

# DS-HECK: Double-Lasso Estimation of Heckman Selection Model

Di Liu

Principal Econometrician

Stata

Nov 1, 2024

# High-dimensional sample selection model

$$y_1 = \mathbf{x}_1' \alpha + u_1 \quad \text{(main equation)}$$

$$y_2 = \mathbb{I}(\mathbf{x}'\beta + \mathbf{z}'\eta + u_2 \geq 0) \quad \text{(selection equation)}$$

- $y_1$  is the outcome of interest
- $\mathbf{x}_1$  is a low dimensional vector of independent variables
- $y_2$  is a sample selection indicator
- $\mathbf{x}_2 = (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2)$  is a low dimensional vector
- $\mathbf{z}$  is a **high-dimensional** vector
- $\eta$  is a **sparse** vector

# Sparse model for observed outcome

Under some assumptions, the conditional mean of the observed outcome is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(y_1 | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}, y_2 = 1) &= \mathbf{x}'_1 \alpha + \gamma \lambda(\mathbf{x}' \beta + \mathbf{z}' \eta) \\ &= \mathbf{x}'_1 \alpha + \gamma \lambda(\mathbf{x}' \beta) + \gamma \lambda^{(1)}(q) \mathbf{z}' \eta \\ &= \mathbf{x}'_1 \alpha + \gamma \lambda(\mathbf{x}' \beta) + \mathbf{z}' \omega\end{aligned}$$

Sparse  $\eta \implies$  the same sparsity pattern in  $\omega$ .

## Objective

Consistently estimate  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  with high-dimensional  $\mathbf{z}$  with sparse coefficients  $\omega$ .

- $\alpha$  estimates effects of  $\mathbf{x}_1$  on  $y_1$ .
- $\gamma$  estimates the extent of sample selection bias
- $\omega$  is nuisance parameter

# DS-HECK: Two double-Lassos

Two high-dimensional models:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(y_1|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}, y_2 = 1) &= \mathbf{x}'_1 \boldsymbol{\alpha} + \gamma \lambda(\mathbf{x}' \boldsymbol{\beta}) + \mathbf{z}' \boldsymbol{\omega} && \text{(Observed outcome)} \\ y_2 &= \mathbb{I}(\mathbf{x}' \boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{z}' \boldsymbol{\eta} + u_2 \geq 0) && \text{(selection)}\end{aligned}$$

- 1 If we know  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ , we can estimate  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$  and  $\gamma$  by running the **double-Lasso to the linear regression** in Eq. (observed outcome).
- 2 However, we can consistently estimate  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  by running the **double-lasso to the Probit regression** in Eq. (selection).
- 3 Standard errors must be adjusted because  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  is estimated.

# dsheckman: Stata command for DS-HECK

## Syntax

```
dsheckman depvar indepvars [if] [in]  
          , selection(depvar_s = indepvars_s)  
          [selvars (varlist) ]
```

## Model

$$y_1 = \mathbf{x}_1' \alpha + u_1 \quad \text{(main equation)}$$

$$y_2 = \mathbb{I}(\mathbf{x}'\beta + \mathbf{z}'\eta + u_2 \geq 0) \quad \text{(selection equation)}$$

- *depvar*  $\equiv y_1$ , *indepvars*  $\equiv \mathbf{x}_1$
- *depvar\_s*  $\equiv y_2$ , *indepvars\_s*  $\equiv (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})$
- *selvars*()  $\equiv \mathbf{x}$  if specified. Otherwise,  $\mathbf{x}$  is chosen by Lasso.

## Example: Labor participation and earnings

$$\log(\text{income}) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \cdot \text{educ} + \alpha_2 \cdot \text{exper} + u_1 \quad (\text{earning})$$

$$\text{inlfl} = \mathbb{I}(\mathbf{x}'\beta + \mathbf{z}'\eta + u_2 \geq 0) \quad (\text{labor participation})$$

Step 1: Define  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})$  in the labor participation equation

```
. local vars_sel exper exper2 educ_level  childcare_expen_2012      ///
>      i.if_kidsle15 num_kids wage_husband exp_appl i.wtr_enrolled  ///
>      i.wtr_grad_hs i.wtr_attend_college i.wtr_cert_educ          ///
>      i.wtr_educ_usa i.father_educ_usa i.mother_educ_usa         ///
>      i.rural_urban i.own_vehicle i.current_state
```

```
. dsheckman lnwage educ_level exper, selection(inlf = `vars_sel`)
```

```
step 1: lasso probit to select vars
```

```
step 2: dsprobit of y2 on selected zvars
```

```
Double selection probit          Number of obs          =          1,989
                                Number of controls          =             89
                                Number of selected controls =             10
```

inlf	Coefficient	Robust std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf. interval]	
educ_level	.071556	.0228702	3.13	0.002	.0267313	.1163807
exper2	-.0011333	.0003511	-3.23	0.001	-.0018214	-.0004451
childca_2012	.0726106	.0258034	2.81	0.005	.0220368	.1231845
exper	.0156069	.0119473	1.31	0.191	-.0078093	.0390231
_cons	-.7051439	.2897608	-2.43	0.015	-1.273065	-.1372231

```
step 3: compute lambda
```

```
step 4: dsregress yl on xvars, lambda with controls
```

```
Double-selection-lasso Heckman    Number of obs          =          1,989
                                Selected                    =          1,294
                                Nonselected                  =           695
                                Number of variables          =           93
                                Number of selected controls =           3
                                Number of main variables     =           2
```

	Coefficient	Std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf. interval]	
educ_level	.0544304	.0382198	1.42	0.154	-.0204791	.1293399
exper	.0320553	.0079836	4.02	0.000	.0164076	.0477029
lambda	-1.93624	.4908842	-3.94	0.000	-2.898355	-.9741244

Note: in the main equation, there are 2 variables; in the selection equation, 3 among 93 variables are used to predict inverse mills ratio.

# option selvars ()

```
. dsheckman lnwage educ_level exper, selection(inlf = `vars_sel`) ///  
> selvars(num_kids educ_level exper)
```

step 1: set *varsofinterest* in selection equation

step 2: dsprobit of y2 on selected zvars

```
Double selection probit      Number of obs      =      1,989  
                             Number of controls   =        90  
                             Number of selected controls =       12
```

inlf	Robust		z	P> z	[95% conf. interval]	
	Coefficient	std. err.				
num_kids	-.1008661	.0440309	-2.29	0.022	-.1871651	-.0145671
educ_level	.0719182	.0229172	3.14	0.002	.0270014	.116835
exper	.0172596	.011989	1.44	0.150	-.0062385	.0407576
_cons	-.7082983	.2901592	-2.44	0.015	-1.277	-.1395968

step 3: compute lambda

step 4: dsregress y1 on xvars, lambda with controls

```
Double-selection-lasso Heckman      Number of obs      =      1,989  
                                     Selected          =      1,294  
                                     Nonselected        =       695  
                                     Number of variables =       93  
                                     Number of selected controls =       3  
                                     Number of main variables =       2
```

	Coefficient	Std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf. interval]	
educ_level	.0954287	.0356875	2.67	0.007	.0254825	.1653749
exper	.0155112	.0163068	0.95	0.341	-.0164495	.0474719
lambda	-.9183578	.7577044	-1.21	0.226	-2.403431	.5667155

Note: in the main equation, there are 2 variables; in the selection equation, 3 among 93 variables are used to predict inverse mills ratio.



# Resources

[https://github.com/flyingliudi/dsheck\\_public](https://github.com/flyingliudi/dsheck_public)