## Calculation of average Vp/Vs and Thickness of Crust

## 1. Simple H-k stack

$$\frac{Vp}{Vs} = \left[ (1 - p^2 V p^2) \left\{ 2 \left( \frac{t_{Ps} - t_P}{t_{PpPs} - t_{Ps}} \right) + 1 \right\}^2 + p^2 V p^2 \right]^{1/2}$$

$$t_{1} = t_{Ps} - t_{P} = H \left[ \sqrt{Vs^{-2} - p^{2}} - \sqrt{Vp^{-2} - p^{2}} \right]$$

$$t_{2} = t_{PpPs} - t_{P} = H \left[ \sqrt{Vs^{-2} - p^{2}} + \sqrt{Vp^{-2} - p^{2}} \right]$$

$$t_{2} = t_{PpSs} - t_{P} = 2H\sqrt{Vs^{-2} - p^{2}}$$

## 2. Moho depth and average Vp/Vs ratio estimation

To obtain Moho depth (H) and average Vp/Vs ratio (or Poisson's ratio  $\sigma$ ), H-Vp/Vs stacking technique of Zhu and Kanamori (2000) is used, which exploits the fact that arrival times and amplitude of specific Moho converted phases and multiples appearing on radial receiver functions are determined by known functions of Moho depth (H), Vp/Vs ratio and average Vp in the crust. Since travel times used for crustal receiver function analysis are much less sensitive to Vp than to Vs (Zhu and Kanamori, 2000), an average Vp for the entire crust from previously obtained seismic source studies in the region is assumed. For a near true combination of H and Vp/Vs ratio value, the weighted sum of the receiver function amplitude, defined as S(H, Vp/Vs), at the calculated times of predicted arrivals of Ps, PpPms and PpSms+PsPms phases would be expected to be maximum.

$$S(H, Vp/Vs) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} [w_1 r_j(t_1) + w_2 r_j(t_2) + w_3 r_j(t_3)],$$

where  $r_j(t)$  is the amplitude of receiver function for the  $j^{th}$  event,  $t_1$ ,  $t_2$ ,  $t_3$  are predicted Ps, PpPms and PpSms+PsPms arrival times corresponding to Moho depth H and Vp/Vs

ratio and N is the total number of receiver functions. The weighting factors  $w_i$ 's are chosen such that  $\sum w_i = 1$ , and

$$t_1 = H[\sqrt{Vs^{-2} - p^2} - \sqrt{Vp^{-2} - p^2}]$$

$$t_2 = H[\sqrt{Vs^{-2} - p^2} + \sqrt{Vp^{-2} - p^2}]$$

$$t_3 = 2H\sqrt{Vs^{-2} - p^2}$$

 $w_I$ ,  $w_2$  and  $w_3$  are chosen to balance contribution from the 3 phases in receiver function. Among the phases, Ps has higher amplitude over PpPms and PpSms and accordingly it has been assigned higher weight than the other two. A grid search through H and Vp/Vs parameter space is performed, and the parameter value corresponding to the maximum value of S(H, Vp/Vs) can be considered as the best estimate. Based on the modeling of previous studies average crustal P wave velocity (Vp) is chosen to be 6.4 km/s. H and Vp/Vs ratio are allowed to vary from 20-80 km (with 0.05 km increment) and 1.6-2.0 (with 0.002 increment) respectively.  $w_I > w_2 + w_3$  was set because the later two phases have similar slope in H-Vp/Vs plane. In the computation,  $w_I = 0.6$ ,  $w_2 = 0.3$  and  $w_3 = 0.1$  are used. The station, where the multiples (in particular, PpPms) are not clear, Vp/Vs ratio is taken from the neighbouring station.

Poisson's ratio is more useful tool to provide crustal composition than either Vp or Vs alone (*Christensen*, 1996). Poisson's ratio for solids theoretically falls between 0 and 0.5. Materials without rigidity (e.g., perfect liquids) as well as incompressible solids, have  $\sigma = 0.5$ . Poisson's ratio can be calculated from Vp/Vs as follows:

$$\sigma = 0.5[1 - \frac{1}{(Vp^2/Vs^2) - 1}]$$