

# Pre-culling geometric linked building data for lightweight viewers

Philippe Soubrier

Student number: 01702837

Supervisor: Prof. ir.-arch. Paulus Present

Counsellors: Ir.-arch. Jeroen Werbrouck, Prof. dr. ir. arch. Ruben Verstraeten

Master's dissertation submitted in order to obtain the academic degree of  
Master of Science in de ingenieurswetenschappen: architectuur

Academic year 2022-2023

# Pre-culling geometric linked building data for lightweight viewers

Linked Data

Master's dissertation submitted in order to obtain the academic degree of

Master of Science in de ingenieurswetenschappen: architectuur

Supervisor: Prof. ir.-arch. Paulus Present

Counselors: Ir.-arch. Jeroen Werbrouck  
Prof. dr. ir. arch. Ruben Verstraeten

Philippe Soubrier 01702837 philippe.soubrier@ugent.be  
Academic year: 2022–2023

De auteur(s) geeft (geven) de toelating om deze masterproef voor consultatie beschikbaar te stellen en delen van de masterproef te kopiëren voor persoonlijk gebruik.

Elk ander gebruik valt onder de bepalingen van het auteursrecht, in het bijzonder met betrekking tot de verplichting de bron uitdrukkelijk te vermelden bij het aanhalen van resultaten uit deze masterproef.

The author(s) gives (give) permission to make this master dissertation available for consultation and to copy parts of this master dissertation for personal use.

In all cases of other use, the copyright terms have to be respected, in particular with regard to the obligation to state explicitly the source when quoting results from this master dissertation.

Philippe Soubrier  
Ghent, 25 May 2023

Deze masterproef vormt een onderdeel van een examen. Eventuele opmerkingen die door de beoordelingscommissie tijdens de mondelinge uiteenzetting van de masterproef werden geformuleerd, werden niet verwerkt in deze tekst.

This master's dissertation is part of an exam. Any comments formulated by the assessment committee during the oral presentation of the master's dissertation are not included in this text.

# Preface

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

Nam dui ligula, fringilla a, euismod sodales, sollicitudin vel, wisi. Morbi auctor lorem non justo. Nam lacus libero, pretium at, lobortis vitae, ultricies et, tellus. Donec aliquet, tortor sed accumsan bibendum, erat ligula aliquet magna, vitae ornare odio metus a mi. Morbi ac orci et nisl hendrerit mollis. Suspendisse ut massa. Cras nec ante. Pellentesque a nulla. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Aliquam tincidunt urna. Nulla ullamcorper vestibulum turpis. Pellentesque cursus luctus mauris.

Nulla malesuada porttitor diam. Donec felis erat, congue non, volutpat at, tincidunt tristique, libero. Vivamus viverra fermentum felis. Donec nonummy pellentesque ante. Phasellus adipiscing semper elit. Proin fermentum massa ac quam. Sed diam turpis, molestie vitae, placerat a, molestie nec, leo. Maecenas lacinia. Nam ipsum ligula, eleifend at, accumsan nec, suscipit a, ipsum. Morbi blandit ligula feugiat magna. Nunc eleifend consequat lorem. Sed lacinia nulla vitae enim. Pellentesque tincidunt purus vel magna. Integer non enim. Praesent euismod nunc eu purus. Donec bibendum quam in tellus. Nullam cursus pulvinar lectus. Donec et mi. Nam vulputate metus eu enim. Vestibulum pellentesque felis eu massa.

# Pre-culling geometric linked building data for lightweight viewers

Philippe Soubrier

Supervisor: Prof. ir.-arch. Paulus Present

Counselors: Ir.-arch. Jeroen Werbrouck

Prof. dr. ir. arch. Ruben Verstraeten

Master's dissertation submitted in order to obtain the academic degree of  
Master of Science in de ingenieurswetenschappen: architectuur

Academic year 2022-2023

## Abstract

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

Nam dui ligula, fringilla a, euismod sodales, sollicitudin vel, wisi. Morbi auctor lorem non justo. Nam lacus libero, pretium at, lobortis vitae, ultricies et, tellus. Donec aliquet, tortor sed accumsan bibendum, erat ligula aliquet magna, vitae ornare odio metus a mi. Morbi ac orci et nisl hendrerit mollis. Suspendisse ut massa. Cras nec ante. Pellentesque a nulla. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Aliquam tincidunt urna. Nulla ullamcorper vestibulum turpis. Pellentesque cursus luctus mauris.

*Keywords* - BIM, Linked Data, Culling, 3D viewers, Web-app

# Extended Abstract

Philippe Soubrier

Supervisor: Prof. ir.-arch. Paulus Present

Counselors: Ir.-arch. Jeroen Werbrouck, Prof. dr. ir. arch. Ruben Verstraeten

*Abstract* - Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

*Keywords* - BIM, Linked Data, Culling, 3D viewers, Web-app

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

Nam dui ligula, fringilla a, euismod sodales, sollicitudin vel, wisi. Morbi auctor lorem non justo. Nam lacus libero, pretium at, lobortis vitae, ultricies et, tellus. Donec aliquet, tortor sed accumsan bibendum, erat ligula aliquet magna, vitae ornare odio metus a mi. Morbi ac orci et nisl hendrerit mollis. Suspendisse ut massa. Cras nec ante. Pellentesque a nulla. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Aliquam tincidunt urna. Nulla ullamcorper vestibulum turpis. Pellentesque cursus luctus mauris.

Nulla malesuada porttitor diam. Donec felis erat, congue non, volutpat at, tincidunt tristique, libero. Vivamus viverra fermentum felis. Donec nonummy pellentesque ante. Phasellus adipiscing semper elit. Proin fermentum massa ac quam. Sed diam turpis, molestie vitae, placerat a, molestie nec, leo. Maecenas lacinia. Nam ipsum ligula, eleifend at, accumsan nec, suscipit a, ipsum. Morbi blandit ligula feugiat magna. Nunc eleifend consequat lorem. Sed lacinia nulla vitae enim. Pellentesque tincidunt purus vel magna. Integer non enim. Praesent euismod nunc eu purus. Donec bibendum quam in tellus. Nullam cursus pulvinar lectus. Donec et mi. Nam vulputate metus eu enim. Vestibulum pellentesque felis eu massa.

Quisque ullamcorper placerat ipsum. Cras nibh. Morbi vel justo vitae lacus tincidunt ultrices. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Integer tempus convallis augue. Etiam facilisis. Nunc elementum fermentum wisi. Aenean placerat. Ut imperdiet, enim sed gravida sollicitudin, felis odio placerat quam, ac pulvinar elit purus eget enim. Nunc vitae tortor. Proin tempus nibh sit amet nisl. Vivamus quis tortor vitae risus porta vehicula.

Fusce mauris. Vestibulum luctus nibh at lectus.

Sed bibendum, nulla a faucibus semper, leo velit ultricies tellus, ac venenatis arcu wisi vel nisl. Vestibulum diam. Aliquam pellentesque, augue quis sagittis posuere, turpis lacus congue quam, in hendrerit risus eros eget felis. Maecenas eget erat in sapien mattis porttitor. Vestibulum porttitor. Nulla facilisi. Sed a turpis eu lacus commodo facilisis. Morbi fringilla, wisi in dignissim interdum, justo lectus sagittis dui, et vehicula libero dui cursus dui. Mauris tempor ligula sed lacus. Duis cursus enim ut augue. Cras ac magna. Cras nulla. Nulla egestas. Curabitur a leo. Quisque egestas wisi eget nunc. Nam feugiat lacus vel est. Curabitur consectetur.

Suspendisse vel felis. Ut lorem lorem, interdum eu, tincidunt sit amet, laoreet vitae, arcu. Aenean faucibus pede eu ante. Praesent enim elit, rutrum at, molestie non, nonummy vel, nisl. Ut lectus eros, malesuada sit amet, fermentum eu, sodales cursus, magna. Donec eu purus. Quisque vehicula, urna sed ultricies auctor, pede lorem egestas dui, et convallis elit erat sed nulla. Donec luctus. Curabitur et nunc. Aliquam dolor odio, commodo pretium, ultricies non, pharetra in, velit. Integer arcu est, nonummy in, fermentum faucibus, egestas vel, odio.

Sed commodo posuere pede. Mauris ut est. Ut quis purus. Sed ac odio. Sed vehicula hendrerit sem. Duis non odio. Morbi ut dui. Sed accumsan risus eget odio. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Pellentesque non elit. Fusce sed justo eu urna porta tincidunt. Mauris felis odio, sollicitudin sed, volutpat a, ornare ac, erat. Morbi quis dolor. Donec pellentesque, erat ac sagittis semper, nunc dui lobortis purus, quis congue purus metus ultricies tel-

lus. Proin et quam. Class aptent taciti sociosqu ad litora torquent per conubia nostra, per inceptos hymenaeos. Praesent sapien turpis, fermentum vel, eleifend faucibus, vehicula eu, lacus.

Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Donec odio elit, dictum in, hendrerit sit amet, egestas sed, leo. Praesent feugiat sapien aliquet odio. Integer vitae justo. Aliquam vestibulum fringilla lorem. Sed neque lectus, consectetur at, consectetur sed, eleifend ac, lectus. Nulla facilisi. Pellentesque eget lectus. Proin eu metus. Sed porttitor. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Suspendisse eu lectus. Ut mi mi, lacinia sit amet, placerat et, mollis vitae, dui. Sed ante tellus, tristique ut, iaculis eu, malesuada ac, dui. Mauris nibh leo, facilisis non, adipiscing quis, ultrices a, dui.

Morbi luctus, wisi viverra faucibus pretium, nibh est placerat odio, nec commodo wisi enim eget quam. Quisque libero justo, consectetur a, feugiat vitae, porttitor eu, libero. Suspendisse sed mauris vitae elit sollicitudin malesuada. Maecenas ultricies eros sit amet ante. Ut venenatis velit. Maecenas sed mi eget dui varius euismod. Phasellus aliquet volutpat odio. Vestibulum ante ipsum primis in faucibus orci luctus et ultrices posuere cubilia Curae; Pellentesque sit amet pede ac sem eleifend consectetur. Nullam elementum, urna vel imperdiet sodales, elit ipsum pharetra ligula, ac pretium ante justo a nulla. Curabitur tristique arcu eu metus. Vestibulum lectus. Proin mauris. Proin eu nunc eu urna hendrerit faucibus. Aliquam auctor, pede consequat laoreet varius, eros tellus scelerisque quam, pellentesque hendrerit ipsum dolor sed augue. Nulla nec lacus.

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Proposal . . . . .	1
1.2	Research questions . . . . .	3
1.2.1	Can LDBIM be culled? . . . . .	4
1.2.2	Can existing semantics be used? . . . . .	4
<b>2</b>	<b>Linked Data</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1	RDF and triples . . . . .	5
2.2	Ontologies and reasoning . . . . .	7
2.3	Triplestores and SPARQL . . . . .	7
2.4	Complexity of the data graph . . . . .	8
2.4.1	BIM geometry . . . . .	8
2.4.2	LDBIM geometry . . . . .	9
<b>3</b>	<b>State of the art</b>	<b>10</b>
3.1	Existing BIM viewers and ontologies . . . . .	10
3.1.1	Qoniq and LOD Streaming for BIM . . . . .	10
3.1.2	ld-bim.web.app . . . . .	11
3.1.3	AEC related ontologies . . . . .	11
3.1.4	GIS related ontologies . . . . .	14
3.2	On the market viewers comparison . . . . .	15
3.2.1	General Features . . . . .	15
3.3	Culling algorithms . . . . .	16
<b>4</b>	<b>Dynamic Queries</b>	<b>17</b>
4.1	Requirements . . . . .	17
4.2	Beyond geometry . . . . .	18
4.2.1	BCF integration . . . . .	18
4.2.2	Visualising semantic . . . . .	19
4.3	In situ WKT location . . . . .	19
4.4	In viewer “bot:Space” identification . . . . .	22
4.5	In query OBJ geometry filtering . . . . .	24



<b>5</b>	<b>Modular Approach</b>	<b>28</b>
5.1	Data fetching . . . . .	28
5.1.1	SPARQL fetcher . . . . .	29
5.1.2	Database fetcher . . . . .	29
5.2	Cache manager . . . . .	30
5.2.1	LRU algorithm . . . . .	30
5.3	Query processing . . . . .	31
5.3.1	Query builder . . . . .	31
5.3.2	Query composer . . . . .	31
5.4	Interactions . . . . .	31
5.5	Sequences . . . . .	32
<b>6</b>	<b>Prototype</b>	<b>33</b>
6.1	Database design . . . . .	34
6.2	Web Development Stack . . . . .	34
6.2.1	Next.js . . . . .	34
6.2.2	Recoil . . . . .	34
6.2.3	lru_map . . . . .	34
6.2.4	fetch-sparql-endpoint . . . . .	34
6.2.5	Xeokit-SDK . . . . .	34
6.2.6	UI tools . . . . .	34
<b>7</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Future Work</b>	<b>36</b>
	<b>References</b>	<b>39</b>
	<b>Referenced websites</b>	<b>41</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Prototype</b>	<b>i</b>
A.1	Automations . . . . .	ii
A.1.1	useAutomations . . . . .	ii
A.1.2	BOT . . . . .	iii
A.1.3	GeoSPARQL . . . . .	iv
A.1.4	OBJ . . . . .	vi
<b>B</b>	<b>Python scripts</b>	<b>vii</b>
B.1	WKT visualisation . . . . .	vii

# List of Figures

1.1	Illustration of culling principle . . . . .	2
1.2	Sequence diagram - basic concept . . . . .	3
2.1	Triple structure . . . . .	5
2.2	LOD evolution . . . . .	8
3.1	Illustration of the BOT ontology . . . . .	12
3.2	Sequence diagram with external database - concept . . . . .	13
3.3	Illustration of the FOG and OMG ontologies . . . . .	14
3.4	Performance viewers . . . . .	16
4.1	Serialization, OMG level 1 . . . . .	20
4.2	In viewer “bot:Space” identification, with raytracing . . . . .	22
5.1	Interactions modular framework . . . . .	32
6.1	Interactions prototype . . . . .	33

# List of Tables

1.1	Size of test-models in Johansson et al., 2015 . . . . .	2
3.1	Acceleration techniques used by tested viewers . . . . .	15
4.1	FOG ontology geometry formats . . . . .	18

# List of Listings

2.1	Example of an RDF database in turtle format . . . . .	6
3.1	Example of FOG usage . . . . .	13
3.2	FOG inference examples . . . . .	13
4.1	Dynamic culling query using GeoSPARQL . . . . .	21
4.2	Typescript code for raytracing in viewer . . . . .	23
4.3	Querying in viewer "bot:Space" identification . . . . .	24
4.4	Querying in query OBJ geometry filtering . . . . .	25
4.5	Inserting new javascript function in GraphDB . . . . .	26
4.6	Querying in situ WKT location . . . . .	27

# Chapter 1

## Introduction

From 2D, to 3D and now to [BIM](#). The evolution of the Architecture, Engineering and Construction ([AEC](#)) industry has been a long and complex one. The introduction of 3D modeling was the first major step in the industry's evolution, as it allowed for more accurate representations of buildings. No longer solely relying on 2D drawings, a 3D model of a building can be used to create various representations, from a simple 2D floor plan to a full 3D model. Following the adoption of 3D modeling, the implementation of Building Information Modelling ([BIM](#)) emerged as another significant milestone. [BIM](#) adds an extra layer of information on top of the 3D model. As the digital representation of a building's physical and functional characteristics, BIM serves as a repository for semantics originating from various applications throughout the design and construction processes, including cost estimation, energy analysis, and production planning.

However, as mentioned in Werbrouck, [2018](#), the next challenge for the [AEC](#) industry is related to the domain-specific nature of current [BIM](#) softwares, which remains closed off to other disciplines. This data management challenge is currently being addressed by the Linked Building Data Community Group ([LBD-CG](#)) and other research entities, such as the University of Ghent, through the use of Web of Data technologies<sup>1</sup>. This emerging milestone will be discussed in this thesis under the term Linked Data [BIM](#) ([LDBIM](#)).

### 1.1 Proposal

Each of these evolutions has brought, and will continue to bring, a significant amount of data together. This volume is expected to grow exponentially in the future as the industry shifts towards a more digital approach and opens up to other stakeholders. The data graphs will not only expand in terms of semantics but also in geometry. This

---

<sup>1</sup>W3C, [2023](#).

makes visual querying, or simply put, 3D exploration of models, an increasingly difficult task. Especially when looking at newer devices used in the industry such as mobile phones, and tablets, which are becoming more and more powerful, but still have limited computational resources in comparison to office computers.

To bring this volume of geometric data in perspective, Table 1.1 shows the size of the test-models used in Johansson et al., 2015, a study from 2015 on the performance of BIM viewers for large models with the following description:

“ Although the Hotel model contains some structural elements they are primarily architectural models. As such, no Mechanical, Electrical or Plumbing (MEP) data is present. However, all models except the Hospital contain furniture and other interior equipment. ” (Johansson et al., 2015)

Model	# of triangles	# of objects	# of geometry batches
Library	3 685 748	7318	11 195
Student House	11 737 251	17 674	33 455
Hospital	2 344 968	18627	22 265
Hotel	7 200 901	41 893	62 624

Table 1.1: Size of test-models in Johansson et al., 2015




Figure 1.1: Illustration of culling principle, based on Cohen-Or et al., 2003

These models demonstrate how basic BIM models can already contain a significant amount of data. LDBIM will not only bring together new stakeholders but also be able to keep track of multiple geometry versions for each object, should they occur. Therefore, this thesis proposes a new approach to the visual querying of LDBIM models, wherein viewers will not have to load the entire model into memory. Instead, after filtering at the source, only the geometry needed for the visual tasks at hand will be

loaded, while maintaining the original link to each resource for further processing and use cases. This filtering step is commonly referred to as culling in the computer graphics industry and is illustrated in Figure 1.1.

Figure 1.1 showcases multiple culling techniques to showcase some culling principles. The first technique, **frustum culling**, is used to determine which objects are visible to the user. The second technique, **occlusion culling**, is used to determine which objects are occluded by or behind other objects. And lastly, **back-face culling**, is used to determine which faces, and not whole objects, are facing away from the user.

Figure 1.2 illustrates the basic idea of this thesis, presenting an extra step in the communication between a user, represented here by a Hand Held Device (HHD), and a database storing the model. An HHD has been chosen to exemplify a low-powered device used in the field, which requires a lightweight 3D viewer to visualize and explore the digital twin of the building. The HHD is assumed to have no knowledge of the LDBIM model and only receives the geometry that needs to be displayed from the database. On the other hand, the database is assumed to possess, or have access to, all the knowledge of the model and the necessary semantics to perform the culling.




Figure 1.2: Sequence diagram - basic concept

## 1.2 Research questions

This thesis proposes the introduction of culling algorithm technology within the context of LDBIM to address the previously mentioned issue of the scene's size, by culling the scene at its source prior to sending it to the viewer. As culling algorithms have been extensively researched and continue to evolve, as described in section 3.3, the research questions in this thesis concentrate on assessing the feasibility of introducing such algorithms to LDBIM. It aims to propose a set of possible solutions tailored to this specific problem, while highlighting possibilities for future research and specific use cases.

### 1.2.1 To what extent can [LDBIM](#) geometry be culled to be streamed to lightweight viewers?

This thesis focuses on computing with data snippets or triples (Section [2.1](#)) inside a [LDBIM](#) model, rather than within. This means that the smallest unit of data that can be culled is the one described in a single triple, which is, in the most likely scenario, a single Level of Detail ([LOD](#)) of a single element. It implies that geometry is defined and separated at the object level, not by faces. Consequently, this thesis will not address culling techniques such as back-face culling, as these will be left to the viewer itself, not the database.

Determining the necessary data snippets for the viewer is a key question. The fundamental requirements for the viewer include, first, the geometry itself, which involves selecting the appropriate geometry format for the application as well as the additional visual information such as color, texture, etc. Second, the identifier of each element is of crucial importance in order to maintain the link to other semantic resources in the graph. This enables the viewer to retrieve those resources for a multitude of use cases, transforming it into a user-friendly visual query tool.

### 1.2.2 Can existing semantics and ontologies be used to feed possible culling algorithms?

Unlike the computer graphics industry, this interconnected context already contains both explicit and implicit relationships within the graph, the latter being derived through inferencing (Section [2.2](#)). This is similar to Johansson and Roupé, [2009](#) and their paper where they utilized the semantics of a [BIM](#) model in Industry Foundation Classes ([IFC](#)) format to develop culling techniques. However, this thesis will concentrate on the use of Semantic Web resources. As such, it will examine both [AEC](#)-specific and [AEC](#)-related ontologies, such as those related to Geographic Information System ([GIS](#)), to determine if they can be employed to feed culling algorithms.

# Chapter 2

## Linked Data

As mentioned in the [Introduction](#), the evolution from [BIM](#) to [LDBIM](#) represents an evolution of the data **management** layer. This layer utilizes *Linked Data* which, as stated by the World Wide Web Consortium ([W3C](#)), is a collection of interrelated datasets on the Web, formatted in a standard way that is accessible and manageable by Semantic Web tools. The same applies to the relationships among them.<sup>2</sup> The following collection of Semantic Web technologies explores the required environment to achieve this goal.

### 2.1 RDF and triples

At the core of the Semantic Web is the Resource Description Framework ([RDF](#)), a data model for describing resources on the Web. RDF is a graph data model that consists of **triples**, which are statements about resources. A triple consists of a subject, a predicate, and an object. The subject is the resource that is being described, the predicate is the property of the subject, and the object is the value of the property. Both the predicate and the object can, in turn, become the subjects of other triples. Listing [2.1](#) shows an example of an [RDF](#) database described in the Turtle format.




Figure 2.1: Triple structure

The basic, yet versatile, structure of a triple is illustrated in Figure [2.1](#). Both the subject and object are considered as nodes in the data graph, and they are linked by the

---

<sup>2</sup>W3C, [2015b](#).



predicate, which is referred to as an edge. Multiple triples can thus create and link multiple nodes or enrich a connection between two nodes by creating new edges between them. Each element contains a single resource that can be one of the three types: a [URI](#), a literal, or a blank node. A Uniform Resource Identifier ([URI](#)) identifies the name and/or location of a resource on the web and, as its name states, is unique and unambiguous, thus enabling queries and reasoning of the same nature. A literal is a value, and a blank node is an anonymous resource, sometimes used as a placeholder when the exact resource is not known or not necessary to specify. Due to their nature, a subject must be either a [URI](#) or a blank node, a predicate exclusively a [URI](#), and the object may be any of the three types. As [URI](#) descriptions can be very long, a prefix can be used to shorten them. This is illustrated in Listing 2.1 with the `@prefix bot: <https://w3id.org/bot#>`, which declares that `bot:Zone` refers, in its full length, to the address `<https://w3id.org/bot#Zone>`.

```
@prefix fog: <https://w3id.org/fog#> .
@prefix omg: <https://w3id.org/omg#> .
@prefix bot: <https://w3id.org/bot#> .
@prefix rdf:    <http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#> .
@prefix rdfs:   <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix rdf:    <http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#> .
@prefix rdfs:   <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd:    <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix flupke: <http://flupke.archi#> .

flupke:room1 rdf:type bot:Zone ;
    bot:containsElement flupke:coneOBJ ;
    bot:containsElement flupke:cubeGLTF .

flupke:coneOBJ omg:hasGeometry flupke:coneOBJ_geometry-1 ;
    rdf:type bot:Element .

flupke:cubeGLTF omg:hasGeometry flupke:cubeGLTF_geometry-1 ;
    rdf:type bot:Element .

flupke:coneOBJ_geometry-1 rdf:type omg:Geometry ;
    fog:asObj_v3.0-obj "https://raw.githubusercontent.com/flol3622/LDBIM-
    ↪ viewer/main/public/assets/database_1/coneOBJ.obj"^^xsd:anyURI
    ↪ .

flupke:cubeGLTF_geometry-1 rdf:type omg:Geometry ;
    fog:asGltf_v1.0-gltf "https://raw.githubusercontent.com/flol3622/LDBI
    ↪ M-viewer/main/public/assets/database_1/cubeGLTF.gltf"^^xsd:anyURI
    ↪ .
```

Listing 2.1: Example of an [RDF](#) database in turtle format

This basic concept can be extrapolated to describe and store any kind of data. The advantage for the [AEC](#) industry would be to allow any stakeholders to describe and enrich the knowledge base of a building.

## 2.2 Ontologies and reasoning

When looking at Listing 2.1, a distinction can be made between two types of statements: some refer to classes or properties, such as `bot:Zone` or `bot:containsElement`, while others refer to instances such as `flupke:room1`. The former is referred to as the TBox for “terminology”, and the latter is referred to as the ABox for “assertions”. The TBox, the ontology layer, is used to describe instances in the ABox and their relationships.

By developing an ontology, the domain of interest and the relationships between the classes and properties can be described. This is achieved by defining the classes and properties of the domain and their relationships. The ontology is then used to reason about the domain, inferring new facts based on the ontology and the existing facts within the domain. This is done by a reasoner, which is software capable of performing the reasoning itself on the ontology and associated data. As mentioned, the reasoner can be used to infer new facts, check if created facts are consistent with the ontology, and check if the ontology itself is consistent.<sup>3</sup> It is often integrated with [RDF](#) databases, also known as triplestores or graph databases.

Classes, properties, and their relationships can be defined using Resource Description Framework Schema ([RDFS](#)), which is a vocabulary for describing [RDF](#) schemas using a basic set of constructs. As an extension of [RDFS](#), Web Ontology Language ([OWL](#)) is a vocabulary for describing ontologies using a more expressive set of constructs tailored to the needs of ontologies. Both [RDFS](#) and [OWL](#) are considered to be formal ontologies themselves, as they describe the classes and properties of the domain of [RDF](#).

## 2.3 Triplestores and [SPARQL](#)

As briefly discussed in 2.2, triplestores are [RDF](#) databases that store data in the form of a graph. They are used to store and query Linked Data and are often integrated with a reasoner. The data itself is retrieved and modified using the SPARQL Protocol and [RDF](#) Query Language ([SPARQL](#)).<sup>4</sup> In contrast to Structured Query Language ([SQL](#)), [SPARQL](#) queries are able to work across multiple triplestores, called [SPARQL](#) endpoints. These are known as federated queries, and their results are combined into a single result set. This is useful when the data is distributed across multiple triplestores in a decentralized manner.<sup>5</sup> For example, multiple stakeholders participating in a project,

---

<sup>3</sup>W3C, 2015a.

<sup>4</sup>W3C, 2015c.

<sup>5</sup>Ontotext, 2022.

each with their own database.

## 2.4 Complexity of the data graph

The complexity of the data graph is a major concern when working with [LDBIM](#). This section discusses the origins of the different sources of geometric data that enrich it. Firstly, by looking at the different [LOD](#)'s that can be used to describe a building, which already exists in the [BIM](#) domain. Secondly, by looking at [LDBIM](#) and the exponential growth it imposes on the data graph.




Figure 2.2: [LOD](#) evolution  
from “In collaboration with: Level of Development  
Specification”, [2015](#)

### 2.4.1 [BIM](#) geometry

The 3D model of a building consists of a multitude of sub-models, describing objects for all the different stakeholders participating in the project. Some describe very large objects, and some very small parts. Both can be defined in their most simple and abstract form or have an intricate and complex geometry. For instance, a door can simply be defined as a box, or up to the level of the screw-thread for the hinge system. The level of abstraction is here described as the [LOD](#), which is most of the time pre-selected for the needs of a [BIM](#) model, and is applied throughout a single model.

As shown in [Figure 2.2](#), a standard BIM workflow goes through multiple phases, each with their associated model and [LOD](#). This makes it an important concept in the [AEC](#) industry, as it allows for a very efficient workflow. The modeling step is approached from a top-down perspective, starting with rougher geometries describing the broader ideas of a concept model and evolving to a more refined model for the construction documentation phase. As the last and longest-standing model, a higher [LOD](#) can be used to describe subtle changes in the evolution of a building during the operation phase.

### 2.4.2 LDBIM geometry

The interconnectivity of semantics can also be applied to geometry descriptions. This could allow the co-existence of multiple LODs in a single model database. Besides storing the evolution of a single element's geometry, it enables the linking of the different LODs, described in 2.4.1, to each other. Not only that, but extending onto the size of the models described in Table 1.1, already existing Mechanical, Electrical and Plumbing (MEP), structural, alongside many other stakeholders' geometry can be added.

# Chapter 3

## State of the art

As mentioned in Johansson et al., 2015, existing research on the performance of currently used BIM viewers is quite limited. This state-of-the-art research will, therefore, focus on the overall features of some promising newer viewers and the ontologies/tools that will be used in this thesis.

### 3.1 Existing BIM viewers and ontologies

#### 3.1.1 Qoniq and LOD Streaming for BIM

Qonic focuses on developing an open platform BIM viewer. With the use of Unity to enable cross-platform compatibility, they focused on two main aspects: performance and aesthetics. The latter refers to the visual quality of the viewer, offering both a seamless experience for the viewer as well as a pleasant one, with, for example, the implementation of ambient lighting and shadow castings. The first and most researched aspect of their viewer, the performance, is mainly focusing on a LOD culling algorithm.

(T. Strobbe, personal communication, November 25, 2022)

##### 3.1.1.1 Qoniq's approach to LOD streaming

Their core research is developing a dynamic LOD streaming model. Starting from the geometry and semantics of an IFC file, they compute an LOD hierarchy tree of the model. Through multiple mesh decimation algorithms, they reduce the number of triangles of each object's mesh, regardless of the semantics associated with that object. On top of that, a filtering algorithm is implemented in the streaming model to filter out objects, regarding their semantics, that are not relevant to the current camera position. In doing so, they both reduce the size of models far from the viewpoint and evaluate the need to show certain objects based on their nature, extracted from semantics in the IFC file, and their distance to the camera. The resulting dynamic LOD streaming

model is reevaluated at each camera move in Unity.  
(T. Strobbe, personal communication, November 25, 2022)

Unity was chosen as it allows for writing once and deploying everywhere. This means that the viewer can be used on any platform, including mobile devices and browsers. The performance results are thus related to the hardware capabilities of each device, with the exception of the browser, where the performance of Unity’s WebGL build is limited to a scene size of 2Gb.<sup>6</sup>

### 3.1.1.2 Advantages and trade-offs

Being able to run on many platforms, offering a smooth viewer experience and a pleasing aesthetic makes it an ideal candidate for lightweight viewers on the job site. However, the LOD library has to be computed on every model update. The decimation algorithms are furthermore computational results that are not humanly reviewed. This means that the quality of the resulting meshes is not guaranteed for the lower LODs, which are, as illustrated in Figure 2.2, already modeled in previous design phases. LDBIM could, by interconnection, recall previous LODs in the viewer’s scene. Without the need for computational remodeling. Nevertheless, Qonic’s approach is already versioning-proof as later LOD’s may vary from the last design choices. They therefore serve as the goal of this thesis, outside the LDBIM context.

## 3.1.2 ld-bim.web.app

“The purpose of the app is to showcase our LBD toolset and to demonstrate the capabilities of Linked Building Data to newcomers.”<sup>7</sup>

<https://ld-bim.web.app/> demonstrates a viewer built around an RDF database. It separates the data from an IFC file into semantics, stored in the previously mentioned graph, and a glTF model, together with a JavaScript Object Notation (JSON) file containing a reference table. Extra local or remote graphs can be added to the User Interface (UI). As it contains a SPARQL engine to query and visualize, in the form of highlighting, the results of the query in a 3D viewer. The viewer is based on the ifc.js project, which is itself based on the three.js 3D JavaScript library.

## 3.1.3 AEC related ontologies

As mentioned in the second research question 1.2.2, this section will discuss AEC-related technologies that are actively researched by the LBD-CG<sup>8</sup>.

---

<sup>6</sup>Unity, 2023.

<sup>7</sup>Rasmussen and Schlachter, n.d.

<sup>8</sup>LBD-CG, 2022.

### 3.1.3.1 BOT

The Building Topology Ontology (BOT) proposes a set of classes and properties, “which provides a high-level description of the topology of buildings including storeys and spaces, the building elements they may contain, and the 3D mesh geometry of these spaces and elements.” (Rasmussen et al., 2020), as illustrated in Figure 3.1. This high-level description could be fed to portal-culling algorithms in a situation where the visibility is contained within one `bot:Space` or `bot:Storey`, or it could extend the scope to `bot:adjacentZone`<sup>9</sup>. Additionally, it could play a part in the construction of the Bounding Volume Hierarchy (BVH) needed for other occlusion culling algorithms, such as the Coherent Hierarchical Culling algorithm (CHC)<sup>++</sup> (Johansson et al., 2015).




Figure 3.1: Illustration of the BOT ontology, based on Rasmussen et al., 2020.

### 3.1.3.2 FOG and OMG

With the help of File Ontology for Geometry formats (FOG) and Ontology for Managing Geometry (OMG), geometry descriptions can be linked in the data graph. The innovation lies in the choice to store it either inside or outside the graph, by means of one triple referring to a literal or an URI. Listing 2.1 showcases multiple examples of objects assigned with a geometry description using an URI (Bonduel et al., 2019).

<sup>9</sup>Linietsky et al., 2023.

```
flupke:coneOBJ_geometry-1 fog:asObj_v3.0-obj "https://..."^^xsd:anyURI .
```

Listing 3.1: Example of FOG usage

Listing 3.1 describes a subject of datatype `xsd:anyURI` from the Extensible Markup Language (XML) Schema Definition (XSD)<sup>10</sup>. The versatile approach of Bonduel et al., 2019 also proposes the following datatypes: `xsd:string` for American Standard Code for Information Interchange (ASCII)-based geometry descriptions or `xsd:base64Binary` for binary geometry descriptions.

The format of the geometry is assigned directly by the predicate in Listing 3.1, which is `fog:asObj_v3.0-obj`. This further infers the statements in Listing 3.2.<sup>11</sup>

```
flupke:coneOBJ_geometry-1 fog:asObj "https://..."^^xsd:anyURI ;
ex:LOD "100"^^xsd:integer .
```

Listing 3.2: FOG inference examples

The possibility of introducing an external geometry location adds a new participant to Figure 1.2, the external database, from which some files are expected to be fetched. This is illustrated in Figure 3.2.




Figure 3.2: Sequence diagram with external database - concept

<sup>10</sup>Carrol and Pan, 2006.

<sup>11</sup>Bonduel et al., 2020.



Bonduel et al., 2019 refers to the proposal of the **LBD-CG** stated in Holten Rasmussen et al., 2018 to write Linked Data patterns on three possible levels, “each having a different degree of complexity”. The first and second levels are illustrated in Figure 3.3. Level 2 allows assigning multiple geometry descriptions to a single object, each with, for example, a different **LOD**.




Figure 3.3: Illustration of Level 1 (left) and Level 2 (right) of the **FOG** and **OMG** ontologies, based on Bonduel et al., 2019.

### 3.1.4 GIS related ontologies

The technological field of study, Geographic Information System (**GIS**), is closely related to the **BIM** domain. The central standards organization, Open Geospatial Consortium (**OGC**), which actively maintains the **GIS** standards, is also prominent in the Semantic Web scene. They recognize widely adopted standards such as GeoSPARQL.<sup>12</sup>

#### 3.1.4.1 GeoSPARQL

“The OGC GeoSPARQL standard supports representing and querying geospatial data on the Semantic Web. GeoSPARQL defines a vocabulary for representing geospatial data in RDF, and it defines an extension to the SPARQL query language for processing geospatial data. In addition, GeoSPARQL is designed to accommodate systems based on qualitative spatial reasoning and systems based on quantitative spatial computations.”<sup>13</sup>

<sup>12</sup>OGC, 2023a.

<sup>13</sup>OGC, 2023b.

As multiple triplestores and SPARQL endpoints support the GeoSPARQL extension, it is a viable candidate for spatial and LOD culling algorithms. Such algorithms require spatial data, such as the distance from the viewpoint to the object. Spatial query functions proposed in this extension are needed for this purpose. The functions can compute on nodes of geospatial geometry as if they are expressed using Well-Known Text (WKT) or the Geography Markup Language (GML). These expressions can be assigned by using the predicates `geo:asWKT` or `geo:asGML`. However, GeoSPARQL comes with some limitations that are less prevalent in the GIS domain, which mostly requires 2D data (Perry & Herring, 2012), in contrast to BIM where 3D distance functions would be needed. Despite such limitations, GeoSPARQL remains a viable solution for spatial querying, and workarounds could be employed to address them.

## 3.2 On the market viewers comparison

Johansson et al., 2015 mentioned in their paper the lack of research about objective BIM viewers comparison and made one as a result. The size of the model they tested can be found in Table 1.1. They evaluated the following viewers:

- DDS CAD Viewer
- Tekla BIMsight
- Autodesk Navisworks
- Solibri Model Viewer

### 3.2.1 General Features

Their study had two main goals. Firstly, evaluating existing viewers and their capabilities, they identified the acceleration techniques used, which are presented in Table 3.1.

BIM viewer	Acceleration technique
Solibri 9.0	VFC   DC (optional)   HAGI (optional)
Naviswork 2015	VFC   DC (optional)   CPU OC (optional) GPU OC (optional)
BIMsight 1.9.1	VFC
DDS 8.0	VFC   DC (optional)
DDS 10.0	VFC   DC

Table 3.1: Acceleration techniques used by tested viewers from Johansson et al., 2015. (View Frustum Culling (VFC), Drop Culling (DC), Hardware Accelerated Geometry Instancing (HAGI), Central Processing Unit (CPU), Occlusion Culling (OC))

Secondly, they implemented modern culling algorithms and strategies such as [CHC++](#). The worst-case scenarios are shown in Figure 3.4 against the Solibri viewer. The results are quite promising, but as concluded by the authors, the gains are limited to the capacities of the Graphics Processing Unit ([GPU](#)), Video Random Access Memory ([VRAM](#)), and Random Access Memory ([RAM](#)), as discussed in 1.2.




Figure 3.4: Comparison in rendering performance.  
from Johansson et al., 2015

### 3.3 Culling algorithms

Johansson et al., 2015 presented in their paper a new [BIM](#) viewer equipped with the powerful [CHC++](#). This is a third-generation occlusion culling algorithm developed by Mattausch et al., 2008a, the first being the [CHC](#) (Bittner et al., 2004), followed by the Near Optimal Hierarchical Culling ([NOHC](#)) (Mattausch et al., 2008b). Their conclusion stated that although occlusion culling is very efficient, it is still bound to the scene size, which is limited by hardware capabilities. More precisely, the [GPU](#), [VRAM](#), and [RAM](#) capacities.

# Chapter 4

## Dynamic Queries

This chapter introduces the concept of dynamic querying. In this thesis, it refers to the automatic generation of queries responsible for obtaining the data needed to visualize building elements from a [BIM](#) model within an [RDF](#) graph. The examples are presented as static SPARQL queries, since the automation itself depends on the implementation or framework used. A link to the appendix is provided, where the implementation within the prototype of this thesis is explained.

The structure is as follows: first, the requirements for the viewer are researched, as its functioning will dictate the output of the queries. Second, the capabilities of the viewer are explored in relation to the visualization of semantic data, thus emphasizing the added value of working with Linked Data. Third, three types of dynamic queries for culling are presented, each with its own advantages and disadvantages.

### 4.1 Requirements

A viewer designed to visualize data stored in an [RDF](#) graph is required to understand the data stored within it. Therefore, the requirements for the viewer align with those of its source, the [RDF](#) graph. Section 3.1.3.2, which discusses the use of both the [FOG](#) and [OMG](#) ontologies, offers an overview of the available options in terms of file format and file source. The [FOG](#) ontology supports the description of a wide range of geometry formats, as illustrated in Table 4.1. In conjunction with the [OMG](#) ontology, which allows for the description of the file source using the datatype of the literal, it can be concluded that the viewer should be able to handle a broad spectrum of file formats, preferably described in the [FOG](#) ontology, and accept both remote files and literal values.

COLLADA	Compressed LAS	Compressed Nexus	DWG
E57	GeoJSON	Well Known Text SFA	GML
IFC	IGES	LAS Point Cloud	Nexus
OBJ	PCD Point Cloud	Uncompressed LAS	Revit
Rhino	Shapefile	Simple Feature Access	SketchUp
SPFF	STEP SPFF	Uncompressed Nexus	SVG
PLY	STL	Well Known Binary SFA	X3D
glTF			

Table 4.1: List of geometry formats that can be assigned with the [FOG](#) ontology.<sup>14</sup>

## 4.2 Beyond geometry

This section highlights the advantages a viewer based on Linked Data has over a viewer based on traditional file-based systems, by extending the thought of a 3D viewer to its ability to visualise non geometric data from its source. [LDBIM](#) links geometrical entities to their corresponding semantic data, which can be visualised in the viewer. This allows for the visualisation of data that is not directly related to the geometry of the building elements, such as the physical properties of a wall or the cost of a door. The possibilities are endless, as long as the data is available in the [RDF](#) graph. The following sections will discuss different possible implementations.

### 4.2.1 BCF integration

As a first possible implementation of non-geometric data, this section examines the [BIM](#) Collaboration Format ([BCF](#)) buildingSMART standard. [BCF](#) is an open file format that enables the creation and communication of issues about [BIM](#) models<sup>15</sup>. Both it and its translation in the Semantic Web as [BIM](#) Collaboration Format Ontology ([bcfOWL](#)) (Schulz et al., 2021) link a screenshot, a camera angle, and a list of concerned entities to form a specific issue<sup>16</sup>.

This type of semantic offers two types of implementations. The first is the positioning of a screenshot, together with its camera position and orientation, within the 3D scene. This allows for the visualization of issues, which can be linked to the screenshot, in the viewer, offering communication integration. The second implementation involves the metadata surrounding issues that can be used as visual properties to feed specific queries. This type of implementation is discussed in the next section, [Visualising semantic](#).

<sup>14</sup>Bonduel et al., 2020

<sup>15</sup>"BIM Collaboration Format (BCF) - buildingSMART Technical", [n.d.](#)

<sup>16</sup>"What is BCF - BIMcollab", [n.d.](#)

## 4.2.2 Visualising semantic

When examining semantics such as physical properties of entities, free from geometric and spatial data (see [BCF integration](#)), a visual interpretation superimposed on the viewer offers powerful rendering possibilities. By coloring elements based on their properties, both physical and non-physical, the viewer can be used to detect anomalies or insights in the model, in a feature-rich output medium.

Physical properties such as thermal, acoustic, structural, and others, or non-physical properties like cost, time, and pathologies, can all be described and linked in the [RDF](#) graph. The expressive capabilities of [SPARQL](#) enable complex and fine-grained queries, offering application-specific query creation about these properties. By selecting a specific subset or combining them, a user or developer can transform the viewer into a powerful tool.

As such, the viewer is expected to comply with a multitude of requirements, which are not all covered in this thesis. Chapter [Modular Approach](#) thus proposes a modular approach, allowing for a step-by-step implementation of the viewer, starting with the most basic requirements, which are adopted in Chapter [Prototype](#), while leaving room for future extensions.

## 4.3 In situ WKT location

The first type of dynamic query identifies the room in which the observer/camera is located using the [WKT](#) serialization of `bot:Space` entities. It proposes to base its culling algorithm on super-elements such as rooms, thus grouping the scene into a limited number of elements within meaningful boundaries. As entities are primarily viewed within their allocated rooms, this approach takes advantage of the spatial organization of buildings using the [BOT](#) ontology. Moreover, not all building elements have a meaningful [WKT](#) serialization (e.g., a door), which makes the use of super-elements necessary.

This approach is limited to 2D, as the widely adopted GeoSPARQL functions used to achieve this are constrained to 2D. Nonetheless, the approach can be extended to 3D if the GeoSPARQL functions are extended to 3D in the future or the needed introduction of a 3D [SPARQL](#) is adopted.

Listing [4.1](#) proposes a static query in which a location is first assigned to the variable `?location` to create an easily reusable query. This location is the [WKT](#) serialization of a point, the coordinates of which can be updated at each move of the camera in the viewer. It therefore represents the location of the observer both on-site, “in situ” for augmented reality use cases, and in the 3D scene.

The query then combines two sets of so-called “entities”, represented in the graph as `bot:Element` or `bot:Space`, both of which are linked in the graph to their correspond-

ing [WKT](#) and geometric serialization using an [OMG](#) level 1 pattern explained in Figure 3.3. This pattern level links the literal directly to the entity, without the need for an intermediate node, but without the possibility to assign multiple serializations of the same type. The geometry literal is assigned using the [FOG](#) ontology, while the [WKT](#) literal is assigned using the GeoSPARQL ontology, as illustrated in Figure 4.1. As this last serialization requires a [WKT](#) format, a literal assigned with `geo:asWKT` is required, and queried for in the query.




Figure 4.1: Serialization of a geometry using the [OMG](#) level 1 pattern.

The separation into two sets allows for querying entities that have a [WKT](#) serialization but are not located in a `bot:Space` (such as a floor, which would not be linked to a room) and entities that are located in a `bot:Space` that has a [WKT](#) serialization. The latter variant uses the `bot:containsElement` or `bot:adjacentElement` properties to select entities within a room.

After filtering out spaces, the geometry of which is not needed by the viewer, it filters the entities' geometry based on a list of implemented/accepted formats by the receiving viewer. These last consist of a list of [FOG](#) super-properties inferred by their assignment. In other words: a triple in the [RDF](#) graph of the form `?entity fog:asObj_v3.0 ?geometry_data` infers `?entity fog:asObj ?geometry_data` (as shown in Figure 4.1). This allows for the use of a single property to query related formats while still permitting the declaration of more specific formats if needed.

In the end, the query returns a list of entities that are located in the same room as the

observer, or are not located in a room but have a [WKT](#) serialization that is validated by the GeoSPARQL filter function. Together with the needed metadata, which is further explained in Section 5.4.

A): `?entity fog:asObj ?geometry_data`

b):

```
PREFIX bot: <https://w3id.org/bot#>
PREFIX fog: <https://w3id.org/fog#>
PREFIX rdf: <http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#>
PREFIX geof: <http://www.opengis.net/def/function/geosparql/>
PREFIX geo: <http://www.opengis.net/ont/geosparql#>
PREFIX xsd: <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#>
PREFIX inst: <https://172.16.10.122:8080/projects/1001/>

SELECT DISTINCT ?entity ?fog_geometry ?datatype
WHERE {
  BIND(STRDT("POINT(5000 -5000)", geo:wktLiteral) AS ?location)

  {
    ?entity geo:asWKT ?entityWKT .
    FILTER(geof:sfWithin(?location, ?entityWKT))
  }
  UNION
  {
    ?space rdf:type bot:Space .
    ?space geo:asWKT ?spaceWKT .
    FILTER(geof:sfWithin(?location, ?spaceWKT))
    ?space bot:containsElement bot:adjacentElement ?entity .
  }

  FILTER NOT EXISTS { ?entity rdf:type bot:Space }
  ?entity ?fog_geometry ?geometryData .
  FILTER(?fog_geometry IN (fog:asObj, fog:asStl, fog:asGltf, FOG:asIfc))
  BIND(DATATYPE(?geometryData) AS ?datatype)
  FILTER(?datatype = xsd:anyURI)
}
```

Listing 4.1: Dynamic culling query using GeoSPARQL

In the case of Listing 4.1, the GeoSPARQL `FILTER` uses the function `geof:sfWithin` to evaluate if the given point coordinates are within the [WKT](#) serialization, which in most cases represents a [WKT](#) polygon. For example:

```
"POLYGON ((40 10, 30 10, 30 40, 40 40, 40 10))"^^geo:wktLiteral
```

The use of GeoSPARQL results in a very efficient query, as the filtering is done directly in the query and does not require any post-processing. Although the lack of 3D capa-



bilities is a limitation, it allows, in 2D to easily implement more complex algorithms leveraging the use of different functions. With which, together with a level 2 [OMG](#) pattern, [LOD](#) culling based on the distance to the observer can be implemented.

Selecting rooms and entities outside the room in which the observer is present is also conceivable when leveraging the use of the [bot:adjacentZone](#) property. Or using the GeoSPARQL distance to a room.

## 4.4 In viewer “bot:Space” identification

In this culling approach, ray tracing is employed to assess the room where the observer is situated. As illustrated in Figure 3.2, out of the three participants, only one utilizes a 3D engine—a component capable of handling geometric modeling operations, such as ray tracing—referred to as the 3D viewer. In essence, both the [SPARQL](#) endpoint and the external database lack the ability to perform 3D operations or evaluations. Consequently, this approach capitalizes on the 3D viewer to pinpoint the room in which the observer is positioned.




Figure 4.2: In viewer “bot:Space” identification, with raytracing

This process involves casting a ray from the observer’s position both upwards and downwards, and evaluating the first intersection with a [bot:Space](#) entity. Unlike the previous approach, the geometry of the rooms themselves is required by the viewer, necessitating an initial query to load the rooms’ geometry. By utilizing multiple layers in the viewer, the rooms’ geometry can be concealed from the user while still being employed for ray tracing. Listing 4.2 demonstrates the function used in this thesis prototype to assess the location. The scope of the picking is limited to the rooms using the cache’s metadata, in this case [lru: RefLRU](#). Subsequently, two picking operations are carried out and compared, as depicted in Figure 4.2; if they match, the intersected room’s [URI](#) is returned.

Once the room is identified, the query in Listing 4.3 is executed to retrieve the entities located within the room. This is achieved by querying the [bot:containsElement](#) property of the room. Similar to the previous method, it necessitates the combination of two sets of entities in this case: those located in the room and in the adjacent

```

function findRoom(viewer: RefViewer, lru: RefLRU, position: number[]):
↪ string | undefined {
    const down = [0, -1, 0];
    const up = [0, 1, 0];
    const rooms: string[] = [];

    lru.current?.forEach((value, key) => {
        if (value.botType === "Space") {
            rooms.push(key);
        }
    });

    function pick(direction: number[]) {
        return viewer.current?.scene.pick({
            origin: position,
            direction: direction,
            pickSurface: true,
            includeEntities: rooms,
        });
    }

    const resultDown = pick(down);
    const resultUp = pick(up);

    if (resultDown?.entity === resultUp?.entity) {
        return resultDown?.entity?.id;
    }
}

```

Listing 4.2: Typescript code for raytracing in viewer

rooms themselves. This enables the optimization of the viewer by evaluating only the adjacent rooms, rather than all the rooms in the database.

The remainder of the query closely mirrors the previous one (Listing 4.1), with the distinction that it necessitates the additional storage of the `?botType` property to keep track of `BOT` classes and to identify `bot:Space` elements locally.

Due to the absence of `bot:adjacentZone` relations in the database used for the prototype, the query is unable to directly query the adjacent rooms. Instead, the property `bot:adjacentElement` is employed to query related room's adjacent walls and, consequently, the corresponding rooms. The lack of `BOT` relations in the utilized database was identified as a recurring issue in this thesis. Chapter ?? delves into this problem in greater detail.

This approach takes advantage of the 3D viewer and its engine to contribute to the culling process, although the first step requires downloading all the rooms' geometry.

However, this step can be replaced by an initial manual localization by the user. By utilizing the adjacent rooms during subsequent queries, the impact on performance and resource usage remains minimal throughout the operation. In contrast to the [In situ WKT location](#), this method is not limited to 2D but can be employed in 3D. However, it requires the geometry format of the room to be compatible with the viewer.

```
PREFIX bot: <https://w3id.org/bot#>
PREFIX fog: <https://w3id.org/fog#>
PREFIX rdf: <http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#>
PREFIX geof: <http://www.opengis.net/def/function/geosparql/>
PREFIX geo: <http://www.opengis.net/ont/geosparql#>
PREFIX xsd: <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#>
PREFIX inst: <https://172.16.10.122:8080/projects/1001/>

SELECT DISTINCT ?entity ?fog_geometry ?datatype ?botType
WHERE {
    BIND (inst:room_1xS3Bck291UvhgP2dvNvkw AS ?room) .

    {
        ?room bot:adjacentElement ?adjacentWall .
        ?adjacentWall rdf:type bot:Element .
        ?entity bot:adjacentElement ?adjacentWall .
        ?entity rdf:type bot:Space .
    } UNION {
        ?room bot:containsElement ?bot:adjacentElement ?entity .
    }
    FILTER (?botType != bot:Space)

    ?entity ?fog_geometry ?geometryData .
    ?entity rdf:type ?botType .
    FILTER(STRSTARTS(str(?botType), "https://w3id.org/bot#"))
    FILTER(?fog_geometry IN (fog:asObj, fog:asStl, fog:asGltf, FOG:asIfc))
    BIND(DATATYPE(?geometryData) AS ?datatype)
    FILTER(?datatype = xsd:anyURI)
}
```

Listing 4.3: Querying in viewer “bot:Space” identification

## 4.5 In query OBJ geometry filtering

This last approach is similar to the previous one, in that it also relies on the existing 3D geometry of the rooms, not their additional [WKT](#) serialization. However, in contrast to [In viewer “bot:Space” identification](#), the computation is once again offloaded to the [SPARQL](#) endpoint. Since this endpoint is unable to perform geometric operations, a string analysis is performed instead.

The idea proposed by this thesis is to evaluate a string value, the literal representing the geometry, within a single [SPARQL](#) query. As complicated string operations are difficult to perform with native [SPARQL](#) functions, a custom JavaScript function is added to the [SPARQL](#) endpoint. This function is then called within the query, as illustrated in Listing 4.4. The prototype of this thesis uses GraphDB as its [SPARQL](#) endpoint and [RDF](#) database, which allows the addition of user-defined functions. Listing 4.5 demonstrates the addition of the function to the endpoint.

```
PREFIX bot: <https://w3id.org/bot#>
PREFIX fog: <https://w3id.org/fog#>
PREFIX rdf: <http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#>
PREFIX geof: <http://www.opengis.net/def/function/geosparql/>
PREFIX geo: <http://www.opengis.net/ont/geosparql#>
PREFIX xsd: <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#>
PREFIX inst: <https://172.16.10.122:8080/projects/1001/>
PREFIX jsfn: <http://www.ontotext.com/js#>

SELECT ?entity ?fog_geometry ?datatype ?botType
WHERE {
    BIND("5000,-15000,2000" as ?position)

    # select the room
    ?room a bot:Space .
    ?room fog:asObj ?obj .
    BIND(DATATYPE(?obj) AS ?type)
    FILTER(?type = xsd:string)
    FILTER(jsfn:insideObjAABBBox(?obj, ?position))

    # select the entities in the room
    ?room bot:containsElement bot:adjacentElement ?entity .
    ?entity ?fog_geometry ?geometryData .
    FILTER(?fog_geometry IN (fog:asObj, fog:asStl, fog:asGltf, FOG:asIfc))
    BIND(DATATYPE(?geometryData) AS ?datatype)
    FILTER(?datatype = xsd:anyURI)
    ?entity rdf:type ?botType .
    FILTER(STRSTARTS(str(?botType), "https://w3id.org/bot#"))
}
```

Listing 4.4: Querying in query OBJ geometry filtering

The function itself (Listing 4.6) evaluates whether a given point is inside a geometry by extracting the vertex coordinates of the geometry literal to build an Axis Aligned Bounding Box ([AABB](#)) in the form of a  $3 \times 2$  matrix representing both a minimum and maximum value for each of the three axes. It then evaluates each point's coordinates against the given interval, returning a boolean value. The returned boolean can be interpreted by the [FILTER](#) clause inside the query, as illustrated in Listing 4.4.

```
PREFIX extfn:<http://www.ontotext.com/js#>

INSERT DATA {
  [] <http://www.ontotext.com/js#register> '''
function insideObjAABBox(objString, point) { ... }
'''
}
```

Listing 4.5: Inserting new javascript function in GraphDB

The advantages of this technique are that, similar to the previous proposal, 3D culling is achievable, there is no need for an extra serialization such as [WKT](#), and the computation is offloaded to the [SPARQL](#) endpoint. However, the possibility to evaluate multiple geometry formats is on one side more flexible, as newer functions can interpret newer formats, not restricted by the viewer capabilities. This builds upon the concept of an all-knowing database serving a knowledge-free viewer (Section 1.1). Although the possibility of allowing the JavaScript functions to fetch external files was not tested in this thesis, it could be a potential extension.

On the other hand, the function was tailored specifically for the [SPARQL](#) endpoint used in this thesis, GraphDB, and would need to be adapted to other endpoints since no standard for user-defined functions was found during the research. Additionally, the JavaScript function was limited during the development of the prototype to a restricted scope of the JavaScript language, resembling the ECMAScript 2009 ([ES5](#)) version, which reduces the possibilities and optimization of the function.

```

function insideObjAABBox(objString, point) {
  var lines = objString.split("v ");
  var vertices = [];
  for (var i = 0; i < lines.length; i++) {
    if (lines[i].trim() !== "") {
      var coords = lines[i].split(" ");
      var vertex = [
        parseFloat(coords[0]),
        parseFloat(coords[1]),
        parseFloat(coords[2]),
      ];
      vertices.push(vertex);
    }
  }
  var verticesT = transpose(vertices);
  var AABOX = [];
  for (var i = 0; i < 3; i++) {
    var minVal = Math.min.apply(Math, verticesT[i]);
    var maxVal = Math.max.apply(Math, verticesT[i]);
    AABOX.push([minVal, maxVal]);
  }

  var location = point.split(",");
  console.log(AABOX);

  for (var i = 0; i < 3; i++) {
    var position = parseFloat(location[i]);
    if (position < AABOX[i][0] || position > AABOX[i][1]) {
      return false;
    }
  }
  return true;
}

function transpose(matrix) {
  var transposedMatrix = [];
  for (var i = 0; i < matrix[0].length; i++) {
    var newRow = [];
    for (var j = 0; j < matrix.length; j++) {
      newRow.push(matrix[j][i]);
    }
    transposedMatrix.push(newRow);
  }
  return transposedMatrix;
}

```

Listing 4.6: Querying in situ WKT location

# Chapter 5

## Modular Approach

This chapter describes a theoretical modular approach for the implementation of this thesis' proposed [LDBIM](#) viewer and associated culling techniques. This design principle consists of separate, independent modules, with each module responsible for a specific functionality of the framework. They are designed to be compatible with any web development framework. The modular approach is important for the following reasons:

- **Extendability:** The modular approach makes it easy to extend the framework with new functionalities, allowing for the addition of new features without altering existing ones.
- **Maintainability:** Modules form meaningful units, making it easy to maintain and update the framework. The structure is easily readable, enabling targeted updates or maintenance efforts.
- **Reusability:** The reusability of modules allows them to be implemented in other projects. This section proposes the role each module should have in the framework, facilitating extrapolation to other web development frameworks.

The modules are designed to be specific to both the culling and the [LDBIM](#) viewer. The theoretical model proposed in this thesis is informed by practical experiences and observations gained through the development of the prototype.

The main module, the viewer, and its requirements (Section [4.1](#)) have already been discussed in Chapter [4](#).

### 5.1 Data fetching

This section discusses the modules responsible for retrieving external data. Two sub-modules can be identified: the [SPARQL](#) fetcher and the database fetcher. The [SPARQL](#)

fetcher handles communication with the [RDF](#) database and [SPARQL](#) endpoint, while the database fetcher manages communication with external databases where geometry files may be stored. The primary function of these modules, with respect to data fetching, involves authentication and error handling for requests.

Authentication and error handling are crucial when facilitating communication between multiple web instances, such as the website, database, and [SPARQL](#) endpoint. By incorporating these functionalities into separate modules, the framework can be adapted to suit the requirements of each use case. This allows for different authentication methods and error handling procedures to be employed, depending on the technology used by each web instance.

### 5.1.1 [SPARQL](#) fetcher

This module handles the back and forth communication with the [RDF](#) database and [SPARQL](#) endpoint. It should do this on two occasions:

- When the [SPARQL](#) query is updated, fetching the results of the query from the [SPARQL](#) endpoint. This includes the metadata of every given entity provided by the [SPARQL](#) endpoint for the cache manager.
- When the entities are approved by the cache manager, fetching the geometry literals from the [RDF](#) database, via the [SPARQL](#) endpoint.

As mentioned above, the [SPARQL](#) fetcher retrieves data from the [SPARQL](#) endpoint and is not an endpoint itself. This is done to offload the querying from the viewer, which is a resource-intensive task. It also implies that this module is responsible for the authentication of the connection, error handling, and result interpretation. Metadata about entities is dispatched from this module to the cache manager, waiting for approval before a new query is sent for the retrieval of geometry literals. Once loaded, these literals are dispatched to the viewer for rendering.

Serving two main functions but only communicating with one web instance, the choice was made to combine the two functions into one module. This allows for sharing the same authentication and error handling procedures.

### 5.1.2 Database fetcher

This module, triggered by the cache manager, retrieves the geometry files from an external file server/database. Similar to the [SPARQL](#) fetcher, it is responsible for authentication and error handling. It is also responsible for the interpretation of the results, dispatching the geometry files to the viewer for rendering.



## 5.2 Cache manager

The cache manager is responsible for managing the cache. It is the module that decides which entities are to be cached and which are to be removed from the cache and subsequently from the viewer. This module is crucial for the performance of the framework, as it determines which entities are to be added and which are to be removed from the viewer. It is also responsible for maintaining the integrity of the metadata about entities in the viewer, ensuring that the cache is not filled with outdated metadata.

It therefore evaluates if results from the [SPARQL](#) fetcher already exist in the viewer/cache, and if so, whether they are up to date. If the results are not up to date or propose a new entity, the cache manager will trigger the fetching of the new geometry by the appropriate fetcher. The cache manager is also responsible for keeping track of the entities in the viewer and removing them when they are no longer relevant or outdated.

This last functionality requires the use of a cache replacement algorithm. The algorithm determines which entities are to be removed from the viewer, based on a set of rules such as: the number of entities in the viewer, the time since the entity was last viewed, the number of times the entity was viewed, etc. To illustrate the functionality, the following section will discuss the Least Recently Used ([LRU](#)) algorithm. This algorithm was chosen because of its simplicity and ease of implementation. It is also a popular algorithm for cache replacement and is therefore a good starting point for the development of the framework. Some other possible algorithms are, for example, the Least Frequently Used ([LFU](#)), First In First Out ([FIFO](#)), Last In First Out ([LIFO](#)), and Most Recently Used ([MRU](#)) algorithms (“(PDF) Cache Replacement Algorithm”, [n.d.](#)). These algorithms are not discussed in this thesis but are possible candidates for future research, as they may be better suited for the framework.

### 5.2.1 [LRU](#) algorithm

As a possible cache replacement algorithm, the [LRU](#) algorithm limits the number of entities allowed in the viewer. It can be interpreted as a list in which new entities are added at the front of the list, and existing entries are also moved back to the front. The addition of a new entity thus shifts the existing entities back in the list. When an existing entity is viewed again, it moves back to the front of the list without shifting the tail of the list. When the list is full, the entity at the end of the list is removed from the viewer, as it is the least recently used entity (“(PDF) Cache Replacement Algorithm”, [n.d.](#)).

To implement this algorithm, the cache manager needs to store the metadata of each new entity, or if the entity already exists, compare the new metadata about it and move it to the front of the list, triggering the fetching modules.

## 5.3 Query processing

The query processing module proposed by this thesis comprises multiple sub-modules aiming to assemble the [SPARQL](#) query from different sources, such as the [UI](#), culling algorithm, and other user-specific settings.

### 5.3.1 Query builder

To facilitate the construction of the [SPARQL](#) query, the query builder module is responsible for assembling the query from the different requirements stated by the culling algorithms, thereby constructing a query that complies with the various algorithms in one. It concatenates the abstractions stated by these algorithms into one coherent query, reducing the load on the [SPARQL](#) fetcher.

The sub-functions or culling algorithms of this module need access to the rest of the framework. As illustrated in Sections [4.3](#), [4.4](#), and [4.5](#), it will require communication with the viewer to retrieve the camera position and execute 3D operations.

### 5.3.2 Query composer

As the [UI](#) interactions can override the query generated by the building module, the query composer module is responsible for combining the query from the query builder with the query parameters from the [UI](#). Performing a final check on the query, it ensures that the query is valid and ready to be sent to the [SPARQL](#) fetcher.

By separating this module from the builder, the builder can focus on the construction of the culling query, while the composer can concentrate on the combination of the query with the [UI](#) parameters apart from the culling query.

## 5.4 Interactions

All the modules come together in one flexible framework illustrated in Figure [5.1](#). Four main modules create the proposed framework. The query processing module, once fed with data from both the cache manager and viewer, concatenates the different sub-modules to the query composer, creating a [SPARQL](#) query. This query creation triggers a first request to the [SPARQL](#) endpoint, which returns metadata about the needed entities. Once approved by the cache manager, to avoid constantly reloading the geometry data, it gets dispatched to the [SPARQL](#) or database fetcher. The retrieved geometry data is then sent to the viewer for rendering. Afterward, the cycle starts again, feeding viewer and cache manager data to the dynamic culling query algorithms.




Figure 5.1: Conceptual diagram of the interactions between the modules.

## 5.5 Sequences

# Chapter 6

## Prototype




Figure 6.1: Conceptual diagram of the interactions between the moduwithin the prototype, based on Figure 5.1.

## 6.1 Database design

## 6.2 Web Development Stack

### 6.2.1 Next.js

### 6.2.2 Recoil

### 6.2.3 lru\_map

### 6.2.4 fetch-sparql-endpoint

### 6.2.5 Xeokit-[SDK](#)

### 6.2.6 [UI](#) tools

#### 6.2.6.1 Tailwindcss

#### 6.2.6.2 Radix

#### 6.2.6.3 Material UI

Chapter 7

Conclusion

## Chapter 8

### Future Work

# List of Acronyms

<b>AABB</b>	Axis Aligned Bounding Box . . . . .	25
<b>AEC</b>	Architecture, Engineering and Construction . . . . .	1
<b>ASCII</b>	American Standard Code for Information Interchange . . . . .	13
<b>BCF</b>	BIM Collaboration Format . . . . .	18
<b>bcfOWL</b>	BIM Collaboration Format Ontology . . . . .	18
<b>BIM</b>	Building Information Modelling . . . . .	1
<b>BOT</b>	Building Topology Ontology . . . . .	12
<b>BVH</b>	Bounding Volume Hierarchy . . . . .	12
<b>CHC</b>	Coherent Hierarchical Culling algorithm . . . . .	12
<b>CPU</b>	Central Processing Unit . . . . .	15
<b>DC</b>	Drop Culling . . . . .	15
<b>ES5</b>	ECMAScript 2009 . . . . .	26
<b>FIFO</b>	First In First Out . . . . .	30
<b>FOG</b>	File Ontology for Geometry formats . . . . .	12
<b>GIS</b>	Geographic Information System . . . . .	4
<b>GML</b>	Geography Markup Language . . . . .	15
<b>GPU</b>	Graphics Processing Unit . . . . .	16
<b>HAGI</b>	Hardware Accelerated Geometry Instancing . . . . .	15
<b>HHD</b>	Hand Held Device . . . . .	3
<b>IFC</b>	Industry Foundation Classes . . . . .	4
<b>JSON</b>	JavaScript Object Notation . . . . .	11
<b>LBD-CG</b>	Linked Building Data Community Group . . . . .	1
<b>LDBIM</b>	Linked Data BIM . . . . .	1
<b>LFU</b>	Least Frequently Used . . . . .	30
<b>LIFO</b>	Last In First Out . . . . .	30
<b>LOD</b>	Level of Detail . . . . .	4
<b>LRU</b>	Least Recently Used . . . . .	30
<b>MEP</b>	Mechanical, Electrical and Plumbing . . . . .	9
<b>MRU</b>	Most Recently Used . . . . .	30



<b>NOHC</b>	Near Optimal Hierarchical Culling . . . . .	16
<b>OC</b>	Occlusion Culling . . . . .	15
<b>OGC</b>	Open Geospatial Consortium . . . . .	14
<b>OMG</b>	Ontology for Managing Geometry . . . . .	12
<b>OWL</b>	Web Ontology Language . . . . .	7
<b>RAM</b>	Random Access Memory . . . . .	16
<b>RDF</b>	Resource Description Framework . . . . .	5
<b>RDFS</b>	Resource Description Framework Schema . . . . .	7
<b>SDK</b>	Software Development Kit	
<b>SPARQL</b>	SPARQL Protocol and RDF Query Language . . . . .	7
<b>SQL</b>	Structured Query Language . . . . .	7
<b>UI</b>	User Interface . . . . .	11
<b>URI</b>	Uniform Resource Identifier . . . . .	6
<b>VFC</b>	View Frustum Culling . . . . .	15
<b>VRAM</b>	Video Random Access Memory . . . . .	16
<b>W3C</b>	World Wide Web Consortium . . . . .	5
<b>WKT</b>	Well-Known Text . . . . .	15
<b>XML</b>	Extensible Markup Language . . . . .	13
<b>XSD</b>	XML Schema Definition . . . . .	13

# References

- (pdf) *cache replacement algorithm*. (n.d.). [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/353635256\\_Cache\\_Replacement\\_Algorithm](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/353635256_Cache_Replacement_Algorithm)
- Bittner, J., Wimmer, M., Piringer, H., & Purgathofer, W. (2004). Coherent hierarchical culling: Hardware occlusion queries made useful. *Computer Graphics Forum*, 23, 615–624. <https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1467-86>
- Bonduel, M., Wagner, A., Pauwels, P., Vergauwen, M., & Klein, R. (2019). *Including widespread geometry formats in semantic graphs using rdf literals*. <http://lib.ugent.be/catalog/pug01:8633665>
- Cohen-Or, D., Chrysanthou, Y., Silva, C., & Durand, F. (2003). A survey of visibility for walkthrough applications. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 9, 412–431. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TVCG.2003.1207447>
- Holten Rasmussen, M., Lefrançois, M., Bonduel, M., Anker Hviid, C., & Karlshøj, J. (2018). Opm: An ontology for describing properties that evolve over time. *CEUR Workshop Proceedings*, 2159, 24–33.
- In collaboration with: Level of development specification. (2015). [www.bimforum.org/lod](http://www.bimforum.org/lod)
- Johansson, M., & Roupé, M. (2009). *Efficient real-time rendering of building information models*. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/220758081>
- Johansson, M., Roupé, M., & Bosch-Sijtsema, P. (2015). Real-time visualization of building information models (bim). *Automation in Construction*, 54, 69–82. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2015.03.018>
- Mattausch, O., Bittner, J., & Wimmer, M. (2008a). Chc++: Coherent hierarchical culling revisited. *Computer Graphics Forum*, 27, 221–230. <https://www.academia.edu/14388994>
- Mattausch, O., Bittner, J., & Wimmer, M. (2008b). Chc++: Coherent hierarchical culling revisited. *Computer Graphics Forum*, 27, 221–230. <https://www.academia.edu/14388994>
- Perry, M., & Herring, J. (2012). *Ogc geosparql - a geographic query language for rdf data* (OGC Implementation Standard 11-052r4). Open Geospatial Consortium. <http://www.opengis.net/doc/IS/geosparql/1.0>

- Rasmussen, M. H., Lefrançois, M., Schneider, G., & Pauwels, P. (2020). Bot: The building topology ontology of the w3c linked building data group. *Semantic Web*, 11, 1–20. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/342802332>
- Schulz, O., Oraskari, J., & Beetz, J. (2021). Bcfowl: A bim collaboration ontology.
- Werbrouck, J. (2018). *Linking data : Semantic enrichment of the existing building geometry*. <http://lib.ugent.be/catalog/rug01:002494740>

# Referenced websites

- Bim collaboration format (bcf) - buildingsmart technical.* (n.d.). <https://technical.buildingsmart.org/standards/bcf/>
- Bonduel, M., Wagner, A., & Pauwels, P. (2020). *Fog: File ontology for geometry formats.* <https://mathib.github.io/fog-ontology/>
- Carrol, J. J., & Pan, J. Z. (2006). *Xml schema datatypes in rdf and owl.* <https://www.w3.org/TR/swbp-xsch-datatypes/#sec-xm1s-dt>
- LBD-CG. (2022). *A list of ontologies related to linked building data.* <https://github.com/w3c-lbd-cg/ontologies>
- Linietzky, J., Manzur, A., & the Godot community. (2023). *Portal — documentation de godot engine (stable) en français.* [https://docs.godotengine.org/fr/stable/classes/class\\_portal.html](https://docs.godotengine.org/fr/stable/classes/class_portal.html)
- OGC. (2023a). *Geosparql - a geographic query language for rdf data.* <https://www.ogc.org/standard/geosparql/>
- OGC. (2023b). *Open geospatial consortium.* <https://www.ogc.org/>
- Ontotext. (2022). *What is sparql?* <https://www.ontotext.com/knowledgehub/fundamentals/what-is-sparql/>
- Rasmussen, M. H., & Schlachter, A. (n.d.). *Ld-bim - bim meets linked data.* <https://ld-bim.web.app/>
- Unity. (2023). *Manual: Memory in unity webgl.* <https://docs.unity3d.com/2023.2/Documentation/Manual/webgl-memory.html>
- W3C. (2015a). *Semantic web: Inference.* <https://www.w3.org/standards/semanticweb/inference>
- W3C. (2015b). *Semantic web: Linked data.* <https://www.w3.org/standards/semanticweb/data>
- W3C. (2015c). *Semantic web: Query.* <https://www.w3.org/standards/semanticweb/query>
- W3C. (2023). *Linked building data community group.* <https://www.w3.org/community/lbd/>
- What is bcf - bimcollab.* (n.d.). <https://www.bimcollab.com/en/resources/openbim/about-bcf/>

# Appendix A

## Prototype

```
src/  
├── components/  
│   ├── index.ts  
│   ├── Viewer.tsx  
│   ├── Navbar.tsx  
│   ├── QueryPannel.tsx  
│   ├── Queryinput.tsx  
│   ├── Divider.tsx  
│   └── Button.tsx  
├── modules/  
│   ├── atoms/  
│   │   ├── index.ts  
│   │   ├── cleanStart.ts  
│   │   ├── endpoint.ts  
│   │   ├── query.ts  
│   │   ├── lru.ts  
│   │   └── ui.ts  
│   ├── automations/  
│   │   ├── index.ts  
│   │   ├── useAutomations.ts  
│   │   ├── BOT.ts  
│   │   ├── GeoSPARQL.ts  
│   │   └── OBJ.ts  
│   ├── viewer/  
│   │   ├── index.ts  
│   │   ├── types.ts  
│   │   ├── useInitViewer.ts  
│   │   └── useLoadGeometry.ts  
│   ├── fetchSPARQL.ts  
│   ├── useCacheManagement.ts  
│   └── refTypes.ts  
└── pages/  
    └── index.tsx
```

## A.1 Automations

### A.1.1 useAutomations

```
import { useEffect } from "react";
import { useRecoilValue, useSetRecoilState } from "recoil";
import { autoMode, query } from "~/modules/atoms";
import { RefLRU, RefViewer } from "../refTypes";
import GeoSPARQLauto from "../GeoSPARQL";
import OBJauto from "../OBJ";

export default function useAutomations(viewer: RefViewer, LRU: RefLRU):
  ↪ void {
  const mode = useRecoilValue(autoMode);
  const setQuery = useSetRecoilState(query);

  useEffect(() => {
    let automation: NodeJS.Timer | undefined;

    switch (mode) {
      case "GEO":
        automation = GeoSPARQLauto(viewer, setQuery);
        break;
      case "BOT":
        // BOTauto(viewer, setQuery);
        break;
      case "OBJ":
        automation = OBJauto(viewer, setQuery);
        break;
      default:
        console.log("manual mode");
    }

    return () => {
      if (automation) clearInterval(automation);
    };
  }, [mode]);
}

export {};
```

## A.1.2 BOT

```
import { Viewer } from "@xeokit/xeokit-sdk";
import { RefLRU, RefViewer } from "../refTypes";

function findRoom(viewer: RefViewer, lru: RefLRU, position: number[]) {
  const down = [0, -1, 0];
  const up = [0, 1, 0];

  const rooms = <string[]>[];
  lru.current?.forEach((value, key) => {
    if (value.botType === "Space") {
      rooms.push(key);
    }
  });
}

function pick(direction: number[]) {
  return viewer.current?.scene.pick({
    origin: position,
    direction: direction,
    pickSurface: true,
    includeEntities: rooms,
  });
}

const resultDown = pick(down);
const resultUp = pick(up);

if (resultDown?.entity === resultUp?.entity) {
  return resultDown?.entity?.id;
}
}

export default function BOTauto(
  viewer: React.MutableRefObject<Viewer | undefined>,
  setQuery: (query: string) => void
): NodeJS.Timer {
  return setInterval(() => {
    const eye = viewer.current?.scene.camera.eye;
    if (eye && eye[0] && eye[2]) {
      const xcoord = Math.round(eye[0] * 1000).toString();
      const ycoord = Math.round(-eye[2] * 1000).toString();
      console.log(xcoord, ycoord);
      setQuery(`
PREFIX bot: <https://w3id.org/bot#>
PREFIX fog: <https://w3id.org/fog#>
PREFIX rdf: <http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#>
PREFIX geof: <http://www.opengis.net/def/function/geosparql/>
PREFIX geo: <http://www.opengis.net/ont/geosparql#>
PREFIX xsd: <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#>
```

```

PREFIX inst:<https://172.16.10.122:8080/projects/1001/>

SELECT ?entity ?fog_geometry ?datatype
WHERE {
  {
    ?entity geo:asWKT ?entityWKT .
    FILTER(geof:sfWithin("POINT(${xcoord} ${ycoord})", ?entityWKT))
  }
  UNION
  {
    # elements in the room
    ?room rdf:type bot:Space .
    ?room geo:asWKT ?roomWKT .
    FILTER(geof:sfWithin("POINT(${xcoord} ${ycoord})", ?roomWKT))

    # get elements in the room
    ?room bot:containsElement|bot:adjacentElement ?entity .
  }
  FILTER NOT EXISTS { ?entity rdf:type bot:Space }
  ?entity ?fog_geometry ?geometryData .
  FILTER(?fog_geometry IN (fog:asStl))
  BIND(DATATYPE(?geometryData) AS ?datatype)
  FILTER(?datatype = xsd:anyURI)
}
LIMIT 20
  `);
}
}, 1000);
}

```

### A.1.3 GeoSPARQL

```

import { Viewer } from "@xeokit/xeokit-sdk";
import { RefViewer } from "../refTypes";

export default function GeoSPARQLauto(
  viewer: RefViewer,
  setQuery: (query: string) => void
): NodeJS.Timer {
  return setInterval(() => {
    const eye = viewer.current?.scene.camera.eye;
    if (eye && eye[0] && eye[2]) {
      const xcoord = Math.round(eye[0] * 1000).toString();
      const ycoord = Math.round(-eye[2] * 1000).toString();
      console.log(xcoord, ycoord);
      setQuery(`
PREFIX bot: <https://w3id.org/bot#>

```



```

PREFIX fog: <https://w3id.org/fog#>
PREFIX rdf: <http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#>
PREFIX geof: <http://www.opengis.net/def/function/geosparql/>
PREFIX geo: <http://www.opengis.net/ont/geosparql#>
PREFIX xsd: <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#>
PREFIX inst:<https://172.16.10.122:8080/projects/1001/>

SELECT ?entity ?fog_geometry ?datatype
WHERE {
  {
    ?entity geo:asWKT ?entityWKT .
    FILTER(geof:sfWithin("POINT($xcoord $ycoord)", ?entityWKT))
  }
  UNION
  {
    # elements in the room
    ?room rdf:type bot:Space .
    ?room geo:asWKT ?roomWKT .
    FILTER(geof:sfWithin("POINT($xcoord $ycoord)", ?roomWKT))

    # get elements in the room
    ?room bot:containsElement|bot:adjacentElement ?entity .
  }
  FILTER NOT EXISTS { ?entity rdf:type bot:Space }
  ?entity ?fog_geometry ?geometryData .
  FILTER(?fog_geometry IN (fog:asStl))
  BIND(DATATYPE(?geometryData) AS ?datatype)
  FILTER(?datatype = xsd:anyURI)
}
LIMIT 20
    `);
  }
}, 1000);
}

```

## A.1.4 OBJ

```
import { RefViewer } from "../refTypes";

export default function OBJauto(
  viewer: RefViewer,
  setQuery: (query: string) => void
): NodeJS.Timer {
  return setInterval(() => {
    const eye = viewer.current?.scene.camera.eye;
    if (eye && eye[0] && eye[2] && eye[1]) {
      const xcoord = Math.round(eye[0] * 1000).toString();
      const ycoord = Math.round(-eye[2] * 1000).toString();
      const zcoord = Math.round(eye[1] * 1000).toString();
      setQuery(`
PREFIX bot: <https://w3id.org/bot#>
PREFIX fog: <https://w3id.org/fog#>
PREFIX rdf: <http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#>
PREFIX geof: <http://www.opengis.net/def/function/geosparql/>
PREFIX geo: <http://www.opengis.net/ont/geosparql#>
PREFIX xsd: <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#>
PREFIX inst: <https://172.16.10.122:8080/projects/1001/>
PREFIX jsfn: <http://www.ontotext.com/js#>

SELECT ?entity ?fog_geometry ?datatype ?botType
WHERE {
  BIND("${xcoord},${ycoord},${zcoord}" as ?position)

  # select the room
  ?room a bot:Space .
  ?room fog:asObj ?obj .
  BIND(DATATYPE(?obj) AS ?type)
  FILTER(?type = xsd:string)
  FILTER(jsfn:insideObjAABBox(?obj, ?position))

  # select the entities in the room
  ?room bot:containsElement|bot:adjacentElement ?entity .
  ?entity ?fog_geometry ?geometryData .
  FILTER(?fog_geometry IN (fog:asStl))
  BIND(DATATYPE(?geometryData) AS ?datatype)
  FILTER(?datatype = xsd:anyURI)
  ?entity rdf:type ?botType .
  FILTER(STRSTARTS(str(?botType), "https://w3id.org/bot#"))
}
LIMIT 20
`);
  }, 1000);
}
```

# Appendix B

## Python scripts

### B.1 WKT visualisation

```
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import rdflib

levels = [
    "inst:level_1xS3Bck291UvhgP2dvNMKI",
    "inst:level_1xS3Bck291UvhgP2dvNMQJ",
    "inst:level_1xS3Bck291UvhgP2dvNsgp",
    "inst:level_1xS3Bck291UvhgP2dvNtSE"
]

data = {}

for level in levels:
    g = rdflib.Graph()
    qres = g.query("""
        PREFIX props: <https://w3id.org/props#>
        PREFIX rdf: <http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#>
        PREFIX bot: <https://w3id.org/bot#>
        PREFIX inst: <https://172.16.10.122:8080/projects/1001/>
        SELECT ?s ?o
        WHERE {
            SERVICE <http://localhost:7200/repositories/duplex-v1> {
                ?s props:hasSimple2DBoundary ?o .
                ?s rdf:type bot:Space .
                """ + str(level) + """ bot:hasSpace ?s.
            }
        }
        LIMIT 100
        """)
    rooms = {}
```

```

for row in qres:
    polygon_string = row.o.n3()
    polygon_string = polygon_string.replace('POLYGON (',
    ↪ '').replace('()', '').replace(')', '').replace(
    ↪ '', '').replace('^^<http://www.opengis.net/ont/geosparql#wkt',
    ↪ Literal>',
    ↪ '')
    coordinate_pairs = polygon_string.split(', ')
    coordinates = [(int(pair.split(' ')[0]), int(pair.split(' ')[1]))
    ↪ for pair in coordinate_pairs]
    row.o.n3()
    room = row.s.n3().replace(
    ↪ '<https://172.16.10.122:8080/projects/1001/',
    ↪ '').replace('>', '')
    rooms[room] = coordinates
data[level] = rooms

```

```

def showLevel(level):
    level = data[level]
    plt.figure()
    for room, polygon in level.items():
        xs, ys = zip(*polygon)
        plt.plot(xs, ys, label=room)
    plt.axis('equal')
    plt.legend(bbox_to_anchor=(1, 1))
    plt.show()

```

```


for level in levels:
    print(level)
    print(data[level].keys())
    showLevel(level)

```


```

textttt{inst:level_1xS3Bck291UvhgP2dvNMKI
dict_keys(['room_1xS3Bck291UvhgP2dvNvkw', 'room_1xS3Bck291UvhgP2dvNvk7',
↪ 'room_1xS3Bck291UvhgP2dvNvk0', 'room_1xS3Bck291UvhgP2dvNvkD',
↪ 'room_1xS3Bck291UvhgP2dvNcNR', 'room_1xS3Bck291UvhgP2dvNc0a',
↪ 'room_1xS3Bck291UvhgP2dvNcOX', 'room_1xS3Bck291UvhgP2dvNcOY',
↪ 'room_1xS3Bck291UvhgP2dvNb9h', 'room_1xS3Bck291UvhgP2dvNbEa'])}


```



```
inst:level_1xS3Bck291UvhgP2dvNMQJ
dict_keys(['room_1xS3Bck291UvhgP2dvNvkK', 'room_1xS3Bck291UvhgP2dvNvkG',
↪ 'room_1xS3Bck291UvhgP2dvNvkT', 'room_1xS3Bck291UvhgP2dvNvkU',
↪ 'room_1xS3Bck291UvhgP2dvNcOe', 'room_1xS3Bck291UvhgP2dvNcOq',
↪ 'room_1xS3Bck291UvhgP2dvNcOn', 'room_1xS3Bck291UvhgP2dvNcOo',
↪ 'room_1xS3Bck291UvhgP2dvNdjF', 'room_1xS3Bck291UvhgP2dvNdjS'])
```



```
inst:level_1xS3Bck291UvhgP2dvNtSE
dict_keys(['room_1xS3Bck291UvhgP2dvNbq0'])
```



```
def showRoom(level, room):
    polygon = data[level][room]
    plt.figure()
    xs, ys = zip(*polygon)
    plt.plot(xs, ys)
    plt.axis('equal')
    plt.show()
showRoom("inst:level_1xS3Bck291UvhgP2dvNMKI",
↪      "room_1xS3Bck291UvhgP2dvNvkw")
```

