (\text{cgra } G) ((\text{lgra } G)(l := [za])))$ 
(delta I)  $\implies$ 
  actors-graph (graphI z) = actors-graph (graphI z')
  by (simp add: actors-graph-def nodes-def)
next show  $\bigwedge(z::\text{infrastructure}) (z'::\text{infrastructure}) (G::\text{igraph}) (I::\text{infrastructure})$ 
(l::location)
  (a::char list) (I'::infrastructure) za::char list.
   $z = I \implies$ 
   $z' = I' \implies$ 
   $G = \text{graphI } I \implies$ 
   $\text{enables } I \ l \ (\text{Actor } a) \ \text{put} \implies$ 
   $I' = \text{Infrastructure } (\text{Lgraph } (\text{gra } G) (\text{agra } G) (\text{cgra } G) ((\text{lgra } G)(l := [za])))$ 
(delta I)  $\implies$ 
  actors-graph (graphI z) = actors-graph (graphI z')
  by (simp add: actors-graph-def nodes-def)
next fix z z' G I a l l' I'
  show  $z = I \implies z' = I' \implies G = \text{graphI } I \implies a @_G l \implies$ 
   $l \in \text{nodes } G \implies l' \in \text{nodes } G \implies a \in \text{actors-graph } (\text{graphI } I) \implies$ 
   $\text{enables } I \ l' \ (\text{Actor } a) \ \text{move} \implies$ 
   $I' = \text{Infrastructure } (\text{move-graph-a } a \ l \ l' \ (\text{graphI } I)) \ (\text{delta } I) \implies$ 
  actors-graph (graphI z) = actors-graph (graphI z')
  proof (rule equalityI)
    show  $z = I \implies z' = I' \implies G = \text{graphI } I \implies a @_G l \implies$ 
     $l \in \text{nodes } G \implies l' \in \text{nodes } G \implies a \in \text{actors-graph } (\text{graphI } I) \implies$ 
     $\text{enables } I \ l' \ (\text{Actor } a) \ \text{move} \implies$ 
     $I' = \text{Infrastructure } (\text{move-graph-a } a \ l \ l' \ (\text{graphI } I)) \ (\text{delta } I) \implies$ 
    actors-graph (graphI z)  $\subseteq$  actors-graph (graphI z')
  by (rule subsetI, simp add: actors-graph-def, (erule exE)+, case-tac x = a,
    rule-tac x = l' in exI, simp add: move-graph-a-def nodes-def atI-def,
    rule-tac x = ya in exI, rule conjI, simp add: move-graph-a-def nodes-def
    atI-def,
    (erule conjE)+, simp add: move-graph-a-def, rule conjI, clarify,
    simp add: move-graph-a-def nodes-def atI-def, rule del-not-a, assumption+,
    clarify)
  next show  $z = I \implies z' = I' \implies G = \text{graphI } I \implies a @_G l \implies$ 
   $l \in \text{nodes } G \implies l' \in \text{nodes } G \implies a \in \text{actors-graph } (\text{graphI } I) \implies$ 
   $\text{enables } I \ l' \ (\text{Actor } a) \ \text{move} \implies$ 
   $I' = \text{Infrastructure } (\text{move-graph-a } a \ l \ l' \ (\text{graphI } I)) \ (\text{delta } I) \implies$ 
  actors-graph (graphI z')  $\subseteq$  actors-graph (graphI z)
  by (rule subsetI, simp add: actors-graph-def, (erule exE)+,
    case-tac x = a, rule-tac x = l in exI, simp add: move-graph-a-def nodes-def
    atI-def,
    rule-tac x = ya in exI, rule conjI, simp add: move-graph-a-def nodes-def
    atI-def,

```



```

      (erule conjE)+, simp add: move-graph-a-def, case-tac ya = l, simp,
      case-tac a ∈ set (agra (graphI I) l) ∧ a ∉ set (agra (graphI I) l'), simp,
      case-tac l = l', simp+, erule del-up, simp,
      case-tac a ∈ set (agra (graphI I) l) ∧ a ∉ set (agra (graphI I) l'), simp,
      case-tac ya = l', simp+)
qed
qed

lemma same-actors: (I, y) ∈ {(x::infrastructure, y::infrastructure). x →n y}*
  ⇒ actors-graph(graphI I) = actors-graph(graphI y)
proof (erule rtrancl-induct)
  show actors-graph (graphI I) = actors-graph (graphI I)
  by (rule refl)
next show ∧(y::infrastructure) z::infrastructure.
  (I, y) ∈ {(x::infrastructure, y::infrastructure). x →n y}* ⇒
  (y, z) ∈ {(x::infrastructure, y::infrastructure). x →n y} ⇒
  actors-graph (graphI I) = actors-graph (graphI y) ⇒
  actors-graph (graphI I) = actors-graph (graphI z)
  by (drule CollectD, simp, drule same-actors0, simp)
qed

end
end
theory Airplane
imports AirInsider
begin

declare [[show-types]]

datatype doorstate = locked | norm | unlocked
datatype position = air | airport | ground

locale airplane =

fixes airplane-actors :: identity set
defines airplane-actors-def: airplane-actors ≡ {"Bob", "Charly", "Alice"}

fixes airplane-locations :: location set
defines airplane-locations-def:
  airplane-locations ≡ {Location 0, Location 1, Location 2}

fixes cockpit :: location
defines cockpit-def: cockpit ≡ Location 2
fixes door :: location
defines door-def: door ≡ Location 1
fixes cabin :: location
defines cabin-def: cabin ≡ Location 0

```

```

fixes global-policy :: [infrastructure, identity] ⇒ bool
defines global-policy-def: global-policy I a ≡ a ∉ airplane-actors
    → ¬(enables I cockpit (Actor a) put)

fixes ex-creds :: actor ⇒ (string list * string list)
defines ex-creds-def: ex-creds ≡
    (λ x. (if x = Actor "Bob"
        then (["PIN"], ["pilot"])
        else (if x = Actor "Charly"
            then (["PIN"], ["copilot"])
            else (if x = Actor "Alice"
                then (["PIN"], ["flightattendant"])
                else ([], []))))))

fixes ex-locs :: location ⇒ string list
defines ex-locs-def: ex-locs ≡ (λ x. if x = door then ["norm"] else
    (if x = cockpit then ["air"] else []))

fixes ex-locs' :: location ⇒ string list
defines ex-locs'-def: ex-locs' ≡ (λ x. if x = door then ["locked"] else
    (if x = cockpit then ["air"] else []))

fixes ex-graph :: igraph
defines ex-graph-def: ex-graph ≡ Lgraph
    {(cockpit, door), (door, cabin)}
    (λ x. if x = cockpit then ["Bob", "Charly"]
        else (if x = door then []
            else (if x = cabin then ["Alice"] else [])))
    ex-creds ex-locs

fixes aid-graph :: igraph
defines aid-graph-def: aid-graph ≡ Lgraph
    {(cockpit, door), (door, cabin)}
    (λ x. if x = cockpit then ["Charly"]
        else (if x = door then []
            else (if x = cabin then ["Bob", "Alice"] else [])))
    ex-creds ex-locs'

fixes aid-graph0 :: igraph
defines aid-graph0-def: aid-graph0 ≡ Lgraph
    {(cockpit, door), (door, cabin)}
    (λ x. if x = cockpit then ["Charly"]
        else (if x = door then ["Bob"]
            else (if x = cabin then ["Alice"] else [])))
    ex-creds ex-locs

fixes agid-graph :: igraph
defines agid-graph-def: agid-graph ≡ Lgraph
    {(cockpit, door), (door, cabin)}

```

```

(λ x. if x = cockpit then ["Charly"]
  else (if x = door then []
    else (if x = cabin then ["Bob", "Alice"] else [])))
ex-creds ex-locs

```

fixes *local-policies* :: [igraph, location] ⇒ policy set

defines *local-policies-def*: local-policies *G* ≡

```

(λ y. if y = cockpit then
  {(λ x. (? n. (n @G cockpit) ∧ Actor n = x), {put}),
   (λ x. (? n. (n @G cabin) ∧ Actor n = x ∧ has G (x, "PIN")
    ∧ isin G door "norm"), {move})
  }
  else (if y = door then {(λ x. True, {move}),
    (λ x. (? n. (n @G cockpit) ∧ Actor n = x), {put})}
    else (if y = cabin then {(λ x. True, {move})}
      else {})))

```

fixes *local-policies-four-eyes* :: [igraph, location] ⇒ policy set

defines *local-policies-four-eyes-def*: local-policies-four-eyes *G* ≡

```

(λ y. if y = cockpit then
  {(λ x. (? n. (n @G cockpit) ∧ Actor n = x) ∧
    2 ≤ length(agra G y) ∧ (∀ h ∈ set(agra G y). h ∈ airplane-actors),
   {put}),
   (λ x. (? n. (n @G cabin) ∧ Actor n = x ∧ has G (x, "PIN") ∧
    isin G door "norm"), {move})
  }
  else (if y = door then
    {(λ x. ((? n. (n @G cockpit) ∧ Actor n = x) ∧ 3 ≤ length(agra G
cockpit)), {move})}
    else (if y = cabin then
      {(λ x. ((? n. (n @G door) ∧ Actor n = x)), {move})}
      else {})))

```

fixes *Airplane-scenario* :: infrastructure (structure)

defines *Airplane-scenario-def*:

Airplane-scenario ≡ Infrastructure ex-graph local-policies

fixes *Airplane-in-danger* :: infrastructure

defines *Airplane-in-danger-def*:

Airplane-in-danger ≡ Infrastructure aid-graph local-policies

fixes *Airplane-getting-in-danger0* :: infrastructure

defines *Airplane-getting-in-danger0-def*:

Airplane-getting-in-danger0 ≡ Infrastructure aid-graph0 local-policies

fixes *Airplane-getting-in-danger* :: infrastructure

defines *Airplane-getting-in-danger-def*:

Airplane-getting-in-danger \equiv *Infrastructure* *agid-graph* *local-policies*

fixes *Air-states*

defines *Air-states-def*: *Air-states* \equiv $\{ I. \text{Airplane-scenario} \rightarrow_n^* I \}$

fixes *Air-Kripke*

defines *Air-Kripke* \equiv *Kripke* *Air-states* $\{ \text{Airplane-scenario} \}$

fixes *Airplane-not-in-danger* :: *infrastructure*

defines *Airplane-not-in-danger-def*:

Airplane-not-in-danger \equiv *Infrastructure* *aid-graph* *local-policies-four-eyes*

fixes *Airplane-not-in-danger-init* :: *infrastructure*

defines *Airplane-not-in-danger-init-def*:

Airplane-not-in-danger-init \equiv *Infrastructure* *ex-graph* *local-policies-four-eyes*

fixes *Air-tp-states*

defines *Air-tp-states-def*: *Air-tp-states* \equiv $\{ I. \text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init} \rightarrow_n^* I \}$

fixes *Air-tp-Kripke*

defines *Air-tp-Kripke* \equiv *Kripke* *Air-tp-states* $\{ \text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init} \}$

fixes *Safety* :: [*infrastructure*, *identity*] \Rightarrow *bool*

defines *Safety-def*: *Safety* *I* *a* \equiv *a* \in *airplane-actors*
 \longrightarrow (*enables* *I* *cockpit* (*Actor* *a*) *move*)

fixes *Security* :: [*infrastructure*, *identity*] \Rightarrow *bool*

defines *Security-def*: *Security* *I* *a* \equiv (*isin* (*graphI* *I*) *door* "locked")
 $\longrightarrow \neg(\text{enables } I \text{ cockpit } (\text{Actor } a) \text{ move})$

fixes *foe-control* :: [*location*, *action*] \Rightarrow *bool*

defines *foe-control-def*: *foe-control* *l* *c* \equiv
 $(! I :: \text{infrastructure}. (? x :: \text{identity}.$
 $x @_{\text{graphI } I} l \wedge \text{Actor } x \neq \text{Actor "Eve"})$
 $\longrightarrow \neg(\text{enables } I l (\text{Actor "Eve"}) c))$

fixes *astate*:: *identity* \Rightarrow *actor-state*

defines *astate-def*: *astate* *x* \equiv (*case* *x* of
"Eve" \Rightarrow *Actor-state* *depressed* $\{ \text{revenge}, \text{peer-recognition} \}$
 $| - \Rightarrow$ *Actor-state* *happy* $\{ \}$)

assumes *Eve-precipitating-event*: *tipping-point* (*astate* "Eve")

assumes *Insider-Eve*: *Insider* "Eve" $\{ \text{"Charly"} \}$ *astate*

assumes *cockpit-foe-control*: *foe-control* *cockpit* *put*

begin

lemma *ex-inv*: *global-policy* *Airplane-scenario* "Bob"
by (*simp add: Airplane-scenario-def global-policy-def airplane-actors-def*)

lemma *ex-inv2*: *global-policy* *Airplane-scenario* "Charly"
by (*simp add: Airplane-scenario-def global-policy-def airplane-actors-def*)

lemma *ex-inv3*: \neg *global-policy* *Airplane-scenario* "Eve"
proof (*simp add: Airplane-scenario-def global-policy-def, rule conjI*)
show "Eve" \notin *airplane-actors* **by** (*simp add: airplane-actors-def*)
next show
enables (*Infrastructure ex-graph local-policies*) *cockpit* (*Actor* "Eve") *put*
proof –
have *a*: *Actor* "Charly" = *Actor* "Eve"
by (*insert Insider-Eve, unfold Insider-def, (drule mp),*
rule Eve-precipitating-event, simp add: UasI-def)
show ?thesis
by (*insert a, simp add: Airplane-scenario-def enables-def ex-creds-def local-policies-def*
ex-graph-def,
insert Insider-Eve, unfold Insider-def, (drule mp), rule Eve-precipitating-event,
simp add: UasI-def, rule-tac x = "Charly" in exI, simp add: cockpit-def
atI-def)
qed
qed

lemma *Safety*: *Safety* *Airplane-scenario* ("Alice")
proof –
show *Safety* *Airplane-scenario* "Alice"
by (*simp add: Airplane-scenario-def Safety-def enables-def ex-creds-def*
local-policies-def ex-graph-def cockpit-def, rule impI,
rule-tac x = "Alice" in exI, simp add: atI-def cabin-def ex-locs-def door-def,
rule conjI, simp add: has-def credentials-def, simp add: isin-def credentials-def)
qed

lemma *inj-lem*: $\llbracket \text{inj } f; x \neq y \rrbracket \implies f\ x \neq f\ y$
by (*simp add: inj-eq*)

lemma *inj-on-lem*: $\llbracket \text{inj-on } f\ A; x \neq y; x \in A; y \in A \rrbracket \implies f\ x \neq f\ y$
by (*simp add: inj-on-def, blast*)

lemma *inj-lemma'*: *inj-on* (*isin ex-graph door*) {"locked", "norm"}
by (*unfold inj-on-def ex-graph-def isin-def, simp, unfold ex-locs-def, simp*)

lemma *inj-lemma''*: *inj-on* (*isin aid-graph door*) {"locked", "norm"}

by (*unfold inj-on-def aid-graph-def isin-def, simp, unfold ex-locs'-def, simp*)

lemma *locl-lemma2: isin ex-graph door "norm" \neq isin ex-graph door "locked"*
by (*rule-tac A = {"locked","norm"} and f = isin ex-graph door in inj-on-lem,*
rule inj-lemma', simp+)

lemma *locl-lemma3: isin ex-graph door "norm" = (\neg isin ex-graph door "locked")*
by (*insert locl-lemma2, blast*)

lemma *locl-lemma2a: isin aid-graph door "norm" \neq isin aid-graph door "locked"*
by (*rule-tac A = {"locked","norm"} and f = isin aid-graph door in inj-on-lem,*
rule inj-lemma'', simp+)

lemma *locl-lemma3a: isin aid-graph door "norm" = (\neg isin aid-graph door "locked")*
by (*insert locl-lemma2a, blast*)

lemma *Security: Security Airplane-scenario s*
by (*simp add: Airplane-scenario-def Security-def enables-def local-policies-def*
ex-locs-def locl-lemma3)

lemma *Security-problem: Security Airplane-scenario "Bob"*
by (*rule Security*)

lemma *pilot-can-leave-cockpit: (enables Airplane-scenario cabin (Actor "Bob")*
move)
by (*simp add: Airplane-scenario-def Security-def ex-creds-def ex-graph-def enables-def*
local-policies-def ex-locs-def, simp add: cockpit-def cabin-def door-def)

lemma *ex-inv4: \neg global-policy Airplane-in-danger ("Eve")*
proof (*simp add: Airplane-in-danger-def global-policy-def, rule conjI*)
show *"Eve" \notin airplane-actors* **by** (*simp add: airplane-actors-def*)
next show *enables (Infrastructure aid-graph local-policies) cockpit (Actor "Eve")*
put
proof –
have *a: Actor "Charly" = Actor "Eve"*
by (*insert Insider-Eve, unfold Insider-def, (drule mp),*
rule Eve-precipitating-event, simp add: UasI-def)
show *?thesis*
apply (*insert a, erule subst*)
by (*simp add: enables-def local-policies-def cockpit-def aid-graph-def atI-def*)
qed
qed

lemma *Safety-in-danger:*
fixes *s*

```

assumes  $s \in \text{airplane-actors}$ 
shows  $\neg(\text{Safety Airplane-in-danger } s)$ 
proof (simp add: Airplane-in-danger-def Safety-def enables-def assms)
  show  $\forall x::(\text{actor} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \times \text{action set} \in \text{local-policies aid-graph cockpit}.$ 
     $\neg (\text{case } x \text{ of } (p::\text{actor} \Rightarrow \text{bool}, e::\text{action set}) \Rightarrow \text{move} \in e \wedge p (\text{Actor } s))$ 
  by ( simp add: local-policies-def aid-graph-def ex-locs'-def isin-def)
qed

lemma Security-problem':  $\neg(\text{enables Airplane-in-danger cockpit (Actor "Bob") move})$ 
proof (simp add: Airplane-in-danger-def Security-def enables-def local-policies-def

  ex-locs-def locl-lemma3a, rule impI)
  assume  $\text{has aid-graph (Actor "Bob", "PIN")}$ 
  show  $(\forall n::\text{char list}.$ 
     $\text{Actor } n = \text{Actor "Bob"} \longrightarrow n @_{\text{aid-graph cabin}} \longrightarrow \text{isin aid-graph door}$ 
     $\text{"locked"})$ 
  by (simp add: aid-graph-def isin-def ex-locs'-def)
qed

lemma ex-inv5:  $a \in \text{airplane-actors} \longrightarrow \text{global-policy Airplane-not-in-danger } a$ 
by (simp add: Airplane-not-in-danger-def global-policy-def)

lemma ex-inv6:  $\text{global-policy Airplane-not-in-danger } a$ 
proof (simp add: Airplane-not-in-danger-def global-policy-def, rule impI)
  assume  $a \notin \text{airplane-actors}$ 
  show  $\neg \text{enables (Infrastructure aid-graph local-policies-four-eyes) cockpit (Actor } a) \text{ put}$ 
by (simp add: aid-graph-def ex-locs'-def enables-def local-policies-four-eyes-def)
qed

lemma step0:  $\text{Airplane-scenario} \rightarrow_n \text{Airplane-getting-in-danger0}$ 
proof (rule-tac  $l = \text{cockpit}$  and  $l' = \text{door}$  and  $a = \text{"Bob"}$  in  $\text{move}$ , rule refl)
  show  $\text{"Bob"} @_{\text{graphI Airplane-scenario}} \text{cockpit}$ 
  by (simp add: Airplane-scenario-def atI-def ex-graph-def)
next show  $\text{cockpit} \in \text{nodes (graphI Airplane-scenario)}$ 
  by (simp add: ex-graph-def Airplane-scenario-def nodes-def, blast)+
next show  $\text{door} \in \text{nodes (graphI Airplane-scenario)}$ 
  by (simp add: actors-graph-def door-def cockpit-def nodes-def cabin-def,
    rule-tac  $x = \text{Location 2}$  in  $\text{exI}$ ,
    simp add: Airplane-scenario-def ex-graph-def cockpit-def door-def)
next show  $\text{"Bob"} \in \text{actors-graph (graphI Airplane-scenario)}$ 
  by (simp add: actors-graph-def Airplane-scenario-def nodes-def ex-graph-def,
    blast)
next show  $\text{enables Airplane-scenario door (Actor "Bob") move}$ 
  by (simp add: Airplane-scenario-def enables-def local-policies-def ex-creds-def
    door-def cockpit-def)
next show  $\text{Airplane-getting-in-danger0} =$ 

```

```

Infrastructure (move-graph-a "Bob" cockpit door (graphI Airplane-scenario))
(delta Airplane-scenario)
proof -
  have a: (move-graph-a "Bob" cockpit door (graphI Airplane-scenario)) =
aid-graph0
  by (simp add: move-graph-a-def door-def cockpit-def Airplane-scenario-def
aid-graph0-def ex-graph-def, rule ext, simp add: cabin-def door-def)
  show ?thesis
  by (unfold Airplane-getting-in-danger0-def, insert a, erule ssubst,
simp add: Airplane-scenario-def)
qed
qed

lemma step1: Airplane-getting-in-danger0  $\rightarrow_n$  Airplane-getting-in-danger
proof (rule-tac l = door and l' = cabin and a = "Bob" in move, rule refl)
  show "Bob" @graphI Airplane-getting-in-danger0 door
  by (simp add: Airplane-getting-in-danger0-def atI-def aid-graph0-def door-def
cockpit-def)
next show door  $\in$  nodes (graphI Airplane-getting-in-danger0)
  by (simp add: aid-graph0-def Airplane-getting-in-danger0-def nodes-def, blast)+
next show cabin  $\in$  nodes (graphI Airplane-getting-in-danger0)
  by (simp add: actors-graph-def door-def cockpit-def nodes-def cabin-def,
rule-tac x = Location 1 in exI,
simp add: Airplane-getting-in-danger0-def aid-graph0-def cockpit-def door-def
cabin-def)
next show "Bob"  $\in$  actors-graph (graphI Airplane-getting-in-danger0)
  by (simp add: actors-graph-def door-def cockpit-def nodes-def cabin-def
Airplane-getting-in-danger0-def aid-graph0-def, blast)
next show enables Airplane-getting-in-danger0 cabin (Actor "Bob") move
  by (simp add: Airplane-getting-in-danger0-def enables-def local-policies-def ex-creds-def
door-def
cockpit-def cabin-def)
next show Airplane-getting-in-danger =
Infrastructure (move-graph-a "Bob" door cabin (graphI Airplane-getting-in-danger0))
(delta Airplane-getting-in-danger0)
  by (unfold Airplane-getting-in-danger-def,
simp add: Airplane-getting-in-danger0-def agid-graph-def aid-graph0-def
move-graph-a-def door-def cockpit-def cabin-def, rule ext,
simp add: cabin-def door-def)
qed

lemma step2: Airplane-getting-in-danger  $\rightarrow_n$  Airplane-in-danger
proof (rule-tac l = door and a = "Charly" and z = "locked" in put-remote,
rule refl)
  show enables Airplane-getting-in-danger door (Actor "Charly") put
  by (simp add: enables-def local-policies-def ex-creds-def door-def cockpit-def,
unfold Airplane-getting-in-danger-def,
simp add: local-policies-def cockpit-def cabin-def door-def,
rule-tac x = "Charly" in exI, rule conjI,

```



```

      simp add: atI-def agid-graph-def door-def cockpit-def, rule refl)
next show Airplane-in-danger =
  Infrastructure
  (Lgraph (gra (graphI Airplane-getting-in-danger)) (agra (graphI Airplane-getting-in-danger))
    (cgra (graphI Airplane-getting-in-danger))
    ((lgra (graphI Airplane-getting-in-danger))(door := ["locked"])))
  (delta Airplane-getting-in-danger)
  by (unfold Airplane-in-danger-def, simp add: aid-graph-def agid-graph-def
    ex-locs'-def ex-locs-def Airplane-getting-in-danger-def, force)
qed

lemma step0r: Airplane-scenario  $\rightarrow_n^*$  Airplane-getting-in-danger0
  by (simp add: state-transition-in-refl-def, insert step0, auto)

lemma step1r: Airplane-getting-in-danger0  $\rightarrow_n^*$  Airplane-getting-in-danger
  by (simp add: state-transition-in-refl-def, insert step1, auto)

lemma step2r: Airplane-getting-in-danger  $\rightarrow_n^*$  Airplane-in-danger
  by (simp add: state-transition-in-refl-def, insert step2, auto)

theorem step-allr: Airplane-scenario  $\rightarrow_n^*$  Airplane-in-danger
  by (insert step0r step1r step2r, simp add: state-transition-in-refl-def)

theorem aid-attack: Air-Kripke  $\vdash EF \{x. \neg \text{global-policy } x \text{ "Eve"}\}$ 
proof (simp add: check-def Air-Kripke-def, rule conjI)
  show Airplane-scenario  $\in \text{Air-states}$ 
  by (simp add: Air-states-def state-transition-in-refl-def)
next show Airplane-scenario  $\in EF \{x::\text{infrastructure}. \neg \text{global-policy } x \text{ "Eve"}\}$ 
  by (rule EF-lem2b, subst EF-lem000, rule EX-lem0r, subst EF-lem000, rule
    EX-step,
    unfold state-transition-infra-def, rule step0, rule EX-lem0r,
    rule-tac y = Airplane-getting-in-danger in EX-step,
    unfold state-transition-infra-def, rule step1, subst EF-lem000, rule EX-lem0l,
    rule-tac y = Airplane-in-danger in EX-step, unfold state-transition-infra-def,
    rule step2, rule CollectI, rule ex-inv4)
qed

lemma actors-unique-loc-base:
  assumes  $I \rightarrow_n I'$ 
  and  $(\forall l l'. a @_{\text{graph} I} l \wedge a @_{\text{graph} I} l' \longrightarrow l = l') \wedge$ 
     $(\forall l. \text{nodup } a (\text{agra } (\text{graph} I) l))$ 
  shows  $(\forall l l'. a @_{\text{graph} I'} l \wedge a @_{\text{graph} I'} l' \longrightarrow l = l') \wedge$ 
     $(\forall l. \text{nodup } a (\text{agra } (\text{graph} I') l))$ 
proof (rule state-transition-in.cases, rule assms(1))
  show  $\bigwedge (G::\text{igraph}) (Ia::\text{infrastructure}) (aa::\text{char list}) (l::\text{location}) (a'::\text{char list})$ 
     $(z::\text{char list})$ 
     $I'a::\text{infrastructure}.$ 
     $I = Ia \implies$ 

```

```

I' = I'a ==>
G = graphI Ia ==>
aa @G l ==>
a' @G l ==>
has G (Actor aa, z) ==>
enables Ia l (Actor aa) get ==>
I'a =
Infrastructure
(Lgraph (gra G) (agra G)
((cgra G)(Actor a' := (z # fst (cgra G (Actor a')), snd (cgra G (Actor
a'))))) (lgra G))
(delta Ia) ==>
(∀ (l::location) l'::location. a @graphI I' l ∧ a @graphI I' l' → l = l') ∧
(∀ l::location. nodup a (agra (graphI I') l)) using assms
by (simp add: atI-def)
next fix G Ia aa l I'a z
assume a0: I = Ia and a1: I' = I'a and a2: G = graphI Ia and a3: aa @G l
and a4: enables Ia l (Actor aa) put
and a5: I'a = Infrastructure (Lgraph (gra G) (agra G) (cgra G) ((lgra G)(l
:= [z]))) (delta Ia)
show (∀ (l::location) l'::location. a @graphI I' l ∧ a @graphI I' l' → l = l') ∧
(∀ l::location. nodup a (agra (graphI I') l)) using assms
by (simp add: a0 a1 a2 a3 a4 a5 atI-def)
next show ∧(G::igraph) (Ia::infrastructure) (l::location) (aa::char list) (I'a::infrastructure)
z::char list.
I = Ia ==>
I' = I'a ==>
G = graphI Ia ==>
enables Ia l (Actor aa) put ==>
I'a = Infrastructure (Lgraph (gra G) (agra G) (cgra G) ((lgra G)(l := [z])))
(delta Ia) ==>
(∀ (l::location) l'::location. a @graphI I' l ∧ a @graphI I' l' → l = l') ∧
(∀ l::location. nodup a (agra (graphI I') l))
by (clarify, simp add: assms atI-def)
next show ∧(G::igraph) (Ia::infrastructure) (aa::char list) (l::location) (l'::location)
I'a::infrastructure.
I = Ia ==>
I' = I'a ==>
G = graphI Ia ==>
aa @G l ==>
l ∈ nodes G ==>
l' ∈ nodes G ==>
aa ∈ actors-graph (graphI Ia) ==>
enables Ia l' (Actor aa) move ==>
I'a = Infrastructure (move-graph-a aa l l' (graphI Ia)) (delta Ia) ==>
(∀ (l::location) l'::location. a @graphI I' l ∧ a @graphI I' l' → l = l') ∧
(∀ l::location. nodup a (agra (graphI I') l))
proof (simp add: move-graph-a-def, rule conjI, clarify, rule conjI, clarify, rule
conjI, clarify)

```

```

show  $\bigwedge (G::igraph) (Ia::infrastructure) (aa::char\ list) (l::location) (l'::location)$ 
   $(I'a::infrastructure) (la::location) l'a::location.$ 
   $I' =$ 
    Infrastructure
    (Lgraph (gra (graphI I))
      (if  $a \in \text{set } (agra (graphI I) l) \wedge a \notin \text{set } (agra (graphI I) l')$ 
        then  $(agra (graphI I))(l := \text{del } a (agra (graphI I) l), l' := a \# agra$ 
(graphI I) l')
        else  $agra (graphI I)$ 
      (cgra (graphI I)) (lgra (graphI I))))
    (delta I)  $\implies$ 
     $a @_{graphI I} l \implies$ 
     $l \in \text{nodes } (graphI I) \implies$ 
     $l' \in \text{nodes } (graphI I) \implies$ 
     $a \in \text{actors-graph } (graphI I) \implies$ 
     $\text{enables } I l' (\text{Actor } a) \text{ move} \implies$ 
     $a \in \text{set } (agra (graphI I) l) \implies$ 
     $a \notin \text{set } (agra (graphI I) l') \implies$ 
     $a @_{Lgraph (gra (graphI I))} ((agra (graphI I))(l := \text{del } a (agra (graphI I) l), l' := a \# agra (graphI I) l))$ 
 $la \implies$ 
     $a @_{Lgraph (gra (graphI I))} ((agra (graphI I))(l := \text{del } a (agra (graphI I) l), l' := a \# agra (graphI I) l))$ 
 $l'a \implies$ 
     $la = l'a$ 
    apply (case-tac  $la \neq l' \wedge la \neq l \wedge l'a \neq l' \wedge l'a \neq l$ )
    apply (simp add: atI-def)
    apply (subgoal-tac  $la = l' \vee la = l \vee l'a = l' \vee l'a = l$ )
    prefer 2
    using assms(2) atI-def apply blast
    apply blast
    by (metis agra.simps assms(2) atI-def del-nodup fun-upd-apply)
next show  $\bigwedge (G::igraph) (Ia::infrastructure) (aa::char\ list) (l::location) (l'::location)$ 
   $I'a::infrastructure.$ 
   $I' =$ 
    Infrastructure
    (Lgraph (gra (graphI I))
      (if  $a \in \text{set } (agra (graphI I) l) \wedge a \notin \text{set } (agra (graphI I) l')$ 
        then  $(agra (graphI I))(l := \text{del } a (agra (graphI I) l), l' := a \# agra$ 
(graphI I) l')
        else  $agra (graphI I)$ 
      (cgra (graphI I)) (lgra (graphI I))))
    (delta I)  $\implies$ 
     $a @_{graphI I} l \implies$ 
     $l \in \text{nodes } (graphI I) \implies$ 
     $l' \in \text{nodes } (graphI I) \implies$ 
     $a \in \text{actors-graph } (graphI I) \implies$ 
     $\text{enables } I l' (\text{Actor } a) \text{ move} \implies$ 
     $a \in \text{set } (agra (graphI I) l) \implies$ 
     $a \notin \text{set } (agra (graphI I) l') \implies$ 
     $\forall la::location.$ 

```

```

      (la = l  $\longrightarrow$  l  $\neq$  l'  $\longrightarrow$  nodup a (del a (agra (graphI I) l)))  $\wedge$ 
      (la  $\neq$  l  $\longrightarrow$  la  $\neq$  l'  $\longrightarrow$  nodup a (agra (graphI I) la))
    by (simp add: assms(2) nodup-down)
  next show  $\wedge$ (G::igraph) (Ia::infrastructure) (aa::char list) (l::location) (l'::location)
    I'a::infrastructure.
    I' =
    Infrastructure
    (Lgraph (gra (graphI I))
      (if a  $\in$  set (agra (graphI I) l)  $\wedge$  a  $\notin$  set (agra (graphI I) l')
        then (agra (graphI I))(l := del a (agra (graphI I) l), l' := a # agra
(graphI I) l')
        else agra (graphI I))
      (cgra (graphI I)) (lgra (graphI I)))
    (delta I)  $\implies$ 
    a @graphI I l  $\implies$ 
    l  $\in$  nodes (graphI I)  $\implies$ 
    l'  $\in$  nodes (graphI I)  $\implies$ 
    a  $\in$  actors-graph (graphI I)  $\implies$ 
    enables I l' (Actor a) move  $\implies$ 
    (a  $\in$  set (agra (graphI I) l)  $\longrightarrow$  a  $\in$  set (agra (graphI I) l'))  $\longrightarrow$ 
    ( $\forall$  (l::location) l'::location.
      a @Lgraph (gra (graphI I)) (agra (graphI I)) (cgra (graphI I)) (lgra (graphI I))
l  $\wedge$ 
      a @Lgraph (gra (graphI I)) (agra (graphI I)) (cgra (graphI I)) (lgra (graphI I))
l'  $\longrightarrow$ 
        l = l')  $\wedge$ 
    ( $\forall$  l::location. nodup a (agra (graphI I) l))
    by (simp add: assms(2) atI-def)
  next show  $\wedge$ (G::igraph) (Ia::infrastructure) (aa::char list) (l::location) (l'::location)
    I'a::infrastructure.
    I = Ia  $\implies$ 
    I' =
    Infrastructure
    (Lgraph (gra (graphI Ia))
      (if aa  $\in$  set (agra (graphI Ia) l)  $\wedge$  aa  $\notin$  set (agra (graphI Ia) l')
        then (agra (graphI Ia))(l := del aa (agra (graphI Ia) l), l' := aa # agra
(graphI Ia) l')
        else agra (graphI Ia))
      (cgra (graphI Ia)) (lgra (graphI Ia)))
    (delta Ia)  $\implies$ 
    G = graphI Ia  $\implies$ 
    aa @graphI Ia l  $\implies$ 
    l  $\in$  nodes (graphI Ia)  $\implies$ 
    l'  $\in$  nodes (graphI Ia)  $\implies$ 
    aa  $\in$  actors-graph (graphI Ia)  $\implies$ 
    enables Ia l' (Actor aa) move  $\implies$ 
    I'a =
    Infrastructure
    (Lgraph (gra (graphI Ia))

```

$(\text{if } aa \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } Ia) \ l) \wedge aa \notin \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } Ia) \ l') \\ \text{then } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } Ia))(l := \text{del } aa \ (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } Ia) \ l), \ l' := aa \ \# \ \text{agra} \\ (\text{graphI } Ia) \ l') \\ \text{else } \text{agra } (\text{graphI } Ia)) \\ (\text{cgra } (\text{graphI } Ia)) \ (\text{lgra } (\text{graphI } Ia))) \\ (\text{delta } Ia) \implies \\ aa \neq a \longrightarrow \\ (aa \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } Ia) \ l) \wedge aa \notin \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } Ia) \ l') \longrightarrow \\ (\forall (la::\text{location}) \ l'a::\text{location}. \\ a \ @_{\text{Lgraph } (\text{gra } (\text{graphI } Ia))} \quad ((\text{agra } (\text{graphI } Ia)) \quad (l := \text{del } aa \ (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } Ia) \ l), \ l' \\ la \wedge \\ a \ @_{\text{Lgraph } (\text{gra } (\text{graphI } Ia))} \quad ((\text{agra } (\text{graphI } Ia)) \quad (l := \text{del } aa \ (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } Ia) \ l), \ l' \\ l'a \longrightarrow \\ la = l'a) \wedge \\ (\forall la::\text{location}. \\ (la = l \longrightarrow \\ (l = l' \longrightarrow \text{nodup } a \ (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } Ia) \ l')) \wedge \\ (l \neq l' \longrightarrow \text{nodup } a \ (\text{del } aa \ (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } Ia) \ l)))) \wedge \\ (la \neq l \longrightarrow \\ (la = l' \longrightarrow \text{nodup } a \ (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } Ia) \ l')) \wedge \\ (la \neq l' \longrightarrow \text{nodup } a \ (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } Ia) \ la)))) \wedge \\ ((aa \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } Ia) \ l) \longrightarrow aa \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } Ia) \ l')) \longrightarrow \\ (\forall (l::\text{location}) \ l':\text{location}. \\ a \ @_{\text{Lgraph } (\text{gra } (\text{graphI } Ia))} \ (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } Ia)) \ (\text{cgra } (\text{graphI } Ia)) \quad (\text{lgra } (\text{graphI } Ia)) \\ l \wedge \\ a \ @_{\text{Lgraph } (\text{gra } (\text{graphI } Ia))} \ (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } Ia)) \ (\text{cgra } (\text{graphI } Ia)) \quad (\text{lgra } (\text{graphI } Ia)) \\ l' \longrightarrow \\ l = l') \wedge \\ (\forall l::\text{location}. \text{nodup } a \ (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } Ia) \ l))) \\ \text{proof } (\text{clarify}, \text{simp add: atI-def}, \text{rule conjI}, \text{clarify}, \text{rule conjI}, \text{clarify}, \text{rule conjI}, \\ \text{clarify}, \text{rule conjI}, \text{clarify}, \text{simp}, \text{clarify}, \text{rule conjI}, (\text{rule impI})+) \\ \text{show } \bigwedge (aa::\text{char list}) \ (l::\text{location}) \ (l':\text{location}) \ l'a::\text{location}. \\ I' = \\ \text{Infrastructure} \\ (\text{Lgraph } (\text{gra } (\text{graphI } I)) \\ ((\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I))(l := \text{del } aa \ (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l), \ l' := aa \ \# \ \text{agra } (\text{graphI} \\ I) \ l')) \\ (\text{cgra } (\text{graphI } I)) \ (\text{lgra } (\text{graphI } I))) \\ (\text{delta } I) \implies \\ aa \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l) \implies \\ l \in \text{nodes } (\text{graphI } I) \implies \\ l' \in \text{nodes } (\text{graphI } I) \implies \\ aa \in \text{actors-graph } (\text{graphI } I) \implies \\ \text{enables } I \ l' \ (\text{Actor } aa) \ \text{move} \implies \\ aa \neq a \implies \\ aa \notin \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l') \implies \\ l \neq l' \implies \\ l'a \neq l \implies \\ l'a = l' \implies a \in \text{set } (\text{del } aa \ (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l)) \implies a \notin \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI}$

$I) l')$
by (*meson assms*(2) *atI-def del-notin-down*)
next show $\bigwedge(aa::char\ list) (l::location) (l'::location) l'a::location.$
 $I' =$
Infrastructure
 $(Lgraph\ (gra\ (graphI\ I))$
 $((agra\ (graphI\ I))(l := del\ aa\ (agra\ (graphI\ I)\ l), l' := aa \# agra\ (graphI$
 $I) l'))$
 $(cgra\ (graphI\ I))\ (lgra\ (graphI\ I)))$
 $(delta\ I) \implies$
 $aa \in set\ (agra\ (graphI\ I)\ l) \implies$
 $l \in nodes\ (graphI\ I) \implies$
 $l' \in nodes\ (graphI\ I) \implies$
 $aa \in actors-graph\ (graphI\ I) \implies$
 $enables\ I\ l'\ (Actor\ aa)\ move \implies$
 $aa \neq a \implies$
 $aa \notin set\ (agra\ (graphI\ I)\ l') \implies$
 $l \neq l' \implies$
 $l'a \neq l \implies$
 $l'a \neq l' \longrightarrow a \in set\ (del\ aa\ (agra\ (graphI\ I)\ l)) \longrightarrow a \notin set\ (agra\ (graphI$
 $I) l'a)$
by (*meson assms*(2) *atI-def del-notin-down*)
next show $\bigwedge(aa::char\ list) (l::location) (l'::location) la::location.$
 $I' =$
Infrastructure
 $(Lgraph\ (gra\ (graphI\ I))$
 $(if\ aa \notin set\ (agra\ (graphI\ I)\ l')$
 $then\ (agra\ (graphI\ I))(l := del\ aa\ (agra\ (graphI\ I)\ l), l' := aa \# agra$
 $(graphI\ I)\ l')$
 $else\ agra\ (graphI\ I))$
 $(cgra\ (graphI\ I))\ (lgra\ (graphI\ I)))$
 $(delta\ I) \implies$
 $aa \in set\ (agra\ (graphI\ I)\ l) \implies$
 $l \in nodes\ (graphI\ I) \implies$
 $l' \in nodes\ (graphI\ I) \implies$
 $aa \in actors-graph\ (graphI\ I) \implies$
 $enables\ I\ l'\ (Actor\ aa)\ move \implies$
 $aa \neq a \implies$
 $aa \notin set\ (agra\ (graphI\ I)\ l') \implies$
 $la \neq l \longrightarrow$
 $(la = l' \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall l'a::location.$
 $(l'a = l \longrightarrow$
 $l \neq l' \longrightarrow a \in set\ (agra\ (graphI\ I)\ l') \longrightarrow a \notin set\ (del\ aa\ (agra\ (graphI$
 $I) l))) \wedge$
 $(l'a \neq l \longrightarrow$
 $l'a \neq l' \longrightarrow a \in set\ (agra\ (graphI\ I)\ l') \longrightarrow a \notin set\ (agra\ (graphI\ I)$
 $l'a)))) \wedge$
 $(la \neq l' \longrightarrow$

$(\forall l'a::location.$
 $(l'a = l \longrightarrow$
 $(l = l' \longrightarrow a \in \text{set } (agra (\text{graphI } I) \text{ la}) \longrightarrow a \notin \text{set } (agra (\text{graphI } I)$
 $l')) \wedge$
 $(l \neq l' \longrightarrow a \in \text{set } (agra (\text{graphI } I) \text{ la}) \longrightarrow a \notin \text{set } (\text{del } aa (agra (\text{graphI } I)$
 $I) \text{ l})))) \wedge$
 $(l'a \neq l \longrightarrow$
 $(l'a = l' \longrightarrow a \in \text{set } (agra (\text{graphI } I) \text{ la}) \longrightarrow a \notin \text{set } (agra (\text{graphI } I)$
 $l')) \wedge$
 $(l'a \neq l' \longrightarrow$
 $a \in \text{set } (agra (\text{graphI } I) \text{ la}) \wedge a \in \text{set } (agra (\text{graphI } I) \text{ l'a}) \longrightarrow \text{la} =$
 $\text{l'a}))))$
by (*meson assms(2) atI-def del-notin-down*)
next show $\bigwedge(aa::char \text{ list}) (l::location) \text{ l'}::location.$
 $I' =$
Infrastructure
 $(Lgraph (\text{gra } (\text{graphI } I))$
 $(\text{if } aa \notin \text{set } (agra (\text{graphI } I) \text{ l'})$
 $\text{then } (agra (\text{graphI } I))(l := \text{del } aa (agra (\text{graphI } I) \text{ l}), \text{ l'} := aa \# agra$
 $(\text{graphI } I) \text{ l'})$
 $\text{else } agra (\text{graphI } I))$
 $(cgra (\text{graphI } I)) (lgra (\text{graphI } I)))$
 $(\text{delta } I) \implies$
 $aa \in \text{set } (agra (\text{graphI } I) \text{ l}) \implies$
 $l \in \text{nodes } (\text{graphI } I) \implies$
 $l' \in \text{nodes } (\text{graphI } I) \implies$
 $aa \in \text{actors-graph } (\text{graphI } I) \implies$
 $\text{enables } I \text{ l'} (\text{Actor } aa) \text{ move} \implies$
 $aa \neq a \implies$
 $aa \notin \text{set } (agra (\text{graphI } I) \text{ l'}) \implies$
 $\forall la::location.$
 $(la = l \longrightarrow$
 $(l = l' \longrightarrow \text{nodup } a (agra (\text{graphI } I) \text{ l'})) \wedge$
 $(l \neq l' \longrightarrow \text{nodup } a (\text{del } aa (agra (\text{graphI } I) \text{ l})))) \wedge$
 $(la \neq l \longrightarrow$
 $(la = l' \longrightarrow \text{nodup } a (agra (\text{graphI } I) \text{ l'})) \wedge (la \neq l' \longrightarrow \text{nodup } a (agra$
 $(\text{graphI } I) \text{ la}))))$
by (*simp add: assms(2) nodup-down-notin*)
next show $\bigwedge(aa::char \text{ list}) (l::location) \text{ l'}::location.$
 $I' =$
Infrastructure
 $(Lgraph (\text{gra } (\text{graphI } I))$
 $(\text{if } aa \notin \text{set } (agra (\text{graphI } I) \text{ l'})$
 $\text{then } (agra (\text{graphI } I))(l := \text{del } aa (agra (\text{graphI } I) \text{ l}), \text{ l'} := aa \# agra$
 $(\text{graphI } I) \text{ l'})$
 $\text{else } agra (\text{graphI } I))$
 $(cgra (\text{graphI } I)) (lgra (\text{graphI } I)))$
 $(\text{delta } I) \implies$
 $aa \in \text{set } (agra (\text{graphI } I) \text{ l}) \implies$

$l \in \text{nodes } (\text{graphI } I) \implies$
 $l' \in \text{nodes } (\text{graphI } I) \implies$
 $aa \in \text{actors-graph } (\text{graphI } I) \implies$
 $\text{enables } I \ l' \ (\text{Actor } aa) \ \text{move} \implies$
 $aa \neq a \implies$
 $aa \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l') \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall l::\text{location}. \ l'::\text{location}.$
 $\quad a \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l) \wedge a \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l') \longrightarrow l = l') \wedge$
 $(\forall l::\text{location}. \ \text{nodup } a \ (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l))$
using *assms(2)* *atI-def* **by** *blast*
qed
qed
qed

lemma *actors-unique-loc-step*:

assumes $(I, I') \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). \ x \rightarrow_n y\}^*$
and $\forall a. (\forall l \ l'. \ a \ @_{\text{graphI } I} \ l \wedge a \ @_{\text{graphI } I} \ l' \longrightarrow l = l') \wedge$
 $(\forall l. \ \text{nodup } a \ (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l))$
shows $\forall a. (\forall l \ l'. \ a \ @_{\text{graphI } I'} \ l \wedge a \ @_{\text{graphI } I'} \ l' \longrightarrow l = l') \wedge$
 $(\forall l. \ \text{nodup } a \ (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I') \ l))$

proof –

have *ind*: $(\forall a. (\forall l \ l'. \ a \ @_{\text{graphI } I} \ l \wedge a \ @_{\text{graphI } I} \ l' \longrightarrow l = l') \wedge$
 $(\forall l. \ \text{nodup } a \ (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l))) \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall a. (\forall l \ l'. \ a \ @_{\text{graphI } I'} \ l \wedge a \ @_{\text{graphI } I'} \ l' \longrightarrow l = l') \wedge$
 $(\forall l. \ \text{nodup } a \ (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I') \ l)))$

proof (*insert assms(1), erule rtrancl.induct*)

show $\bigwedge a::\text{infrastructure}.$

$(\forall aa::\text{char list}.$

$(\forall l::\text{location}. \ l'::\text{location}. \ aa \ @_{\text{graphI } a} \ l \wedge aa \ @_{\text{graphI } a} \ l' \longrightarrow l = l') \wedge$
 $(\forall l::\text{location}. \ \text{nodup } aa \ (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } a) \ l))) \longrightarrow$

$(\forall aa::\text{char list}.$

$(\forall l::\text{location}. \ l'::\text{location}. \ aa \ @_{\text{graphI } a} \ l \wedge aa \ @_{\text{graphI } a} \ l' \longrightarrow l = l') \wedge$
 $(\forall l::\text{location}. \ \text{nodup } aa \ (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } a) \ l)))$ **by** *simp*

next show $\bigwedge(a::\text{infrastructure}) \ (b::\text{infrastructure}) \ (c::\text{infrastructure}).$

$(a, b) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). \ x \rightarrow_n y\}^* \implies$

$(\forall aa::\text{char list}.$

$(\forall l::\text{location}. \ (l'::\text{location}). \ (aa \ @_{\text{graphI } a} \ l \wedge aa \ @_{\text{graphI } a} \ l') \longrightarrow l =$

$l') \wedge$

$(\forall l::\text{location}. \ \text{nodup } aa \ (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } a) \ l))) \longrightarrow$

$(\forall aa::\text{char list}.$

$(\forall l::\text{location}. \ (l'::\text{location}). \ (a \ @_{\text{graphI } b} \ l \wedge a \ @_{\text{graphI } b} \ l') \longrightarrow l = l') \wedge$
 $(\forall l::\text{location}. \ \text{nodup } a \ (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } b) \ l))) \implies$

$(b, c) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). \ x \rightarrow_n y\} \implies$

$(\forall aa::\text{char list}.$

$(\forall l::\text{location}. \ l'::\text{location}. \ (aa \ @_{\text{graphI } a} \ l \wedge aa \ @_{\text{graphI } a} \ l') \longrightarrow l = l')$

\wedge

$(\forall l::\text{location}. \ \text{nodup } aa \ (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } a) \ l))) \longrightarrow$

$(\forall a::\text{char list.}$
 $(\forall (l::\text{location}) l'::\text{location. } (a \text{ @}_{\text{graphI } c} l \wedge a \text{ @}_{\text{graphI } c} l') \longrightarrow l = l') \wedge$
 $(\forall l::\text{location. } \text{nodup } a \text{ (agra (graphI } c) l)))$
by (rule impI, rule allI, rule actors-unique-loc-base, drule CollectD,
simp,erule impE, assumption, erule spec)
qed
show ?thesis
by (insert ind, insert assms(2), simp)
qed

lemma actors-unique-loc-aid-base:
 $\forall a. (\forall l l'. a \text{ @}_{\text{graphI Airplane-not-in-danger-init}} l \wedge$
 $a \text{ @}_{\text{graphI Airplane-not-in-danger-init}} l' \longrightarrow l = l') \wedge$
 $(\forall l. \text{nodup } a \text{ (agra (graphI Airplane-not-in-danger-init) l))}$
proof (simp add: Airplane-not-in-danger-init-def ex-graph-def, clarify, rule conjI,
clarify,
rule conjI, clarify, rule impI, (rule allI)+, rule impI, simp add: atI-def)
show $\bigwedge (l::\text{location}) l'::\text{location.}$
"Charly"
 $\in \text{set (if } l = \text{cockpit then ["Bob", "Charly"]}$
 $\text{else if } l = \text{door then [] else if } l = \text{cabin then ["Alice"]} \text{ else [])} \wedge$
"Charly"
 $\in \text{set (if } l' = \text{cockpit then ["Bob", "Charly"]}$
 $\text{else if } l' = \text{door then [] else if } l' = \text{cabin then ["Alice"]} \text{ else []}) \implies$
 $l = l'$
by (case-tac $l = l'$, assumption, rule FalseE, case-tac $l = \text{cockpit} \vee l = \text{door} \vee$
 $l = \text{cabin,}$
erule disjE, simp, case-tac $l' = \text{door} \vee l' = \text{cabin,}$ erule disjE, simp,
simp add: cabin-def door-def, simp, erule disjE, simp add: door-def cockpit-def,
simp add: cabin-def door-def cockpit-def, simp)
next show $\bigwedge a::\text{char list.}$
"Charly" $\neq a \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall (l::\text{location}) l'::\text{location.}$
 $a \text{ @}_{L\text{graph}} \{(cockpit, door), (door, cabin)\} \quad (\lambda x::\text{location.} \quad \text{if } x = \text{cockpit then ["Bob"]}$
 $l \wedge \quad a \text{ @}_{L\text{graph}} \{(cockpit, door), (door, cabin)\} \quad (\lambda x::\text{location.} \quad \text{if } x = \text{cockpit then ["Bob"]}$
 $l' \longrightarrow \quad l = l')$
by (clarify, simp add: atI-def, case-tac $l = l'$, assumption, rule FalseE,
case-tac $l = \text{cockpit} \vee l = \text{door} \vee l = \text{cabin,}$ erule disjE, simp,
case-tac $l' = \text{door} \vee l' = \text{cabin,}$ erule disjE, simp, simp add: cabin-def door-def,
simp, erule disjE, simp add: door-def cockpit-def, case-tac $l = \text{cockpit,}$
simp add: cabin-def cockpit-def, simp add: cabin-def door-def, case-tac $l' =$
 cockpit,
simp, simp add: cabin-def, case-tac $l' = \text{door,}$ simp, simp add: cabin-def,
simp)
qed

lemma *actors-unique-loc-aid-step*:

$(Airplane-not-in-danger-init, I) \in \{(x::infrastructure, y::infrastructure). x \rightarrow_n y\}^*$
 $\implies \forall a. (\forall l l'. a @_{graphI I} l \wedge a @_{graphI I} l' \longrightarrow l = l') \wedge$
 $(\forall l. nodup a (agra (graphI I) l))$
by (*erule actors-unique-loc-step*, *rule actors-unique-loc-aid-base*)

lemma *Anid-airplane-actors*: *actors-graph (graphI Airplane-not-in-danger-init) = airplane-actors*

proof (*simp add: Airplane-not-in-danger-init-def ex-graph-def actors-graph-def nodes-def*

airplane-actors-def, *rule equalityI*)

show $\{x::char\ list.$

$\exists y::location.$

$(y = door \longrightarrow$

$(door = cockpit \longrightarrow$

$(\exists y::location. y = cockpit \vee y = cabin \vee y = cockpit \vee y = cockpit \wedge$

$cockpit = cabin) \wedge$

$(x = "Bob" \vee x = "Charly")) \wedge$

$door = cockpit) \wedge$

$(y \neq door \longrightarrow$

$(y = cockpit \longrightarrow$

$(\exists y::location.$

$y = door \vee$

$cockpit = door \wedge y = cabin \vee$

$y = cockpit \wedge cockpit = door \vee y = door \wedge cockpit = cabin) \wedge$

$(x = "Bob" \vee x = "Charly")) \wedge$

$(y \neq cockpit \longrightarrow y = cabin \wedge x = "Alice" \wedge y = cabin)))$

$\subseteq \{"Bob", "Charly", "Alice"\}$

by (*rule subsetI*, *drule CollectD*, *erule exE*, (*erule conjE*)+,

simp add: door-def cockpit-def cabin-def, (*erule conjE*)+, *force*)

next show $\{"Bob", "Charly", "Alice"\}$

$\subseteq \{x::char\ list.$

$\exists y::location.$

$(y = door \longrightarrow$

$(door = cockpit \longrightarrow$

$(\exists y::location.$

$y = cockpit \vee y = cabin \vee y = cockpit \vee y = cockpit \wedge cockpit =$

$cabin) \wedge$

$(x = "Bob" \vee x = "Charly")) \wedge$

$door = cockpit) \wedge$

$(y \neq door \longrightarrow$

$(y = cockpit \longrightarrow$

$(\exists y::location.$

$y = door \vee$

$cockpit = door \wedge y = cabin \vee$

$y = cockpit \wedge cockpit = door \vee y = door \wedge cockpit = cabin) \wedge$

$(x = "Bob" \vee x = "Charly")) \wedge$

$(y \neq \text{cockpit} \longrightarrow y = \text{cabin} \wedge x = \text{"Alice"} \wedge y = \text{cabin}))\}$
by (*rule subsetI*, *rule CollectI*, *simp add: door-def cockpit-def cabin-def*,
case-tac x = "Bob", *force*, *case-tac x = "Charly"*, *force*,
subgoal-tac x = "Alice", *force*, *simp*)

qed

lemma *all-airplane-actors*: $(\text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init}, y) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x \rightarrow_n y\}^*$
 $\implies \text{actors-graph}(\text{graphI } y) = \text{airplane-actors}$
by (*insert Anid-airplane-actors*, *erule subst*, *rule sym*, *erule same-actors*)

lemma *actors-at-loc-in-graph*: $\llbracket l \in \text{nodes}(\text{graphI } I); a \ @_{\text{graphI } I} l \rrbracket$
 $\implies a \in \text{actors-graph } (\text{graphI } I)$
by (*simp add: atI-def actors-graph-def*, *rule exI*, *rule conjI*)

lemma *not-en-get-Apnid*:
assumes $(\text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init}, y) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x \rightarrow_n y\}^*$
shows $\sim(\text{enables } y \ l \ (\text{Actor } a) \ \text{get})$
proof –
have $\text{delta } y = \text{delta}(\text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init})$
by (*insert assms*, *rule sym*, *erule-tac init-state-policy*)
with *assms* **show** *?thesis*
by (*simp add: Airplane-not-in-danger-init-def enables-def local-policies-four-eyes-def*)

qed

lemma *Apnid-tsp-test*: $\sim(\text{enables } \text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init cockpit } (\text{Actor } \text{"Alice"}) \ \text{get})$
by (*simp add: Airplane-not-in-danger-init-def ex-creds-def enables-def*
local-policies-four-eyes-def cabin-def door-def cockpit-def
ex-graph-def ex-locs-def)

lemma *Apnid-tsp-test-gen*: $\sim(\text{enables } \text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init } l \ (\text{Actor } a) \ \text{get})$
by (*simp add: Airplane-not-in-danger-init-def ex-creds-def enables-def*
local-policies-four-eyes-def cabin-def door-def cockpit-def
ex-graph-def ex-locs-def)

lemma *test-graph-atI*: $\text{"Bob"} \ @_{\text{graphI } \text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init cockpit}}$
by (*simp add: Airplane-not-in-danger-init-def ex-graph-def atI-def*)

lemma *two-person-inv*:
fixes $z \ z'$
assumes $(2::\text{nat}) \leq \text{length } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } z) \ \text{cockpit})$
and $\text{nodes}(\text{graphI } z) = \text{nodes}(\text{graphI } \text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init})$
and $\text{delta}(z) = \text{delta}(\text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init})$

```

and (Airplane-not-in-danger-init,z) ∈ {(x::infrastructure, y::infrastructure).
x →n y}*
and z →n z'
shows (2::nat) ≤ length (agra (graphI z') cockpit)
proof (insert assms(5), erule state-transition-in.cases)
show ∧(G::igraph) (I::infrastructure) (a::char list) (l::location) (a'::char list)
(za::char list)
  I'::infrastructure.
  z = I ⇒
  z' = I' ⇒
  G = graphI I ⇒
  a @G l ⇒
  a' @G l ⇒
  has G (Actor a, za) ⇒
  enables I l (Actor a) get ⇒
  I' =
  Infrastructure
  (Lgraph (gra G) (agra G)
    ((cgra G)(Actor a' := (za # fst (cgra G (Actor a')), snd (cgra G (Actor
a'))))) (lgra G))
  (delta I) ⇒
  (2::nat) ≤ length (agra (graphI z') cockpit) using assms by simp
next show ∧(G::igraph) (I::infrastructure) (a::char list) (l::location) (I'::infrastructure)
za::char list.
  z = I ⇒
  z' = I' ⇒
  G = graphI I ⇒
  a @G l ⇒
  enables I l (Actor a) put ⇒
  I' = Infrastructure (Lgraph (gra G) (agra G) (cgra G) ((lgra G)(l := [za])))
(delta I) ⇒
  (2::nat) ≤ length (agra (graphI z') cockpit) using assms by simp
next show ∧(G::igraph) (I::infrastructure) (l::location) (a::char list) (I'::infrastructure)
za::char list.
  z = I ⇒
  z' = I' ⇒
  G = graphI I ⇒
  enables I l (Actor a) put ⇒
  I' = Infrastructure (Lgraph (gra G) (agra G) (cgra G) ((lgra G)(l := [za])))
(delta I) ⇒
  (2::nat) ≤ length (agra (graphI z') cockpit) using assms by simp
next show ∧(G::igraph) (I::infrastructure) (a::char list) (l::location) (l'::location)
I'::infrastructure.
  z = I ⇒
  z' = I' ⇒
  G = graphI I ⇒
  a @G l ⇒
  l ∈ nodes G ⇒
  l' ∈ nodes G ⇒

```

$a \in \text{actors-graph } (\text{graphI } I) \implies$
 $\text{enables } I \ l' \ (\text{Actor } a) \ \text{move} \implies$
 $I' = \text{Infrastructure } (\text{move-graph-a } a \ l \ l' \ (\text{graphI } I)) \ (\text{delta } I) \implies$
 $(2::\text{nat}) \leq \text{length } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } z') \ \text{cockpit})$

proof –

fix $G :: \text{igraph}$ **and** $I :: \text{infrastructure}$ **and** $a :: \text{char list}$ **and** $l :: \text{location}$ **and** $l' :: \text{location}$ **and** $I' :: \text{infrastructure}$

have $f1: \text{UasI } \text{"Eve"} \ \text{"Charly"}$

using *Eve-precipitating-event Insider-Eve Insider-def* **by force**

obtain $\text{ccs} :: \text{char list} \Rightarrow \text{char list}$ **and** $\text{ccsa} :: \text{char list} \Rightarrow \text{char list}$ **where**

$f2: \forall cs \ csa. (\neg \text{UasI } cs \ csa \vee \text{Actor } cs = \text{Actor } csa \wedge (\forall csb. (csa = cs \vee csb = cs \vee \text{Actor } csa \neq \text{Actor } csb) \vee csa = csb)) \wedge (\text{UasI } cs \ csa \vee \text{Actor } cs \neq \text{Actor } csa \vee (ccs \ cs \neq cs \wedge \text{ccsa } cs \neq cs \wedge \text{Actor } (ccs \ cs) = \text{Actor } (\text{ccsa } cs)) \wedge ccs \ cs \neq \text{ccsa } cs)$

using *UasI-def by moura*

have $\text{"Bob"} @_{\text{graphI}} (\text{Infrastructure ex-graph local-policies}) \ \text{Location } 2$

using *Airplane-not-in-danger-init-def cockpit-def test-graph-atI* **by force**

then have $\text{Actor } \text{"Bob"} = \text{Actor } \text{"Eve"}$

using *Airplane-scenario-def airplane.cockpit-foe-control airplane-axioms cockpit-def ex-inv3 global-policy-def* **by blast**

then show $2 \leq \text{length } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } z') \ \text{cockpit})$

using $f2 \ f1$ **by auto**

qed

qed

lemma *two-person-inv1*:

assumes $(\text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init}, z) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x \rightarrow_n y\}^*$

shows $(2::\text{nat}) \leq \text{length } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } z) \ \text{cockpit})$

proof (*insert assms, erule rtrancl-induct*)

show $(2::\text{nat}) \leq \text{length } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } \text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init}) \ \text{cockpit})$

by (*simp add: Airplane-not-in-danger-init-def ex-graph-def*)

next show $\bigwedge (y::\text{infrastructure}) \ z::\text{infrastructure}.$

$(\text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init}, y) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x \rightarrow_n y\}^* \implies$

$(y, z) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x \rightarrow_n y\} \implies$

$(2::\text{nat}) \leq \text{length } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } y) \ \text{cockpit}) \implies (2::\text{nat}) \leq \text{length } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } z) \ \text{cockpit})$

by (*rule two-person-inv, assumption, rule same-nodes, assumption, rule sym, rule init-state-policy, assumption+, simp*)

qed

lemma *nodup-card-insert*:

$a \notin \text{set } l \longrightarrow \text{card } (\text{insert } a \ (\text{set } l)) = \text{Suc } (\text{card } (\text{set } l))$

by *auto*

lemma *no-dup-set-list-num-eq[rule-format]*:

$(\forall a. \text{nodup } a \ l) \longrightarrow \text{card } (\text{set } l) = \text{length } l$
by (*induct-tac l, simp, clarify, simp, erule impE, rule allI,*
drule-tac x = aa in spec, case-tac a = aa, simp, erule nodup-notin, simp)

lemma *two-person-set-inv*:

assumes (*Airplane-not-in-danger-init, z*) $\in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}).$
 $x \rightarrow_n y\}^*$

shows $(2::\text{nat}) \leq \text{card } (\text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } z) \text{ cockpit}))$

proof –

have $a: \text{card } (\text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } z) \text{ cockpit})) = \text{length}(\text{agra } (\text{graphI } z) \text{ cockpit})$
by (*rule no-dup-set-list-num-eq, insert assms, drule actors-unique-loc-aid-step,*
drule-tac x = a in spec, erule conjE, erule-tac x = cockpit in spec)

show *?thesis*

by (*insert a, erule ssubst, rule two-person-inv1, rule assms*)

qed

lemma *Pred-all-unique*: $\llbracket ? x. P \ x; (! x. P \ x \longrightarrow x = c) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P \ c$

by (*case-tac P c, assumption, erule exE, drule-tac x = x in spec,*
drule mp, assumption, erule subst)

lemma *Set-all-unique*: $\llbracket S \neq \{\}; (\forall x \in S. x = c) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow c \in S$

by (*rule-tac P = $\lambda x. x \in S$ in Pred-all-unique, force, simp*)

lemma *airplane-actors-inv0*[*rule-format*]:

$\forall z \ z'. (\forall h::\text{char list} \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } z) \text{ cockpit}). h \in \text{airplane-actors}) \wedge$
 $(\text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init}, z) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x$
 $\rightarrow_n y\}^* \wedge$

$z \rightarrow_n z' \longrightarrow (\forall h::\text{char list} \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } z') \text{ cockpit}). h \in$
 $\text{airplane-actors})$

proof (*clarify, erule state-transition-in.cases*)

show $\bigwedge (z::\text{infrastructure}) (z':\text{infrastructure}) (h::\text{char list}) (G::\text{igraph}) (I::\text{infrastructure})$
 $(a::\text{char list}) (l::\text{location}) (a':\text{char list}) (za::\text{char list}) I'::\text{infrastructure}.$

$h \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } z') \text{ cockpit}) \Longrightarrow$

$\forall h::\text{char list} \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } z) \text{ cockpit}). h \in \text{airplane-actors} \Longrightarrow$

$(\text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init}, z) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x$
 $\rightarrow_n y\}^* \Longrightarrow$

$z = I \Longrightarrow$

$z' = I' \Longrightarrow$

$G = \text{graphI } I \Longrightarrow$

$a @_G l \Longrightarrow$

$a' @_G l \Longrightarrow$

$\text{has } G \ (\text{Actor } a, za) \Longrightarrow$

$\text{enables } I \ l \ (\text{Actor } a) \ \text{get} \Longrightarrow$

$I' =$

Infrastructure

$(\text{Lgraph } (\text{gra } G) (\text{agra } G))$

$((\text{cgra } G)(\text{Actor } a' := (za \ \# \ \text{fst } (\text{cgra } G \ (\text{Actor } a'))), \text{snd } (\text{cgra } G \ (\text{Actor}$
 $a'))))) (\text{lgra } G))$

$(\text{delta } I) \Longrightarrow$

$h \in \text{airplane-actors}$
by simp
next show $\bigwedge(z::\text{infrastructure}) (z'::\text{infrastructure}) (h::\text{char list}) (G::\text{igraph}) (I::\text{infrastructure})$
 $(a::\text{char list}) (l::\text{location}) (I'::\text{infrastructure}) za::\text{char list}.$
 $h \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } z') \text{ cockpit}) \implies$
 $\forall h::\text{char list} \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } z) \text{ cockpit}). h \in \text{airplane-actors} \implies$
 $(\text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init}, z) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x$
 $\rightarrow_n y\}^* \implies$
 $z = I \implies$
 $z' = I' \implies$
 $G = \text{graphI } I \implies$
 $a @_G l \implies$
 $\text{enables } I l \text{ (Actor } a \text{) put} \implies$
 $I' = \text{Infrastructure } (\text{Lgraph } (\text{gra } G) (\text{agra } G) (\text{cgra } G) ((\text{lgra } G)(l := [za])))$
 $(\text{delta } I) \implies$
 $h \in \text{airplane-actors}$
by simp
next show $\bigwedge(z::\text{infrastructure}) (z'::\text{infrastructure}) (h::\text{char list}) (G::\text{igraph}) (I::\text{infrastructure})$
 $(l::\text{location}) (a::\text{char list}) (I'::\text{infrastructure}) za::\text{char list}.$
 $h \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } z') \text{ cockpit}) \implies$
 $\forall h::\text{char list} \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } z) \text{ cockpit}). h \in \text{airplane-actors} \implies$
 $(\text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init}, z) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x$
 $\rightarrow_n y\}^* \implies$
 $z = I \implies$
 $z' = I' \implies$
 $G = \text{graphI } I \implies$
 $\text{enables } I l \text{ (Actor } a \text{) put} \implies$
 $I' = \text{Infrastructure } (\text{Lgraph } (\text{gra } G) (\text{agra } G) (\text{cgra } G) ((\text{lgra } G)(l := [za])))$
 $(\text{delta } I) \implies$
 $h \in \text{airplane-actors}$
by simp
next show $\bigwedge(z::\text{infrastructure}) (z'::\text{infrastructure}) (h::\text{char list}) (G::\text{igraph}) (I::\text{infrastructure})$
 $(a::\text{char list}) (l::\text{location}) (l'::\text{location}) I'::\text{infrastructure}.$
 $h \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } z') \text{ cockpit}) \implies$
 $\forall h::\text{char list} \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } z) \text{ cockpit}). h \in \text{airplane-actors} \implies$
 $(\text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init}, z) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x$
 $\rightarrow_n y\}^* \implies$
 $z = I \implies$
 $z' = I' \implies$
 $G = \text{graphI } I \implies$
 $a @_G l \implies$
 $l \in \text{nodes } G \implies$
 $l' \in \text{nodes } G \implies$
 $a \in \text{actors-graph } (\text{graphI } I) \implies$
 $\text{enables } I l' \text{ (Actor } a \text{) move} \implies$
 $I' = \text{Infrastructure } (\text{move-graph-a } a l l' (\text{graphI } I)) (\text{delta } I) \implies h \in$
 airplane-actors
proof (*simp add: move-graph-a-def,*
case-tac $a \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l) \wedge a \notin \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l')$)

show $\bigwedge (z::\text{infrastructure}) (z'::\text{infrastructure}) (h::\text{char list}) (G::\text{igraph}) (I::\text{infrastructure})$
 $(a::\text{char list}) (l::\text{location}) (l'::\text{location}) I'::\text{infrastructure}.$
 $h \in \text{set } ((\text{if } a \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l) \wedge a \notin \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l') \text{ then } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I))$
 $(l := \text{del } a (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l), l' := a \# \text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l')$
 $\text{else } \text{agra } (\text{graphI } I))$
 $\text{cockpit}) \implies$
 $\forall h::\text{char list} \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \text{ cockpit}). h \in \text{airplane-actors} \implies$
 $(\text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init}, I) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x$
 $\rightarrow_n y\}^* \implies$
 $z = I \implies$
 $z' =$
 Infrastructure
 $(\text{Lgraph } (\text{gra } (\text{graphI } I))$
 $(\text{if } a \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l) \wedge a \notin \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l')$
 $\text{then } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I))(l := \text{del } a (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l), l' := a \# \text{agra}$
 $(\text{graphI } I) l')$
 $\text{else } \text{agra } (\text{graphI } I))$
 $(\text{cgra } (\text{graphI } I)) (\text{lgra } (\text{graphI } I)))$
 $(\text{delta } I) \implies$
 $G = \text{graphI } I \implies$
 $a @_{\text{graphI } I} l \implies$
 $l \in \text{nodes } (\text{graphI } I) \implies$
 $l' \in \text{nodes } (\text{graphI } I) \implies$
 $a \in \text{actors-graph } (\text{graphI } I) \implies$
 $\text{enables } I l' (\text{Actor } a) \text{ move} \implies$
 $I' =$
 Infrastructure
 $(\text{Lgraph } (\text{gra } (\text{graphI } I))$
 $(\text{if } a \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l) \wedge a \notin \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l')$
 $\text{then } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I))(l := \text{del } a (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l), l' := a \# \text{agra}$
 $(\text{graphI } I) l')$
 $\text{else } \text{agra } (\text{graphI } I))$
 $(\text{cgra } (\text{graphI } I)) (\text{lgra } (\text{graphI } I)))$
 $(\text{delta } I) \implies$
 $\neg (a \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l) \wedge a \notin \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l')) \implies h \in$
 airplane-actors
by simp
next show $\bigwedge (z::\text{infrastructure}) (z'::\text{infrastructure}) (h::\text{char list}) (G::\text{igraph})$
 $(I::\text{infrastructure})$
 $(a::\text{char list}) (l::\text{location}) (l'::\text{location}) I'::\text{infrastructure}.$
 $h \in \text{set } ((\text{if } a \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l) \wedge a \notin \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l')$
 $\text{then } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I))$
 $(l := \text{del } a (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l), l' := a \# \text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l')$
 $\text{else } \text{agra } (\text{graphI } I))$
 $\text{cockpit}) \implies$
 $\forall h::\text{char list} \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \text{ cockpit}). h \in \text{airplane-actors} \implies$
 $(\text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init}, I) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x$
 $\rightarrow_n y\}^* \implies$

$z = I \implies$
 $z' =$
Infrastructure
 $(Lgraph\ (gra\ (graphI\ I))$
 $\quad (if\ a \in set\ (agra\ (graphI\ I)\ l) \wedge a \notin set\ (agra\ (graphI\ I)\ l')$
 $\quad \quad then\ (agra\ (graphI\ I))(l := del\ a\ (agra\ (graphI\ I)\ l),\ l' := a \# agra$
 $(graphI\ I)\ l')$
 $\quad \quad else\ agra\ (graphI\ I))$
 $\quad (cgra\ (graphI\ I))\ (lgra\ (graphI\ I)))$
 $(delta\ I) \implies$
 $G = graphI\ I \implies$
 $a @_{graphI\ I}\ l \implies$
 $l \in nodes\ (graphI\ I) \implies$
 $l' \in nodes\ (graphI\ I) \implies$
 $a \in actors-graph\ (graphI\ I) \implies$
 $enables\ I\ l'\ (Actor\ a)\ move \implies$
 $I' =$
Infrastructure
 $(Lgraph\ (gra\ (graphI\ I))$
 $\quad (if\ a \in set\ (agra\ (graphI\ I)\ l) \wedge a \notin set\ (agra\ (graphI\ I)\ l')$
 $\quad \quad then\ (agra\ (graphI\ I))(l := del\ a\ (agra\ (graphI\ I)\ l),\ l' := a \# agra$
 $(graphI\ I)\ l')$
 $\quad \quad else\ agra\ (graphI\ I))$
 $\quad (cgra\ (graphI\ I))\ (lgra\ (graphI\ I)))$
 $(delta\ I) \implies$
 $a \in set\ (agra\ (graphI\ I)\ l) \wedge a \notin set\ (agra\ (graphI\ I)\ l') \implies h \in$
airplane-actors
proof (*case-tac* $l' = cockpit$)
show $\bigwedge (z::infrastructure)\ (z'::infrastructure)\ (h::char\ list)\ (G::igraph)\ (I::infrastructure)$
 $(a::char\ list)\ (l::location)\ (l'::location)\ I'::infrastructure.$
 $h \in set\ ((if\ a \in set\ (agra\ (graphI\ I)\ l) \wedge a \notin set\ (agra\ (graphI\ I)\ l')$
 $\quad then\ (agra\ (graphI\ I))$
 $\quad \quad (l := del\ a\ (agra\ (graphI\ I)\ l),\ l' := a \# agra\ (graphI\ I)\ l')$
 $\quad \quad else\ agra\ (graphI\ I))$
 $\quad cockpit) \implies$
 $\forall h::char\ list \in set\ (agra\ (graphI\ I)\ cockpit). h \in airplane-actors \implies$
 $(Airplane-not-in-danger-init,\ I) \in \{(x::infrastructure,\ y::infrastructure). x$
 $\rightarrow_n y\}^* \implies$
 $z = I \implies$
 $z' =$
Infrastructure
 $(Lgraph\ (gra\ (graphI\ I))$
 $\quad (if\ a \in set\ (agra\ (graphI\ I)\ l) \wedge a \notin set\ (agra\ (graphI\ I)\ l')$
 $\quad \quad then\ (agra\ (graphI\ I))(l := del\ a\ (agra\ (graphI\ I)\ l),\ l' := a \# agra$
 $(graphI\ I)\ l')$
 $\quad \quad else\ agra\ (graphI\ I))$
 $\quad (cgra\ (graphI\ I))\ (lgra\ (graphI\ I)))$
 $(delta\ I) \implies$
 $G = graphI\ I \implies$

$a @_{\text{graphI } I} l \implies$
 $l \in \text{nodes } (\text{graphI } I) \implies$
 $l' \in \text{nodes } (\text{graphI } I) \implies$
 $a \in \text{actors-graph } (\text{graphI } I) \implies$
 $\text{enables } I \ l' \ (\text{Actor } a) \ \text{move} \implies$
 $I' =$
Infrastructure
 $(\text{Lgraph } (\text{gra } (\text{graphI } I))$
 $\quad (\text{if } a \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l) \wedge a \notin \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l')$
 $\quad \text{then } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I))(l := \text{del } a \ (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l), \ l' := a \ \# \ \text{agra}$
 $\quad (\text{graphI } I) \ l')$
 $\quad \text{else } \text{agra } (\text{graphI } I))$
 $\quad (\text{cgra } (\text{graphI } I)) \ (\text{lgra } (\text{graphI } I)))$
 $(\text{delta } I) \implies$
 $a \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l) \wedge a \notin \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l') \implies$
 $l' \neq \text{cockpit} \implies h \in \text{airplane-actors}$
proof $(\text{case-tac cockpit} = l)$
show $\bigwedge (z::\text{infrastructure}) \ (z'::\text{infrastructure}) \ (h::\text{char list}) \ (G::\text{igraph})$
 $(I::\text{infrastructure})$
 $(a::\text{char list}) \ (l::\text{location}) \ (l'::\text{location}) \ I'::\text{infrastructure}.$
 $h \in \text{set } ((\text{if } a \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l) \wedge a \notin \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l')$
 $\quad \text{then } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I))$
 $\quad \quad (l := \text{del } a \ (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l), \ l' := a \ \# \ \text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l')$
 $\quad \text{else } \text{agra } (\text{graphI } I))$
 $\quad \text{cockpit}) \implies$
 $\forall h::\text{char list} \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ \text{cockpit}). \ h \in \text{airplane-actors} \implies$
 $(\text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init}, I) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). \ x$
 $\rightarrow_n y\}^* \implies$
 $z = I \implies$
 $z' =$
Infrastructure
 $(\text{Lgraph } (\text{gra } (\text{graphI } I))$
 $\quad (\text{if } a \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l) \wedge a \notin \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l')$
 $\quad \text{then } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I))(l := \text{del } a \ (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l), \ l' := a \ \# \ \text{agra}$
 $\quad (\text{graphI } I) \ l')$
 $\quad \text{else } \text{agra } (\text{graphI } I))$
 $\quad (\text{cgra } (\text{graphI } I)) \ (\text{lgra } (\text{graphI } I)))$
 $(\text{delta } I) \implies$
 $G = \text{graphI } I \implies$
 $a @_{\text{graphI } I} l \implies$
 $l \in \text{nodes } (\text{graphI } I) \implies$
 $l' \in \text{nodes } (\text{graphI } I) \implies$
 $a \in \text{actors-graph } (\text{graphI } I) \implies$
 $\text{enables } I \ l' \ (\text{Actor } a) \ \text{move} \implies$
 $I' =$
Infrastructure
 $(\text{Lgraph } (\text{gra } (\text{graphI } I))$
 $\quad (\text{if } a \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l) \wedge a \notin \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l')$
 $\quad \text{then } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I))(l := \text{del } a \ (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l), \ l' := a \ \# \ \text{agra}$

```

(graphI I) l')
  else agra (graphI I)
    (cgra (graphI I)) (lgra (graphI I)))
  (delta I)  $\implies$ 
   $a \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l) \wedge a \notin \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l') \implies$ 
   $l' \neq \text{cockpit} \implies \text{cockpit} \neq l \implies h \in \text{airplane-actors}$ 
  by simp
next show  $\bigwedge (z::\text{infrastructure}) (z'::\text{infrastructure}) (h::\text{char list}) (G::\text{igraph})$ 
(I::infrastructure)
  (a::char list) (l::location) (l'::location) I'::infrastructure.
   $h \in \text{set } ((\text{if } a \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l) \wedge a \notin \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l') \text{ then } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I))$ 
    ( $l := \text{del } a \ (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l), l' := a \ \# \ \text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l'$ )
    else agra (graphI I))
    cockpit)  $\implies$ 
   $\forall h::\text{char list} \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ \text{cockpit}). h \in \text{airplane-actors} \implies$ 
  (Airplane-not-in-danger-init, I)  $\in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x$ 
 $\rightarrow_n y\}^* \implies$ 
   $z = I \implies$ 
   $z' =$ 
  Infrastructure
  (Lgraph (gra (graphI I))
    ( $\text{if } a \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l) \wedge a \notin \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l') \text{ then } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I))(l := \text{del } a \ (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l), l' := a \ \# \ \text{agra}$ 
    (graphI I) l')
    else agra (graphI I))
    (cgra (graphI I)) (lgra (graphI I)))
  (delta I)  $\implies$ 
   $G = \text{graphI } I \implies$ 
   $a @_{\text{graphI } I} l \implies$ 
   $l \in \text{nodes } (\text{graphI } I) \implies$ 
   $l' \in \text{nodes } (\text{graphI } I) \implies$ 
   $a \in \text{actors-graph } (\text{graphI } I) \implies$ 
   $\text{enables } I \ l' \ (\text{Actor } a) \ \text{move} \implies$ 
   $I' =$ 
  Infrastructure
  (Lgraph (gra (graphI I))
    ( $\text{if } a \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l) \wedge a \notin \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l') \text{ then } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I))(l := \text{del } a \ (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l), l' := a \ \# \ \text{agra}$ 
    (graphI I) l')
    else agra (graphI I))
    (cgra (graphI I)) (lgra (graphI I)))
  (delta I)  $\implies$ 
   $a \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l) \wedge a \notin \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \ l') \implies$ 
   $l' \neq \text{cockpit} \implies \text{cockpit} = l \implies h \in \text{airplane-actors}$ 
  by (simp, erule bspec, erule del-up)
qed
next show  $\bigwedge (z::\text{infrastructure}) (z'::\text{infrastructure}) (h::\text{char list}) (G::\text{igraph})$ 
(I::infrastructure)

```

$(a::\text{char list}) (l::\text{location}) (l'::\text{location}) I'::\text{infrastructure}.$
 $h \in \text{set } ((\text{if } a \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l) \wedge a \notin \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l')$
 $\quad \text{then } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I))$
 $\quad \quad (l := \text{del } a (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l), l' := a \# \text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l')$
 $\quad \text{else } \text{agra } (\text{graphI } I))$
 $\quad \text{cockpit}) \implies$
 $\forall h::\text{char list} \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \text{ cockpit}). h \in \text{airplane-actors} \implies$
 $(\text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init}, I) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x$
 $\rightarrow_n y\}^* \implies$
 $z = I \implies$
 $z' =$
 Infrastructure
 $(\text{Lgraph } (\text{gra } (\text{graphI } I))$
 $\quad (\text{if } a \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l) \wedge a \notin \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l')$
 $\quad \quad \text{then } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I))(l := \text{del } a (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l), l' := a \# \text{agra}$
 $(\text{graphI } I) l')$
 $\quad \quad \text{else } \text{agra } (\text{graphI } I))$
 $\quad (\text{cgra } (\text{graphI } I)) (\text{lgra } (\text{graphI } I)))$
 $\quad (\text{delta } I) \implies$
 $G = \text{graphI } I \implies$
 $a @_{\text{graphI } I} l \implies$
 $l \in \text{nodes } (\text{graphI } I) \implies$
 $l' \in \text{nodes } (\text{graphI } I) \implies$
 $a \in \text{actors-graph } (\text{graphI } I) \implies$
 $\text{enables } I l' (\text{Actor } a) \text{ move} \implies$
 $I' =$
 Infrastructure
 $(\text{Lgraph } (\text{gra } (\text{graphI } I))$
 $\quad (\text{if } a \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l) \wedge a \notin \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l')$
 $\quad \quad \text{then } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I))(l := \text{del } a (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l), l' := a \# \text{agra}$
 $(\text{graphI } I) l')$
 $\quad \quad \text{else } \text{agra } (\text{graphI } I))$
 $\quad (\text{cgra } (\text{graphI } I)) (\text{lgra } (\text{graphI } I)))$
 $\quad (\text{delta } I) \implies$
 $a \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l) \wedge a \notin \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l') \implies$
 $l' = \text{cockpit} \implies h \in \text{airplane-actors}$
proof (*simp, erule disjE*)
show $\bigwedge (z::\text{infrastructure}) (z'::\text{infrastructure}) (h::\text{char list}) (G::\text{igraph})$
 $(I::\text{infrastructure})$
 $(a::\text{char list}) (l::\text{location}) (l'::\text{location}) I'::\text{infrastructure}.$
 $\forall h::\text{char list} \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \text{ cockpit}). h \in \text{airplane-actors} \implies$
 $(\text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init}, I) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x$
 $\rightarrow_n y\}^* \implies$
 $z = I \implies$
 $z' =$
 Infrastructure
 $(\text{Lgraph } (\text{gra } (\text{graphI } I))$
 $\quad ((\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I))$
 $\quad \quad (l := \text{del } a (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) l), \text{cockpit} := a \# \text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \text{ cockpit}))$

```

      (cgra (graphI I)) (lgra (graphI I)))
    (delta I) ==>
  G = graphI I ==>
  a @graphI I l ==>
  l ∈ nodes (graphI I) ==>
  cockpit ∈ nodes (graphI I) ==>
  a ∈ actors-graph (graphI I) ==>
  enables I cockpit (Actor a) move ==>
  I' =
  Infrastructure
  (Lgraph (gra (graphI I))
    ((agra (graphI I))
      (l := del a (agra (graphI I) l), cockpit := a # agra (graphI I) cockpit))
    (cgra (graphI I)) (lgra (graphI I))))
  (delta I) ==>
  a ∈ set (agra (graphI I) l) ∧ a ∉ set (agra (graphI I) cockpit) ==>
  l' = cockpit ==> h ∈ set (agra (graphI I) cockpit) ==> h ∈ airplane-actors
  by (erule bspec)
next fix z z' h G I a l l' I'
  assume a0: ∀ h::char list ∈ set (agra (graphI I) cockpit). h ∈ airplane-actors
  and a1: (Airplane-not-in-danger-init, I) ∈ {(x::infrastructure, y::infrastructure).
x →n y}*
  and a2: z = I
  and a3: z' =
  Infrastructure
  (Lgraph (gra (graphI I))
    ((agra (graphI I))
      (l := del a (agra (graphI I) l), cockpit := a # agra (graphI I) cockpit))
    (cgra (graphI I)) (lgra (graphI I))))
  (delta I)
  and a4: G = graphI I
  and a5: a @graphI I l
  and a6: l ∈ nodes (graphI I)
  and a7: cockpit ∈ nodes (graphI I)
  and a8: a ∈ actors-graph (graphI I)
  and a9: enables I cockpit (Actor a) move
  and a10: I' =
  Infrastructure
  (Lgraph (gra (graphI I))
    ((agra (graphI I))
      (l := del a (agra (graphI I) l), cockpit := a # agra (graphI I) cockpit))
    (cgra (graphI I)) (lgra (graphI I))))
  (delta I)
  and a11: a ∈ set (agra (graphI I) l) ∧ a ∉ set (agra (graphI I) cockpit)
  and a12: l' = cockpit
  and a13: h = a
  show h ∈ airplane-actors
proof -
have a: delta(I) = delta(Airplane-not-in-danger-init)

```

```

    by (rule sym, rule init-state-policy, rule a1)
  show ?thesis
    by (insert a0 a1 a2 a3 a4 a5 a6 a7 a8 a9 a10 a11 a12 a13 a,
        simp add: enables-def, erule bexE, simp add: Airplane-not-in-danger-init-def,
        unfold local-policies-four-eyes-def, simp, erule disjE, simp+,

        erule exE, (erule conjE)+,
        fold local-policies-four-eyes-def Airplane-not-in-danger-init-def,
        drule all-airplane-actors, erule subst)
  qed
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

lemma *airplane-actors-inv*:

```

  assumes (Airplane-not-in-danger-init, z) ∈ {(x::infrastructure, y::infrastructure).
    x →n y}*
  shows ∀ h::char list ∈ set (agra (graphI z) cockpit). h ∈ airplane-actors
  proof -
    have ind: (Airplane-not-in-danger-init, z) ∈ {(x::infrastructure, y::infrastructure).
    x →n y}* ⟶
      (∀ h::char list ∈ set (agra (graphI z) cockpit). h ∈ airplane-actors)
    proof (insert assms, erule rtrancl-induct)
      show (Airplane-not-in-danger-init, Airplane-not-in-danger-init) ∈ {(x,y). x
      →n y}* ⟶
        (∀ h::char list ∈ set (agra (graphI Airplane-not-in-danger-init) cockpit). h ∈
        airplane-actors)
      by (rule impI, rule ballI,
          simp add: Airplane-not-in-danger-init-def ex-graph-def airplane-actors-def
          ex-locs-def,
          blast)
    next show ∧(y::infrastructure) z::infrastructure.
      (Airplane-not-in-danger-init, y) ∈ {(x::infrastructure, y::infrastructure). x
      →n y}* ⟹
        (y, z) ∈ {(x::infrastructure, y::infrastructure). x →n y} ⟹
        (Airplane-not-in-danger-init, y) ∈ {(x,y). x →n y}* ⟶
        (∀ h::char list ∈ set (agra (graphI y) cockpit). h ∈ airplane-actors) ⟹
        (Airplane-not-in-danger-init, z) ∈ {(x,y). x →n y}* ⟶
        (∀ h::char list ∈ set (agra (graphI z) cockpit). h ∈ airplane-actors)
      by (rule impI, rule ballI, rule-tac z = y in airplane-actors-inv0,
          rule conjI, erule impE, assumption+, simp)
    qed
  show ?thesis
    by (insert ind, insert assms, simp)
  qed

```

lemma *Eve-not-in-cockpit*: (Airplane-not-in-danger-init, I)

$\in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x \rightarrow_n y\}^* \implies$
 $x \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \text{ cockpit}) \implies x \neq \text{"Eve"}$
by (*drule airplane-actors-inv*, *simp add: airplane-actors-def*,
drule-tac x = x in bspec, assumption, force)

lemma *tp-imp-control*:

assumes (*Airplane-not-in-danger-init*, $I \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}).$
 $x \rightarrow_n y\}^*$
shows ($? x :: \text{identity. } x @_{\text{graphI } I} \text{ cockpit} \wedge \text{Actor } x \neq \text{Actor "Eve"}$)
proof –
have $a0: (2::\text{nat}) \leq \text{card } (\text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \text{ cockpit}))$
by (*insert assms, erule two-person-set-inv*)
have $a1: \text{is-singleton}(\{\text{"Charly"}\})$
by (*rule is-singletonI*)
have $a6: \neg(\forall x \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \text{ cockpit}). (\text{Actor } x = \text{Actor "Eve"}))$
proof (*rule notI*)
assume $a7: \forall x::\text{char list} \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \text{ cockpit}). \text{Actor } x = \text{Actor "Eve"}$
have $a5: \forall x::\text{char list} \in \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \text{ cockpit}). x = \text{"Charly"}$
by (*insert assms a0 a7, rule ballI, drule-tac x = x in bspec, assumption,*
subgoal-tac x \neq "Eve", insert Insider-Eve, unfold Insider-def, (drule mp),
rule Eve-precipitating-event, simp add: UasI-def, erule Eve-not-in-cockpit)
have $a4: \text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \text{ cockpit}) = \{\text{"Charly"}\}$
by (*rule equalityI, rule subsetI, insert a5, simp,*
rule subsetI, simp, rule Set-all-unique, insert a0, force, rule a5)
have $a2: (\text{card}((\text{set } (\text{agra } (\text{graphI } I) \text{ cockpit})) :: \text{char list set})) = (1 :: \text{nat})$
by (*insert a1, unfold is-singleton-altdef, erule ssubst, insert a4, erule ssubst,*
fold is-singleton-altdef, rule a1)
have $a3: (2 :: \text{nat}) \leq (1 :: \text{nat})$
by (*insert a0, insert a2, erule subst, assumption*)
show *False*
by (*insert a5 a4 a3 a2, arith*)
qed
show *?thesis* **by** (*insert assms a0 a6, simp add: atI-def, blast*)
qed

lemma *Fend-2*: (*Airplane-not-in-danger-init*, $I \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}).$
 $x \rightarrow_n y\}^*$
 $\neg \text{enables } I \text{ cockpit } (\text{Actor "Eve"}) \text{ put}$
by (*insert cockpit-foe-control, simp add: foe-control-def, drule-tac x = I in spec,*
erule mp, erule tp-imp-control)

theorem *Four-eyes-no-danger*: $\text{Air-tp-Kripke} \vdash \text{AG } (\{x. \text{global-policy } x \text{ "Eve"}\})$

proof (*simp add: Air-tp-Kripke-def check-def, rule conjI*)
show *Airplane-not-in-danger-init* $\in \text{Air-tp-states}$
by (*simp add: Airplane-not-in-danger-init-def Air-tp-states-def*)

state-transition-in-refl-def)

next show *Airplane-not-in-danger-init* $\in AG \{x::\text{infrastructure}. \text{global-policy } x \text{ "Eve"}\}$

proof (*unfold AG-def, simp add: gfp-def,*
rule-tac x = {(x :: infrastructure) \in states Air-tp-Kripke. \sim ("Eve" @graphI x cockpit)} **in** *exI,*
rule conjI)

show $\{x::\text{infrastructure} \in \text{states Air-tp-Kripke}. \neg \text{"Eve"} @_{\text{graphI}} x \text{ cockpit}\}$
 $\subseteq \{x::\text{infrastructure}. \text{global-policy } x \text{ "Eve"}\}$

by (*unfold global-policy-def, simp add: airplane-actors-def, rule subsetI,*
drule CollectD, rule CollectI, erule conjE,
simp add: Air-tp-Kripke-def Air-tp-states-def state-transition-in-refl-def,
erule Fend-2)

next show $\{x::\text{infrastructure} \in \text{states Air-tp-Kripke}. \neg \text{"Eve"} @_{\text{graphI}} x \text{ cockpit}\}$
 $\subseteq AX \{x::\text{infrastructure} \in \text{states Air-tp-Kripke}. \neg \text{"Eve"} @_{\text{graphI}} x \text{ cockpit}\} \wedge$
 $\text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init}$
 $\in \{x::\text{infrastructure} \in \text{states Air-tp-Kripke}. \neg \text{"Eve"} @_{\text{graphI}} x \text{ cockpit}\}$

proof

show *Airplane-not-in-danger-init*
 $\in \{x::\text{infrastructure} \in \text{states Air-tp-Kripke}. \neg \text{"Eve"} @_{\text{graphI}} x \text{ cockpit}\}$

by (*simp add: Airplane-not-in-danger-init-def Air-tp-Kripke-def Air-tp-states-def*
state-transition-refl-def ex-graph-def atI-def Air-tp-Kripke-def
state-transition-in-refl-def)

next show $\{x::\text{infrastructure} \in \text{states Air-tp-Kripke}. \neg \text{"Eve"} @_{\text{graphI}} x \text{ cockpit}\}$
 $\subseteq AX \{x::\text{infrastructure} \in \text{states Air-tp-Kripke}. \neg \text{"Eve"} @_{\text{graphI}} x \text{ cockpit}\}$

proof (*rule subsetI, simp add: AX-def, rule subsetI, rule CollectI, rule conjI*)

show $\bigwedge (x::\text{infrastructure}) \text{ xa}::\text{infrastructure}.$
 $x \in \text{states Air-tp-Kripke} \wedge \neg \text{"Eve"} @_{\text{graphI}} x \text{ cockpit} \implies$
 $\text{xa} \in \text{Collect (state-transition } x) \implies \text{xa} \in \text{states Air-tp-Kripke}$

by (*simp add: Air-tp-Kripke-def Air-tp-states-def state-transition-in-refl-def,*
simp add: atI-def, erule conjE,
unfold state-transition-infra-def state-transition-in-refl-def,
erule rtrancl-into-rtrancl, rule CollectI, simp)

next fix $x \text{ xa}$

assume $a0: x \in \text{states Air-tp-Kripke} \wedge \neg \text{"Eve"} @_{\text{graphI}} x \text{ cockpit}$
and $a1: \text{xa} \in \text{Collect (state-transition } x)$

show $\neg \text{"Eve"} @_{\text{graphI}} \text{xa cockpit}$

proof –

have $b: (\text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init}, \text{xa})$
 $\in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x \rightarrow_n y\}^*$

proof (*insert a0 a1, rule rtrancl-trans*)

show $x \in \text{states Air-tp-Kripke} \wedge \neg \text{"Eve"} @_{\text{graphI}} x \text{ cockpit} \implies$
 $\text{xa} \in \text{Collect (state-transition } x) \implies$
 $(x, \text{xa}) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}). x \rightarrow_n y\}^*$

by (*unfold state-transition-infra-def, force*)

next show $x \in \text{states Air-tp-Kripke} \wedge \neg \text{"Eve"} @_{\text{graphI}} x \text{ cockpit} \implies$
 $\text{xa} \in \text{Collect (state-transition } x) \implies$
 $(\text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init}, x) \in \{(x::\text{infrastructure}, y::\text{infrastructure}).$


```

x →n y}*
  by (erule conjE, simp add: Air-tp-Kripke-def Air-tp-states-def state-transition-in-refl-def)+
qed
show ?thesis
  by (insert a0 a1 b, rule-tac P = "Eve" @graphI xa cockpit in notI,
      simp add: atI-def, drule Eve-not-in-cockpit, assumption, simp)
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed
end

```

definition *airplane-actors-def'*: *airplane-actors* ≡ {"Bob", "Charly", "Alice"}

definition *airplane-locations-def'*:

airplane-locations ≡ {Location 0, Location 1, Location 2}

definition *cockpit-def'*: *cockpit* ≡ Location 2

definition *door-def'*: *door* ≡ Location 1

definition *cabin-def'*: *cabin* ≡ Location 0

definition *global-policy-def'*: *global-policy* I a ≡ a ∉ *airplane-actors*
 → ¬(enables I cockpit (Actor a) put)

definition *ex-creds-def'*: *ex-creds* ≡

```

(λ x. (if x = Actor "Bob"
  then (["PIN"], ["pilot"])
  else (if x = Actor "Charly"
    then (["PIN"], ["copilot"])
    else (if x = Actor "Alice"
      then (["PIN"], ["flightattendant"])
      else ((), ()))))

```

definition *ex-locs-def'*: *ex-locs* ≡ (λ x. if x = door then ["norm"] else
 (if x = cockpit then ["air"] else ()))

definition *ex-locs'-def'*: *ex-locs'* ≡ (λ x. if x = door then ["locked"] else
 (if x = cockpit then ["air"] else ()))

definition *ex-graph-def'*: *ex-graph* ≡ Lgraph

```

{(cockpit, door), (door, cabin)}
(λ x. if x = cockpit then ["Bob", "Charly"]
  else (if x = door then []
    else (if x = cabin then ["Alice"] else ())))
ex-creds ex-locs

```

definition *aid-graph-def'*: *aid-graph* ≡ Lgraph

```

{(cockpit, door), (door, cabin)}
(λ x. if x = cockpit then ["Charly"]

```

$$\text{else (if } x = \text{door then } [] \\ \text{else (if } x = \text{cabin then ["Bob", "Alice"] else []))}$$

$$\text{ex-creds ex-locs'}$$

definition *aid-graph-def'*: $\text{aid-graph0} \equiv \text{Lgraph}$

$$\{(\text{cockpit}, \text{door}), (\text{door}, \text{cabin})\}$$

$$(\lambda x. \text{if } x = \text{cockpit then ["Charly"]}$$

$$\text{else (if } x = \text{door then ["Bob"]}$$

$$\text{else (if } x = \text{cabin then ["Alice"] else []))}$$

$$\text{ex-creds ex-locs}$$

definition *agid-graph-def'*: $\text{agid-graph} \equiv \text{Lgraph}$

$$\{(\text{cockpit}, \text{door}), (\text{door}, \text{cabin})\}$$

$$(\lambda x. \text{if } x = \text{cockpit then ["Charly"]}$$

$$\text{else (if } x = \text{door then } []$$

$$\text{else (if } x = \text{cabin then ["Bob", "Alice"] else []))}$$

$$\text{ex-creds ex-locs}$$

definition *local-policies-def'*: $\text{local-policies } G \equiv$

$$(\lambda y. \text{if } y = \text{cockpit then}$$

$$\{(\lambda x. (? n. (n @_G \text{cockpit}) \wedge \text{Actor } n = x), \{\text{put}\}),$$

$$(\lambda x. (? n. (n @_G \text{cabin}) \wedge \text{Actor } n = x \wedge \text{has } G(x, \text{"PIN"})$$

$$\wedge \text{isin } G \text{ door "norm"}), \{\text{move}\})$$

$$\}$$

$$\text{else (if } y = \text{door then } \{(\lambda x. \text{True}, \{\text{move}\}),$$

$$(\lambda x. (? n. (n @_G \text{cockpit}) \wedge \text{Actor } n = x), \{\text{put}\})\}$$

$$\text{else (if } y = \text{cabin then } \{(\lambda x. \text{True}, \{\text{move}\})\}$$

$$\text{else } \{\})\})$$

definition *local-policies-four-eyes-def'*: $\text{local-policies-four-eyes } G \equiv$

$$(\lambda y. \text{if } y = \text{cockpit then}$$

$$\{(\lambda x. (? n. (n @_G \text{cockpit}) \wedge \text{Actor } n = x) \wedge$$

$$2 \leq \text{length}(\text{agra } G y) \wedge (\forall h \in \text{set}(\text{agra } G y). h \in \text{airplane-actors}),$$

$$\{\text{put}\}),$$

$$(\lambda x. (? n. (n @_G \text{cabin}) \wedge \text{Actor } n = x \wedge \text{has } G(x, \text{"PIN"}) \wedge$$

$$\text{isin } G \text{ door "norm"}), \{\text{move}\})$$

$$\}$$

$$\text{else (if } y = \text{door then}$$

$$\{(\lambda x. ((? n. (n @_G \text{cockpit}) \wedge \text{Actor } n = x) \wedge 3 \leq \text{length}(\text{agra } G$$

$$\text{cockpit})), \{\text{move}\})\}$$

$$\text{else (if } y = \text{cabin then}$$

$$\{(\lambda x. ((? n. (n @_G \text{door}) \wedge \text{Actor } n = x)), \{\text{move}\})\}$$

$$\text{else } \{\})\})$$

definition *Airplane-scenario-def'*:

$$\text{Airplane-scenario} \equiv \text{Infrastructure ex-graph local-policies}$$

definition *Airplane-in-danger-def'*:

$$\text{Airplane-in-danger} \equiv \text{Infrastructure aid-graph local-policies}$$

definition *Airplane-getting-in-danger0-def'*:

Airplane-getting-in-danger0 \equiv *Infrastructure aid-graph0 local-policies*

definition *Airplane-getting-in-danger-def'*:

Airplane-getting-in-danger \equiv *Infrastructure agid-graph local-policies*

definition *Air-states-def'*: *Air-states* $\equiv \{ I. \text{Airplane-scenario} \rightarrow_n^* I \}$

definition *Air-Kripke-def'*: *Air-Kripke* \equiv *Kripke Air-states \{Airplane-scenario\}*

definition *Airplane-not-in-danger-def'*:

Airplane-not-in-danger \equiv *Infrastructure aid-graph local-policies-four-eyes*

definition *Airplane-not-in-danger-init-def'*:

Airplane-not-in-danger-init \equiv *Infrastructure ex-graph local-policies-four-eyes*

definition *Air-tp-states-def'*: *Air-tp-states* $\equiv \{ I. \text{Airplane-not-in-danger-init} \rightarrow_n^* I \}$

definition *Air-tp-Kripke-def'*:

Air-tp-Kripke \equiv *Kripke Air-tp-states \{Airplane-not-in-danger-init\}*

definition *Safety-def'*: *Safety I a* $\equiv a \in \text{airplane-actors}$

$\longrightarrow (\text{enables } I \text{ cockpit } (\text{Actor } a) \text{ move})$

definition *Security-def'*: *Security I a* $\equiv (\text{isin } (\text{graphI } I) \text{ door "locked"})$

$\longrightarrow \neg(\text{enables } I \text{ cockpit } (\text{Actor } a) \text{ move})$

definition *foe-control-def'*: *foe-control l c* \equiv

$(! I :: \text{infrastructure. } (? x :: \text{identity.}$

$x @_{\text{graphI } I} l \wedge \text{Actor } x \neq \text{Actor "Eve"})$

$\longrightarrow \neg(\text{enables } I l (\text{Actor "Eve"}) c))$

definition *astate-def'*: *astate x* \equiv

$(\text{case } x \text{ of}$

$\text{"Eve"} \Rightarrow \text{Actor-state depressed } \{\text{revenge, peer-recognition}\}$

$| - \Rightarrow \text{Actor-state happy } \{\})$

print-interps *airplane*

axiomatization where

cockpit-foe-control': *foe-control cockpit put*

definition *Actor-Abs* $:: \text{identity} \Rightarrow \text{identity option}$

where

Actor-Abs $x \equiv (\text{if } x \in \{\text{"Eve"}, \text{"Charly"}\} \text{ then None else Some } x)$

lemma *UasI-ActorAbs*: *Actor-Abs* "Eve" = *Actor-Abs* "Charly" \wedge
 $(\forall (x::\text{char list}) y::\text{char list}. x \neq \text{"Eve"} \wedge y \neq \text{"Eve"} \wedge \text{Actor-Abs } x = \text{Actor-Abs } y \longrightarrow x = y)$
by (*simp add: Actor-Abs-def*)

lemma *Actor-Abs-ran*: *Actor-Abs* $x \in \{y :: \text{identity option}. y \in \text{Some } \{x :: \text{identity}. x \notin \{\text{"Eve"}, \text{"Charly"}\} \mid y = \text{None}\}$
by (*simp add: Actor-Abs-def*)

axiomatization where *Actor-type-def*:

type-definition (*Rep* :: *actor* \Rightarrow *identity option*)(*Abs* :: *identity option* \Rightarrow *actor*)
 $\{y :: \text{identity option}. y \in \text{Some } \{x :: \text{identity}. x \notin \{\text{"Eve"}, \text{"Charly"}\} \mid y = \text{None}\}$

lemma *Abs-inj-on*: $\bigwedge \text{Abs Rep}::\text{actor} \Rightarrow \text{char list option}. x \in \{y :: \text{identity option}. y \in \text{Some } \{x :: \text{identity}. x \notin \{\text{"Eve"}, \text{"Charly"}\} \mid y = \text{None}\}$
 $\implies y \in \{y :: \text{identity option}. y \in \text{Some } \{x :: \text{identity}. x \notin \{\text{"Eve"}, \text{"Charly"}\} \mid y = \text{None}\}$
 $\implies (\text{Abs} :: \text{char list option} \Rightarrow \text{actor}) x = \text{Abs } y \implies x = y$

by (*insert Actor-type-def, drule-tac x = Rep in meta-spec, drule-tac x = Abs in meta-spec,*
frule-tac x = Abs x and y = Abs y in type-definition.Rep-inject,
subgoal-tac (Rep (Abs x) = Rep (Abs y)), subgoal-tac Rep (Abs x) = x,
subgoal-tac Rep (Abs y) = y, erule subst, erule subst, assumption,
(erule type-definition.Abs-inverse, assumption)+, simp)

lemma *Actor-td-Abs-inverse*:

$(y \in \{y :: \text{identity option}. y \in \text{Some } \{x :: \text{identity}. x \notin \{\text{"Eve"}, \text{"Charly"}\} \mid y = \text{None}\}) \implies$
 $(\text{Rep} :: \text{actor} \Rightarrow \text{identity option})(\text{Abs} :: \text{identity option} \Rightarrow \text{actor}) y = y$
by (*insert Actor-type-def, drule-tac x = Rep in meta-spec, drule-tac x = Abs in meta-spec,*
erule type-definition.Abs-inverse, assumption)

axiomatization where *Actor-redef*: *Actor* = (*Abs* :: *identity option* \Rightarrow *actor*)o
Actor-Abs

lemma *UasI-Actor-redef*:

$\bigwedge \text{Abs Rep}::\text{actor} \Rightarrow \text{char list option}.$
 $((\text{Abs} :: \text{identity option} \Rightarrow \text{actor})o \text{Actor-Abs}) \text{"Eve"} = ((\text{Abs} :: \text{identity option} \Rightarrow \text{actor})o \text{Actor-Abs}) \text{"Charly"} \wedge$
 $(\forall (x::\text{char list}) y::\text{char list}. x \neq \text{"Eve"} \wedge y \neq \text{"Eve"} \wedge$
 $((\text{Abs} :: \text{identity option} \Rightarrow \text{actor})o \text{Actor-Abs}) x = ((\text{Abs} :: \text{identity option} \Rightarrow \text{actor})o \text{Actor-Abs}) y$

$\longrightarrow x = y$)
by (*insert UasI-ActorAbs, simp, clarify, drule-tac x = x in spec, drule-tac x = y*
in spec,
subgoal-tac Actor-Abs x = Actor-Abs y, simp, rule Abs-inj-on, rule Actor-Abs-ran,
rule Actor-Abs-ran)

lemma *UasI-Actor: UasI "Eve" "Charly"*
by (*unfold UasI-def, insert Actor-redef, drule meta-spec, erule ssubst, rule UasI-Actor-redef*)

interpretation *airplane airplane-actors airplane-locations cockpit door cabin global-policy*

ex-creds ex-locs ex-locs' ex-graph aid-graph aid-graph0 agid-graph
local-policies local-policies-four-eyes Airplane-scenario Airplane-in-danger
Airplane-getting-in-danger0 Airplane-getting-in-danger Air-states
Air-Kripke
Airplane-not-in-danger Airplane-not-in-danger-init Air-tp-states
Air-tp-Kripke Safety Security foe-control astate
by (*rule airplane.intro, simp add: tipping-point-def,*
simp add: Insider-def UasI-def tipping-point-def atI-def,
insert UasI-Actor, simp add: UasI-def,
insert cockpit-foe-control', simp add: foe-control-def' cockpit-def',
rule airplane-actors-def',
(simp add: airplane-locations-def' cockpit-def' door-def' cabin-def' global-policy-def'
ex-creds-def' ex-locs-def' ex-locs'-def' ex-graph-def' aid-graph-def'
aid-graph0-def'
agid-graph-def' local-policies-def' local-policies-four-eyes-def' Airplane-scenario-def'
Airplane-in-danger-def' Airplane-getting-in-danger0-def' Airplane-getting-in-danger-def'
Air-states-def' Air-Kripke-def' Airplane-not-in-danger-def' Airplane-not-in-danger-init-def'
Air-tp-states-def' Air-tp-Kripke-def' Safety-def' Security-def'
foe-control-def' astate-def')+)

end