24.141 PSet 2

Miguel Flores-Acton

September 20, 2024

Collaborators

Filipe Abreu Tyler Procor

Q1

" ψ " is a sentence letter by itself and can be inferred as just what it evaluates to.

 $\lceil \neg \psi \rceil$ is multiple sentence letters, so we have to use corner quotes to emphasize that we want the value of the sentence letters as an expression, not the raw letters.

$\mathbf{Q2}$

"Sam is flying to Europe" is a sentence and is an expression.

"Sam" is "flying to Europe is a sentence" and is an expression.

$\mathbf{Q3}$

\mathbf{A}

$$\phi \vee \psi := \neg(\neg \phi \wedge \neg \psi)$$

В

$$\phi \to \psi := \neg (\phi \land \neg \psi)$$

\mathbf{C}

$$\phi \leftrightarrow \psi := \neg(\neg(\phi \land \psi) \land \neg(\neg\phi \land \neg\psi))$$

[&]quot;Sam" is "flying to Europe is a sentence and is an expression".

$\mathbf{Q4}$

\mathbf{A}

ϕ	ψ	$\phi \uparrow \psi$
0	0	1
0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	0

\mathbf{B}

$$\begin{array}{l} \phi \wedge \psi := (\phi \uparrow \psi) \uparrow (\phi \uparrow \psi) \\ \neg \phi := \phi \uparrow \phi \end{array}$$

\mathbf{C}

This operator is non-homophonic and also a strange truth table. Also, on top of that, writing some operations feels quite redundant like $\phi \uparrow \phi$ to get a negation. This would just make it a headache to work with, making sentences longer and less intuitive to understand their meaning.

Q_5

\mathbf{A}

```
\begin{aligned} V_I(\varphi \lor \psi) &= V_I(\varphi) + V_I(\psi) \\ V_I(\varphi \to \psi) &= 1 - (V_I(\varphi) \times (1 - V_I(\psi))) \\ V_I(\varphi \lor \psi) &= (V_I(\varphi) \times V_I(\psi)) + ((1 - V_I(\varphi)) \times (1 - V_I(\psi))) \end{aligned}
```

\mathbf{B}

Since the semantics above are derived from homophonic operators, then they can be decomposed to homophonic operators by substitution and are therefore homophonic in disguise.