

# Principle Component Analysis

multivariate data: data describing  $N$  different variables

$\vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2, \dots, \vec{w}_N \rightarrow$  each a vector with  $m$  components (representing data points).

$\rightarrow$  Principle component Analysis can be used to reduce the dimension of our multivariate data set from  $N$  to  $K$  ( $K < N$ )

$\rightarrow$  we want to determine the covariance between each of these variables:  
 $\rightarrow$  first subtract the mean of each.

$$\hat{w}_i = \vec{w}_i - \text{mean}(\vec{w}_i)$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{w}_1 & \hat{w}_2 & \dots & \hat{w}_N \end{bmatrix}$$

covariance:

$$\text{cov}(\hat{w}_i, \hat{w}_j) = \hat{w}_i \cdot \hat{w}_j$$

$C$  = covariance matrix, the  $N \times N$  matrix such that

$$C_{ij} = \text{cov}(\hat{w}_i, \hat{w}_j)$$

$$C = A A^T = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{w}_1 & \hat{w}_2 & \dots & \hat{w}_N \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{w}_1^T & \hat{w}_2^T & \dots & \hat{w}_N^T \end{bmatrix}$$

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{w}_1 \cdot \hat{w}_1 & \hat{w}_1 \cdot \hat{w}_2 & \dots & \hat{w}_1 \cdot \hat{w}_N \\ \hat{w}_2 \cdot \hat{w}_1 & \hat{w}_2 \cdot \hat{w}_2 & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ \hat{w}_N \cdot \hat{w}_1 & \dots & \dots & \hat{w}_N \cdot \hat{w}_N \end{bmatrix}$$

↳ The covariance matrix is always symmetric.

→ The eigenvalues of a covariance matrix will always be real and positive

Theorem: Symmetric matrices

For an  $N \times N$  symmetric matrix, eigenvectors from distinct eigenvalues will always be orthogonal to each other.

↳ will prove in Chapter 8.

So for the covariance matrix when we have  $N$  distinct eigenvectors, what kind of eigen basis can we form?

→ an orthonormal eigen basis

~~or~~

So can choose eigenvectors:

$\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \dots, \vec{u}_N$  s.t. they are an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^N$

# Example

	Student 1	Student 2	Student 3	Student 4	mean
$\vec{w}_1 =$ grade on exam	95	85	75	65	80
$\vec{w}_2 =$ # of hours studied	14	10	12	4	10
$\vec{w}_3 =$ # of hours watching tv	1	5	5	9	5

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 15 & 5 & -5 & -15 \\ 4 & 0 & 2 & -6 \\ -4 & 0 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \quad A^T = \begin{bmatrix} 15 & 4 & -4 \\ 5 & 0 & 0 \\ -5 & 2 & 0 \\ -15 & -6 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$C = A A^T = 3 \times 3 \text{ matrix}$$

$$\text{eigenvalues: } \lambda_1 = 503.6 \quad \lambda_2 = 83.3 \quad \lambda_3 = 1.1$$

$$\text{eigenvectors: } u_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.996 \\ 0.090 \\ -0.008 \end{bmatrix} \quad u_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.076 \\ -0.787 \\ 0.613 \end{bmatrix} \quad u_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.049 \\ 0.611 \\ 0.790 \end{bmatrix}$$

How much does the first eigenvector explain the total variance in the data?

$$\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3} = 0.857$$

Consider the vector space spanned by  $\vec{u}_1$

$$W_1 = \text{span}(\vec{u}_1) \quad \dim(W_1) = 1$$

→ we have reduced the dimension of the data set from  $N=3$  to  $k=1$

but still have captured 85% of the variance

if we let  $\vec{S}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 15 \\ 4 \\ -4 \end{bmatrix}$  the data (w/ mean subtracted) for student 1

$$\text{Then } \text{proj}_{W_1} \vec{S}_1 = (\vec{u}_1 \cdot \vec{S}_1) \vec{u}_1$$

is close to the original vector but now lies in  $W_1$

Consider the space spanned by  $\vec{u}_1$  and  $\vec{u}_2$

$$W_2 = \text{span}(\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2) \quad \dim(W_2) = 2$$

$$\text{proj}_{W_2} \vec{S}_1 = (\vec{u}_1 \cdot \vec{S}_1) \vec{u}_1 + (\vec{u}_2 \cdot \vec{S}_1) \vec{u}_2$$

is even closer to the original vector ... why?

How much total variance do  $\vec{u}_1$  and  $\vec{u}_2$  account for?

We can also use Principal component analysis to classify new data.

→ consider data from a new student:

$$\vec{S}_T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

We can consider the projection of  $\vec{S}_T$  onto the reduced-dimension space:

$$\text{proj}_{w_i} \vec{S}_T$$

→ then calculate the distance from this to the projection of all the original students

$$d(\vec{S}_T, \vec{S}_i) = \|\text{proj}_{w_i} \vec{S}_T - \text{proj}_{w_i} \vec{S}_i\| \quad \text{for } i=1 \dots 4$$

For the  $\vec{S}_i$  that this distance is minimized, we can say that  $\vec{S}_T$  is most similar to  $\vec{S}_i$