

1. Derive discrete linear kalman Filter
2. Steady state (optional)
3. Cramer Rao (optional)

Truth model:

$$\underline{x}_{k+1} = \underline{\Phi}_k \underline{x}_k + \underline{\Gamma}_k \underline{u}_k + \underline{V}_k \underline{w}_k \quad (3.27)$$

$$\underline{\tilde{y}}_k = \underline{H}_k \underline{x}_k + \underline{v}_k$$

$\underline{v}_k$  &  $\underline{w}_k$  are zero-mean Gaussian noise processes:

(3.28-9)

$$E \left\{ \underline{v}_k \underline{v}_j^T \right\} = \begin{cases} 0 & k \neq j \\ \underline{R}_k & k = j \end{cases}$$

$$E \left\{ \underline{w}_k \underline{w}_j^T \right\} = \begin{cases} 0 & k \neq j \\ \underline{Q}_k & k = j \end{cases}$$

(block)  
diagonal  
structure

$$E \left\{ \underline{v}_k \underline{w}_k^T \right\} = 0 \text{ for all } k$$

Assume structure:


$$\hat{x}_{k+1}^- = \Phi \hat{x}_k^+ + \Gamma_k u_k \quad \text{prediction} \quad (3.30)$$
$$\hat{x}_k^+ = \hat{x}_k^- + K_k [\tilde{y}_k - H_k \hat{x}_k^-] \quad \text{update}$$

Find optimal  $K$ .

First, define error covariances:

$$P_{k+1}^- \equiv E \left\{ \tilde{x}_{k+1}^- \tilde{x}_{k+1}^{-T} \right\} \quad \ominus : \text{prediction} \quad (3.31)$$
$$P_{k+1}^+ \equiv E \left\{ \tilde{x}_{k+1}^+ \tilde{x}_{k+1}^{+T} \right\} \quad \oplus : \text{update}$$

$$\tilde{x}_{k+1}^- = \hat{x}_{k+1}^- - x_{k+1}$$



error      est.      true

(3.32)

Derive expressions for  $P_{k+1}^{+/-}$

plug 3.27 & 3.30 into 3.32

$$\tilde{\underline{x}}_{k+1}^- = \underline{\Phi}_k \tilde{\underline{x}}_k^+ - \underline{\gamma}_k \underline{w}_k \quad (3.33)$$

note: not a function of  $u_k$ , because that is known exactly.

now we can derive  $P_{k+1}^-$

$$P_{k+1}^- = E \left\{ \tilde{\underline{x}}_{k+1}^- \tilde{\underline{x}}_{k+1}^{-T} \right\} \quad (3.34)$$

$$= E \left\{ \underline{\Phi}_k \tilde{\underline{x}}_k^+ \underline{\Phi}_k \tilde{\underline{x}}_k^{+T} \right\}$$

$$- E \left\{ \underline{\Phi}_k \tilde{\underline{x}}_k^+ \underline{w}_k^T \underline{\gamma}_k^T \right\} = 0$$

$$- E \left\{ \underline{\gamma}_k \underline{w}_k \tilde{\underline{x}}_k^{+T} \underline{\Phi}_k^T \right\} = 0$$

$$+ E \left\{ \underline{\gamma}_k \underline{w}_k \underline{w}_k^T \underline{\gamma}_k^T \right\}$$

From 3.27 we see  $\underline{w}_k$  &  $\tilde{x}_k^+$  are uncorrelated. ( $\tilde{x}_{k+1}^-$  depends on  $\underline{w}_k$ )

$$\Rightarrow E \{ \tilde{x}_k^+ \underline{w}_k^T \} = E \{ \underline{w}_k \tilde{x}_k^{+T} \} = 0$$

Simplifying & plugging in 3.28-9:


$$P_{k+1}^- = \Phi_k P_k^+ \Phi_k^T + \gamma_k Q_k \gamma_k^T \quad (3.35)$$

& initial cond:  $P_0^- = E \{ \tilde{x}_0^- \tilde{x}_0^{-T} \}$

Now develop expr. for  $P_k^+$

plug 3.27 into 3.30, and that into 3.32:

$$\tilde{x}_k^+ = (\mathbf{I} - K_k H_k) \hat{x}_k^- + K_k H_k \underline{x}_k + K_k \underline{v}_k - \underline{x}_k$$


  
 replace w/  $\tilde{x}_k^-$

$$\tilde{x}_k^+ = (\mathbf{I} - K_k H_k) \tilde{x}_k^- + K_k \underline{v}_k$$

Following similar approach as with  $P_{k+1}^-$

$$P_k^+ = E \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \hat{x}_k^+ \\ \hat{x}_k^+ \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{x}_k^+ \\ \hat{x}_k^+ \end{bmatrix}^T \right\} \quad (3.39)$$

$$= [I - K_k H_k] P_k^- [I - K_k H_k]^T + K_k R_k K_k^T$$

To find  $K_k$ , minimize trace ( $P_k^+$ )

(equivalent to minimizing length of the  
estimation error vector) minimum variance  
approach

$$K_k = P_k^- H_k^T [H_k P_k^- H_k^T + R_k]^{-1} \quad (3.42)$$

§

$$P_k^+ = [(P_k^-)^{-1} + H_k^T R_k^{-1} H_k]^{-1} \quad (3.45)$$

Note: 3.45 shows that update decrease covariance, while 3.35 shows that propagation increases covariance.

$$K_k = P_k^+ H_k^T R_k^{-1} \quad \leftarrow \text{alt. form.}$$

8

~ 501

Table 3.1: Discrete-Time Linear Kalman Filter

Model	$x_{k+1} = \Phi_k x_k + \Gamma_k u_k + Y_k w_k, \quad w_k \sim N(0, Q_k)$ $\tilde{y}_k = H_k x_k + v_k, \quad v_k \sim N(0, R_k)$
Initialize	$\hat{x}(t_0) = \hat{x}_0$ $P_0 = E \{ \tilde{x}(t_0) \tilde{x}^T(t_0) \}$
Gain	$K_k = P_k^- H_k^T [H_k P_k^- H_k^T + R_k]^{-1}$
Update	$\hat{x}_k^+ = \hat{x}_k^- + K_k [\tilde{y}_k - H_k \hat{x}_k^-]$ $P_k^+ = [I - K_k H_k] P_k^-$
Propagation	$\hat{x}_{k+1}^- = \Phi_k \hat{x}_k^+ + \Gamma_k u_k$ $P_{k+1}^- = \Phi_k P_k^+ \Phi_k^T + Y_k Q_k Y_k^T$

Implement

Extend to handle  
measurement.

missing



## Steady State KF

Propagating the  $n \times n$  matrix for  $P^{+/-}$  is computationally expensive.

It typically converges quickly, and a steady state value for  $P$ ,  $K$  can be determined.

Table 3.2: Discrete and Autonomous Linear Kalman Filter

Model	$x_{k+1} = \Phi x_k + \Gamma u_k + Y w_k, \quad w_k \sim N(0, Q)$ $\tilde{y}_k = H x_k + v_k, \quad v_k \sim N(0, R)$
Initialize	$\hat{x}(1_0) = \hat{x}_0$
Gain	$K = P H^T [H P H^T + R]^{-1}$
Covariance	$P = \Phi P \Phi^T - \Phi P H^T [H P H^T + R]^{-1} H P \Phi^T + Y Q Y^T$
Estimate	$\hat{x}_{k+1} = \Phi \hat{x}_k + \Gamma u_k + \Phi K [\tilde{y}_k - H \hat{x}_k]$

Discrete time algebraic Riccati eqn

Can be solved for  $P$

See 3.3.4

# Cramer-Rao Lower Bound

$$E \left\{ (\hat{X}_k^+ - X_k)(\hat{X}_k^+ - X_k)^T \right\} \geq \mathcal{F}_k^{-1}$$

↑  
matrices of state  
vectors over time

↑  
"trajectory information"  
Matrix

$$\mathcal{F}_k = -E \left\{ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial X_k \partial X_k^T} \ln [p(\tilde{Y}_k, X_k)] \right\}$$

↑  
note: joint probability,  
not conditional  
probability, b/c  
the state is  
stochastic ( $Q \neq 0$ )

$\mathcal{F}_k$  is a  $(kn) \times (kn)$  matrix

↑   ↑  
k time points   n states

if zero process  
noise, then  $p(\tilde{Y}_k, X_k)$   
 $= p(\tilde{Y}_k | X_k)$

We only really care about lower right  
 $n \times n$  block, which corresponds to the  
current state.

$$\mathcal{F}_k = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} & \\ \hline & \mathcal{J}_k \end{array} \right]$$

Skipping derivation...

for discrete time linear KF:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{k+1} = & Q_k^{-1} - Q_k^{-1} \Phi_k \left( \mathcal{J}_k + \Phi_k^T Q_k^{-1} \Phi_k \right)^{-1} \Phi_k^T Q_k^{-1} \quad (3.147) \\ & + H_{k+1}^T R_{k+1}^{-1} H_{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{J}_0 = -E \left\{ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \underline{x}_0 \partial \underline{x}_0^T} \ln [P(\underline{x}_0)] \right\} = P_0^{-1}$$

It can be shown that for the KF,

$$\left( P_{k+1}^+ \right)^{-1} = \left( \mathcal{J}_{k+1} \right)^{-1} \Rightarrow \text{KF is an "efficient" filter.}$$

It is not possible to do any better.

Implement:

check that your KF is efficient