Group 00 Transcript

Test group, dry run

Both participants are male

espondent	Text	Annotation
	First recording 50:44	
:00:10.2	So, yeah [pause] I would start with something about the context. That we	[1 issue] What are the
ERSON 1	have to determine who the users of the system are gonna be, stakeholders.	actors?
:00:43.7	Mhm yeah, they are students	[2 actor (AS?)] Student
ERSON 2		
:00:48.8	Yeah but it's, it's for students by students	
ERSON 1		
:00:55.2	[inaudible]	
ERSON 2		
:00:56.4	There's still the teacher and the stakeholder as well	[3 actor (AS?)] Teacher
ERSON 1		
:00:58.6	Yeah	
ERSON 2		
:00:59.3	Because they're probably gonna get graded. Do we have to make	[4 issue] What are the
ERSON 1	assumptions about something for the teacher?	goals of Teacher?
:01:12.7	[inaudible] She want them to learn from practice that	[5 softgoal (AS4)]
ERSON 2		Teacher wants students
		to learn from practice
:01:31.9	So it actually is basically if it works you get a pass. I guess. Right?	[6 task (AS2)] Teacher
ERSON 1		passes students if
		simulation is correct
:01:38.6	No there are these requirements that need to be met	
ERSON 2		
:01:43.7	Yeah well, if you follow the assignment and it works then you get a pass	
ERSON 1	basically. Because you've shown-	
:01:48.8	Well this lists elegance and clarity as a So what is meant by elegance and	[7 critical question
ERSON 2	clarity is kind off an assumption of -	CQ2 for 6]
		Is task "pass students if
		simulation is correct"
		possible?
		[8 answer to 7]: No: the
		requirements list
		"elegance" and "clarity"
		for simulation, so it isn't
		possible to judge
		whether a simulation is
		correct or not.
		[8a remove task 6]

:02:01.8	Yeah that is true yeah, uhm	
ERSON 1		
:02:08.9	Well	
ERSON 2		
:02:13.5	Both in overall solution and envisioned implementation structure, but the	
ERSON 1	envisioned implementation structure isn't really into context or functional	
	view [laugh] so I guess elegance and clarity of the solution	
:02:31.0	Yeah because I was thinking elegance and clarity that that's object-oriented	
ERSON 2	design but that doesn't-	
:02:36.1	No	
ERSON 1		
:02:36.9	Apply to functional or context	
ERSON 2		
:02:41.2	But still we can make an elegant functional viewpoint, split up responsibility	
ERSON 1	and stuff	
:02:49.2	Yeah	
ERSON 2		
:02:50.1	We're going from context now I guess.	
ERSON 1		
:02:59.8	Right, ok	
ERSON 2		
:03:02.5	I'm not really sure when to play cards because it's a discussion	
ERSON 1		
:03:06.7	Yeah	
ERSON 2		
:03:07.8	[laugh]	
ERSON 1		
:03:11.5	So, well let's look at constraints then. So what are the limitations maybe that	
ERSON 2	gives us a good impression of what we can and cannot do. Oh, what do you	
	mean with very simple	
:03:32.8	Well the first thing I noticed about one of the constraints. Like, you should	[9 task (AS2)] Student
ERSON 1	be able to create your own map, but it can only be four-way intersections.	has task "create map"
	So, it's gonna be Manhattan anyway.	[*] This element is later
		forgotten
:03:49.1	Can it only be or—	
ERSON 2		
:03:50.3	Yeah, all intersections will be four-ways, there are not T-intersections nor	
ERSON 1	one-way roads. It says here	
:03:56.7	Oh ja	
ERSON 2		
:03:57.4	So, which in the end means you're just kind of allowing them-	
ERSON 1		
:04:00.8	Let me, put down the constraint card. [looks through cards] Oh what is it-	
ERSON 2	It's a constraint. Alright. So alright, all intersections are four-ways, so you	
	don't have to come up with alternative intersections. An intersection is a	
	simple thing.	

:04:29.2	Yeah. And all intersections should have traffic lights, it says. So-	
ERSON 1		
:04:37.9	No stoplights or passes or anything else? So really simple intersection. So	
ERSON 2	the only difference that there exists is really- Oh no, I read that wrong.	
	Accommodate left hand turns protected by left hand green arrow lights. It's	
	not driving on the left, it's turning left.	
:05:04.7	I guess, yeah. I think that they're saying like turning left is uhm. Well, we're	
ERSON 1	actually making an assumption. We thought cars- Nowhere states that how	
	cars should drive, like if a car enters the map. Where does it go, what is the	
	type of target to go to. It nowhere states should you just randomly generate	
	an exit point in the map where you, the card wants to go and then have	
	pathfinding and it starts to get complicated for a simple traffic light	
	simulator.	
:05:53.6	Yeah, well you could always just do a random-	
ERSON 2	1 can, wen you could always just do a fandom-	
:05:56.6	Random left, right	
ERSON 1	Kandom lett, right	
:05:57.6	Yeah, randomization at each intersection. True. And you can just switch on	
ERSON 2	new cars into the system, but other ones- Or you can make a closed system	
EKSON 2	where if they disappear off one side of the map they appear on the other	
	side. You have a fixed number of cars in the system.	
:06:16.4	True. But that doesn't really simulate traffic flow if you make-	
	True. But that doesn't really simulate traffic flow if you make-	
ERSON 1 :06:20.6	No	
ERSON 2	No	
:06:21.1	A crappy intersection with like, one second of bringing them and then	
ERSON 1	[inaudible], and one second of bringing them and two hours-	
:06:26.5	Yeah, so let's not do that then.	
ERSON 2	1 cuit, 50 let 5 not do that then.	
:06:28.5	Yeah	
ERSON 1		
:06:29.3	So, is that a trade-off. I think so.	
ERSON 2	2 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	
:06:36.0	Yeah, performance versus, I don't know, functionality. Like, what you say,	[10 tradeoff]:
ERSON 1	cars come out at the end of the map side is performance wise and, I don't	
	know, easier to make but it is less functional. Because you can't see traffic	[10a softgoal (AS4)]
	flows that easy because, well there's fixed amount of cars so there's not	"dynamic simulation" to
	really gonna be jams. Is there around Utrecht always the same amount of	Simulator
	cars?	[10b softgoal (AS4)]
		"simple design" to
		Simulator
		SIIIIUIAWI
		[10c task (AS2)]
		"Generate cars" to
		Simulator
		[100 400]- (400)]
		[10c task (AS2)]

		"Create news cars" to Simulator
		[10d task (AS2)] "Keep same cars" to Simulator
		[10e contribution (AS6)] "Create new cars" contributes positively to "Dynamic simulation"
		[10f contribution (AS6)] "Create new car" contributes positively to "simple design"
		[10g contribution (AS6)] "Keep same cars" contributes negatively to "dynamic simulation"
		[10h contribution (AS6)] "Keep same cars" contributes negatively to "simple design".
		[10g task decomposition (AS??)] "Generate cars" XOR-decomposes into "Create new cars" and "Keep same cars"
:07:08.7 ERSON 2	Yeah, you kinda want to make that adjustable.	
:07:11.7	Yeah.	
ERSON 1		
:07:12.1 ERSON 2	Kind of want to increase the spawning rate-	[15 task (AS2)] "adjust car spawning rate" to Student
:07:15.6 ERSON 1	Yeah yeah yeah	
:07:16.0 ERSON 2	See if you get jams. You're gonna get jams eventually, but, and-	
:07:21.1 ERSON 1	It's at what point you're gonna get	
:07:22.0	At what point that happens, so that might be something you'd want to be	

EDCONIA	11 / 1' /	·
ERSON 2	able to adjust.	
:07:28.6	So yeah, ok. We're not really talking about this anymore I guess. Are we	
ERSON 1	about to, no regret-	
:07:36.7	No, that was functional. Uhm, ok.	
ERSON 2		
:07:55.1	Say I am in my opinion the context is usually just who is gonna use the	
ERSON 1	system and what other systems is the system gonna communicate with. And	
	how does it fit into the problem space.	
:08:12.8	Yeah	
ERSON 2		
:08:13.7	And, well there isn't a big problem space yet. It's basically an assignment	
ERSON 1	for students. There's only two kind of actors.	
:08:27.7	System and the student.	
ERSON 2	System and the student.	
:08:29.3	Yeah. Uh three, the system, the student and the teacher.	
.08.29.3 ERSON 1	rean. On tince, the system, the student and the teacher.	
:08:34.2	Vaale	
	Yeah	
ERSON 2		
:08:35.4	And the student fulfills two roles, true, but still. There is not much context to	
ERSON 1	go around in my opinion. This isn't [inaudible]. You should design the	
	means by which the user creates a map, sets traffic time schemes and views	
	traffic simulations. Ok. Not really sure.	
:09:14.7	Yeah, I keep thinking about technical architecture and that stuff.	
ERSON 2		
:09:17.4	Yeah me too, especially when they talk about the means by which a user	
ERSON 1	creates a map. Ok, so we need a user interface, we need-	
:09:25.8	Yeah	
ERSON 2		
:09:26.8	To store the maps somehow	
ERSON 1		
:09:28.0	This description is really begging for design patterns and-	
ERSON 2		
:09:31.0	Yeah	
ERSON 1		
:09:32.8	But-	
ERSON 2		
:09:35.1	But I- this what the context viewpoint says is not what I see as a context	
ERSON 1	viewpoint. For me context is a lot more high-level and not really. You don't	
	put in activity diagrams, which this sort of asks for. The means by which the	
	user creates a map. So, I've seen activity diagrams, user starts map editor,	
	adds maps, blablabla, saves the map, there's a map. But that's not context	
	viewpoint for me.	
:10:06.6	Yeah. I don't know	
ERSON 2	1 Can. 1 don t know	
	Carrock I think we can got of draw a tora and an I all a file and the	
:10:12.0	So yeah. I think we can sort of draw a tree and model of the context view.	
ERSON 1	How we see it.	

		1
:10:19.5	Mhm. Start with interfaces. Ok, this one [inaudible]. Multiple alternative	
ERSON 2	approaches should be encouraged. What does that mean.	
:10:54.8	Where?	
ERSON 1		
:10:56.6	The fourth requirement	
ERSON 2	1	
:11:26.2	Ok. I've no idea. Did you make- I guess I do have an idea, what they mean.	
ERSON 1	So we're already gone from here actually. I'm not sure what we're talking	
LIGOTT	about. I think they mean that you make alternative designs and pick the best	
	candidate.	
12.01.5		
:12:01.5	Mhm	
ERSON 2		
:12:02.3	Or actually we're at the problem now. Well this is actually the problem. So,	
ERSON 1	yeah, which is always a good idea. Think of possibilities and pick the best	
	one. Make multiple candidates for the design. Problem I have as a tactical-	
	with a technical background is that the context and functional aspects are	
	usually-	
:12:31.7	They don't have anything to do with-	
ERSON 2		
:12:33.2	Nah, they're straightforward. It's the practical implications that warrant	
ERSON 1	multiple interpretations and multiple models.	
:12:41.5	Yeah	
ERSON 2		
:12:45.2	So. Yeah. I mean the idea is we should make this design some way that	
ERSON 1	we're used to. We should just draw some designs	
:12:54.2	Yeah. Alright	
ERSON 2		
:12:55.6	Ok.	
ERSON 1		
:12:56.5	Shall we proceed to the whiteboard then	
ERSON 2		
:12:58.3	Let's go. [inaudible]	
ERSON 1		
:13:02.4	I'll put my phone near the whiteboard	
ERSON 2		
:13:18.9	So which one, context or functional.	
ERSON 1		
:13:23.7	We can start with context	[16 issue] What is the
ERSON 2		name of system actor?
:13:25.9	Yeah? [inaudible] Ok. So yeah, so basically that's a proper name [inaudible]	2) 222
ERSON 1	[
:13:52.8	[laugh] Traffic tycoon.	[17 actor (AS??)]
ERSON 2	[magn] Harne tyeoon.	Traffic tycoon
:14:03.9	So, basically a traffic tycoon. Now we have-	Trainic tycoon
ERSON 1	50, busically a traffic tycobii. Now we have-	
:14:08.5	Yeah so, if we call it a viewpoint you mean the design needs to create a	[18 issue] What are the
.14.00.3	i can so, if we can it a viewpoint you mean the design fleeds to create a	[10 Issue] What are the

ERSON 2	map, sense traffic timing schemes and view traffic simulations.	tasks of Traffic tycoon?
:14:20.1	Yeah	
ERSON 1		
:14:21.2	[inaudible]	
ERSON 2		
:14:21.4	No, [laugh] well it smells really chemical so sort of worried	
ERSON 1		
:14:29.9	Ok. So the means by which you create a map.	
ERSON 2		
:14:39.0	Well, for example. I mean, for me that's part of the functional. The point-	
ERSON 1		
:14:44.3	Yeah it's on the context so-	
ERSON 2		
:14:47.3	Well, as long as it's in the design why don't we call it functional instead of	
ERSON 1	context	
:14:52.6	So you what you kind of want is the use of a kind of simple map editor	[19 task] "Provide map
ERSON 2	So you what you kind of want is the use of a kind of simple map eartor	editor" of Simulator
:14:52.6	Yeah	cattor of Simulator
ERSON 1	1 cui	
:14:57.0	The simple intersections, simple traffic lights. Those are things you want to	[2
ERSON 2	be able to add through a map editor	[2
:15:11.2	And then, we have a set of actions. Save map, open map, add and remove	[20 task (AS2)] Student
ERSON 1	intersection, roads	has tasks "save map",
		"open map", "add intersection", "add
		road", "add traffic
		*
		light", "remove intersection"
:15:34.7	Yeah, road. Intersection, add traffic lights	intersection
	rean, road. Intersection, add traffic fights	
ERSON 2	Wall all intersection should have traffic lights as it's	[21 onitical question
:15:42.3	Well, all intersection should have traffic lights so it's	[21 critical question
ERSON 1		CQ?? for 20] "Is the
		task "Add traffic light"
		useful/redundant?
		[22 answer to 22] Not
		useful, because
		according to the
		specification all
		intersections have traffic
		lights.
		[22a remove task] Add
15 44 0	X7 1	traffic light
:15:44.9	Yeah	
ERSON 2		
:15:45.2	It's, you don't have to specifically add a traffic light because if you have	
ERSON 1		

:15:51.4	They need-	
ERSON 2		
:15:52.3 ERSON 1	An intersection there is always gonna be a traffic light because it's a constraint of the system. Alright. And on the technical side it's gonna be a real pain to remove one intersection you're gonna have to remove a lot more because there are only four-ways allowed and if you remove one intersection then-	[23 critical question CQ2 for 20] Is the task "Remove intersection" possible? [24 answer to 22] It is going to be very
		difficult to implement. [24a remove task] Remove intersection
:16:16.7	Then this road is going nowhere.	
ERSON 2	The same round to Borne no where.	
:16:18.7	You can't actually remove intersections in the middle because then the heel,	
ERSON 1	entire grid falls apart	
:16:26.4	Alright, so that's a reason why you can't have the open edge figuratively	
ERSON 2	appear on the other side of the map. Because that would make it impossible to remove any intersections	
:16:38.8	What?	
ERSON 1		
:16:39.4	The thing is that like, if you have cars disappearing of one side of the map-	
ERSON 2	The uning is that mie, if you have come aloup our mig of one state of the map	
:16:42.1	Mhm	
ERSON 1		
:16:42.3	And then appear in the other. I you do that then you wouldn't be able to	
ERSON 2	remove any intersections.	
:16:47.1	So. You wouldn't be able to remove any intersection. I mean-	
ERSON 1		
:16:50.9	Cause then there's no edge really so then you wouldn't, so that's-	
ERSON 2		
:16:53.3	If I-	
ERSON 1		
:16:53.7	Definitely not do that	
ERSON 2	771 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
:16:54.3	This grid, and I remove this one-	
ERSON 1 :16:56.4	Vooh	
	Yeah	
ERSON 2 :16:56.9	Which means these roads basically go nowhere Which isn't allowed and	
ERSON 1	these are no longer intersections. So basically-	
:17:03.7	Everything is beh	
ERSON 2	Lverything is ben	
:17:05.3	Everything is beh.	
ERSON 1	v. ,	
:17:06.7	Ok so. Yeah	
ERSON 2		

:17:11.5	So the only thing you can do is add more. This design decides how big you	
ERSON 1	want to make, how many intersections and how much space between each	
	intersection	
:17:25.0	Yeah, so essentially it's always a grid of a certain size. You control the size	[25 task (AS2)] Task
ERSON 2	of it.	"control grid size" for
LIGOT 2	of it.	Student
:17:30.3	Yeah you can control this and how many there are.	Student
	I can you can control this and now many there are.	
ERSON 1		
:17:33.4	So, it's a number of nodes and the lengths of the road. Is there anything else	
ERSON 2	we could play around with?	
:17:44.0	So it is a section	
ERSON 1		
:17:44.7	Different arrangements of intersections	
ERSON 2		
:17:51.6	There is- no not really	
ERSON 1		
:17:53.2	Unless you can put them on an angle while still being four-ways	[26 issue] Should four-
ERSON 2		way intersections under
		angles be allowed?
:18:01.2	Yeah true, but when you get crap everywhere [laugh]. I mean it could still	[26 option a] No, it will
ERSON 1	work	become very
ERBOIVI	WOIK	complicated
:18:07.8	Yeah but-	Complicated
ERSON 2	1 Can Out-	
:18:10.0	Dut what does it add command to this one	
	But what does it add compared to this one	
ERSON 1	XX 1 1 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	126 4: 1137
:18:11.2	Yeah does the simulation change in any ways. I mean that's kind of an	[26 option b] Yes, it
ERSON 2	abstraction of this, so. We can definitely do this, you'll make things slightly	changes the flow of
	more difficult because intersections where different roads, a la V-shape	traffic, leading to more
	come together. That becomes hardly more problematic. So it definitely does	interesting behavior
	change the flow of traffic.	
:18:32.4	Yeah, but it should be simple, not scientifically correct.	[26 argument 1
ERSON 1		attacking b] It should
		be simple, not
		scientifically correct
:18:35.9	Yeah	
ERSON 2		
:18:36.3	So it should be a simple where you can see what changes, if you change	
ERSON 1	traffic light timings	
:18:42.1	So, we are talking about a trade-off. Are we talking about a problem or	
ERSON 2	context you think	
:18:52.4	Uhm, both. Cause context is the fact that it shouldn't be scientific and	
ERSON 1	problem because we're actually having a problem we're trying to solve, the	
2100111	problem of how, how we're gonna. What can you do in the editor. I'm not	
	really sure what to call it.	
:19:14.3	I'm gonna call it problem.	
. 1 フ. 1 サ. ブ	i in goina can a provicin.	

ERSON 2		
:19:15.5	Sura Dacida number of v.v. intersections. So, how many intersections and	
ERSON 1	Sure. Decide number of x y intersections. So, how many intersections and roads length. Yeah, between intersections	
:19:59.4		
	I mean you can [inaudible] like this heh. Move this [inaudible], you have	
ERSON 2	intersections there, there and there	
:20:06.9	Yeah, so the map shouldn't be square	
ERSON 1		
:20:08.3	Doesn't have to be square no.	
ERSON 2	N. 0. 1	
:20:09.7	No. So yeah	
ERSON 1	w. II	
:20:13.7	Well	
ERSON 2		
:20:14.7	That's. Yeah that's true. We made an assumption that the map should be	
ERSON 1	square. And that's not true	
:20:24.5	[inaudible]	
ERSON 2		
:20:25.6	We just solved an assumption. I'm not really sure	
ERSON 1		
:20:31.7	Well I'm gonna write yours down then. That's square. Let me [inaudible]	[26 decision] No. It
ERSON 2		should be simple, not
		scientifically correct
:21:01.1	Yeah true	
ERSON 1		
:21:06.5	Ok. Yeah obviously there's a few really functional thinks going on here.	
ERSON 2	Open, saving and it's saying [inaudible] that sort of thing. Undoing, redoing	
	that sort of thing but-	
:21:06.5	Not really necessary that much	
ERSON 1		
:21:22.4	Yeah, that's what I think. Uhm, yeah that's the map itself	
ERSON 2	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
:21:32.7	Yeah	
ERSON 1		
:21:33.3	When you're running a simulation you also want to control traffic	[27 task (AS2)]
ERSON 2	when you to running a simulation you also want to control traine	Students has task
2100112		"control traffic when
		running a simulation"
:21:39.7	Yeah, but this was the use case on there, which had like couple things,	
ERSON 1	design the map, right?	
:21:46.7	Oh yeah	
ERSON 2	On year	
:21:48.6	Creates a map, set traffic time schemes, that we still have to do. And use	
ERSON 1	traffic simulations, so I'm setting the timings, I guess should also, well are	
LINSON I	we gonna have a separate map editor even	
.22.00 2		
:22:08.3 ERSON 2	[inaudible] not part of our system?	
EKSUN 2		

:22:10.3	Well, that you have a map editor and a simulator separate as applications,	
ERSON 1	close to the technical side	
:22:17.1	No, I don't see why it's not complicated enough to divide it into the	
ERSON 2	applications	
:22:23.1	Yeah, I'm not either. So yeah ok, so we have to be able to change the	[28 task (AS2)] Student
ERSON 1	timings or it could also be on sensors or red somewhere. So you have to be able to put a sensor, like, here's the sensor for this traffic light	has task "Add sensor"
:22:40.5 ERSON 2	Ok yeah, so add sensor would be it then, a piece of functionality	[29 critical question CQ?? for 28] Is the task description clear? (clarification)
.22.52.9	For traffic lights. And my simulation hasically. We also have to be able to	7
:22:52.8 ERSON 1	For traffic lights. And run simulation basically. We also have to be able to change the inflow of cars. How many card come out in here on the side	[30 answer to 34] No. New description: "Add sensor to traffic light" [31 task (AS2)] Student has task "run simulation"
:23:19.1	Yeah.	
ERSON 2		
:23:20.4	So, sets, yeah, car influx	[32 task (AS2)] Student
ERSON 1		has task "car influx"
:23:41.2	We're talking about a context trade-off. If you can only control the set	[33 critical question
ERSON 2	amount of influx from any side of this sort of random distribution, I think that is going to be less interesting than when you can say something like, this road is frequently traveled.	CQ?? on 36] Is the task description specific/clear enough? [34 answer to 37] No, it is not clear where the influx is changing. Change to "control car influx per road"
:24:03.2	Mhm	
ERSON 1		
:24:04.0	So yeah, we kind of want to keep this simple but I think if you make it	
ERSON 2	completely random then it's too simple, not useful	
:24:11.8	Yeah	
ERSON 1		
:24:12.3 ERSON 2	So setting it per road, I think is something we want	[34a rename] "car influx" becomes "control car influx per road"
:24:15.0	Yeah, that was also one of the constraints I believe	
ERSON 1		
:24:17.8	Was it?	
ERSON 2		
:24:18.7	Yeah, I think, somewhere. I believe I read it. Yeah, I can't seem to find it.	
ERSON 1	Well. It's a good point anyway, I can't find it	
<u> </u>	1 on good point any may, I can time it	1

:25:34.7	So who needs to set, be able to set influx per, per edge I would say. Well it's	
ERSON 2	the edge, but not edge as in nodes and edges, but edge of the map.	
:25:47.2	[inaudible] so yeah	
ERSON 1		
:25:57.9	And then we have here able to adjust the timing schemes.	[35 task (AS2)] Student
ERSON 2	, c	has task "adjust timing schemes"
:26:02.2	Yeah yeah	
ERSON 1		
:26:04.3	We got the sensors but-	
ERSON 2	<i>9</i>	
:26:09.7	Yeah well, always with. I was thinking, you can eh, so I was thinking	[36 critical question
ERSON 1	making the assumption that if there is a sensor there is no timing scheme.	(CQ??)] Is the task "adjust timing schemes" specific enough? [37 answer to 40] No, only if there is no sensor. [37a rename] "adjust timing schemes" becomes "adjust timing schemes of sensorless intersections"
:26:22.6	But this [inaudible] control has timing scheme	Intersections
	But this [maddrole] control has thining scheme	
ERSON 2	V 1 1 (I 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
:26:26.1	Yeah, but I mean they can work either on sensors-	
ERSON 1		
:26:31.7	Or just add a timer	
ERSON 2		
:26:32.5	Or just add a timer and-	
ERSON 1		
:26:34.3	Yeah then you get into the situation that people are waiting for no one	
ERSON 2		
:26:37.2	Yeah	
ERSON 1		
:26:37.9	But, but still-	
ERSON 2	Dat, Out Juli	
	Wall they should be able to simulate traffic and it still beginning believe me	
:26:40.4	Well they should be able to simulate traffic and it still happens, believe me,	
ERSON 1	so yeah. Add sensor, remove sensor-	
:26:53.2	So I would say you add a timing scheme per intersection. And add schemes	
ERSON 2	for an entire intersection. So, you kind of want a scheme editor. You can call	
	an intersection and then you can control, pop-up comes, pop-up yes	
:27:23.0	So timing scheme and set, whatever. Add traffic light timings if no sensor	
ERSON 1		
:27:45.6	Well I think the existence of a sensor kind of-	
ERSON 2		

:27:50.5	Negates this but we still have to write down its, if there's no sensor. I mean,	
ERSON 1	we can make it a standard case but I mean, its different timings and how	
	long does it stay green. And this is, let's say, well it's, yeah ok it's a reboot	
:28:09.1	It's to do with the timing so, the timers gets going unless the sensor is reboot	
ERSON 2		
:28:16.0	Yeah true	
ERSON 1		
:28:17.5	He comes from this side and if isn't ever triggered, it stays on red.	
ERSON 2		
:28:21.7	Yeah, true. Can be input, the sensors	
ERSON 1		
:28:25.0	Or randomly or whatever. So-	
ERSON 2		
:28:28.2	What else would be fun, random traffic lights	
ERSON 1		
:28:31.4	Like it skips a few possibilities and then it goes on green	
ERSON 2		
:28:34.2	Mhm	
ERSON 1		
:28:35.0	Communication sensor is broken or something.	
ERSON 2		
:28:40.4	So basically these are all functionalities the program should have in the end	
ERSON 1		
:28:44.9	Mhm	
ERSON 2		
:28:46.7	Should go in functional	
ERSON 1		
:28:48.4	Yeah	
ERSON 2		
:28:51.0		
	Ok, so yeah, do we have to make a picture of this. Nah, this was really	
ERSON 1	Ok, so yeah, do we have to make a picture of this. Nah, this was really important for a 3d model	
ERSON 1	important for a 3d model	
ERSON 1 :28:59.0	important for a 3d model	
ERSON 1 :28:59.0 ERSON 2	important for a 3d model This is not a model	
ERSON 1 :28:59.0 ERSON 2 :29:00.6	important for a 3d model This is not a model So, I'm gonna, so we have room to actually draw a model in. So I would	
ERSON 1 :28:59.0 ERSON 2 :29:00.6 ERSON 1	important for a 3d model This is not a model So, I'm gonna, so we have room to actually draw a model in. So I would say, let's try to put this into a functional view then	
ERSON 1 :28:59.0 ERSON 2 :29:00.6 ERSON 1 :29:18.5	important for a 3d model This is not a model So, I'm gonna, so we have room to actually draw a model in. So I would say, let's try to put this into a functional view then	
ERSON 1 :28:59.0 ERSON 2 :29:00.6 ERSON 1 :29:18.5 ERSON 2	important for a 3d model This is not a model So, I'm gonna, so we have room to actually draw a model in. So I would say, let's try to put this into a functional view then Ok	
ERSON 1 :28:59.0 ERSON 2 :29:00.6 ERSON 1 :29:18.5 ERSON 2 :29:19.1	important for a 3d model This is not a model So, I'm gonna, so we have room to actually draw a model in. So I would say, let's try to put this into a functional view then Ok	
ERSON 1 :28:59.0 ERSON 2 :29:00.6 ERSON 1 :29:18.5 ERSON 2 :29:19.1 ERSON 1	important for a 3d model This is not a model So, I'm gonna, so we have room to actually draw a model in. So I would say, let's try to put this into a functional view then Ok Because, unless you have more to add to this	
ERSON 1 :28:59.0 ERSON 2 :29:00.6 ERSON 1 :29:18.5 ERSON 2 :29:19.1 ERSON 1 :29:25.5	important for a 3d model This is not a model So, I'm gonna, so we have room to actually draw a model in. So I would say, let's try to put this into a functional view then Ok Because, unless you have more to add to this	
ERSON 1 :28:59.0 ERSON 2 :29:00.6 ERSON 1 :29:18.5 ERSON 2 :29:19.1 ERSON 1 :29:25.5 ERSON 2	important for a 3d model This is not a model So, I'm gonna, so we have room to actually draw a model in. So I would say, let's try to put this into a functional view then Ok Because, unless you have more to add to this Not really	
ERSON 1 :28:59.0 ERSON 2 :29:00.6 ERSON 1 :29:18.5 ERSON 2 :29:19.1 ERSON 1 :29:25.5 ERSON 2 :29:28.1	important for a 3d model This is not a model So, I'm gonna, so we have room to actually draw a model in. So I would say, let's try to put this into a functional view then Ok Because, unless you have more to add to this Not really	
ERSON 1 :28:59.0 ERSON 2 :29:00.6 ERSON 1 :29:18.5 ERSON 2 :29:19.1 ERSON 1 :29:25.5 ERSON 2 :29:28.1 instructor	important for a 3d model This is not a model So, I'm gonna, so we have room to actually draw a model in. So I would say, let's try to put this into a functional view then Ok Because, unless you have more to add to this Not really I just wanted to say, it's been half an hour	
ERSON 1 :28:59.0 ERSON 2 :29:00.6 ERSON 1 :29:18.5 ERSON 2 :29:19.1 ERSON 1 :29:25.5 ERSON 2 :29:28.1 istructor :29:30.8	important for a 3d model This is not a model So, I'm gonna, so we have room to actually draw a model in. So I would say, let's try to put this into a functional view then Ok Because, unless you have more to add to this Not really I just wanted to say, it's been half an hour Ok yeah. So were gonna try to make a solution I guess. Well, for the	

ERSON 2		
:29:53.9	Yeah well, that's the simplest way of- I mean what is a FAM, a FAM is	
ERSON 1	really just boxes and arrows so, let's just draw boxes and arrows and its	
LIGOTT	always a FAM so basically-	
:30:06.6	So, one main thing I would say is the map editor. So editing map as well as	[38 issue] Should the
ERSON 2	a functional block I would say	Simulator consist of two
		separate components
		"Map Editor" and
20.10.7	X 1 11 T	"Simulation"?
:30:19.7	Yeah well, I was thinking that as well, but I'm also with [NAME] looking	[38 option a] No, the
ERSON 1	at that architecture tool now and basically, it's so closely related because	actions in both
	90% of the actions that you do. Because were in simulation [inaudible], is the only one here not doing it in an editor. And you're always fine tuning all	components are similar and the user is
	the settings to see what happens and run another simulation. So to really	constantly switching
	split that up-	between the two views.
	spire that up	octween the two views.
:30:51.2	Mhm	
ERSON 2		
:30:51.7	I mean on the functional level, true, there is a difference between editing and	[38 option b] Yes, on
ERSON 1	running but-	the functional level
		there is a difference
		between editting and
		running
.30.57.4	Well we can make that into two giant blocks	[38 decision] Ves
:30:57.4 ERSON 2	Well we can make that into two giant blocks	[38 decision] Yes
:30:57.4 ERSON 2 :31:00.2		[38 decision] Yes
ERSON 2	Well we can make that into two giant blocks Yeah well, I'm thinking too technical. You're [inaudible] because splitting it up at the technical level is-	[38 decision] Yes
ERSON 2 :31:00.2	Yeah well, I'm thinking too technical. You're [inaudible] because splitting it	[38 decision] Yes
ERSON 2 :31:00.2 ERSON 1 :31:08.1 ERSON 2	Yeah well, I'm thinking too technical. You're [inaudible] because splitting it up at the technical level is- Right so, if we can just do something like, really big, which is-	[38 decision] Yes
ERSON 2 :31:00.2 ERSON 1 :31:08.1 ERSON 2 :31:14.1	Yeah well, I'm thinking too technical. You're [inaudible] because splitting it up at the technical level is-	[38 decision] Yes
ERSON 2 :31:00.2 ERSON 1 :31:08.1 ERSON 2 :31:14.1 ERSON 1	Yeah well, I'm thinking too technical. You're [inaudible] because splitting it up at the technical level is- Right so, if we can just do something like, really big, which is- Editor	[38 decision] Yes
ERSON 2 :31:00.2 ERSON 1 :31:08.1 ERSON 2 :31:14.1 ERSON 1 :31:18.8	Yeah well, I'm thinking too technical. You're [inaudible] because splitting it up at the technical level is- Right so, if we can just do something like, really big, which is-	[38 decision] Yes
ERSON 2 :31:00.2 ERSON 1 :31:08.1 ERSON 2 :31:14.1 ERSON 1 :31:18.8 ERSON 2	Yeah well, I'm thinking too technical. You're [inaudible] because splitting it up at the technical level is- Right so, if we can just do something like, really big, which is- Editor Editor, and the something which isn't in the actual runner	[38 decision] Yes
ERSON 2 :31:00.2 ERSON 1 :31:08.1 ERSON 2 :31:14.1 ERSON 1 :31:18.8 ERSON 2 :31:31.5	Yeah well, I'm thinking too technical. You're [inaudible] because splitting it up at the technical level is- Right so, if we can just do something like, really big, which is- Editor	[38 decision] Yes
ERSON 2 :31:00.2 ERSON 1 :31:08.1 ERSON 2 :31:14.1 ERSON 1 :31:18.8 ERSON 2	Yeah well, I'm thinking too technical. You're [inaudible] because splitting it up at the technical level is- Right so, if we can just do something like, really big, which is- Editor Editor, and the something which isn't in the actual runner	[38 decision] Yes
ERSON 2 :31:00.2 ERSON 1 :31:08.1 ERSON 2 :31:14.1 ERSON 1 :31:18.8 ERSON 2 :31:31.5 ERSON 1	Yeah well, I'm thinking too technical. You're [inaudible] because splitting it up at the technical level is- Right so, if we can just do something like, really big, which is- Editor Editor, and the something which isn't in the actual runner You've already taken back your card I guess	[38 decision] Yes
ERSON 2 :31:00.2 ERSON 1 :31:08.1 ERSON 2 :31:14.1 ERSON 1 :31:18.8 ERSON 2 :31:31.5 ERSON 1 :31:34.5	Yeah well, I'm thinking too technical. You're [inaudible] because splitting it up at the technical level is- Right so, if we can just do something like, really big, which is- Editor Editor, and the something which isn't in the actual runner You've already taken back your card I guess	[38 decision] Yes
ERSON 2 :31:00.2 ERSON 1 :31:08.1 ERSON 2 :31:14.1 ERSON 1 :31:18.8 ERSON 2 :31:31.5 ERSON 1 :31:34.5 ERSON 2 :31:48.9 ERSON 1	Yeah well, I'm thinking too technical. You're [inaudible] because splitting it up at the technical level is- Right so, if we can just do something like, really big, which is- Editor Editor, and the something which isn't in the actual runner You've already taken back your card I guess Yeah Well what kind of. So we have actual intersection designs, actual editor as map design as function, I guess	[38 decision] Yes
ERSON 2 :31:00.2 ERSON 1 :31:08.1 ERSON 2 :31:14.1 ERSON 1 :31:18.8 ERSON 2 :31:31.5 ERSON 1 :31:34.5 ERSON 2 :31:48.9 ERSON 1 :31:58.5	Yeah well, I'm thinking too technical. You're [inaudible] because splitting it up at the technical level is- Right so, if we can just do something like, really big, which is- Editor Editor, and the something which isn't in the actual runner You've already taken back your card I guess Yeah Well what kind of. So we have actual intersection designs, actual editor as	[38 decision] Yes
ERSON 2 :31:00.2 ERSON 1 :31:08.1 ERSON 2 :31:14.1 ERSON 1 :31:18.8 ERSON 2 :31:31.5 ERSON 1 :31:34.5 ERSON 2 :31:48.9 ERSON 1 :31:58.5 ERSON 2	Yeah well, I'm thinking too technical. You're [inaudible] because splitting it up at the technical level is- Right so, if we can just do something like, really big, which is- Editor Editor, and the something which isn't in the actual runner You've already taken back your card I guess Yeah Well what kind of. So we have actual intersection designs, actual editor as map design as function, I guess Yeah	[38 decision] Yes
ERSON 2 :31:00.2 ERSON 1 :31:08.1 ERSON 2 :31:14.1 ERSON 1 :31:18.8 ERSON 2 :31:31.5 ERSON 1 :31:34.5 ERSON 2 :31:48.9 ERSON 1 :31:58.5 ERSON 2 :32:06.4	Yeah well, I'm thinking too technical. You're [inaudible] because splitting it up at the technical level is- Right so, if we can just do something like, really big, which is- Editor Editor, and the something which isn't in the actual runner You've already taken back your card I guess Yeah Well what kind of. So we have actual intersection designs, actual editor as map design as function, I guess	[38 decision] Yes
ERSON 2 :31:00.2 ERSON 1 :31:08.1 ERSON 2 :31:14.1 ERSON 1 :31:18.8 ERSON 2 :31:31.5 ERSON 1 :31:34.5 ERSON 2 :31:48.9 ERSON 1 :31:58.5 ERSON 2 :32:06.4 ERSON 1	Yeah well, I'm thinking too technical. You're [inaudible] because splitting it up at the technical level is- Right so, if we can just do something like, really big, which is- Editor Editor, and the something which isn't in the actual runner You've already taken back your card I guess Yeah Well what kind of. So we have actual intersection designs, actual editor as map design as function, I guess Yeah Timing schemes, editor. Timing schemes is-	[38 decision] Yes
ERSON 2 :31:00.2 ERSON 1 :31:08.1 ERSON 2 :31:14.1 ERSON 1 :31:18.8 ERSON 2 :31:31.5 ERSON 1 :31:34.5 ERSON 2 :31:48.9 ERSON 1 :31:58.5 ERSON 2 :32:06.4	Yeah well, I'm thinking too technical. You're [inaudible] because splitting it up at the technical level is- Right so, if we can just do something like, really big, which is- Editor Editor, and the something which isn't in the actual runner You've already taken back your card I guess Yeah Well what kind of. So we have actual intersection designs, actual editor as map design as function, I guess Yeah	[38 decision] Yes

:32:14.7	Schema	
ERSON 1		
:32:17.0	[inaudible]	
ERSON 2		
:32:18.0	Yeah, so we actually have this one. Well the editor itself catches those two	
ERSON 1	Team, so we actuary have this one. Wen the earter reserve those two	
:32:29.1	Mhm	
ERSON 2		100 (000)
:32:29.8	I guess. This is map design, this is map design. The centers, do we call it	[38a task (CQ2)]
ERSON 1	map design?	Student has task "Map design"
:32:36.4	I would say so yes	[39 decomposition
ERSON 2	Thousand buy bo yes	(AS??)] Task "Map
2112 01 (2		design" AND-
		decomposes into add
		road, add sensor to
		traffic light, control grid
		size, add intersection,
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		open map, save map,
		adjust car spawning rate
		[*] Note: students are
		drawing here so they
		don't mention these
		explicitly.
:32:37.7	Ok. So these two ook, the influx per X roads	[40 critical question
ERSON 1	<u>-</u>	(CQ??) for 39] Does
		"map design"
		decompose into "control
		car influx per road"?
		[41 answer to 40] No, it
		is part of the simulation.
		±
		[42a task (AS2)] Student has task
		"Control simulation"
		[42b decomposition]
		"Control simulation
		AND-decomposes into
		"control car influx per
		road"
:32:42.2	Maybe that's a part of the simulation already	
ERSON 2		
:32:43.6	Yeah	
ERSON 1		
:32:44.0	Because you want to adjust that while doing a simulation. Not beforehand	
ERSON 2	= 11 you and to any sor what while doing a billiandion. Not before thank	
LICOUI 4		

:32:48.9	Yeah	
ERSON 1		
:32:49.9	So [inaudible]	
ERSON 2		
:32:59.3	Yeah, I wasn't sure how to call it	
ERSON 1		
:33:01.6	I know they need to be verbs or [inaudible]	
ERSON 2		
:33:04.3	Yeah	
ERSON 1		
:33:06.1	[inaudible] Right so the editor, I mean this is kind of where you [inaudible]	
ERSON 2	what the user needs to do but, there is a functional part of it which is the	
	opening and the saving and that sort of thing. So really this, what do you call	
	that, storage	
:33:24.6	Yeah, that's called storage. Here we are still missing actual running of the	[43 decomposition
ERSON 1	simulation, so	(AS??)] Task "Map
		design" AND-
		decomposes into "run
		simulation"
:33:37.4	[inaudible] so right	
ERSON 2		
:33:45.9	Yeah, so if we actually want to make a flow of this we actually have to draw	
ERSON 1	information flows from functional aspects to other	
:33:53.9	Yeah. So I think one of them is quite obvious right, that's these two. So,	
ERSON 2	this, from this influx design do you	
:34:07.4	Yeah, it flows both ways, so yeah, it sort of would tell them what type the	
ERSON 1	current schema is, and the change schema from the pop-up comes back	
:34:18.6	With the timing it only knows about the single intersections I would say. So,	
ERSON 2	the only thing it would need to know is whether it's a sensor or not	
:34:33.1	Yeah	
ERSON 1		
:34:35.2	Cause that changes the schema, the he just-	
ERSON 2		
:34:40.3	Gets back the added values of new timings or whatever	
ERSON 1		
:34:45.3	I just call it scheme	
ERSON 2		
:34:46.9	Scheme	
ERSON 1		
:34:48.0	And, and he has to be able to sense-	
ERSON 2		
:34:53.1	Yeah	
ERSON 1		
:34:53.5	A map	
ERSON 2	1	

24.74.0		
:34:54.9	Save, load basically	
ERSON 1		
:34:57.1	Yeah that's what I mean	
ERSON 2		
:34:58.7	Yeah but this is better. So then we also have the runner, so basically can we,	
ERSON 1	can we-	
:35:09.8	From storage	
ERSON 2		
:35:11.0	With storage I guess, well that, that assumes that it's a different window	
ERSON 1	because this, this if we say it gets the data from storage then we're basically	
	saying it's not in the map designer. That we run the actual- So then, we have	
	two interfaces, that's-	
:35:31.3	I think that's reasonable, also I think you can also store everything before	[44 resource (AS1)]
ERSON 2	running anyway	Simulator has resource
		"storage"
:35:37.3	Yeah yeah true	
ERSON 1	-	
:35:38.0	You kind of have to instantiate a few things. So-	
ERSON 2		
:35:41.0	True, it was an assumption about-	
ERSON 1	True, 10 Hub an aboumption acous	
:35:45.2	Yeah	
ERSON 2		
:35:45.5	If we brought it arrow down	
ERSON 1	if we crought it unlow down	
:35:48.1	So, from storage to running or can we just say that, or just tell the editor to	
ERSON 2	run it	
:36:00.3	I would say from storage to running, right. And between these two because	
ERSON 1	while running or I mean then you can change the input. Which was sort of	
	what we were saying	
:36:18.0	Yeah. So. So you spell use	
ERSON 2	1 will so so you spon was	
:36:45.9	Yeah, not really sure how to call it	
ERSON 1	1 will, new roundy built no in the will re	
:36:52.0	Yeah	
ERSON 2		
:36:53.8	Not really any information	
ERSON 1	Not really any information	
:36:57.7	Yeah, not really no. Well you need to know-	
ERSON 2	reall, not really no. Well you need to know-	
:37:02.1	What the current value is or something like that	
ERSON 1	What the current value is of something like that	
:37:04.0	Yeah, I mean, at least you have something like this	
ERSON 2	1 can, 1 mean, at least you have something like this	
	Vesh well is it shanging one read? This thing So does it get are like I	
:37:07.8	Yeah, well, is it changing one road? This thing. So, does it get one, like I	
ERSON 1	want to change it into this road and then you can set values. But that's really	

	a stupid model, you just type in one number	
:37:22.5	Yeah well maybe in the influx you kind of want to be able to adjust all of	
ERSON 2	them. So just changing a few numbers, maybe you want to change a general number as well. It's just a random distribution.	
:37:36.3	So it's more like an editor then. For the timer	
ERSON 1		
:37:41.1	Yeah that's very simple but it's, how do you call it, influx editor	
ERSON 2	Tour that a very ample out it a, now do you out it, mildir outtor	
:37:45.0	Yeah alright	
ERSON 1	1 cuit unigno	
:37:46.3	But it's just adjusting numbers. There is definitely a random number	[45 resource (AS1)]
ERSON 2	generator involved here. But that's an external library	Simulator has resource "external library"
:37:55.1	Yeah that's also-	
ERSON 1		
:37:56.1	It's not really functional	
ERSON 2		
:37:56.8	Implementation	
ERSON 1		
:37:57.6	Yeah. So do we need to look at the UI, as a functional aspect	
ERSON 2		
:38:07.6	Let's see what they say about functional. Functional elements and their	
ERSON 1	responsibilities, so we actually drew those I guess, which, this on the side,	
	we should maybe map it or something. These are-	
:38:29.0	Yeah	
ERSON 2		
:38:29.9	User stories we can actually map to these things	
ERSON 1		
:38:32.7	Mhm, but we have nothing that's really visual there like that	
ERSON 2	No two this is all forestional might this bind of	
:38:37.4 ERSON 1	No. true, this is all functional, right, this kind of-	
:38:43.2	Yeah but, is visual functional. This is a bit of a- you know, you want to	
ERSON 2	inform the user what's going on-	
:38:53.0	Yeah but-	
ERSON 1	Touri out	
:38:53.5	Through visual, so that's kind of a functional element as well	
ERSON 2	Timough visual, so that s kind of a famotional element as well	
:38:58.4	Interfaces and primary interactions. Not all interfaces can be interpreted as a	
ERSON 1	UI I guess	
:39:05.5	Yeah [inaudible] probably gonna be very complicated.	
ERSON 2	[] F	
:39:39.5	Well I was basically thinking of a one screen with a map, basically for the	
ERSON 1	editor, and also for the runners. So the same basis. And the difference is that	
	here you can double click on schemes and drag and drop intersections to	
	make more space between them and well, stuff like that. Double-click and	

typing value, stuff like that :40:03.4 So do you just want to say this? ERSON 2 :40:08.1 They both use the same run yeah ERSON 1 :40:11.7 Yep, different windows with the same runners ERSON 2	
ERSON 2 :40:08.1 They both use the same run yeah ERSON 1 :40:11.7 Yep, different windows with the same runners	
:40:08.1 They both use the same run yeah ERSON 1 :40:11.7 Yep, different windows with the same runners	
ERSON 1 Yep, different windows with the same runners	
:40:11.7 Yep, different windows with the same runners	
ERSON 2	
:40:15.5 Yeah yeah. But you wanted to actually draw the UI or just put it on the	
ERSON 1 module	
:40:24.7 No just, remember that it's part of the functional	
ERSON 2	
:40:28.7 Oh yeah	
ERSON 1	
:40:29.5 Ok then.	
ERSON 2	
:40:35.6 [inaudible]	
ERSON 1	
:40:39.1 User uses the UI, not necessarily this	
ERSON 2	
:40:52.0 Awesome work	
ERSON 1	
:40:55.5 Alright	
ERSON 2	
:40:58.6 So yeah ERSON 1	
:41:01.3 Let's see if there's any requirements that need to-	
ERSON 2	
:41:09.9 I'm gonna make a picture, I think	
ERSON 1	
:41:13.3 Mhm	
ERSON 2	
:41:39.1 Um, yeah I'll take a picture, cause I think this is a nice model, functional	
ERSON 1 model	
:41:46.3 Mhm. Just checking if we haven't forgotten anything	
ERSON 2	
:41:57.9 So yeah, I was thinking what kind of decisions did we make	
ERSON 1	
:42:03.5 Well we haven't made any decisions about how to depict traffic flow	
ERSON 2	
:42:07.7 No true, so. Yeah but I was thinking did we make any decisions here,	
ERSON 1 because it's about decision making. This experiment. And, well basically we	
split the UI from other parts because that's always the case nowadays	
because it's [inaudible] to split responsibilities	
:42:30.9 O-O [object-oriented] design really	
ERSON 2	
:42:31.9 Yeah exactly, so, that's our OO influence. And then we said, well and editor	

ERSON 1	does something significantly different then the simulator, the runner	
:42:44.8	This is kind of a model-view-controller pattern	
ERSON 2	1	
:42:46.1	Yeah, it is. Because, well, I guess, because we use it constantly all the time	
ERSON 1	we automatically go back to it. But it's also a solution that's so general that	
2100111	it can be applied to basically anything that the user uses	
:43:04.8	Yeah	
ERSON 2	1 Cuii	
:43:05.5	You make a view. The role of the controller, you always work with data and	
ERSON 1	you always do something with the data. So the model and the controller is	
EKSON I	always there. The view is, depends where it's at. Usually a program oriented	
.42.10.7	service	
:43:18.7	Yes, I mean, we picture it technical as a technical architecture but	
ERSON 2	X7 1	
:43:22.3	Yeah	
ERSON 1	D 4 1	
:43:22.9	But, yeah	
ERSON 2		
:43:24.4	It can still be applied to functional as well. Functional architecture usually	
ERSON 1	is, in the end, implemented like, this is gonna be a module, that's gonna be a	
	module, that's gonna be a module, this is gonna be a namespace, so-	
:43:37.9	Yeah. Alright, so, um, yeah in the running here that's where any sort of	[46 decomposition
ERSON 2	traffic flow things need to be depicted	(AS??)] "show
		simulation" AND-
		decomposes into
		"generate cars"
:43:57.4	Yeah. Well the depicting is happening here-	
ERSON 1		
:44:00.6	Well, they have an actual visual	
ERSON 2		
:44:01.6	So, so here it's just we have give back some abstraction of where-	
ERSON 1		
:44:07.2	Number of cars	
ERSON 2		
:44:08.1	Number of cars but, there, what do we want. Do we want to have instances	[47 issue] Does the
ERSON 1	of specific cars, like, single cars? Or do we want to have stupid numbers, so.	Simulator display
	I have a road, let's say here are ten, and then we have the [inaudible] cars	individual cars or car
	moving at 50 kilometers an hour. We put here timing and it say well, I'm	segments?
	going, I'm green for 5 seconds, and the five seconds, I don't know, three	[47 option a] Show
	cars can transfer. So this goes to seven and then we write down here three.	individual cars and
	So this is the most basic presentation, the other one is actually that we're	move them individually
	gonna draw cars and then move the cars	[47 option b] Show a
		road with a number in it
		representing the number
		of cars on that road.
:44:56.3	Yeah	
	i	i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e

ERSON 2		
:44:57.4	So	
ERSON 1	50	
:44:59.4	Well, if you have [inaudible] in between you can have [inaudible] cars that	
ERSON 2	does, that get going and slowly stop or you can just shift dots around. That,	
ERSON 2	that's sort of the in-between thing	
:45:11.9	Yeah, and also the problem with this is, I mean, just having a number on the	[47 argument 1 against
ERSON 1	line does not allow for different road sides basically. You have to know	b] Having a number on
	whether it's always-	the line does not allow
		for differentiating
		between different parts
		of that segment.
:45:24.0	You have to take one, so something with road sides, because we want to	
ERSON 2	know if there's too many cars on a specific road. It starts gridlocking-	
:45:31.1	If it's full then people can't pass overhead	
ERSON 1		
:45:35.1	Yeah and you get a gridlock here, and then in this traffic can't move anyone,	
ERSON 2	so you kind of want to simulate this kind of thing otherwise-	
:45:42.4	Yes. So this is too simple	
ERSON 1	XY 1	
:45:44.5	Yeah	
ERSON 2	Co yyo'ng almos dy tallying about a galytian to the much lane. I ayang	
:45:45.4 ERSON 1	So we're already talking about a solution to the problem, I guess	
:45:52.2	Right, write that down. Problem.	
ERSON 2	Right, write that down. I robbem.	
:46:05.1	And we're three quarters an hour going, so if we want we can get some	
ERSON 1	coffee or something. [inaudible] cold coffee	
:46:14.9	Are we talking about a constraint, risk, trade-off?	
ERSON 2	, ,	
:46:22.9	I don't know. It's not really a constraint so, the risk thing I guess, I mean, is	
ERSON 1	it a constraint yes, [inaudible] simple numbers is a constraint so we want to	
	have actual cars so, but	
:46:38.3	Okay	
ERSON 2		
:46:38.8	Yeah, it's constraining in its functionality. It's not really constraint on its	
ERSON 1	entire system	
:46:44.4	Well it does mean that you want to do something here, with a road length,	
ERSON 2	number of cars	
:46:53.2	Yeah so, you're actually going, technical implementation to a game engine	
ERSON 1	with a game loop	
:46:58.6	Yes	
ERSON 2	XXII. 1	
:46:59.7	Which is gonna do a tick every, I don't know, six, six ticks a second,	
ERSON 1	something like that, pick a number and every tick it moves cars. Speeds	
	them up or not whatever	

:47:11.4	Yeah	
ERSON 2		
:47:13.1	So basically, there's gonna be a game engine here	
ERSON 1		
:47:24.8	Yeah	
ERSON 2		
:47:25.9	Specifically the game loop	
ERSON 1		
:47:28.3	Yeah	
ERSON 2		
:47:29.2	That's about [inaudible]	
ERSON 1		
:47:35.4	Yeah aright	
ERSON 2		
:47:37.4	But that's technical	
ERSON 1		
:47:40.5	How it actually works is technical	
ERSON 2		
:47:42.2	Yeah yeah. Well, the fact that we need a game engine isn't really- so it is	
ERSON 1	this sort of, adds also to the context. Then we interface with an existing	
	interface I guess	
:47:59.9	Yeah	
ERSON 2		
:47:59.9	There's enough free ones so	
ERSON 1		
:48:02.8	I mean, this is so simple that it doesn't have a module somewhere	
ERSON 2		
:48:07.2	Yeah	
ERSON 1		
:48:08.0	But, yeah, anything else functional that we forgot. Sensor, UI map is in here,	
ERSON 2	road length, UI map design	
:48:35.0	So, we sort of decided within the discussion back, a few little time ago, that	[47 conclusion] a, show
ERSON 1	we want to simulate individual cars	individual cars.
:48:47.1	Yes	
ERSON 2		
:48:53.0	Not really a decision, no it's sort of a decision so. We reached a conclusion	
ERSON 1		
		<u></u>