

# MSE in a nutshell

[subtitle]

---


[author]

<[email]>

June 24, 2025

[affiliation]

# Fisheries management



# Goals of fisheries management

## ▶ Goals

- ▶ Sustainable benefits from harvesting
- ▶ Conserve stock(s) productivity
- ▶ Minimise impacts on ecosystem

## ▶ Requirements

- ▶ Set of clear management objectives
- ▶ Indication of proper harvest and/or stock level
- ▶ Means to monitor status
- ▶ Measures to control fishing

# Challenges of fisheries management

- ▶ Objectives set to be operational
- ▶ Trade-offs between short and long term
- ▶ Monitoring impact to ecosystem
- ▶ Quantifying uncertainty in status and dynamics
- ▶ Making decisions acknowledging risks

## How to deal with all this? MSE


*Evaluate the consequences of a range of different management strategies to determine which one will be the most appropriate to meet the operational objectives of the fishery. By:*

- ▶ Testing how robust the management options are against uncertainty.
- ▶ Comparing the relative performance of alternative management options.
- ▶ Simulation-testing management options under a wide(r) range of possible states of nature.

## Where does this come from?

- ▶ The International Whaling Commission developed simulation-based management methods using Management Strategies Evaluation (MSE), leading to the Revised Management Procedure (RMP) approved in 1994.
- ▶ The Revised Management Procedure (RMP) applies MSE to test different whale hunting strategies through simulations before using them in real-world management.
- ▶ These MSE-based methods account for uncertainty in whale populations and data quality to protect whale stocks through transparent, science-based decisions.

# The RMP



# IWC: Uncertainties in RMP<sup>1</sup>

- ▶ Alternative population models.
- ▶ Initial population size from 5-99
- ▶ Rates of productivity and changes over time.
- ▶ Uncertainty and bias in the estimated population size.
- ▶ Frequencies of abundance surveys (every 1, 5 or 10 years).
- ▶ Changes in carrying capacity (climate change, habitat degradation).
- ▶ Errors in historic records of catches.
- ▶ Occurrence of catastrophes (major disease).
- ▶ Uncertainty about stock structure.

---


<sup>1</sup><https://iwc.int/rmp2>, <https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsm035>



## MSE - some examples

- ▶ South African pelagics
- ▶ Australian fisheries
- ▶ Commission for the Conservation of Southern Bluefin Tuna
- ▶ European multi-stocks and multi-gear Management Plans
- ▶ North Sea Demersals Management Plans
- ▶ ICCAT ...
- ▶ IOTC ...

# A model of the fishery system



## Six steps to MSE<sup>2</sup>

1. Define and agree on objectives & limits
2. Identify appropriate Management Procedures
3. Define a set of Operating Models
4. Conduct simulations
5. Summarize performance
6. Select best Management Procedure


---

<sup>2</sup>Punt, A. E., Butterworth, D. S., de, Moor, C. L., De Oliveira, J. A. and Haddon, M. (2016), Management strategy evaluation: best practices. Fish Fish, 17: 303-334. doi:10.1111/faf.12104

# Define objectives & limits


IOTC example:

- ▶ objective =  $B_{MSY}$ ,
- ▶ limit =  $0.40 \cdot B_{MSY}$  &  $P(\text{Green}) > 60\%$ , over next 20 years.



# Identify Management Procedures


## 1. Observations




## 2. Estimator of stock status

- ▶ stock assessment model, e.g. ss3, spict, a4a
- ▶ or model-free indicator, e.g. survey index, CPUE
- ▶ or data-poor indicator, e.g. mean length in the catch


## 3. Decision




# Define Operating Models



# Conduct simulations



# Summarize Performance





## **Resolution on the Adoption of a Management Procedure**

*(adopted at the Eighteenth Annual Meeting – 10-13 October 2011)*

The Extended Commission for the Conservation of Southern Bluefin Tuna

*Seized* by the need to ensure the conservation and optimum utilisation of southern bluefin tuna based on the best available scientific advice,

*Taking account* of the current status of the stock and, in particular, the most recent stock assessment from the Extended Scientific Committee advising that the spawning stock biomass is between 3% and 7% of the original spawning stock biomass,

## What are the advantages?

1. Explicitly Accounts for Uncertainty
2. Facilitates Stakeholder Involvement and Transparency
3. Supports Ecosystem-Based Management
4. Improves Policy Performance and Risk Assessment

# What are the advantages?

- Explicitly Accounts for Uncertainty

MSE integrates ecological, observational, and implementation uncertainties into the management decision-making process. This allows strategies to be tested under a range of plausible future conditions, providing robustness against unforeseen changes.

# What are the advantages?

- ▶ Facilitates Stakeholder Involvement and Transparency

MSE fosters transparent, participatory processes by involving stakeholders in defining objectives and evaluating trade-offs. This enhances buy-in and legitimacy of management decisions, which is critical for successful implementation.

# What are the advantages?

- ▶ Supports Ecosystem-Based Management

MSE can incorporate multi-species and ecosystem-level dynamics, moving beyond single-species approaches. This is particularly valuable for ecosystem-based fisheries management (EBFM), where species interactions and broader ecological goals must be considered.

# What are the advantages?

- Improves Policy Performance and Risk Assessment

MSE systematically compares the outcomes of various management procedures, helping to identify strategies that achieve sustainability, economic efficiency, and catch stability. It enables risk-based decision-making by quantifying trade-offs between different management objectives.

## And disadvantages?

1. Resource-Intensive and Technically Demanding
2. High Demands on Stakeholder Engagement
3. Potential Misinterpretations and Implementation Risks

## And disadvantages?

- ▶ Resource-Intensive and Technically Demanding

MSE requires substantial computational resources, extensive data, and advanced modeling expertise. This complexity can be a barrier for resource-limited management bodies and may slow decision-making processes.



## And disadvantages?

- ▶ High Demands on Stakeholder Engagement

Successful MSE requires meaningful and sustained stakeholder involvement, which can be logistically difficult and time-consuming to achieve, particularly in international settings or for multi-sector fisheries.

## And disadvantages?

- Potential Misinterpretations and Implementation Risks

Complexity of MSE models may obscure the intuitive understanding of results for decision-makers and stakeholders. Furthermore, interpretation of MSE outputs can be complex, particularly around uncertainty quantification, and lead to incorrect assumptions or suboptimal decisions.

Questions?