

Mid-semester Retrospective of SSW-695 A

PART 1

Please provide brief feedback on the teamwork that you see or don't see happening on your team so far this semester.

Seen Happenings:

1. Good start from the project scope and vision inspiration and definition
2. Well-organized and specified in the respective responsibilities of each teammate for the project
3. Lack of deep and proper understanding of the project software system requirement and its analysis
4. Some teammate has no basic gratitude and respect to some teammate because of the bias
5. Deviation of the functionalities and features on implementation in what exactly the project's application is

Non-Seen Happenings:

1. Keep refining the correctness of actualizing the software system requirements
2. Adequate communication between team leader and team members
3. Harmony vibes and high morale in the team
4. The start of the documentation works for all implemented features or unit
5. Qualified unit testing and integration testing

The teammates who most positively contributed to make team successful:

This is me. Besides the first 2 weeks of the preparation, I made the most contributions to the team. Below are done.

1. Project scope and vision inspiration, identification, specification, definition, and conductions in documentation
2. Software system architecture design and documentation
3. GitHub portal construction and its wiki documentation
4. User experience and interface brief design
5. Features and stories specifications and flow designs

However, after someone came, thing's changed. He made some moves that made the project look like in some delay in delivery and some deviation in requirement implementation.

Yet, I have to pinpoint that Mr. Akshay Prasanna, he did very good and critical contributions in frontend coding and construction with his expertise in the tech of the framework of React.

The teammates who did not contribute to the progress of the project:

No one of us did not contribute to the project, each other has his responsibilities defined and assigned. I guess maybe some of us will say Dongjie did the fewest work for the project, but I think it's not the time. He is our DB admin and designer, which means most work will be in the second half of the undertaking of the project.

For each of your teammates, think about what they are doing to help the project and the other members of the team, and how engaged

they are in making your project a success. Are they trying to make a difference by driving the project forward, and helping teammates to be productive, or are they in the back seat, going along for the ride while others do the work?

No one is in the back seat.

Project Members	Akshay Prasanna	Dongjie Zou	Sachin Inguva	Yikan Wang	Yujun Kong
Evaluated Scores	5	3	4	4	4

PART 2

Provide brief feedback on the course, mid-way through the semester:

What do you like best about this course?

Frankly speaking, the best thing or person is indeed our professor Dr. Kempinski. His knowledge coverage, real-world problem-solving craft, teaching skills, team, and project controlling art, and idea elicitation with morale encouragement moved me. Once I thought maybe this course is kind of overwhelming or boring, but our professor with his mentoring and teaching movements prove that it's a worthy course!

Is there anything about this course that you think could be improved?

The scope and vision inspiration and elicitation period can be more precise or somewhat constrained because it's better for the student better understand the course design and course requirements.