

A Survey on Modularization and Microservice Candidate Identification in Monolith Systems

Florian Dejonckheere
florian@floriandejonckheere.be

Sampsa Rauti
sjprau@utu.fi
University of Turku
Turku, Finland

Tuomas Mäkilä
tusuma@utu.fi
University of Turku
Turku, Finland

ABSTRACT

This paper dives into the current state of (semi-)automated technologies for modularizing monolithic codebases, with a particular focus in microservices candidate identification. Identification of suitable microservices is a key aspect in transitioning from monoliths to microservices architecture. We conducted a systematic literature review, categorizing existing approaches and techniques that emphasize automation, and discuss the challenges and opportunities in this area. The literature review identified 43 approaches, which we categorized by the type of input used for the identification process, the class(es) of algorithms, and the quality metrics used to evaluate the decomposition. We found that the majority of approaches use static analysis of software lifecycle development artifacts, and that the most common algorithms are based on graph theory. We also found that the most common quality metrics are based on coupling and cohesion. Finally, we discuss the implications of these findings for software development and suggest future research directions.

CCS CONCEPTS

• Security and privacy → Web application security.

KEYWORDS

Software architecture, monolithic architecture, microservice architecture, microservice candidate identification

ACM Reference Format:

Florian Dejonckheere, Sampsa Rauti, and Tuomas Mäkilä. 2024. A Survey on Modularization and Microservice Candidate Identification in Monolith Systems. In *2024 9th International Conference on Information Systems Engineering (ICISE 2024), December 16–18, 2024, Chiang Mai, Thailand*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 1 page. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3641032.3641042>

1 INTRODUCTION

...

2 STUDY SETTING AND METHOD

...

In this study, we conducted a systematic literature review (SLR), as presented by Kitchenham et al. [1].

- used search terms

- criteria for including and excluding papers etc.

3 RESULTS

...

- some meta info, e.g. a bar chart of the publications years
- discuss the papers, maybe in some kinds of thematic categories
- synthesis

4 DISCUSSION

4.1 Key Findings

...

4.2 Implications for Software Development

...

4.3 Limitations and Future Research

...

5 CONCLUSIONS

...

REFERENCES

- [1] Barbara Kitchenham. 2004. Procedures for performing systematic reviews. *Keele, UK, Keele University* 33, 2004 (2004), 1–26.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the owner/author(s).

ICISE 2024, December 16–18, 2024, Chiang Mai, Thailand

© 2024 Copyright held by the owner/author(s).

ACM ISBN 979-8-4007-0917-3/23/12

<https://doi.org/10.1145/3641032.3641042>