Course: Secure and Dependable Systems Assignment 3

Fezile Manana

Problem 3.1

a) (i) False

The definition of a logical clock is a function such that Θ

$$a \prec b \Rightarrow \Theta(a) < \Theta(b)$$

where a and b have a casual relation and a happened-before b. However, the direction of implication of this statement does not necessarily work in the opposite direction, therefore

$$\Theta(a) < \Theta(b) \Rightarrow a \prec b$$

is not necessarily true.

(ii) False

The same reasoning in $\left(i\right)$ can be applied in this situation as the implication is in wrong direction

b) (i) True

Vector clocks are defined as such:

$$\Theta_v(a) < \Theta_v(b) \iff \forall i (1 \leqslant i \leqslant b) : a_i \leqslant b_i \land \exists i' : a_{i'} < b_{i'}$$

meaning that $\Theta_v(a) < \Theta_v(b)$ iff every value for all process indices in $\Theta_v(a)$ is less than or equal the corresponding values in vector $\Theta_v(b)$ and there exists an entry where $\Theta_v(a)_i$ is strictly smaller than $\Theta_v(b)_i$.

Therefore if $a \prec b$, the following characteristic emerges:

$$\Theta_v(b)_{min} = \Theta_v(a) - I_i$$

where I_i is the initial vector of some process i (i.e. 1 in one entry and zero everywhere else)

$$\Theta_v(b) < \Theta_v(a)$$

(ii) False

This is a contradiction to the previous statement.

c) If the value of $\Theta_v(e)$ increases for process index other than itself, then it is a concurrent process.

Problem 3.2

```
a)
                     n = 1
    a = 1
             g = 1
    b = 2
             h = 2
                     o = 3
                     p = 4
    c = 3
            i = 3
                     q = 5
    d = 4
            j = 4
    e = 7
             k = 5
                     r = 6
    f = 10 l = 8
                     s = 7
             m = 9 t = 8
b)
                 g = (0, 1, 0) n = (0, 0, 1)
    a = (1, 0, 0)
    b = (2, 1, 0) h = (0, 2, 0) o = (0, 2, 2)
    c = (3, 1, 0) i = (0, 3, 0) p = (0, 2, 3)
    d = (4, 3, 0) j = (3, 4, 0)
                                 q = (0, 2, 4)
    e = (5, 3, 5)
                 k = (3, 5, 0) r = (0, 2, 5)
    f = (6, 7, 6)
                 1 = (3, 6, 6)
                                 s = (0, 2, 6)
                  m = (3, 7, 6)
                                t = (3, 5, 7)
```

c) Cut C_1 is consistent as the cut C_1 has the property that if $f \in C_1$ and $e \prec f$ then $e \in C_1$ holds. C_2 , however, is inconsistent as event l is in the cut while event s is not and it also holds that $s \prec l$, giving an inconsistent cut.

Problem 3.3

Building on the primitives in the lecture notes:

```
upon initialisation do
    delivered = \emptyset
    past = \emptyset
upon event (BROADCAST(R,m)) do
    trigger (BROADCAST(R, (DATA, past, m))
    past = past.append((p_i, m))
                                        \\ append to history
upon event \langle \texttt{DELIVER} (\texttt{R}, p_i [\texttt{DATA}, past_m, \texttt{m}) \rangle do
    if(m \in delivered then
                                          \\ in ascending order
         for all(s_n, n) \in past_m do
              if(n \in delivered) then
                   trigger \langle DELIVER(R, (s_n, n)) \rangle
                                                       \\deliver preceding messages
                   delivered = delivered.append(n)
                   past = past.append((s_n, n))
                                                       \\ append to history
              trigger(DELIVER(R, p_i, m))) \\ deliver current message
              delivered = delivered.append(m)
              past = past.append((p_i, m))
                                                  \\append to history
```

Reference for source https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007%2F3-540-28846-5_3.pdf