

Problem Set 8

Due Friday, October 11th by 5pm

(20 points per question. Please scan and upload to Canvas as a PDF)

Collaborators: Filipe Abrau

Note: Complete the following steps for two of the following three problems given below:

- (I) Regiment the argument in \mathcal{L}^{FOL} . (5pts)
 - (II) State whether the argument is valid or invalid. (5pts)
 - (III) If the argument is invalid, provide a countermodel along with a semantic argument that proves that the argument is invalid. If the argument is valid, provide a semantic argument that proves that it is valid. (10pts)
1. Laura is in love with the sun. Who loves the sun doesn't have a broken heart. It follows that Laura doesn't have a broken heart.

Proof

(I)

Lx : x is in love with the sun

Bx : x has a broken heart

$\text{laura} = l$

Ll

$(\forall x)(Lx \rightarrow \neg Bx)$

$\neg Bl$

(II) Argument is valid

(III) Proof:

1. Assume a \mathcal{L}^{FOL} model $M = \{\mathbb{D}, I\}$ where $V_I(Ll) = 1$.
2. It follows that $\{l\} \in I(L)$ and $\{l\} \in \mathbb{D}^1$ and $l \in \mathbb{D}$
3. Considering some v.a. \hat{a} where $\hat{a}(x) = l$ then $Ll \rightarrow \neg Bl$ and therefore $V_I(Ll) \rightarrow V_I(\neg Bl)$.
4. Knowing that $V_I(Ll) = 1$ in our model, we know that $1 \rightarrow V_I(\neg Bl)$
5. Based on the semantics of \rightarrow we then know that the previous expression equates to $V_I(\neg Bl)$
6. Therefore we can conclude that $\neg Bl$ is proven by the premises \square

2. All who exalted, was converted by a believer. Thus some believer converted someone who exalted.

Proof

(I)

Ex : x exalted

Cxy : x converted y

Bx : x is a believer

$(\forall x)(Ex \rightarrow (\exists y)(By \wedge Cyx))$

$(\exists x)((\exists y)(By \wedge Ex \wedge Cyx))$

(II) Argument is invalid in case when no one exalted

(III) Proof:

1. Assume a \mathcal{L}^{FOL} model $M = \{\mathbb{D}, I\}$ such that $V_I((\forall x)(\neg Ex)) = 1$.
2. It follows that $V_I^{\hat{a}}((\forall x)(\neg Ex)) = 1$ for all v.a. including \hat{a} defined over \mathbb{D} .
3. Accordingly, $V_I^{\hat{a}}(\neg Ea) = 1$ and from the semantics, $V_I^{\hat{a}}(Ea) = 0$.
4. Using the semantics for \rightarrow we can conclude that $0 \rightarrow \dots = 1$
5. Therefore $V_I^{\hat{a}}(Ea \rightarrow (\exists y)(By \wedge Cya)) = 1$
6. Assuming \hat{c} where $V_I^{\hat{c}}(Bc \wedge Ea \wedge Cca) = 1$.
7. Using the semantics of \wedge , $V_I^{\hat{a}}(Ea) = 1$
8. However, previously, $V_I^{\hat{a}}(Ea) = 0$.
9. Therefore argument fails this model and is invalid \square

3. Hesperus is rising. Hesperus is Phosphorus. Therefore Phosphorus is rising.