

Upphovsrätt

Detta dokument hålls tillgängligt på Internet - eller dess framtida ersättare - under 25 år från publiceringsdatum under förutsättning att inga extraordinära omständigheter uppstår.

Tillgång till dokumentet innebär tillstånd för var och en att läsa, ladda ner, skriva ut enstaka kopior för enskilt bruk och att använda det oförändrat för ickekommersiell forskning och för undervisning. Överföring av upphovsrätten vid en senare tidpunkt kan inte upphäva detta tillstånd. All annan användning av dokumentet kräver upphovsmannens medgivande. För att garantera äktheten, säkerheten och tillgängligheten finns lösningar av teknisk och administrativ art.

Upphovsmannens ideella rätt innefattar rätt att bli nämnd som upphovsman i den omfattning som god sed kräver vid användning av dokumentet på ovan beskrivna sätt samt skydd mot att dokumentet ändras eller presenteras i sådan form eller i sådant sammanhang som är kränkande för upphovsmannens litterära eller konstnärliga anseende eller egenart.

För ytterligare information om Linköping University Electronic Press se förlagets hemsida <http://www.ep.liu.se/>.

Copyright

The publishers will keep this document online on the Internet - or its possible replacement - for a period of 25 years starting from the date of publication barring exceptional circumstances.

The online availability of the document implies permanent permission for anyone to read, to download, or to print out single copies for his/hers own use and to use it unchanged for non-commercial research and educational purpose. Subsequent transfers of copyright cannot revoke this permission. All other uses of the document are conditional upon the consent of the copyright owner. The publisher has taken technical and administrative measures to assure authenticity, security and accessibility.

According to intellectual property law the author has the right to be mentioned when his/her work is accessed as described above and to be protected against infringement.

For additional information about the Linköping University Electronic Press and its procedures for publication and for assurance of document integrity, please refer to its www home page: <http://www.ep.liu.se/>.

Abstract

Procedural content generation via reinforcement learning (PCGRL) has shown promising results in generating solvable levels for puzzle games. Still, little work has been done in exploring its ability to create engaging levels. In this work, we investigate the use of PCGRL in conjunction with difficulty estimation to create engaging levels by making them more challenging. We also propose a novel process for generating levels, called solution-down generation, that guarantees solvability, allowing the model to focus on learning difficulty rather than solvability. To test and compare these methods, we implemented four generators: one that uses random selection and a puzzle-up process, another that also uses random selection but our solution-down process, a third that is based on the original Turtle PCGRL method, and one that uses our proposed solution-down PCGRL method (SD-PCGRL). All the generators were developed to generate levels for the hyper-casual puzzle game Longcat, created by Fancade. In this game, the player attempts to fill different boxes with an elongating cat. Its hyper-casual nature allowed it to have a manageable number of states and actions, and its popularity provided us with a substantial amount of data. We first implemented a difficulty predictor to evaluate and train the models for generating engaging and challenging puzzles. This predictor is based on a previous method for estimating difficulty in casual puzzle games and was trained on player data collected from the Longcat application. We conducted an analysis phase before developing the generator to use this data properly. After the generators were developed and/or trained, we tested them by having each generate 20,000 levels. We then compared the solvability, number of duplicates, and difficulty of these levels. We found that both puzzle-up approaches struggled significantly with generating solvable levels, with the Turtle PCGRL generator unable to generate any solvable levels. We found that the solution-down random generator had fewer duplicates than the SD-PCGRL method; however, the vast majority of these levels were of trivial difficulty. In conclusion, the SD-PCGRL generator showed the best result in creating solvable, unique, and challenging levels for Longcat.