

Team: 14

Staff Marks

Criterion	Marks available	Marks awarded	Comments
Management - organisation	5	3	Organised team. No faciliator reports. Very often team meetings reports,, and analytical report. No Analytical reports of client meetings. You could report in every client meeting the discussion questions, further requirement report. You had to be abit more organised about the client meetings. No faciliator report.
Management - progress	5	2.5	There is progress shown in the minutes. Problems are identified and a solution presented and pursued. The is evidence of good work distribution. However, there is no risk register.
Management - key documents	5	3	A good description of the project and its purpose is provided. The stories are grouped by functionalities, and although a purpose for each story is not provided, the requirements look strict on the client's side: this is what they want. The mock-ups are really interesting and nicely designed. Navigation through the system is clear and user firendly. There are not database design documents in the team drive.
Testing - unit tests	5	4	
Testing - system tests	5	0	No system testing
QA Task	5	3	Checked: HTML, Ruby. Missed: JavaScript, CSS. Good comments.
Week 9 demo	5	5	Clear, well-presented, and client was obviously very happy with this team's work
Time sheets	5	4.8	Good timesheets; slightly low hours from a couple of team members.
Total	40	25.3	

Client Marks

Criterion	Marks available	Marks awarded	Comments
Team performance			
Communication and professionalism	5	5	
Requirements capture	5	5	
Innovation	5	4	
			This team has always been outstanding in terms of their communication. They understood the nature of the task and very quickly got hold of the background information about Luhmann's approach to his slip box. So this demo is exactly what I expected to see and in terms of the ideas - it related directly to the mockups and the team gave me a clear sense of what they would be working on next. They also pointed out what would be achievable and which bits they were finding challenging.
Week 9 demonstration	5	5	
System delivered			
Ease of use	5	3	
Documentation and/or inbuilt help	5	3	
Completeness	5	4	
Robustness	5	4	
			Throughout this process the team have had excellent communication. I had confidence that they had captured the underlying system of note taking and knew what I was looking for. The system they have provided is a very good prototype. Some of the functions are difficult to follow and the support could be clearer in terms of navigation. BUT it is definitely what we had in mind when we discussed the site and it is what was promised at the demo. Very
Total	40	33	nice work everyone!