## Stronger Together: Female Export Consortia - Table Overview

Florian Muench, Amira Bouziri, Fabian Scheifele

October 25, 2024

## 1 Regression Tables

Table 1: Networks: Size

	(1) All persons	(2) CEOs	(3) Male CEOs	(4) Female CEOs	(5) Friend/ Family	(6) Male Friend Family	(7) / Female Friend/Family
		Panel A:	Intention-t	o-treat (IT	$\Gamma$ )		
Treatment	3.3** (1.41)	2.0** (0.92)	$0.5 \\ (0.36)$	1.4** (0.72)	1.0 (0.60)	$0.3 \\ (0.32)$	0.8** (0.32)
	Panel I	3: Treatme	nt Effect o	n the Treat	ed (TOT)		
Consortium member	5.8*** (2.09)	3.6*** (1.38)	0.9* (0.54)	2.5** (1.07)	1.7* (0.90)	$0.6 \\ (0.48)$	1.4*** (0.49)
Control group mean	8.22	4.75	1.80	2.91	3.38	1.54	1.65
Control group SD	8.23	5.43	3.05	4.27	3.44	1.54	2.13
Observations	119	119	119	119	119	117	117
Strata controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
BL controls	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No

Notes: The outcome is the number of people with whom the female entrepreneurs discuss business regularly in the past three months. All variables are winsorised at the  $95^{th}$  percentile as pre-specified. Panel A reports ANCOVA estimates as defined in ?. Panel B documents IV estimates, instrumenting take-up with treatment assignment. Standard errors are clustered on the firm-level for the control group and on the consortium-level for the treatment group following ? and reported in parentheses. Each specification includes controls for randomization strata and baseline values of the outcome variable when available. \*\*\* p < 0.01, \*\* p < 0.05, \* p < 0.1 denote the significance level.

Table 2: Networks: Use

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
	Manage-	Innovatio	n Evport	Referral	Joint	Emotional	Other
	ment	Innovation Export		neierrai	bid	support	use
	Pa	anel A: Int	ention-to-ti	reat (ITT)			
Treatment	0.23**	0.21***	0.28***	0.12	0.19**	0.27***	-0.03
	(0.09)	(0.06)	(0.08)	(0.11)	(0.09)	(0.10)	(0.10)
Panel B: Treatment Effect on the Treated (TOT)							
Consortium participant	0.39***	0.36***	0.47***	0.20	0.32**	0.46***	-0.06
	(0.12)	(0.09)	(0.11)	(0.16)	(0.13)	(0.14)	(0.14)
Control group mean	0.58	0.74	0.52	0.58	0.42	0.48	0.20
Control group SD	0.50	0.44	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.40
Observations	112	112	112	112	112	112	112
Strata controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
BL controls	No	No	No	No	No	No	No

Notes: All outcomes are based on a binary yes-no-question whether the entrepreneur either shares, e.g., management practices, innovation ideas, export experience with or has made or received a referral, emotional support vis-a-vis business and exports risks and uncertainty or participated in a joint contract bid with other entrepreneurs. Panel A reports ANCOVA estimates as defined in ?. Panel B documents IV estimates, instrumenting take-up with treatment assignment. Standard errors are clustered on the firm-level for the control group and on the consortium-level for the treatment group following ? and reported in parentheses. Each specification includes controls for randomization strata. \*\*\* p < 0.01, \*\* p < 0.05, \* p < 0.1 denote the significance level.

Table 3: Export: Market Access Intensive and Extensive Margins

	(1)	(2)
	Exported (Yes $= 1$ )	N. of Export countries
	Panel A: Intention-to-treat (l	ITT)
Treatment	0.165**	0.329
	(0.064)	(0.228)
Consortium member	Panel B: Treatment Effect on the Treatment $0.281****$ $(0.094)$	0.560* (0.337)
EL control group mean	0.26	1.02
EL control group SD	0.44	1.55
Observations	127	127
BL controls	Yes	Yes
Strata controls	Yes	Yes

Notes: The outcome variable 'Exported' is based on firms' survey response to whether they exported in 2023 or the first six month of 2024. The 'Export countries' variable is winsorized at the 95th percentile as pre-specified. Panel A reports ANCOVA estimates as defined in ?. Panel B documents IV estimates, instrumenting take-up with treatment assignment. Standard errors are clustered on the firm-level for the control group and on the consortium-level for the treatment group following ? and reported in parentheses. Each specification includes controls for randomization strata and baseline values of the outcome variable. \*\*\* p < 0.01, \*\* p < 0.05, \* p < 0.1 denote the significance level.

Table 4: Business Performance: Sales

	(1)	(2)	(3)
	Total Sales	Domestic Sales	Export Sales
	Panel A: Inter	ntion-to-treat (ITT)	
Treatment	0.915*	0.911	1.017
	(0.485)	(0.672)	(0.797)
Consortium member	1.518**	ffect on the Treated (TOT)  1.500	1.710
Consortium member	(0.673)	(0.934)	(1.710) $(1.150)$
Control group mean	11.03	10.09	3.82
Control group SD	4.14	4.67	5.55
Observations	119	119	124
Strata controls	Yes	Yes	Yes
BL controls	Yes	Yes	Yes

Notes: All outcome variables are winsorised at the  $95^{th}$  percentile and inverse hyperbolic sine transormed as pre-specified. 'Total', 'Domestic', and 'Export sales' are in units of Tunisian Dinar before transformation. Panel A reports ANCOVA estimates as defined in ?. Panel B documents IV estimates, instrumenting take-up with treatment assignment. Standard errors are clustered on the firm-level for the control group and on the consortium-level for the treatment group following ? and reported in parentheses. Each specification includes controls for randomization strata and baseline values of the outcome variable. \*\*\* p < 0.01, \*\* p < 0.05, \* p < 0.1 denote the significance level.

Table 5: Business Performance: Profits, Costs, and Employment

	(1)	(2)	(3)
	Profits	Costs	N. of Employees
	Panel A: Inten	tion-to-treat (ITT)	
Treatment	-0.094	0.911	-0.075
	(1.376)	(0.665)	(0.120)
Consortium member	-0.157	1.516	-0.127
Consortium member	-0.157 $(1.954)$	1.516 $(0.941)$	-0.127 (0.176)
	(1.001)	(0.011)	(0.110)
Control group mean	4.94	10.57	2.18
Control group SD	8.98	4.89	1.08
Observations	119	119	124
Strata controls	Yes	Yes	Yes
BL controls	Yes	Yes	Yes

Notes: All outcome variables are winsorised at the  $95^{th}$  percentile and inverse hyperbolic sine transormed as pre-specified. 'Profits' and 'Costs' are in units of Tunisian Dinar before transformation. 'Costs' values are calculated by substracting profits from total sales. Panel A reports ANCOVA estimates as defined in ?. Panel B documents IV estimates, instrumenting take-up with treatment assignment. Standard errors are clustered on the firm-level for the control group and on the consortium-level for the treatment group following ? and reported in parentheses. Each specification includes controls for randomization strata and baseline values of the outcome variable. \*\*\* p < 0.01, \*\* p < 0.05, \* p < 0.1 denote the significance level.