Verbs with conservative first person forms in Cariban languages

Florian Matter University of Bern

November 15, 2021

Contents

1	Intr	oduction	1					
	1.1	The Cariban language family]					
	1.2	Verbs with conservative first person forms]					
		1.2.1 Proto-Cariban verbal person marking	2					
		1.2.2 Person marker extensions in intransitive verbs	3					
		1.2.3 The morphological basis of the split-S system	6					
		1.2.4 Summary and outlook	ç					
2	Inco	omplete extensions: the innovative 1S _A markers	9					
	2.1	Proto-Pekodian * <i>k</i>	10					
	2.2	Proto-Waiwaian $*k$	14					
	2.3	Proto-Tiriyoan *t	16					
	2.4	Akuriyó <i>k</i>	18					
	2.5	Carijona <i>j</i>	19					
	2.6	Yukpa <i>j</i>	2 C					
3	Resi	Resistant verbs from a comparative perspective						
	3.1	*eti and * $a[p]$ 'to be'	22					
	3.2	* $ka[ti]$ 'to say'	22					
	3.3	* $ita[ma]$ 'to go'	25					
	3.4	*(<i>ət-</i>) <i>jəpi</i> 'to come'	25					
	3.5	*ipitə 'to go down'	30					
	3.6	*e-pi 'to bathe'	32					
4	Disc	eussion	35					
	4.1	Possible motivations for archaicity	35					
	4.2	Conclusion	38					

List of Figures

1	Person marking extensions in Parukotoan, after Gildea (1998: 94)	4
List of	f Tables	
1	Some Hixkaryána verbs	1
2	Some Tiriyó verbs	2
3	Proto-Cariban Set I (main clause) person markers	2
4	Some examples for completed extensions	5
5	Participles of S_A and S_P verbs	6
6	Nominalizations of S_A and S_P verbs	6
7	Imperatives of S_A and S_P verbs	7
8	Regular Pekodian S_A verbs	10
9	Verbs preserving ${}_{1}S_{A}$ * w - in Proto-Pekodian	13
10	Loss of *w in Ikpeng	13
11	Regular Proto-Waiwaian verbs	15
12	Verbs preserving ${}_{1}S_{A}$ * w - in Proto-Waiwaian	16
13	Regular Proto-Tiriyoan S_A verbs	17
14	Verbs preserving ${}_{1}S_{A}$ * w - in Proto-Tiriyoan	17
15	Regular Akuriyó 1S _A markers	19
16	Regular Carijona verbs	19
17	Regular Yukpa verbs	20
18	Reflexes of * $ka[ti]$ 'to say'	23
19	Reflexes of * $ita[ma]$ 'to go'	26
20	Reflexes of *(∂t -) $j\partial p\dot{t}$ 'to come'	27
21	Reflexes of *ipitə 'to go down'	31
22	Comparison of intransitive and transitive 'to bathe'	34
23	Overview of extensions and (un-)affected verbs	36
24	Proportion of (un-)affected verbs accurately predicted by potential factors $\ .$	37

1 Introduction

1.1 The Cariban language family

1.2 Verbs with conservative first person forms

Cariban languages feature relatively rich verbal morphology, both pre- and suffixes, inflecting for person, number, tense, aspect, and evidentiality, combined with a range of valency-modifying derivational markers. A split-S system can be reconstructed to Proto-Cariban, discussed in more detail in Section 1.2.3. Table 1 shows person paradigms for four Hixkaryána verbs, members of the S_A inflectional class. A comparison of these paradigms shows that the verb to be diverges from the others in its first person marker. That prefix is w-, while other S_A verbs like to fall have k(i)-. A similar example can be found in Tiriyó, where the verb to go' has a first-person prefix wi- while other S_A verbs have a prefix with phonologically conditioned allomorphs t- / _a and s- / _a (Table 2). In both languages, the verbs on the left of the table are representative for the S_A class, since the vast majority is inflected identically. In both languages, there are only a few other verbs inflected identically to the divergent ones on the right; for example, the first-person form of Tiriyó to be' is w-ei- (Meira 1999: 339).

In our synchronic analyses of these two languages, we consider these verbs and their first person prefixes IRREGULAR, contrasting with regular prefixes on regular S_A verbs, k(i)-

Table 1: Some Hixkaryána verbs (Howard 2001: 150, 510, 511, 513, 520; Derbyshire 1985: 197, 198)

	'to fall'	'to be afraid'	'to walk'	'to cut self'	'to be'
1 2 1+2		k-oser ^j ehi- m-oser ^j ehi- t-oser ^j ehi-	k-atar ^j eknohi- m-atar ^j eknohi- t-atar ^j eknohi-		w-efe- m-efe- t-efe-
3	n-ehurka-	n-oser ^j eh i -	n-atar ^j eknoh i -	n-atama-	n-ese-

1

 $^{^{1}}$ The presence of a 1+2 person value implies that of a 1+3 value. This is usually expressed with a free pronoun combined with third person morphology in Cariban languages, so it is not represented as a distinct value in the paradigms we show.

 $^{^{2}}$ In Table 1 and the remainder of this paper, we omit any TAM suffixes found in the original forms found in the literature, since a) our focus lies on the prefixes and stems, and b) full paradigms containing the same TAM suffix are rarely found.

 $^{^3}$ We use standard IPA symbols in our transcription of Cariban languages, with the exception of coronal rhotics, which we simply represent with $\langle r \rangle$, rather than $\langle \underline{\iota} \rangle$ for Wayana or $\langle \underline{\iota} \rangle$ for Ye'kwana etc. In languages with strong morphophonological processes and/or subphonemic orthography we show the original transcription in an additional surface line when presented in an interlinearized glossed example. We follow Gildea (2018) in using $\langle a \rangle$ for the proto-vowel reconstructed by Meira & Franchetto (2005), although it was likely more back (Gildea et al. 2010).

⁴Glossing abbreviations:

	'to sleep'	'to see self'	'to bathe (INTR)'	'to yawn'	'to go'
1	t-əənɨkɨ-	t-əene-	s-ep i -	s-entapo-	wɨ-tən-
2	m-əənɨkɨ-	т-әепе-	m-epɨ-	m-entapo-	mɨ-tən-
1+2	kɨt-əənɨkɨ-	k-əene-	ke-epɨ-	k-entapo-	kɨ-tən-
3	n-əənɨkɨ-	n-əene-	n-ep i -	n-entapo-	nɨ-tən-

Table 2: Some Tiriyó verbs (Meira 1999: 292, 294; Carlin 2004: 274)

Table 3: Proto-Cariban Set I (main clause) person markers (Meira et al. 2010: 495; Gildea & Zúñiga 2016: 497)

(a) Transitive					(ł	o) Intran	sitive
A/P	1	2	1+2	3		S_A	S _P
1		* <i>k</i> -		*t(i)-	1	*w-	* <i>u</i> (<i>j</i>)-
2	* <i>k</i> -			m(i)-	2	*m-	$*\partial(j)$ -
1+2				*kit(i)-	1+2	*kɨt-	* <i>k</i> -
3	u(j)-	$*\partial(j)$ -	* <i>k</i> -	*n(i)-	3	*n-	*n(i)-

and t-/s-. However, there is no widely accepted definition of irregularity (Stolz et al. 2012), and many stricter definitions (Haspelmath & Sims 2010: e.g.) require the pattern to occur at a single place in the grammar. For such approaches, these verbs simply belong to a small inflectional (sub-)class. Regardless of synchronic analysis, the explanation for these inflectional patterns lies, as so often, in diachrony. Our story starts with the verbal person marking system of Proto-Cariban, discussed in Section 1.2.1. That system was subject to different kinds of innovations; the one responsible for the irregular Hixkaryána and Tiriyó verbs is introduced in Section 1.2.2. A particular component of that system, the distinction between S_A and S_P verbs, played a major role in the developments under discussion and is the topic of Section 1.2.3.

Proto-Cariban verbal person marking 1.2.1

Proto-Cariban is reconstructed by Gildea (1998) as using a person paradigm called Set I in its independent verb forms, shown in Table 3. The choice of person marker in transitive verbs can be characterized as being conditioned by a basic person hierarchy 1/2 > 3. The locuphoric markers had two forms, an A-oriented one for direct (SAP>3) scenarios and a P-oriented one for inverse (3>SAP) scenarios. There was a single aliophoric marker n(i)-, which only surfaced in nonlocal (3>3) scenarios, without morphologically expressed distinctions between different third person referents. Local scenarios were expressed in a nontransparent manner, both using the 1+2 prefix *k-.

Formally identical or etymologically related markers occured in intransitive verbs, which showed a split-S system (Table 3b). That is, S_A verbs took similar markers as the A-oriented ones in transitive verbs, with the exception of first person (1>3 *t(i)- vs 1 S_A *w-), as well as the absence of *i after all S_A prefixes. On the other hand, S_P verbs took markers fully identical to the P-oriented ones. The third person marker in S_P verbs was identical to the one in 3>3 scenarios (*n(i)-).

Equipped with knowledge about the ancestral system, it becomes clear that the irregularly inflected Hixkaryána and Tiriyó preserve the original Proto-Cariban 1S_A prefix *w- and are therefore conservative. They contrast with regular S_A verbs, which are innovative in both languages. The reflexes of *w- may be considered Relics, old and restricted to specific lexically conditioned contexts, contrasting with the innovative prefixes found elsewhere. The verbs and their prefixes are comparable with the few English nouns like ox, which preserve the old plural suffix -en. It, too, was once more widespread, being the normal plural suffix of the weak inflection, compare German Ochse-n 'ox-en', Name-n 'name-s', Hase-n 'hare-s', Bär-en 'bear-s'. Since the irregular Hixkaryána and Tiriyó prefixes are conservative and the regular prefixes are innovative, the next question to be addressed is where these new prefixes came from.

1.2.2 Person marker extensions in intransitive verbs

In his discussion of the Proto-Cariban split-S system and reconstruction of the intransitive person prefixes, Gildea (1998: 88–96) shows that the system has undergone many different modifications in various languages. The main mechanism of change leading to these modifications are **person marker extensions**, i.e. the use of verbal person prefixes being extended to contexts previously occupied by other prefixes. There have been many different person marker extensions in Cariban languages, and some are still ongoing. This is illustrated by Gildea (1998), using the three Parukotoan languages as an example. Apart from segmental changes to individual morphemes, the following innovations happened in the Set I paradigm in Parukotoan:

1. Proto-Parukotoan

- (a) ${}_{1}S_{A} * w to 1 > 3$
- (b) 1+2 *k- to 1S_P (completed in Proto-Waiwaian, ongoing in Werikyana)
- (c) 1+2 *kit- to 1+2S_P (completed in Proto-Waiwaian, ongoing in Werikyana)

2. Proto-Waiwaian

- (a) ${}_{1}S_{P} *k$ to ${}_{1}S_{A}$
- (b) innovative *owiro j- '1PRO LK' for 1P
- 3. Waiwai

```
(a) 2S_A m- to 2S_P
```

All innovations are person marker extensions except 2b, which combined a pronoun with the linker *j-. They are printed in bold in Figure 1, which reproduces Gildea's (1998) tables as a tree diagram, with adapted transcription and the additional alternative Werikyana 1S_P marker \emptyset/j - (Spike Gildea, p.c.). Hixkaryána has preserved split-S only in the second person

```
Proto-Cariban
                               S_A S_P
                     1 *t(i)-
                             *w- *u(j)- *u(j)-
                    2 *m(i)- *m- *\partial(j)- *\partial(j)-
                  1+2 *kit(i)- *kit- *k-
                        Proto-Parukotoan
                      A S_A S_P
                    1 * w - * w - * k - , * \emptyset / j - * \emptyset / j -
                    2 *m- *m- *o(j)-
                                            *o(j)-
                  1+2 *kit- *kit- *k-, *kit- *k-
      Werikyana
                                              Proto-Waiwaian
        S_A S_P
                     P
                                           A S_A S_P
  1 w- w- k-, Ø/j- Ø/j-
                                         1 *w- *k- *k-
                                                             *owi(ro) j-
  2 m- m- o-
                                         2 *m - *m - *o(j) - *o(j) -
                     0-
1+2 kit- kit- k-, kit- k-
                                      1+2 *tit- *tit- *k-
                                  Hixkarvána
                                                                  Waiwai
                                                                               P
                                      S_A \ S_P
                                                                  S_A
                                                                        S_{P}
                               1 w-/i- k- k-
                                                r(o)-
                                                                         k-
                                                                               o(j)-
                                                          1 w-
                              2 m-
                                      m - o(j) - o(j)
                                                          2 m-
                                                                  m-
                                                                        m-
                                                                              a(w)-
                                      t- t-
                                                        1+2 \ t(it)-\ t(it)-\ k-
                            1+2 t-
```

Figure 1: Person marking extensions in Parukotoan, after Gildea (1998: 94)

prefixes, while Werikyana still shows the variation in the first person and $_{1+2}$ prefixes that is reconstructible to Proto-Parukotoan. Waiwai, on the other hand, has lost the system entirely, which notably happened via distinct innovations at three different diachronic stages.

Gildea (1998) discusses person marker extensions only in the context of the loss of the split-S system and the accompanying changes to indexing alignment; for our story we will zoom in on a so far neglected aspect of these extensions. To begin, we argue that they took place via lexical diffusion, a type of extension (Harris & Campbell 1995: 106-115); this hypothesis is supported by three facts. First, the variation in first person and 1+2 prefixes described above for Werikyana is not completely free. Rather, some verbs only allow for example first person k-, but not j-, while others can occur with both, which is the expected pattern in a

Table 4: Some examples for completed extensions (Gildea 1998: 90–92)

(a) Apalaí	(b) Panare	(c) Waimiri-Atroari
S_A S_P	$S_{\rm A}$ $S_{ m P}$	S
${1} \qquad \dot{t}$ - $ \varnothing \qquad \dot{t}$ - $ \dot{j}$ -	${1 \qquad w(i)$ - \varnothing/j -	${1} \qquad w(i)-/i-$
2 m(i)- o -	$2 \qquad m(i)$ -	2 m(i)-
1+2 $s(i)$ -	1+2 $n(i)$ -	$_{1+2}$ $h(i)$ -
$\frac{3}{n(i)}$	$3 \qquad n(i)$	<u>3 n-/⊘</u>

lexical diffusion scenario. In addition, this is speaker-dependent (Spike Gildea, p.c.), which is what one would expect from a change in progress. Second, while there is no detailed diachronic scenario for the switch of 1>3 *t - and 1S_A in the Tiriyoan languages (Section 2.3), Meira (1998: 111–112) convincingly argues that it must have happened gradually rather than instantaneously, and entailed both markers spreading at the same time. Whether this gradual switch was along ordered lines or not, lexical diffusion must have played a role.

Our third argument in favor of the lexical diffusion scenario brings us back to the Hixkaryána and Tiriyó verbs with conservative first person forms: In both cases, the innovative ${\rm iS_A}$ prefixes were introduced by a person marker extension spreading via lexical diffusion. We interpret the continued presence of the old ${\rm iS_A}$ marker in a few verbs as the extension stopping short of these verbs, rather than affecting all targets (${\rm S_A}$ verbs). In our investigations of person marker extensions, we identified 19 individual cases affecting intransitive verbs, and found 6 of them to be incomplete. These incomplete extensions have left between 1 and 7 conservatively inflected verbs in 9 Cariban languages. Interestingly, all six extensions featured innovative first person markers on ${\rm S_A}$ verbs. While extensions occurred with other person values as well, they never affected ${\rm S_A}$ verbs, only ${\rm S_P}$ ones, and they always affected all potential targets. Illustrative examples for complete extensions are shown in Table 4: the extension of 1+2S_A s(i)- (<*kit-) to ${\rm S_P}$ verbs in Apalaí (Table 4a), of 2S_A m(i)- in to ${\rm S_P}$ verbs in Panare (Table 4b), or the extension of the entire ${\rm S_A}$ set to ${\rm S_P}$ verbs in Waimiri-Atroari (Table 4c).

The markedly different behavior of S_A and S_P verbs with regards to the extent of extensions affeting them points to the split-S system playing some role, a suspicion which will be confirmed in Section 4. Thus, we will discuss that system in a little more detail before moving on to the incomplete extensions. This will also give readers an idea how it is possible for the S_A/S_P distinction to be lost only for a single person, or for S_P verbs to take on S_A markers with apparent semantic impunity.

 $^{^5}$ The presence of the third person marker n- for 1+2 is due to the wholesale loss of that inflectional value.

Table 5: Participles of S_A and S_P verbs (Schuring n.d.: 39; Alves 2017: 118, 207; Meira 1999: 333, 334; Tavares 2005: 400; E. Koehn & S. Koehn 1986: 35, 1994: kuruaz-154; Hoff 1968: 430, 433; T. E. Payne & D. L. Payne 2013: 232, 244)

Language	S_{A}	S_{P}
Werikyana	<i>t-ehurka-tfe</i> 'fallen'	ti-ja?-so 'burnt'
Arara	t-o-ep-te 'come'	t-oregrum-te 'sad'
Tiriyó	<i>tɨ-w-əturu-e</i> 'talked'	<i>t-əpəə-se</i> 'tired'
Wayana	<i>tə-w-epi-he</i> 'bathed'	<i>t-onopɨ-he</i> 'painted'
Apalaí	<i>t-o-ito-se</i> 'gone'	<i>t-ihto-se</i> 'gone down'
Kari'ña	<i>tu-w-o?ka-se</i> 'come out'	t-okari-se 'told'
Panare	<i>t-o-tatɨhpə-se</i> 'wailed'	<i>ti-sirike-tse</i> 'tired'

Table 6: Nominalizations of S_A and S_P verbs (Schuring n.d.: 49, 74; Alves 2017: 97; Meira 1999: 246; Tavares 2005: 130, 409; E. Koehn & S. Koehn 1986: 90, 1994: ner2-003; Hoff 1968: 135, 392; T. E. Payne & D. L. Payne 2013: 390; M.-C. Mattéi-Müller 1994: 23)

Language	S_{A}	S_P
Werikyana	o-w-ehurka-tpɨrɨ 'your fall'	o-onenmehi-tpiri 'your waking up'
Arara	w-orik-tubo 'dancing place'	ereŋmi-tpo 'killing instrument'
Tiriyó	<i>ji-w-əturu-to</i> '(for) my talking'	<i>j-emamina-to</i> '(for) my playing'
Wayana	i-w-əturu-topo 'my story'	<i>j-ɨnɨkɨ-topo</i> 'my object for sleeping'
Apalaí	<i>j-epi-topo</i> 'my bathing place'	<i>j-enuru-topõ-pɨrɨ</i> 'the place of my birth'
Kari'ña	<i>a-w-ekupi-rɨ</i> 'your taking a bath'	<i>aj-ereʔna-⊘</i> 'your fainting'
Panare	<i>j-u-tsireema-n</i> 'their eating'	<i>tj-arunkampəti-n</i> 'his hair standing on end'

1.2.3 The morphological basis of the split-S system

As seen in Section 1.2.1, the Proto-Cariban distinction between S_A and S_P verbs was implemented by two inflection classes within the Set I prerson paradigm, but this was not the only morphological criterion: Many languages show an S_A class marker in deverbalized forms, which can be reconstructed to Proto-Cariban as *w-. With S_A verbs, *w- occurred immediately between the possessive prefixes and the verb stem, while S_P verbs took the bare prefixes. Reflexes of *w- in languages from different branches are illustrated in Tables 5 and 6 for participles and nominalizations. The distinction between S_A and S_P was also borne out in imperatives. Here, S_P verbs took the P-oriented second person prefix *a(j)-, while S_A verbs were unprefixed (both suffixed with *-ka). This is illustrated with reflexes in various modern lan-

 $^{^6}$ See Meira (2000: 227), who identifies reflexes of this morpheme as having "no purpose other than being 'class markers', without any obvious semantic or functional load".

Table 7: Imperatives of S_A and S_P verbs (Derbyshire 1965: 44, 89; Alves 2017: 161; Meira 1999: 323; Tavares 2005: 227; E. Koehn & S. Koehn 1986: 62, 1994: Mopo/20; Hoff 1968: 190; M.-C. Mattéi-Müller 1994: 5, 17)

Language	S_{A}	S_{P}
Hixkaryána Arara Tiriyó Wayana Apalaí	omoh-ko 'come!' odotpot-ko 'come back!' epi-kə 'bathe!' əməm-kə 'enter!' otu?-ko 'eat!'	oj-okajim-koʻgo up!' o-alum-koʻjump!' ə-eremina-kəʻsing!' əw-eremi-kəʻsing!' o-ni?-koʻsleep!'
Kari'ña Panare	o?ma?-koʻstop!' ape-?ʻflee!'	aj-awon-koʻget up!' ahpən-kəʻjump!'

guages in Table 7. Both the S_A marker *w- in participles and nominalizations and the prefixes in imperativees have been lost in some languages, but are reconstructible to Proto-Cariban. However, there was one further property uniting most S_A verbs, not based on inflectional morphology.

Mismatches between the semantics of intransitive verbs and their A- or P-oriented inflectional morphology are common, exemplified with modern Kari'ña data in (1-2).

(1) Kari'ña

- a. mi-kupi-ja2>3-bathe-PRS'You bathe him/her.' (Hoff 1968: 160)
- b. *a-kupi-ja* 3>2-bathe-PRS 'S/he bathes you.' (Yamada 2011: 63)

In (1), the choice between the second person A- and P-oriented markers mi- and a- depends on the scenario: The transitive verb kupi 'to bathe' takes mi- in 2>3 scenarios (1a), but a- in 3>2 scenarios (1b). The intransitive verbs in (2) show the same person markers, but there is no choice what marker a specific verb uses.

(2) Kari'ña

a. sipi tɨnka-rɨ m-ekema-non hen
net pull-NMLZ 2-be.afraid-PRS.UNCERT eh?
'You're afraid to pull up the net, aren't you?' (Courtz 2008: 253)

```
b. aya:woiyaaj-awomi-ja2-get.up-PRS'You are getting up.' (Hoff 1968: 167)
```

Rather, *ekema* 'to be afraid' takes an A-oriented marker, since it is an S_A verb (2a), while the S_P verb *awomi* 'to get up' takes a P-oriented marker (2b). It seems that in both cases, the prefix does not contribute to the semantics of the predicate, since there are clear mismatches: 'to be afraid' with an "agentive" marker can hardly be considered a volitional act, while 'to get up' with a "patientive" marker must be considered volitional. Meira (2000) takes a sizable corpus of intransitive verbs from Tiriyó, Kari'ña, Apalaí, and Wayana, and categorizes them by applying different criteria commonly encountered in split-S systems. He shows that neither (non)activities, (non-)agency, (in-)animacy, nor Aktionsart satisfactorily predict the class membership of intransitive verbs.

Rather, the reason for a verb to take the A- or P-oriented prefix is (at least diachronically) a morphological one. Meira (2000: 217–221) demonstrates that those intransitive verbs which (etymologically) have a detransitivizing prefix are treated as S_A verbs, while essentially all others are S_P verbs:

Almost all verbs in the S_A class are detransitivized forms of transitive verbs, either synchronically (with still exisiting transitive sources) or diachronically (with reconstructible but no longer existing transitive sources)

(Meira 2000: 201)

Meira (2000: 221–223) also argues that the detransitivizing prefixes are indeed deriving S_A verbs, rather than being inflectional in nature: a) there are a few underived S_A verbs, with no detransitivizing prefix; b) S_A verbs can develop irregular semantics compared to their transitive counterparts; c) it is unpredictable whether the A or P argument of the underlying transitive verb becomes the S of the derived S_A verb; d) some originally derived S_A verbs have lost their transitive counterparts; and e) "basic" concepts are expressed as derivations of more complex concepts, like 'to dance (S_A) ' from 'to dance with (TR)'. He also notes that this leads to an inflectional split not based in meaning, but rather morphology:

Apparently, the morphological behavior of the S_A verb class is an accidental consequence of the fact that detransitivization, as far back as we can reconstruct, entails all the morphology described [...] as typical of S_A verbs. The alignment of person-marking prefixes appears not to be driven by any semantic forces in the language; it is as though they were being dragged by the evolution of the reflexive marker. (Meira 2000: 226)

As for the form of this marker, Meira et al. (2010: 505–512) reconstruct two distinct prefixes for Proto-Cariban: reciprocal *ate- and reflexive *e-, although they have since merged into a single morpheme, apparently in all languages. Modern reflexes of *ate/e-show a range of meanings, which can all be characterized as "detransitive"; this range is illustrated with Tiriyó examples in (3).

(3) Tiriyó (Meira 2000: 218–219, 1999: 128, 256)

```
'abandon each other'
nonta
                    e-nonta,
'abandon'
                                   (reciprocal)
                    əi-nonta
suka
                                   'wash self'
                    e-suka,
'wash'
                    əi-suka
                                   (reflexive)
pahka
                    e-pahka
                                   'break (INTR)'
'break (TR)'
                                   (anticausative)
                                   'think, meditate'
риипәрі
                    əh-puunəpɨ,
'think about'
                    əi-puunəpɨ
                                   (antipassive)
```

The morphological variation featured in 'to abandon each other' and 'to wash self' is due to the mentioned collapse between the two Proto-Cariban prefixes: e- is a reflex of the reflexive prefix *e-, while the form ∂i - originates in reciprocal * ∂t -. However, both can occur with either meaning – at least for these two verbs.

1.2.4 Summary and outlook

We identified S_A verbs that irregularly inflect for first person in 9 Cariban languages. These irregular forms are actually conservative, unaffected by person marker extensions spread via lexical diffusion. Such conservative forms are only found among the first person forms of (etymological) S_A verbs. Our primary goal is to establish what verbs remained unaffected by the individual extensions and to search for factors explaining the patterns, proceeding as follows: The topic of Section 2 are the six incomplete innovations, the innovative markers they introduced, and the verbs they left untouched. Since these verbs show considerable etymological overlap between languages, they are reconstructed and discussed in more detail in Section 3. Finally, we search for factors motivating the resistance of these verbs, discuss the findings and put them in a general context of language change and morphology in Section 4.

2 Incomplete extensions: the innovative 1S_A markers

As stated in Section 1.2.2, the person marker extensions which did not affect all potential targets all have in common that they feature innovative first person markers on verbs that are (at least historically) members of the S_A class. Of the six attested incomplete extensions,

	Bakairi 'to go up'	Arara 'to dance'	Ikpeng 'to run'
1	k-əku-	k-origu-	k-aranme-
2	т-әки-	m-origu-	m-aranme-
1+2	kɨd-әku-	kud-origu-	kw-aranme-
3	n-əku-	Ø-origu	Ø-aranme-

Table 8: Regular Pekodian S_A verbs (Meira 2003a: 4; Alves 2017: 150; Pachêco 2001: 52)

three can be reconstructed to intermediate proto-languages, while three others happened in earlier stages of single modern languages. The sources of innovative markers vary, but not much: the innovative ${}_{1}S_{A}$ prefix is formally identical to the ${}_{1}+{}_{2}P/S_{P}$ marker (Proto-Cariban ${}^{*}k$ -) in three cases, to the ${}_{1}P/S_{P}$ marker (Proto-Cariban ${}^{*}u(j)$ -) in two cases, and to the ${}_{1}>3$ marker (Proto-Cariban ${}^{*}t$ -) in one case. We discuss each extension separately, contrasting regular and innovative verbs with irregular and conservative verbs, and reconstructing forms where necessary: Section 2.1 investigates the innovation of ${}^{*}k$ - in Proto-Pekodian, reflected in the three daughter languages Arara, Ikpeng, and Bakairi. Section 2.2 takes a closer look at the extension of ${}^{*}k$ - in Proto-Waiwaian, which was briefly shown in Section 1.2.2. Section 2.3 concerns the extension of ${}^{*}t$ - in Proto-Tiriyoan (or Proto-Taranoan), reflected in modern Tiriyó and Akuriyó. Sections 2.4 to 2.6 look at innovative first person markers which are only attested in single modern languages: k- in Akuriyó, and j- in Carijona and Yukpa.

2.1 Proto-Pekodian *k-

The Pekodian branch was suggested by Meira & Franchetto (2005), as the result of fieldwork on Bakairi by Meira and the availability of more material on Ikpeng. It consists of closely related Arara and Ikpeng, with Bakairi as a more distant member. Meira & Franchetto (2005) focused on phonological and lexical properties, so no reconstructive work on Proto-Pekodian morphosyntax can be found in the literature. However, all three Pekodian languages have a regular ${}_{1}S_{A}$ marker k-, as evidenced by the paradigms in Table 8. Thus, it is possible to reconstruct a Proto-Pekodian ${}_{1}S_{A}$ marker k-.

In the most detailed description of a Pekodian language, Alves (2017) describes \sin^7 Arara S_A verbs forming a subclass defined by a first person marker w(i)- rather than k-, listed in (4). From a comparative perspective, this list is not quite complete, as there is also a reflex of the copula *a[p], serving syntactically as a postposition introducing adverbial clauses meaning 'if' or 'when' (Alves 2017: 199–201). However, its inflectional morphology features verbal Set I prefixes, including first person w- (5).

⁷Seven under her analysis, which sees the two meanings of *itfli* 'to be, to lie down' as different verbs.

(4) Arara (Alves 2017: 153) (5) Arara (Alves 2017: 200) wi-geni 'I said' w-aptam 'when/if I was' w-iffinɨ 'I was, lied down' m-od-aptam 2 w-ebɨnɨ 'I came' 1+2 kud-aptam w-ibɨnɨ 'I bathed' Ø-aptam w-iptoŋrɨ 'I went down' w-idoli 'I went'

In his brief discussion of Bakairi verbal person marking, Meira (2003a) reports the existence of two subclasses of S_A verbs, one taking first person w-, and one k-. The verb used to illustrate the first group is i 'to bathe' (6), contrasting with regular ∂ku 'to go up' in Table 8 above.

(6) Bakairi (Meira 2003a: 4)

w-i-də

1S_A-bathe-IMM

'I bathed'

Since 'to bathe' is also found in the w-list for Arara, other Bakairi cognates of these verbs are of interest. While Meira (2003a: 4) does list ge 'to say', ta 'to go', and ae(wi) 'to come' as examples of S_A verbs, he does not indicate whether they belong to the class of S_A -1 verbs, with first person k-, or the S_A -2 verbs, with w-. Luckily, while von den Steinen (1892) did not accurately record all phonemic distinctions in Bakairi (Meira 2005), he does provide inflected forms of cognates to the Arara verbs in (4). We present them in (7) according to our current understanding of Bakairi phonology and verbal morphology, based on Wheatley (1969), Meira (2003a, 2005), and Franchetto & Meira (2016).

(7) Bakairi (von den Steinen 1892: 131, 397, 76, 137, 374, 130)

a. $\langle u\text{-yépa} \rangle$ b. $\langle w\text{i-táki} \rangle / \langle w\text{i-tági} \rangle$ $u\text{-}ge\text{-}pa \qquad w\text{-}i\text{-}taki$ $1S_A\text{-say-NEG} \qquad 1S_A\text{-be-INT}$ 'I don't say.' 'I was.'

 $^{^8}$ It should be noted at this point that Meira (2003a) indicates that the same verbs which take first person w- in Bakairi also take a 1+2 marker k-. However, this marker is only illustrated for 'to bathe', both by Meira (2003a) and von den Steinen (1892). Given the lack of data for other verbs, we will not further discuss this potential additional pattern. If the characterization by Meira is accurate, then the pattern is fully parallel to the distribution of the first person prefixes.

```
c. ⟨kҳaewí-le⟩
k-əewi-li
1S<sub>A</sub>-come-IMM
'I came.'
d. ⟨kҳ-itaké-he⟩
k-itəgi-se
1S<sub>A</sub>-go.down-NPST?
'I go down.'
```

```
e. \langle \text{úta} \rangle / \langle \text{uúta} \rangle
u\text{-}t\theta
1S_A\text{-}go
'I go.'
```

f. $\langle \text{t\"ore-w-akine} \rangle$ $t \Rightarrow w - a - k \text{i} = n \text{e}$ there $_{1}S_{A} - \text{be-pst.cont}$ 'I was there.'

All available descriptions of the third Pekodian language, Ikpeng, list k- as the only $1S_A$ marker (Pachêco 1997: 55; Campetela 1997: 105; Pachêco 2001: 64; Alves Chagas 2013: 205). However, most Ikpeng cognates of the Arara verbs with $1S_A$ w- actually do not take k-, but rather i- or \emptyset , as shown in (8). The exception is 'to go', which has k- (9). There is a formally identical Ikpeng cognate of Arara iptoy 'to go down', but no first person forms are attested (Angela Chagas, p.c.). Further, while there are reflexes of *a[p] 'to be' in Ikpeng, it seems that only reflexes of *eti occur with first person inflectional prefixes (Gildea 2018: 401).

(8) Ikpeng

```
a. i-ge-li
1-say-REC
'I said.' (Pachêco 2001: 209)
b. Ø-etfi-li
1-be-REC
'I was.' (Pachêco 2001: 139)
c. atfagotpop Ø-ip-tfi ik-gwa-ktfi
always 1-bathe-NPST river-LOC.aquatic-ALL
'I always bathe in this river.' (Pachêco 1997: 68)
```

(9) Ikpeng (Pachêco 2001: 80) k-aran-ffi 1-go-NPST 'I'm going.'

Table 9 gives an overview of the first person forms of the seven verbs under discussion, along with our Proto-Pekodian reconstruction. The presence and distribution of the Ikpeng ${\rm iS}_{\rm A}$ marker i-/ \emptyset suggests that it is cognate with Arara ${\rm iS}_{\rm A}$ w(i)-. Indeed, Proto-Xinguan *w is attested as sometimes being lost in Ikpeng, as evidenced by the correspondences in Table 10. While it is by no means a regular sound change, it allows us to securely connect the two

Table 9: Verbs preserving ${}_{1}S_{A}$ *w- in Proto-Pekodian (Alves 2017: 153, 200; Pachêco 2001: 42, 80, 139, 209; von den Steinen 1892: 76, 130, 131, 137, 374, 397; Pachêco 1997: 68; Meira 2003a: 4; p.c., Angela Fabíola Alves Chagas)

	Proto-Pekodian	Arara	Ikpeng	Bakairi
'say'	*wi-ge-	wi-ge-	i-ge-	u-ge-
'bathe'	*w-ipɨ-	w-ibi-	Ø-ip-	w-i-
'be-1'	*w-ap-	w-ap-	_	w-a-
'be-2'	*w-etJi-	w-itJi-	Ø-etJi-	w-i-
'come'	* w- epi -	w-eb i -	k-arep-	k-əewi-
ʻgo down'	*w-ɨptə-	w-iptoŋ-	?-iptoŋ-	k-ɨtəgɨ-
ʻgoʻ	*w-ɨtən-	w-ɨdo-	k-aran-	u-tə-

Table 10: Loss of *w in Ikpeng (de Souza 1993: 44, 70; Alves Chagas 2013: 118; Alves 2017: 143; Pachêco 2001: 21, 164; de Souza 2010: 9; Campetela 1997: 40)

Meaning	Arara	Ikpeng
'to defecate'	watke	atke
'DAT'	wɨna	ŧпа
'dog'	wokori	akari
'capuchin monkey'	tawe	tae
'to sleep'	wɨnkɨ	ŧnkŧ

prefixes. Similarly, the supposed change of *wi to Bakairi u is found in other correspondences, like udo (Meira & Franchetto 2005) from Proto-Cariban *witoto 'person' (Gildea & D. Payne 2007: 4). Thus, we reconstruct a ${}_{1}S_{A}$ prefix *w(i)- to Proto-Pekodian, identical to the Arara one both in form and distribution.

As for the forms of the verb stems, a few comments are necessary: For 'to be', Ikpeng e is very likely the original vowel, given the Proto-Cariban form *eti (Section 3.1). For 'to go down', we reconstruct *i as the initial vowel rather than *i (Section 3.5). Further, the forms are not fully cognate; Meira & Franchetto (2005) make no mention of a regular correspondence between Bakairi gi and Ikpeng gi. However, the addition of a final gi in Proto-Xinguan is attested elsewhere, and based on the fact Bakairi has generally lost much segmental material, we suggest that both gi and gi are later additions to a root *ipti0.

The forms for 'to come' are not fully cognate, either: Ikpeng and Bakairi both show a reflex of the Proto-Pekodian detransitivizer *ad- in combination with a root reconstructible

⁹a) Proto-Cariban **ane* 'to see', Arara and Ikpeng *enen*; b) Proto-Cariban **ata* 'to hear', Arara *tan*, Ikpeng *iran*; and c) Proto-Cariban **ana* 'to eat meat', Arara *onon* 'to bite' (Gildea & D. Payne 2007: 8; Alves 2017: 56, 144, 57; Pachêco 2001: 25, 270).

as **epi*. In contrast, the Arara first person form is directly based on this root **epi*. However, reflexes of **ad-epi* can be found elsewhere in the Arara paradigm (10).

```
(10) Arara (Alves 2017: 150)

m-odebi-ni

2S<sub>A</sub>-come-REC

'You came.'
```

On the other hand, both Ikpeng and Bakairi show reflexes of *əd-ebi throughout the whole paradigm. Following the line of reasoning used by Meira (1998: 114) (see also Section 2.3) for a similar pattern in the three Taranoan languages, we suggest that the idiosyncratic pattern in Arara is reconstructible to Proto-Pekodian, and that Bakairi and Ikpeng independently regularized the paradigm to only use *əd-epi; similar issues are found outside of Pekodian (Section 3.4).

Finally, the V-initial nature of Proto-Pekodian 'to go' is evidenced in its Xinguan forms; while the Bakairi change $*wi \rightarrow u$ obscured the morpheme boundary, other forms are V-initial (Section 3.3). The Ikpeng form aran is compatible with our reconstruction *itan when considering that Ikpeng a is an attested outcome of *a. This attested change of *a to a need only be preceded by a assimilatory lowering of initial *i to *a, to yield the form aran from *itan. Other Ikpeng reflexes of 'to go' offer evidence for the suggested intermediate stage *atan: ero-li 's/he went' (Pachêco 2001: 25).

Summing up, an innovative ${}_{1}S_{A}$ marker ${}^{*}k$ - is reconstructible to Proto-Pekodian. Seven verbs can be reconstructed as having resisted this innovation and preserving ${}_{1}S_{A}$ ${}^{*}w(i)$ - in Proto-Pekodian. In later, individual developments, Bakairi extended k- to 'to go down', and Ikpeng to 'to go'. Further, both languages regularized the paradigm of 'to come' to ${}^{*}ad$ -epi, accompanied by the introduction of first person5 k-.

2.2 Proto-Waiwaian *k-

This extension led to the Hixkaryána pattern from Table 1 in Section 1.2 and was one of the Parukotoan extensions discussed in Section 1.2.2. In Proto-Waiwaian, the new ${}_{1}S_{P}$ prefix ${}^{*}k_{-}$, already innovated at the Proto-Parukotoan stage, was extended to ${}_{1}S_{A}$. For regularly inflected verbs, this created a unified 1S category, reflected in both Hixkaryána and Waiwai (Table 11). Not all S_{A} verbs were affected: Waiwai ka 'to say' does not take ki_{-} , but rather conservative wi_{-} (11a). Its Hixkaryána counterpart has a prefix i_{-} (11b), a potential reflex of ${}_{1}S_{A}$ * $w(i)_{-}$. A formally identical prefix occurs in 1>3 scenarios in Hixkaryána (11c), which regularly corresponds to Waiwai $w(i)_{-}$ (11d).

¹ºa) *akari* 'dog' in Table 10 above; b) *anma* 'path' (Pachêco 2001: 24) from Proto-Cariban *ətema (Gildea & D. Payne 2007: 12); and c) *jaj* 'tree' (Pachêco 2001: 98) from Proto-Cariban **jəje*.

Table 11: Regular 'to fall' (S_A) and 'to sleep' (S_P) in Proto-Waiwaian (Howard 2001: 150; W. N.
Hawkins & R. E. Hawkins 1953: 209, 211; Derbyshire 1985: 189, 190, 196; R. E. Hawkins 1998: 30)

	Proto-Waiwa	ian	Hixkaryána	Į	Waiwai	
	'to fall'	'to sleep'	'to fall'	'to sleep'	'to fall'	'to sleep'
1	*k-eфurka-	*kɨ-wɨnɨkɨ-	k-ehurka-	kɨ-nɨkɨ-	k-eφɨrka-	kɨ-wɨnɨkɨ-
2	*m-ефиrka-	*o-wɨnɨkɨ-	m-ehurka-	o-wnŧkŧ-	m-eфɨrka-	mɨ-wɨnɨkɨ-
1+2	*t-eфurka-	*tɨt-wɨnɨkɨ-	t-ehurka-	tɨ-nɨkɨ-	tʃ-eфɨrka-	tɨt-wɨnɨkɨ-
3	*ŋ-eфurka-	*nɨ-wɨnɨkɨ-	n-ehurka-	nɨ-nɨkɨ-	ŋ-eфɨrka-	nɨ-wɨnɨkɨ-

```
(11) a. Waiwai (R. E. Hawkins 1998: 71)

wiikekpe

wi-ka-jakpe

1-say-PST

'I said.'
```

b. Hixkaryána (Derbyshire 1985: 124)

roxehra nay hami Kaywerye ikekoni

ro-fe-hira n-a-je hami kajwer^je i-ka-jakoni

1-des-neg 3-be-npst.uncert evid K. 1S_A-say-rem.cont

'I said (to myself), "Kaywerye evidently doesn't like me"."

c. Hixkaryána (Derbyshire 1985: 191) *i-koroka-no* 1>3-wash-IMM 'I washed him.'

d. Waiwai (R. E. Hawkins 1998: 192)

wîyesî

wi-jo-jasi
1>3-boil-NPST
'I will boil it.'

This correspondence allows us to establish Hixkaryána i- a reflex of ${}^*w(i)$ -, with a similar phonological reduction as in Ikpeng (Section 2.1). Notably, Derbyshire (1985) does not see this i- as an irregular 1S_A prefix, but as the regular 1S_A prefix, because he considers Hixkaryána ka 'to say' to be transitive (Section 3.2).

There are three more verbs which did not take innovative *k- in Proto-Waiwaian, shown alongside *ka 'to say' in Table 12. The two roots for 'to be' are straightforwardly reconstructible, whereas 'to go' is somewhat of a special case. While Hixkaryána has the expected i-, Waiwai seems to have combined innovative k- with the old *w-, an etymological analysis also considered by Gildea (1998: 90). Alternatively, this form might have been influenced by deverbal-

Table 12: Verbs preserving ${}_{1}S_{A}$ *w- in Proto-Waiwaian (Derbyshire 1979: 4; R. E. Hawkins 1998: 71, 85; Derbyshire 1985: 70, 197, 198; p.c., Spike Gildea)

	Proto-Waiwaian	Hixkaryána	Waiwai
'say'	*wi-ka-	i-ka-	wɨ-ka-
'be-ı'	*w-ah-	w-ah-	w-a-
'be-2'	*w-esi-	w-ese-	w-eesi-
ʻgoʻ	*wɨ-tom-	i-to-	kɨw-tom-

ized forms of 'to go', where a reflex of the S_A class marker *w- has become fossilized (12).

- (12) Waiwai reflexes of the SA class marker *w
 - a. o-wto-topo-nho 'my trip' (R. E. Hawkins 1998: 92)
 - b. o-wto-the 'after I went' (R. E. Hawkins 1998: 165)
 - c. *ki-wto-me* 'for us to go' (R. E. Hawkins 1998: 204)

In any case, Hixkaryána 'to go' was clearly not affected by the extension of **k*-, allowing us to reconstruct a Proto-Waiwaian first person form **wi-tom*-.

Summing up, we reconstruct the four verbs *efi and *a[h] 'to be', *ka[s] 'to say', and *[i]to[m] 'to go' as preserving the old ${}_{1}S_{A}$ marker *w- in Proto-Waiwaian, while the rest took on innovative *k-.

2.3 Proto-Tiriyoan *t-

The Taranoan languages were already grouped together by Girard (1971), consisting of closely related Tiriyó and Akuriyó (subsumed here under the moniker Tiriyoan), and the more distant member Carijona. Meira (1998) provides an extensive phonological, morphological, and lexical reconstruction of Proto-Taranoan. The Set I paradigms of Tiriyó and Akuriyó contain an interesting puzzle: Proto-Cariban 1>3 *t- and 1S_A *w- seem to have switched places. This resulted in a regular 1S_A marker of the form *tf'- / / / / / / (Table 13); the latter allomorph was subsequently replaced by k- in Akuriyó (Section 2.4).

The question of how this switch happened in detail (Meira 1998: 107–112) still has no answer, although it seems necessary to assume a scenario whereby both *t- and *w- for a time occurred on both transitive and intransitive verbs (Meira 1998: 112). As for intransitive

 $^{^{\}rm n}$ In fact, even the issue of *when* this happened is open. It could have happened at the Proto-Taranoan stage, but the subsequent introduction of j- in Carijona (Section 2.5) would have erased any traces of such an innovation. Accordingly, Meira (1998) hesitates to assign this extension to a specific proto-language. We take a conservative stance and reconstruct it to Proto-Tiriyoan only, but acknowledge the possibility of it happening already in Proto-Taranoan.

	'to bathe (INTR)	,		'to sleep'						
	Proto-Tiriyoan	Tiriyó	Akuriyó	Proto-Tiriyoan	Tiriyó	Akuriyó				
1	*tʃ-epɨ-	s-epi-	tʃ-epɨ-	*t-əənɨkɨ-	t-əənɨkɨ-	k-əənɨkɨ-				
2	*m-epɨ-	m-epɨ-	m-epɨ-	*m-əənɨkɨ-	m-əənɨkɨ-	m-əənɨkɨ-				
1+2	*ke-epi-	ke-epi-	ke-ep i -	*kɨt-əənɨkɨ-	kɨt-əənɨkɨ-	kə?-əənɨkɨ-				
3	*n-epɨ-	n-epɨ-	n-epɨ-	*n-əənɨkɨ-	n-əənɨkɨ-	n-əənɨkɨ-				

Table 13: Regular Proto-Tiriyoan S_A verbs (Meira 1999: 292, 294; Gildea 1994: 87)

Table 14: Verbs preserving ${}_{1}S_{A}$ *w- in Proto-Tiriyoan (Meira 1999: 292, 294, 339, 1998: 112, 113, 114, 115, 165)

	Proto-Tiriyoan	Tiriyó	Akuriyó
ʻgoʻ	*wɨ-təmɨ-	wɨ-tən-	ə-təm i -
'say'	*wɨ-ka-	wɨ-ka-	wɨ-ka-
'come'	*w-ә?ер і -	w-әер і -	Ø-eepɨ-
'be-ı'	*w-a-	w-a-	Ø-a-
'be-2'	*w-e?i-	w-ei-	?-e?i-

verbs unaffected by the spread of *t-, Meira (1998) reconstructs the first four items in Table 14 as taking *w- in Proto-Taranoan; we offer our reconstructed Proto-Tiriyoan forms. To this list, we add the other copular root *e?i (Proto-Taranoan *etfi [Meira 1998: 165]), which has first person w-, at least in Tiriyó.

We agree with Meira's (1998: 113) identification of the idiosyncratic Akuriyó first person prefix ∂ - on 'to go' as a reflex of *wi-. Both components of the irregular change *wi- > ∂ - — loss of *w and lowering of *i to ∂ — are found in other person prefixes (13).

For 'to come', Meira (1998: 114–115) reconstructs Proto-Taranoan *əepi for first person, and *eepi for the other person values, based on an idiosyncratic paradigmatic pattern in Tiriyó and the vowel length in Akuriyó. Akuriyó (and Carijona) then levelled this original distribu-

tion, similar to what we have suggested for Pekodian (Section 2.1). We agree with this scenario, with the exception that Tiriyó əepi is clearly a reflex of *ət-epi (Section 3.4), meaning that the Proto-Tiriyoan form would have been *əʔepi.

In addition, Gildea (1994) recorded four more Akuriyó verbs seemingly not affected by innovative *t - (14a), all e-initial movement verbs. We have only found a Tiriyó cognate for erama 'to return', which behaves like a regular S_A verb in taking s- (14b). Further, these verbs are not mentioned by Meira (1998), who was also working with Gildea's (1994) data. Given that this data potentially has strong Tiriyó and/or Wayana influence (Gildea 1998: 253) and the lack of support by Meira's data, we cannot reconstruct these verbs as not being affected by the extension of Proto-Tiriyoan *t -.

2.4 Akuriyó k-

After the split-up of Proto-Tiriyoan, when *t- had largely replaced *w-, Akuriyó innovated yet another 1S_A marker: k-. It seems to have replaced *t- only in specific environments, with the two markers showing a clear phonologically conditioned distribution in the Akuriyó data available to us (Gildea 1994), with all relevant verbs shown in Table 15. Meira (1998: 107) largely confirms the distribution shown here, but mentions "several cases of first person t- in Akuriyó" (on ϑ -initial verbs), albeit without any examples. He also suggests that k- might be more recent, with which we agree; since the distribution *t- $| - \vartheta |$ *t- $| - \vartheta |$ e is reconstructible to Proto-Tiriyoan, the most likely scenario is k- replacing *t- but not *t-. The few t- mentioned by Meira (1998) were then either reintroduced under Tiriyó influence, or are the last remnants of the replacement of *t-. Since there are no examples of or information about ϑ -initial verbs with t-, we cannot discuss these cases.

All verbs in Table 14 above did of course not introduce k-, either; we do not know the first-person form of the copular verb e?i. In addition, there is an S_A verb i(h)ta 'to go down', which has an irregular first person marker p- in Akuriyó, also reconstructible to Proto-Tiriyoan (15). It was not affected by the extension of Akuriyó k-, but whether it existed when Proto-Tiriyoan *t- was extended is unclear (see Section 3.5).

```
(15) First person forms of 'to go down' (Meira 1999: 294; Gildea 1994: 84)
Tiriyó p-ihtə-
Akuriyó p-itə-
```

Table 15: Regular Akuriyó 1S_A markers (Gildea 1994: 77, 79, 82, 84, 85, 86, 87)

first person k-	first person ff-
əempa- 'to learn' əəffena- 'to cry' əiwa- 'to tremble' əməmi- 'to enter' ətajiŋka- 'to run' əturu- 'to talk' əəniki- 'to sleep'	epi- 'to bathe (INTR)' ekirika- 'to stay back' entapo- 'to yawn' etonema- 'to lie down' ewai- 'to sit down' ehpa- 'to bathe (INTR)'

Table 16: Regular Carijona verbs (Robayo Moreno 2000: 173; Meira 1998: 106)

	'to dance'	'to arrive'
1	j-eharaga-	ji-tuda-
2	m-eharaga-	mɨ-tuda-
1+2	kɨs-eharaga-	kɨsi-tuda-
3	n-eharaga-	ni-tuda-

2.5 Carijona j-

As discussed by Meira (1998: 105–107), Carijona has extended the ${\rm 1S_P}$ marker j(i)- to ${\rm S_A}$ verbs. ¹² This, in combination with the extension of ${\rm 2S_A}$ m- and ${\rm 1+2S_A}$ kit-/kis- to ${\rm S_P}$ verbs, results in a single unified S category (Table 16). Although the split-S system has been lost entirely, former ${\rm S_A}$ verbs can be identified by taking a detransitivizer, like *ehinəhi* 'to fight', derived from *hinəhi* 'to kill' (Robayo Moreno 2000: 179), shown in (16).

(16) Carijona (Koch-Grünberg 1908: 79)

hene(x)tónoko-máre y-e-hene(x)yai

hinəhtono-ko=marə j-e-hinəhi-jai

enemy-pl=with 1-detrz-kill-npst.cert

'I fight with the enemies.'

As noted in Section 2.3, this extension also erased any traces of a potential Proto-Taranoan ${}_{1}S_{A}$ marker ${}^{*}t$ -. However, it did not fully eclipse the old ${}_{1}S_{A}$ marker ${}^{*}w$ -, which is attested as being preserved in the verbs ta 'to go' (17a) and a 'to be' (17b).

(17) Carijona (Guerrero-Beltrán 2016: 5, 42)

¹²Since all affected S_A verbs are V-initial, only the / _V allomorph *j*- occurs in that context.

	'to wash self'	'to sleep'	'to fall'
1	j-otum-	jɨ-nɨ-	j-ata-
2	m-otum-	mɨ-nɨ-	m-ata-
2	n-otum-	nɨ-nɨ-	n-ata-

Table 17: Regular Yukpa verbs (Largo 2011: 72, 76; Meira 2006: 139)

- a. wi-to-e=rehe1-go-NPST=FRUST'I almost go (but I am not going to go).'
- b. *əji-marə-ne w-a-e* 2-with-PL 1-be-NPST 'I am with you all.'

In addition, the verb ka 'to say' has a zero-marked first-person form (18). Based on other C-initial verbs like ta 'to go' or tuda 'to arrive', one would either expect wi- or ji-. We analyse this \emptyset as a reflex of *wi-, primarily based on the (albeit more regular) loss of *w in Ikpeng and Hixkaryána, and the presumedly higher propensity of an irregular marker for idiosyncratic phonological erosion. Further, one may suggest the difference between C-initial ka 'to say' and ta 'to go' to result from the latter's earlier V-initial nature (Section 3.3).

(18) Carijona David Felipe Guerrero-Beltran (p.c.) dëmëmara kae ëwï iya n-tə-mə=mara Ø-ka-e əwi i-ja 3-go-PST=DUB 1-say-NPST.CERT 1PRO 3-OBL "Did s/he leave?", I say to him.'

2.6 Yukpa*j*-

Yukpa has lost most of its Set I constructions, mostly employing innovative ones (Meira 2006). It preserves the Set I prefixes in the immediate past, where the ${}_{1}S_{P}$ marker appears to have been extended to S_{A} verbs, as evidenced by the paradigms in Table 17. The loss of split-S in favor of the ${}_{1}S_{P}$ marker is accompanied by the ${}_{2}S_{A}$ marker m(i)- extending to S_{P} verbs, and ${}_{1+2}$ being lost as an inflectional value.

The normal intransitive first person marker is j(i)-, including those with clear reflexes of the detransitivizer *ate/e-, like otum 'to wash self' in Table 17. The analysis that this is a reflex of the 1S_P marker *u(j)- is supported by the same form occurring in 3>1 scenarios (19a).

```
(19) Yukpa (Meira 2006: 139)
```

```
    a. aw j-esare

            1PRO 3>1-see
            'S/he saw me.'

    b. aw Ø-esare

            1PRO 1>3-see
            'I saw it.'
```

On the other hand, 1>3 scenarios are zero-marked (19b). Since Proto-Cariban ${}_{1}S_{A} *w(i)$ - was extended to 1>3 scenarios in most languages (Gildea 1998: 81–82), and since it is prone to phonological erosion across the family (Sections 2.1 and 2.2), we argue that the zero in 1>3 scenarios is in fact the Yukpa reflex of *w-.

In intransitive verbs, this first-person zero marking is attested only for to 'to go' (20). It contrasts with regular C-initial verbs taking ji-, like 'to sleep' in Table 17. Thus, we conclude that the extension of j- in Yukpa stopped short of to 'to go', which preserves a phonologically reduced reflex of *wi-.

```
(20) Yukpa (Meira 2006: 139)

aw Ø-to

1PRO 1S<sub>A</sub>-go

'I went.'
```

3 Resistant verbs from a comparative perspective

In Section 2, we introduced six distinct extensions of personal prefixes into ${\rm iS_A}$ territory, and identified verbs resistant to each innovation. The set of unaffected verbs was rather small in most cases, and (proto-)languages show a considerable degree of overlap in what verbs are unaffected. In this section, we present these verbs from a comparative perspective. Section 3.1 treats both roots of the copula *eti/a[p] 'to be', Section 3.2 *ka[ti] 'to say', Section 3.3 *ita[ma] 'to go', and Section 3.4 *(at)japi 'to come'; these are all verbs that Gildea & D. Payne (2007) reconstruct as S_A verbs that were not derived from transitive verbs. Section 3.5 takes a look at *ipita 'to go down', which is resistant in Proto-Tiriyoan and Proto-Pekodian, and Section 3.6 investigates *e-pi 'to bathe', of which the Proto-Pekodian reflex *i-pi resisted the extension of *k-. The e-initial verbs not affected by the extension of *k- in Akuriyó (Section 2.4) will not be discussed here, as they are a large and phonologically coherent group.

3.1 *eti and *a[p] 'to be'

For a comprehensive comparative overview for this verb, we refer the reader to Gildea (2018: 375–382), who reconstructs two distinct roots serving as verbs 'to be' in modern Cariban languages. One is the older copula *a[p], which can be reconstructed as already having various irregularities in Proto-Cariban. The other is a root *eti reconstructed by Meira & Gildea (2009) and Gildea (2018) as originally meaning 'to dwell, live', but serving as a copula in Proto-Cariban. Various modern languages use reflexes of these two roots in a suppletive manner, conditioned by person and/or TAM value. Both roots preserved ${}_{1}S_{A}$ *w- in Proto-Pekodian, Proto-Waiwaian, and Proto-Tiriyoan (Sections 2.1 to 2.3). Akuriyó a was not affected by the extension of k- (Section 2.4), while e?i is not attested in a first-person form. Carijona innovated j-, but only in the efi root allomorph (21); the a root preserves w- (Section 2.5). Yukpa innovated j- for reflexes of both *a[p] and *eti, which are preserved as encliticized auxiliaries in certain constructions (22).

- (21) Carijona (Robayo Moreno 1989: 177)
 iretibə effinəme gərə jeffii
 ireti-bə effi-nə=me gərə j-effi-i
 then-from be-INF=ATTRZ still 1-be-PFV
 'Then I was already grown up.'
- (22) Yukpa (Meira 2006: 143–144)

 NPST PST 1 = j-a(-s) = j-e 2 = mak(o) = m-e 3 = mak(o) = n-e

3.2 *ka[ti] 'to say'

Most reflexes of this verb are simply ka, but a fleeting syllable *ti is reconstructed by Gildea & D. Payne (2007), best visible in the imperative forms of some languages (23).

```
(23) Apalaí 
 kaſi-ko 'say!' 
 (E. Koehn & S. Koehn 1986: 35)
```

A comparison of the longest forms attested in each language is shown in Table 18. Segments of cognate forms in this and other tables were aligned automatically with LingPy (List et al. 2021), for easier recognition of correspondences. This verb was not affected by any of the

¹³Such a stative, locative source is also suggested by the existence of *itfi* 'to lie down' in Arara (Alves 2017: 196).

Table 18: Reflexes of *ka[ti] 'to say' (Meira 2003a: 4; Franchetto 2008: 48; Pachêco 2001: 209; Alves 2017: 153; Derbyshire 1985: 182; Meira 1998: 113; E. Koehn & S. Koehn 1986: 107; R. E. Hawkins 1998: 26; Camargo & Tapinkili 2010: 66; Abbott 1991: 59; Swiggers 2010: 123; Courtz 2008: 430; Caesar-Fox 2003: 125; M.-C. Mattéi-Müller 1994: 102; p.c., Spike Gildea)

					_
Language	Form				
Werikyana	ka[s]	k	a	s	
Hixkaryána	ka[h]	k	a	h	
Waiwai	ka[s]	k	a	S	
Arara	ke	k	e		
Ikpeng	ke	k	e		
Bakairi	ke	k	e		
Tiriyó	ka	k	a		
Akuriyó	ka	k	a		
Carijona	ka	k	a		
Wayana	ka[i]	k	a		i
Apalaí	ka[fi]	k	a	ſ	i
Kari'ña	ka	k	a		
Kapón	ka	k	a		
Pemón	ka	k	a		
Macushi	ka	k	a		
Panare	ka[h]	k	a	h	
Upper Xingu Carib	ki	k	i		

extensions found in Proto-Pekodian, Proto-Waiwaian, Proto-Tiriyoan, Akuriyó, or Carijona (Sections 2.1 to 2.5). We do not know the first person prefix of its Yukpa reflex *ka*.

As briefly mentioned in Section 2.2, Derbyshire (1985) analyzes this verb as transitive in Hixkaryána. This analytical choice is not only motivated by avoiding an idiosyncratic intransitive first person prefix i: Hixkaryána ka also behaves like a transitive verb in other ways, for instance by showing the complementary distribution of the third person marker n- and preceding objects – in this case direct speech or ideophones (24).

(24) Hixkaryána

- a. onɨ wyaro nkekonɨ bɨryekomo, tɨyonɨ wya
 onɨ wjaro n-ka-jakonɨ bɨr^jekomo tɨ-jonɨ wja
 this like 3-say-rem.cont boy cor-mother obl
 'This is what the boy said to his mother.' (Derbyshire 1985: 36)
- b. moro ha, ketxkoná hatá. moro ha ka-jatſkonɨ hatɨ MED.DEM.INAN INTS say-REM.CONT.PL HSY "That one there" they said.' (Derbyshire 1965: 14)

In (24a), the prefix n- occurs because there is no preceding object ('he said it like this'). In (24b), it does not occur, because 'they said' is preceded by direct speech. This complementary distribution is otherwise only found with transitive verbs (Gildea 1998: 59–60). The verb shows the same pattern, albeit inconsistently, in Tiriyó (Carlin 2004: 267).

Further comparative evidence also points to *ka[ti] 'to say' showing transitive traits: Tiriyó ka is characterized as the only intransitive verb being able to take the causative suffix -po and the agentive nominalizer -ne (Meira 1999: 263, 169). The exceptionality of ka 'to say' taking -po 'CAUS.TR' has also been noted for Kari'ña (Courtz 2008: 82) and Wayana (Tavares 2005: 258). The agent nominalizer *-ne gave rise to the Panare gnomic verbal suffix -ne on transitive verbs (Gildea 1998: 184–185). The occurrence of -ne on ka has led to differing categorizations as transitive (T. E. Payne & D. L. Payne 2013: 214) or intransitive (M.-C. Mattéi-Müller 1994: 102). Reflexes of another transitive causativizer *-metipo (Gildea 2015) are found with ka in Apalaí (E. Koehn & S. Koehn 1986: 51) and Waiwai (R. E. Hawkins 1998: 52).

Our classification of 'to say' as an intransitive verb is supported primarily by prefix patterns: Kari'ña offers a minimal pair between transitive ka 'to remove' and intransitive ka 'to say', sikai 'I took it away' vs wikai 'I said' (Courtz 2008: 288, 45). 'A Similarly, 'to say' in Pekodian languages has a reflex of 1S *w- (Section 2.1), and not 1>3 s- (Bakairi) or *ini- (Proto-Xinguan). Additionally, the S_A class marker w- occurs on nominalizations in Kari'ña (25), and it is probably reflected in vowel length in the Tiriyó (Meira 1999: 333) and Wayana (Tavares 2005: 196) participles.

¹⁴Interestingly, the Kari'ña causativized form kapo 'to make say' does not have the regular 1>3 prefix s(i)-, but irregular w(i)- (Courtz 2008: 430).

(25) Kari'ña (Courtz 2008: 202) *Òmakon wa oti ywykàpo kaiko. o-?ma-kon ?wa oti i-wi-ka-?po kai-ko*2-child-PL OBL greeting 1-S_A-say-PST.NMLZ say-IMP

'Pass my greetings to your children.'

Summing up, this verb could be reconstructed as being intransitive based on its prefixes, but transitive based on some suffixes. Hixkaryána has lost the main intransitive criteria, making its reflex look more like a transitive verb. It is not attested as being affected by any of the person marker extensions under discussion.

3.3 *ita[ma] 'to go'

This verb is reconstructed by Gildea & D. Payne (2007) as *ta[ma], like *ka[ti] 'to say' with a fleeting second syllable. It is true that many reflexes are clearly t-initial, for example Hixkaryána ntoje 'he went' (Derbyshire 1985: 27), Tiriyó taka 'go!' (Meira 1999: 246), or Wayana kuptam 'we went' (Tavares 2005: 195). However, once one considers all forms of the various reflexes of this verb (Table 19), an initial vowel *i must clearly be reconstructed – in contrast to unambiguously C-initial *ka[ti] 'to say'. This verb was not affected by the any of the extensions discussed in Section 2.

3.4 *(*ət-*)*jəpi* 'to come'

This verb is reconstructed as *atepi by Gildea & D. Payne (2007: 30), but an inspection of all the attested reflexes (Table 20) suggests a somewhat more complex story. Crucially, the *at part of their reconstruction is not reflected in the majority of forms, and many languages ostensibly have reflexes of *api, *jepi, or *japi for the *epi part. We analyze these forms as going back to a Proto-Cariban verb of the form *(at-)japi, morphologically segmentable into a detransitivizer and a root *japi.

Evidence for the originally *j-initial nature of the root is found in the Pemongan languages and Werikyana, although the simultaneous presence of *oohi* in Werikyana raises the question of whether the *j* is part of the root in *johi*. This form only occurs in the Progressive (26a), and indeed $j(\cdot)$ may be a reflex of *i-w- '3-S_A-', as the S_A class marker *w- is present with other person values (26b). However, while C-initial verbs do show a clear reflex of third person *i- (26c), regular V-initial S_A verbs do not show j-, but \emptyset (26d). Thus, we are confident that this j is indeed part of the root, rather than an outcome of *i-w-, which in turn allows us to reconstruct *j back to Proto-Cariban.

¹⁵As indicated by the brackets in Table 19, there are many languages where the initial vowel is only present in some forms. Also, the prefix-verb boundary in many inflected forms like e.g. Tiriyó *witanne* or Arara *widoli* 'I went' (Meira 1999: 43; Alves 2017: 153) is ambiguous, since an epenthetic *i* breaks up potential CC clusters.

Table 19: Reflexes of **ita*[*ma*] 'to go' (Cruz 2005: 291; Meira 1999: 292; Tavares 2005: 195; Gildea 1994: 87; Alves 2017: 153; Derbyshire 1985: 27, 248; R. E. Hawkins 1998: 45, 62; Pachêco 2001: 54, 80; von den Steinen 1892: 112, 374; Cáceres 2011: 181, 216; Meira 1998: 112; Hoff 1968: 168; Meira 2006: 139; Cáceres & Gildea 2018: 4; M. C. Mattéi-Müller 1975: 74; M.-C. Mattéi-Müller 1994: 198; Abbott 1991: 48, 50; García Ferrer 2006: 172; Franchetto 2002: 6; Camargo 2002: 99; p.c., Spike Gildea)

Language	Form					_
Werikyana	to[mo]		t	О	m	0
Hixkaryána	[i]to	i	t	o		
Waiwai	[e]to[m]	e	t	o	m	
Arara	ŧdo	i	d	o		
Ikpeng	aran	a	r	a	n	
Ikpeng	ero	e	r	o		
Bakairi	[i]tə	i	t	Э		
Tiriyó	$t \partial [n]$		t	ə	n	
Akuriyó	$[\partial]t\partial[mi]$	Э	t	Э	m	i
Carijona	təmə		t	Э	m	Э
Wayana	$[i]t\partial[m]$	i	t	ə	m	
Apalaí	ito	i	t	0		
Kari'ña	to		t	o		
Kari'ña	[i]?	i	3			
Ye'kwana	ita[ma]	i	t	Э	m	Э
Ingarikó	ətə	Э	t	Э		
Pemón	[e]tə	e	t	Э		
Macushi	[a]ti	a	t	i		
Panare	$t \partial [n]$		t	Э	n	
Yawarana	tə		t	ə		
Mapoyo	tə		t	Э		
Upper Xingu Carib	[e]te	e	t	e		
Yukpa	to		t	o		

Table 20: Reflexes of *(*ət-*)*jəpi* 'to come' (Abbott 1991: 32; Álvarez 2000: 102; Caesar-Fox 2003: 125; Cruz 2005: 299, 415; Cáceres 2011: 438; Robayo Moreno 2000: 178; Meira 1998: 168; M. C. Mattéi-Müller 1975: 74; Meira 1999: 294; Alves 2017: 150; E. Koehn & S. Koehn 1986: 37; Pachêco 2001: 265; Stegeman & Hunter 2014: 160; Meira 2003a: 4; T. E. Payne & D. L. Payne 2013: 65; Méndez-Arocha 1959: 68; Courtz 2008: 429; Meira & Franchetto 2005: 182; p.c., Spike Gildea)

Language	Form							
Werikyana	oohi					00	h	i
Werikyana	joh i				j	O	h	i
Werikyana	ehi					e	h	i
Arara	odebi	0	d	-		e	b	i
Arara	ebi					e	b	i
Ikpeng	arep	a	r	-		e	p	
Bakairi	әеші	Э		-		e	w	i
Tiriyó	әері	Э		-		e	p	i
Tiriyó	epi					e	p	i
Akuriyó	eepi					ee	p	i
Carijona	ehɨ					e	h	i
Apalaí	оері	0		-		e	p	i
Kari'ña	opi					O	p	i
Ye'kwana	ehə					e	h	Э
Akawaio	əsipɨ	ə	S	-		i	p	i
Akawaio	jepŧ				j	e	p	i
Ingarikó	jə				j	ə		
Ingarikó	јерә				j	e	p	Э
Patamona	jepɨ				j	e	p	i
Patamona	jəpɨ				j	ə	p	i
Pemón	jepɨ				j	e	p	i
Panare	әр і					ə	p	i
Yawarana	әр і					Э	p	i
Mapoyo	epi					e	p	i
Upper Xingu Carib	ee					ee		

(26) Werikyana Spike Gildea (p.c.)

- a. johi-ri3.come-PROG'S/he is coming.'
- b. *o-w-ohi-ri*2-S_A-come-prog
 'You are coming.'
- c. *i-nki-ri* 3-sleep-prog 'S/he is sleeping.'
- d. Ø-osone-ri3-dream-PROG'S/he is dreaming.'

Most forms corresponding to Gildea & D. Payne's (2007) *atepi do not show evidence for this *j. However, we interpret the i in the Akawaio form asipi as additional evidence of the sequence *ja, which has the same outcome i in the Macushi reflex of bare *japi, ipi.

Turning to the many forms apparently reflecting *api and *epi, we find that both are distributed widely in the family, sometimes even co-occurring in the same language. A unifying account of these forms requires the root *japi to undergo two major sound changes: a) *j-loss; and b) *a-umlaut after *j. Both phenomena are found in other contexts throughout the family (Meira et al. 2010). For this particular verb, these sound changes appear to have applied irregularly, and not always in the same order. For example, the Kari'ña form opi can only be explained if *j was lost before the umlaut of *a to *e, which would have been triggered by *j. On the other hand, forms like Ye'kwana eha must be the result of *a \rightarrow *e / *j_, with subsequent loss of *j. The Akuriyó form eepi looks like a reflex thereof as well, but the length is unexpected, and is analyzed by Meira (1998) as reflecting an earlier diphthong *ae.

Our interpretation of the *at portion as a detransitivizer is primarily based on its form, as well as its paradigmatically conditioned occurrence in some languages. Although the semantics of combining a detransitivizer with an intransitive verb do not really make sense, some historical S_P verbs are attested as adding the detransitivizer to become S_A verbs. For example, the Proto-Cariban S_P verb *winiki 'to sleep' becomes Tiriyó əəniki (Meira 1999: 252) and Kari'ña əʔniki (Courtz 2008: 429), both S_A . Also, Waiwai 'go to sleep' can be winik (R. E. Hawkins 1998: 30) or et-winik (W. N. Hawkins & R. E. Hawkins 1953: 204). The parallels to 'to sleep' end here, since bare *jəpi 'to come' apparently already was an S_A verb, as evidenced by its status in Werikyana, Kari'ña, Panare (27), Arara, and Tiriyó.

While these sound changes and the addition of *at- do account for the majority of the forms in Table 20,¹6 the distribution within the family is rather chaotic. Apart from the distribution of *api and *epi, forms with and without *at- can be found within the same language, usually conditioned by different prefixes. This was briefly discussed in Section 2.1 for Arara and in Section 2.3 for Tiriyó (and Proto-Tiriyoan and Proto-Taranoan). To illustrate, the Tiriyó Set I paradigm shows a reflex of *atepi (< *atjapi) for first, but of *epi (< *japi) for the other persons (28).¹¹¹8

Summing up, this verb is highly irregular, both from a synchronic and diachronic perspective. It seems that reflexes of the detransitivizer *at(e)- were optionally added to an S_A verb root *japi, which further underwent umlaut and loss of *j, but in no systematic manner, resulting in the chaotic picture in Table 20.

As discussed in Section 2.1, innovative *k- was introduced on the Ikpeng and Bakairi reflexes of *atjapi, but not on the Arara reflex of *japi. Both reflexes of *atjapi (Tiriyó) and of *atjapi and/or *epi (Akuriyó) resisted the introduction of *t- in Proto-Tiriyoan. Carijona ehi shows innovative j-, rather than conservative w- (29). It is unknown whether there is a Yukpa reflex of this verb, and it was fully replaced in Proto-Waiwaian by *omoki 'to come' and was thus not a potential target of innovative *k-.

```
(29) Carijona (Guerrero Beltrán 2019: 102)

aji-wa-e j-eh-i

2-search-SUP 1-come-PFV

'I came looking for you.'
```

¹⁶Apart from aforementioned Akuriyó *eepi*, another irregular form is Apalaí *oepi*, where the detransitivizer would have the reflex *os*- (Meira et al. 2010: 506). While *oepi* would be a regular outcome of **a-japi*, the / _C allomorph of the detransitivizer is *e*- in Apalaí. The form may be due to borrowing from Tiriyó, which has lost intervocalic **t* to create *aepi*. Alternatively, Apalaí *oepi* could be a fossilized loan from Wayana, which has replaced its reflex of **atjapi*, but where regular sound changes would also have resulted in the loss of intervocalic **t* (Tavares 2005: 63).

¹⁷While the 1+2 form is a regular outcome of **kit-epi*, the second person form is mysterious.

¹⁸It should be noted that the paradigmatic distributions of forms with and without **ət*- in different languages share no discernible patterns.

3.5 *ipitə 'to go down'

Reflexes of this verb were not affected by the extensions of *k- in Proto-Pekodian (Section 2.1) and k- in Akuriyó (Section 2.4). The resistance against the former extension was subsequently overcome in Bakairi; its fate in Ikpeng is unknown. When Akuriyó extended k-, the verb already had an irregularly inflected first person form with p-, inherited from Proto-Tiriyoan.

At first sight, it may seem that it also was affected by the independent extensions of j- in Carijona (30a) and Yukpa (30b).

- (30) a. Carijona (David Felipe Guerrero, p.c.)
 irə waffinakano tae j-ehitə-e
 INAN.ANA body.of.water along.bounded 1-go.down-NPST
 '...I go down through that guachinacán.'
 b. Yukpa (Meira 2003b)
 - b. Yukpa (Meira 2003b) aw yéwtu aw j-ewuhtu 1PRO 1-go.down 'I went down.'

However, a look at the comparative picture suggests a much more complicated situation. Table 21 shows all attested forms, including verb class membership where applicable; parenthesized forms indicate uncertainty about cognacy status. It turns out that while a form *ipita can be reconstructed to Proto-Cariban, different (proto-)languages do not agree about the class of this verb. Its reflexes in languages that preserve the split-S system are split fairly evenly between S_A and S_P .

In one language, Wayana, the verb shows traits of both classes, leading us to consider it a "mixed" verb in our synchronic analysis of Wayana. It takes the first and second person S_P markers j- and aw- (Tavares 2005: 200), but the 1+2 S_A marker kut- (Tavares 2005: 206). It also shows the S_A class marker w- in nominalizations (31a), but behaves like an S_P verb in taking a second person prefix in imperatives (31b).

- (31) Wayana (Tavares 2005: 200)
 - a. *ïwïptëë i-w-iptə-ri*1-S_A-go.down-NMLZ

 'my going down'
 - b. əw-iptə-k 2-go.down-IMP 'Go down!'

Table 21: Reflexes of *ipita 'to go down' (Meira 2003b; Derbyshire 1979: 196; R. E. Hawkins 1998: 55; Guerrero Beltrán 2019: 118; Camargo & Tapinkili 2010: 44; Camargo 2002: 99; Courtz 2008: 263; Cáceres 2011: 450; Stegeman & Hunter 2014: 139; Álvarez 2008: 139; Abbott 1991: 34; M.-C. Mattéi-Müller 1994: 88; Méndez-Arocha 1959: 68; Bruno 1996: 58; Gildea 1994: 84; Alves 2017: 153; von den Steinen 1892: 137; Meira 1998: 116; p.c., Spike Gildea, Angela Fabíola Alves Chagas)

Language	Form	Class												
Proto-Parukotoan	*ɨφɨto	S _P					i	ф	i	t	0			
Werikyana	ŧhŧto	S_{P}					i	h	i	t	o			
Hixkaryána	hto	?						h		t	o			
Waiwai	hto	_						h		t	o			
Proto-Pekodian	*ɨptə	S_A					i	p		t	Э			
Arara	iptoŋ	S_A					i	p		t	o	-	ŋ	
Ikpeng	iptoŋ	?					i	p		t	o	-	ŋ	
Bakairi	itəgi	S_A					i			t	Э	-	g	i
Proto-Tiriyoan	*ɨhtə	S_A					i	h		t	Э			
Tiriyó	ŧhtə	S_A					i	h		t	Э			
Akuriyó	ŧhtə	S_A					i	h		t	Э			
Carijona	eh i tə	_					e	h	i	t	ə			
Wayana	ŧрtә	S_A / S_P					i	p		t	Э			
Apalaí	ŧhto	S_{P}					i	h		t	o			
Kari'ña	onɨʔto	(S_A)	o	-	n	-	i	?		t	o			
Ye'kwana	ə?tə	S_{P}					ә	?		t	Э			
Kapón	(uʔtə)	_												
Pemón	(uʔtə)	_												
Macushi	(auti)	_												
Panare	əhtə	S_A					ә	h		t	Э			
Yawarana	əhtə	_					ə	h		t	ə			
Yukpa	(ewuhtu)	_												
Waimiri-Atroari	iti	_					i			t	i			

Its causativized form is *ipta-ka* (Tavares 2005: 255); the restriction of *-*ka* to S_P verbs in Proto-Cariban (Gildea & Cáceres in preparation) points to S_P membership. These patterns lead us to posit the hypothesis that the verb was a regular member of the S_P class in pre-Wayana, but partially switched to the S_A class. This in turn implies that all reflexes of this verb with S_A status at some point switched from the S_P class.

Wayana-external comparative evidence supports this hypothesis: The Arara causativized form is *enipton* (Alves 2017: 66), and Kari'ña has a cognate form *eni?to* (Courtz 2008: 263); *oni?to* 'to go down' in Table 21 is a detransitivized form thereof, lit. 'to get oneself down'. Both causativized forms contain a reflex of the transitivizer **en*-, which was usually found with S_P verbs (Gildea & Cáceres in preparation). Besides the irregular first person *p*-, Tiriyó *ihta* shows other irregularities, in particular in its causativized forms (Meira 1999: 263). Thus, it seems that this verb was originally S_P , but then switched its class in four and a half languages of the family, for so far unknown reasons.

These circumstances make it impossible to answer the question of whether 'to go down' was affected by the extensions in Proto-Waiwaian, Proto-Tiriyoan, Carijona, and Yukpa. For Proto-Tiriyoan, we cannot establish a relative chronology of the verb class change, the introduction of the idiosyncratic marker p-, and the extension of t-. For Proto-Waiwaian, we lack knowledge not only about the first person form, but even about class membership (in Hixkaryána). For Carijona and Yukpa, we cannot know whether the verb potentially switched class before the breakdown of the entire split-S system. While there is no language-internal evidence for such a switch, 'to go down' does have an inclination for class switches; in the case of Carijona, that could have already happened at the Proto-Taranoan stage. In all four cases, it is possible that the verb had S_A status at the time of the extension, resisting and keeping its old marker, but it is also possible that it was not even a potential target due to its S_P status. On the other hand, the class change must have happened before the split-up of Tiriyó and Akuriyó, and therefore this verb resisted the extension of Akuriyó k-. Likewise, it seems very likely that the class change took place before the extension of Proto-Pekodian *k-. Otherwise, the newly-turned-S_A verb would have taken on archaic and lexically heavily restricted *w-, either in Proto-Taranoan, Proto-Xinguan, or Arara.

3.6 *e-pi 'to bathe'

This verb resisted extensions in Proto-Pekodian (Section 2.1) and Akuriyó (Section 2.4), but is a regularly inflected S_A verb elsewhere. Verbs for intransitive 'to bathe' are usually detransitivized derivations of transitive roots in Cariban languages, which are reflexes of *pi, or *kupi in some Venezuelan languages (Table 22). As we have shown in Section 2.1, Proto-Pekodian can be reconstructed as having the pair *ipi (INTR) / *ip(i) (TR). Thus, while Proto-Pekodian 'to bathe (TR)' has perfectly regular cognates in other languages of the family, intransitive 'to bathe' is divergent in this branch, changing *e- to *i. The reasons for this are entirely mysterious; we are not aware of *i- as a reflex of the detransitivizer in Pekodian, see also Meira et al. (2010: 506). However, it should be noted that other languages also show unexpected devel-

opments in this verb, considering the apparent glide insertion in Waiwaian or the chaotic distribution of pi and kupi in Venezuelan languages.

Table 22: Comparison of intransitive and transitive 'to bathe' (Derbyshire 1979: 198; R. E. Hawkins 1998: 192, 203; Alves 2017: 150, 162; Pachêco 1997: 103; Campetela 1997: 123; Meira 2003a: 4, 2005: 285, 1999: 697; Gildea 1994: 87; Camargo & Tapinkili 2010: 24, 52; Meira 2000: 218; Courtz 2008: 304; Cáceres 2011: 439, 454; Stegeman & Hunter 2014: 37; de Armellada 1944: 34, 129; M.-C. Mattéi-Müller 1994: 8, 294; p.c., Spike Gildea)

(a) Reflexes of *e-pi 'to bathe (INTR)'

Language	Form						
Werikyana	eeh i			ee	-	h	i
Hixkaryána	eweh i	e	w	e	-	h	i
Waiwai	ејефи	e	j	e	-	ф	u
Arara	ib i			i	-	b	i
Ikpeng	iр			i	-	p	
Bakairi	i			i			
Tiriyó	epi			e	-	p	i
Akuriyó	epi			e	-	p	i
Wayana	epi			e	-	p	i
Apalaí	epi			e	-	p	i

(b) Reflexes of *e-kupi 'to bathe (INTR)'

Language	Form							
Kari'ña	ekupi	e	-	k	u		p	i
Ye'kwana	e?hi	e	-			?	h	i
Kapón	еки?рі	e	-	k	u	?	p	i
Pemón	ekup i	e	-	k	u		p	i

(c) Reflexes of *ə-kupi 'to bathe (INTR)'

Language	Form						
Panare	akup i	a	-	k	u	p	i

(d) Reflexes of *(i)pi 'to bathe (TR)'

Language	Forn	1		
Werikyana	ŧhŧ	i	h	i
Hixkaryána	ŧhŧ	i	h	i
Waiwai	pi		p	i
Arara	ŧр	i	p	
Ikpeng	ŧр	i	p	
Bakairi	ŧ			i
Tiriyó	$p\dot{\epsilon}$		p	i
Akuriyó	$p\dot{\epsilon}$		p	i
Wayana	ир і	u	p	i
Apalaí	$p\dot{\epsilon}$		p	i
Ye'kwana	ŧhŧ	i	h	i
Pemón	pi		p	i
Panare	ŧрŧ	i	p	i

(e) Reflexes of *kupi 'to bathe (TR)'

Language	Form					
Kari'ña	kupi	k	u		р	i
Kapón	ки?рі	k	u	?	p	i
Panare	kup i	k	u		p	i

4 Discussion

In Section 3, we reconstructed the verbs which were unaffected by the incomplete extensions discussed in Section 2. Table 23 shows what verbs were affected by which extensions, except for the e-initial Akuriyó verbs unaffected by the extension of k-. In a few cases we do not know the first person form, in others we have reason to believe that the verb does not occur at all or at least not inflected for first person, and in the case of 'to go down' we do not know whether or when the switch to S_A happened. The patterns in this table make clear just how pervasive the tendency for certain verbs to resist innovative markers is. Every \times stands for a language in which this particular S_A verb has an archaic first person form, while regularly inflected S_A verbs have an innovative form.

These patterns suggest that there is some strong motivation for these verbs to not be affected by innovative markers. To illustrate: the first three verbs all retained their old marker in at least five individual innovations. The question arises what properties unite these verbs and make them so conservative. We will discuss possible answers to this question in Section 4.1, using Bybee's (1985) network model of morphology.

4.1 Possible motivations for archaicity

The most well-known contribution regarding irregularity in the lexicon is Bybee (1985) with her network model of morphology, which seems well-suited for the data at hand. It aims "to account for cross-linguistic, diachronic and acquisition patterns in complex morphological systems" (Bybee 1995: 428). It does so by modeling shared morphological properties such as inflectional patterns as emerging from connections of differing strength between related words in the mental lexicon. For example, a large group of connected "strong" English verbs with string—strang at its center and pairs like ring—rang, spin—span, or stik—stak at its periphery is attracting new verbs in certain dialects: sni:k—snak or bring—brang (Bybee 1985: 129—130). These verbs are recruited based on the lexical connection they form with prototypical members of the group, and accordingly develop "irregular" past tense forms.

For the causes of these lexical connections, Bybee (1985: 118) suggests the criteria of semantic, phonological, and morphological similarity – the English strong verbs are an example for a phonologically motivated network. Another important factor in the model is frequency, since more frequent words have a higher lexical strength (Bybee 1985: 119). This higher lexical strength results in less influence from other lexemes, meaning that irregular forms are more likely to be preserved in high-frequency items. Thus, from the diachronic perspective on Cariban we are taking here, the prediction is that a) semantically/phonologically/morphologically similar verbs will be affected by person marker extensions, and b) high-frequency verbs will tend to resist them and thus remain archaic.

When considering the verbs that resisted any of the extensions investigated (Table 23), a salient morphological property emerges: Most of the verbs lack a reflex of the detransitivizer *ate/e-, which normal S_A verbs do possess (Section 1.2.3). This was already noted by Meira

?

N/A

N/A

	* <i>ka</i> [<i>ti</i>] 'say'	* <i>itə</i> [<i>mə</i>] 'go'	*a[p] 'be-1'	* <i>eti</i> 'be-2'	*(<i>ət-)jəpi</i> 'come'	* <i>ipitə</i> 'go down'	* <i>e-pi</i> 'bathe'
Proto-Waiwaian *k-	×	×	×	×	_	N/A	\checkmark
Hixkaryána	×	×	×	×	_	N/A	\checkmark
Waiwai	×	(\checkmark)	×	×	_	N/A	\checkmark
Proto-Pekodian * <i>k</i> -	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Arara	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Ikpeng	×	\checkmark	_	×	\checkmark	?	×
Bakairi	×	×	×	×	\checkmark	\checkmark	×
Proto-Tiriyoan *t-	×	×	×	×	×	N/A	\checkmark
Tiriyó	×	×	×	×	×	N/A	\checkmark
Akuriyó	×	×	×	?	×	N/A	\checkmark
Akuriyó <i>k</i> -	×	×	×	?	×	×	×
•					_		

Table 23: Overview of extensions and (un-)affected verbs

✓ affected; × not affected; ? unknown first person prefix; – does not occur; (\checkmark) affected with surviving old marker; N/A not meaningfully answerable

(1998) for the group of Proto-Taranoan verbs taking irregular first person *w-:

?

Carijona j-

Yukpa j-

This category includes a small number of stems, among which 'to go', 'to come', 'to say', [...]¹⁹ and the copula. These are basically the verbs that are not synchronically or diachronically detransitivized, yet belong to the A conjugation.

(Meira 1998: 112)

A further common property is phonological in nature, since regular S_A verbs all have reflexes of *ate/e-, they all begin with reflexes of *a or *e. Finally, at least the first four verbs in Table 23 are very likely the most frequent S_A verbs. The correlation between the absence of a detransitivizer and frequency has e.g. been noted for Kari'ña by Courtz (2008: 75): "It is difficult [...] to imagine an intransitive or transitive origin for some of the most frequent middle verbs". There are no semantic patterns that would be obvious, which is not unsurprising given the lack of semantic patterns in the split-S system overall (Section 1.2.3). This leaves us with three possibly relevant factors: phonology, morphology, and frequency.

To test these factors, we defined a test for each to see what fate it would predict for a given verb. The morphological criterion was of course the presence or absence of a detransitivizer, which predicted affectedness or unaffectedness, respectively. The phonological pat-

 $^{^{19}}$ The original list includes 'to go down' and 'to defecate'. While these verbs are indeed underived S_A verbs in Tiriyó, no irregular first person *w- can be reconstructed. As their inclusion in the list was most likely an error, we have omitted them here.

Table 24: Proportion of (un-)affected verbs accurately predicted by potential factors

	morphological	phonological	frequency
Proto-Waiwaian *k-	5/5 (100%)	4/5 (80%)	5/5 (100%)
Proto-Pekodian * <i>k</i> -	7/7 (100%)	5/7 (71%)	4/7 (57%)
Proto-Tiriyoan *t-	5/6 (83%)	4/6 (67%)	5/6 (83%)
Akuriyó <i>k</i> -	5/6 (83%)	6/6 (100%)	3/6 (50%)
Carijona <i>j</i> -	3/5 (60%)	5/5 (100%)	4/5 (80%)
Yukpa <i>j</i> -	1/3 (33%)	3/3 (100%)	1/3 (33%)

tern uniting regular derived S_A verbs is e- and a/o-initialness, so we checked whether the initial segment of each verb stem fit that criterion. To increase the accuracy of prediction, we then changed the criteria on the initial segment for some languages, based on the patterns we noticed, see below. A major obstacle to investigating frequency effects was that we are not aware of frequency counts of individual lexemes for any Cariban language. In absence of such data, we simply categorized the verbs based on the following assumptions: a) 'to say', 'to go', and 'to be' are the most frequent S_A verbs; and b) 'to come', 'to go down' and 'to bathe' are much less frequent. The prediction was then that the four frequent verbs would retain their old marker, and the three infrequent ones would innovate, but it should be kept in mind that any statements about frequency effects are based on assumptions.

Finally, we compared the information about (un-)affectedness of verbs (Table 23) with the predictions and established the proportion of verbs whose behavior was correctly predicted, by each factor, for each extension. The results of that comparison are shown in Table 24. As can be seen, there are quite a few combinations of factor and extension where 100% of the behavior was correctly predicted. However, it is important to understand that the proportions shown in that table only refer to the group of seven verbs in Table 23, i.e. those that are attested as resisting at least one extension. For each extension, there are many run-of-the-mill S_A verbs which are regularly inflected. The morphological and phonological criteria would in each case correctly predict 100% of these regular verbs; if our assumptions about frequency were indeed accurate, so would this criterion.

The extent of the extensions in both Proto-Waiwaian and Proto-Pekodian is fully predicted by the presence or absence of a detransitivizer. In Proto-Waiwaian, only the four underived S_A verbs were not affected, while all other S_A verbs (with * $\partial te/e$ -) have regular *k-. The case for a morphologically conditioned lexical network is even stronger in Proto-Pekodian, where all seven unaffected verbs have no detransitivizing prefix, contrasting with a plethora of derived S_A verbs with *k-. Note that it is necessary for this analysis to assume that the idiosyncratic evolution of *e-pi 'to bathe (INTR)' to *ipi effectively removed the transparent derivational prefix. Not seen in Table 24 are subsequent evolutions in the daughter languages: First, we argued that both Ikpeng and Bakairi regularized the paradigm to use forms with de-

transitivizer for first person, which in both languages led to an introduction of k-. ²⁰ Second, the subsequent introduction of k- to Ikpeng aran 'to go' (< *itan) potentially suggests a reanalysis of ar as a detransitivizer.

Three extensions are fully predicted by phonological criteria, those in Akuriyó, Carijona, and Yukpa. We have already discussed Akuriyó k- (Section 2.4), which only appears on ∂ -initial verbs. In Carijona, the extension of j- affected e- and ∂ -initial verbs, including eh 'to come' or effi 'to be', which do not have a detransitivizing prefix. Only ka 'say', $t \partial m \partial$ 'go', and a 'be-1' did not take on j-. Similarly, the extension of Yukpa j- can succinctly be characterized as affecting all vowel-initial verbs; the only verb attested as unaffected is C-initial to 'to go'. It may be worth noting that the three extensions which we do not reconstruct to a protolanguage (i.e., which are more recent) seem to be phonologically conditioned.

There is one extension where our model of frequency correctly predicted 100% of (un-)affected verbs, in Proto-Waiwaian. The four unaffected SA verbs are the ones we assume to be the most frequent. Incidentally, they are also the only ones without a detransitivizer, so both factors predict the same outcome. This brings us to the cases where not all outcomes were correctly predicted; in many cases, the proportion is still quite high. For example, the morphological criterion accounts for the behavior of 5/6 verbs in the case of Proto-Tiriyoan; the one divergent verb is *əʔepɨ 'to come', where the synchronic presence of a detransitivizer is debatable. Similarly, frequency correctly predicts the behavior of 4/5 verbs in the extension of Carijona j-; the only incorrect one is effi 'to be'. Or consider the "most" resistant verb, which is not attested as having taken on a new first person marker in any language, *ka[ti] 'to say'. It is at the same time: a) one of the few S_A verbs without a reflex of * $\partial t(e)$ -/e-; b) the only C-initial S_A verb in Proto-Cariban; and c) highly frequent. That is, one would expect it to resist morphological innovation based on all three factors: morphological makeup, phonological form, and frequency. Thus, while Bybee's (1985) model for the most part correctly predicts the patterns, it is not always clear which is the decisive conditioning factor, as they are strongly interrelated in Cariban SA verbs.

4.2 Conclusion

- · why are the most irregular verbs all underived S_A verbs? something is there
- · ultimately plays into the mystery of how the hell the split-S system actually came into being
- · not surprising that more frequent S_A verbs have no * ∂t -, but definitely surprising that 'say', 'go', and 'be' are S_A verbs in the first place!

²⁰If one instead assumes that first person *w-ebi- and *k-əd-ebi- already co-existed in Proto-Pekodian, the clear correlation remains.

References

- Abbott, Miriam (1991). "Macushi". In: *Handbook of Amazonian Languages*. Desmond C. Derbyshire & Geoffrey K. Pullum (eds.). Vol. 3. Berlin/New York: Mouton de Gruyter: 23–160.
- Álvarez, José (2000). "Construcciones progresivas en pemón y otras lenguas caribes". In: *Opción* 32: 96–130.
- (2008). "Cláusulas relativas, nominalización y constituyentes en pemón (Caribe)". In: *Opción* 24.57: 114–143.
- Alves, Ana Carolina Ferreira (2017). "Morfofonologia, morfossintaxe e o sistema de tempo, aspecto e modo em Arara (Karib)". Ph.D. dissertation. Universidade de São Paulo.
- Alves Chagas, Angela Fabíola (2013). "O Verbo Ikpeng: estudo morfossintático e semântico-lexical". Ph.D. dissertation. Universidade Estadual de Campinas.
- Bruno, Ana Carla dos Santos (1996). *Dicionário Waimiri-Atroari-Português*. Manaus: Programa Waimirí-Atroari / Conevênio FUNAI-Eletronorte.
- Bybee, Joan (1985). *Morphology: A study of the relation between meaning and form.* Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- (1995). "Regular morphology and the lexicon". In: *Language and cognitive processes* 10.5: 425–455. DOI: 10.1080/01690969508407111.
- Cáceres, Natalia (2011). "Grammaire fonctionnelle-typologique du ye'kwana, langue caribe du Venezuela". Ph.D. dissertation. Université Lumière Lyon 2.
- Cáceres, Natalia & Spike Gildea (2018). "A first analysis of Tense-Aspect constructions in Yawarana (Cariban)". Paper presented at Amazónicas VI. Baños, Colombia.
- Caesar-Fox, Desrey Clementine (2003). "Zauro'nödok Agawayo Yau: Variants of Akawaio spoken at Waramadong". Ph.D. dissertation. Rice University.
- Camargo, Eliane (2002). Léxico bilingüe: aparai-português / português-aparai. München: Lincom Europa.
- Camargo, Eliane & Anaiman Tapinkili (2010). *Hakëne omijau eitop Wajana-Palasisi / Diction-naire bilingue Wayana-Français*. Paris: CELIA/DRAC-Guyane, TEKUREMAI.
- Campetela, Cilene (1997). "Análise do sistema de marcação de caso nas orações independentes da língua Ikpeng". MA thesis. Universidade Estadual de Campinas.
- Carlin, Eithne B. (2004). *A Grammar of Trio: A Cariban Language of Suriname*. Vol. 55. Duisburger Arbeiten zur Sprach- und Kulturwissenschaft. Frankfurt am Main: Peter Lang.
- Courtz, Henk (2008). A Carib grammar and dictionary. Toronto: Magoria Books.
- Cruz, Maria Odileiz Sousa (2005). "Fonologia e gramática Ingarikó: Ka?pon-Brasil". Ph.D. dissertation. Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam.
- de Armellada, Cesáreo (1944). *Gramática y diccionario de la lengua Pemón*. Vol. 2: *Diccionario*. Caracas: Artes Gráficas.
- Derbyshire, Desmond C. (1965). Textos Hixkaryâna. Vol. 3. Publicações Avulsas. Belém: MPEG.
- (1979). *Hixkaryana*. Vol. 1. Lingua Descriptive Studies. Amsterdam: North-Holland.
- (1985). Hixkaryana and Linguistic Typology. Dallas: Summer Institute of Linguistics.

- de Souza, Shirley Dias Cardoso (1993). "Alguns aspectos morfológicos da língua Arara (Karib)". MA thesis. Universidade de Brasília.
- (2010). "The morphology of nouns in the Ugoronmo language (Arara of Pará)". MA thesis. University of North Dakota.
- Franchetto, Bruna (2002). "Kuikuro: uma língua ergativa no ramo meridional da família Karib (Alto Xingu)". In: *Ergatividade na Amazônia*. Vol. 1. Francesc Queixalós (ed.). Brasília, Paris: Laboratório de Línguas Indígenas, Centre d'études des langues indigènes d'Amérique.
- (2008). "Absolutivo e ergativo pensados a partir do Kuikuro". In: *Amerindia* 32: 37–56.
- Franchetto, Bruna & Sérgio Meira (2016). "A phonological hypothesis on the historical origin of Kuikuro and Bakairi verb classes". Talk held at Amazónicas VI. Universidad Nacional de Colombia en Amazonia, Leticia.
- García Ferrer, Donaldo José (2006). "Diccionario piloto pemón-español". BA thesis. Universidad del Zulia.
- Gildea, Spike (1994). "Akuriyó field notes".
- (1998). *On reconstructing grammar: Comparative Cariban morphosyntax*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- (2015). "The evolution of valence categories in the Cariban family". Paper presented at the Venezuelan Cariban Conference. Lyon. URL: https://www.academia.edu/ 30561539 / The _evolution _ of _ valence _ categories _ in _ the _ Cariban _ family (visited on 10/02/2020).
- (2018). "Reconstructing the copula and nonverbal predicate constructions in Cariban". In: *Nonverbal predication in Amazonian languages*. Simon E. Overall, Rosa Vallejos & Spike Gildea (eds.). Amsterdam: John Benjamins: 365–402.
- Gildea, Spike & Natalia Cáceres (in preparation). "The Cariban Language Family". Berlin.
- Gildea, Spike, Berend J. Hoff & Sérgio Meira (2010). "The story of *ô in the Cariban Family". In: Fieldwork and linguistic analysis in Indigenous languages of the Americas. Andrea L. Berez, Jean Mulder & Daisy Rosenblum (eds.). University of Hawai'i Press: 91–123.
- Gildea, Spike & Doris Payne (2007). "Is Greenberg's "Macro-Carib" viable?" In: *Boletim do Museu Paraense Emílio Goeldi. Ciências Humanas* 2.2: 19–72.
- Gildea, Spike & Fernando Zúñiga (2016). "Referential hierarchies: A new look at some historical and typological patterns". In: *Linguistics* 54.3: 483–529.
- Girard, Victor James (1971). "Proto-Carib phonology". Ph.D. dissertation. University of California.
- Guerrero Beltrán, David Felipe (2019). "The Grammar of Space in Karijona, a Cariban language from Northwest Amazonia". MA thesis. Universidad Nacional de Colombia.
- Guerrero-Beltrán, David Felipe (2016). "The alignment and person-marking system in Karijona (Cariban, Northwest Amazonia)". Paper presented at the Language and Culture Research Centre, James Cook University.
- Harris, Alice C. & Lyle Campbell (1995). *Historical syntax in cross-linguistic perspective*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- Haspelmath, Martin & Andrea Sims (2010). *Understanding morphology*. 2nd ed. London: Hodder Education.
- Hawkins, Robert E. (1998). "Wai Wai". In: *Handbook of Amazonian Languages*. Desmond C. Derbyshire & Geoffrey K. Pullum (eds.). Vol. 4. Berlin/New York: Mouton de Gruyter: 25–224.
- Hawkins, W. Neill & Robert E. Hawkins (1953). "Verb inflection in Waiwai (Carib)". In: *International Journal of American Linguistics* 19.3: 201–211.
- Hoff, Berend J. (1968). *The Carib Language: Phonology, Morphophonology, Morphology, Texts and Word Index*. Vol. 55. Verhandelingen van het Koninklijk instituut voor taal-, land- en volkenkunde. The Hague: Martinus Nijhoff.
- Howard, Catherine V. (2001). "Wrought Identities: The Waiwai expeditions in search of the "unseen tribes" of Northern Amazonia". Ph.D. dissertation. University of Chicago.
- Koch-Grünberg, Theodor (1908). "Die Hianákoto-Umáua". In: *Anthropos* 3: 83–124, 297–335, 952–982.
- Koehn, Edward & Sally Koehn (1986). "Apalai". In: *Handbook of Amazonian Languages*. Desmond C. Derbyshire & Geoffrey K. Pullum (eds.). Vol. 1. Berlin/New York: Mouton de Gruyter: 33–127.
- (1994). 14 textos Apalaí. Brasília: Summer Institute of Linguistics.
- Largo, Wilson S. (2011). "Una gramática Yukpa". Ms. Bogotá: Fundación para el Desarrollo de Pueblos Marginados.
- List, Johann-Mattis et al. (2021). LingPy: A Python library for quantitative tasks in historical linguistics. Version v2.6.8. Leipzig. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.5144474. URL: https://lingpy.org.
- Mattéi-Müller, Marie Claude (1975). "Vocabulario básico de la lengua mapoya". In: *Antropológica* 42: 57–78.
- Mattéi-Müller, Marie-Claude (1994). *Diccionario ilustrado Panare-Español, Índice Español-Panare:* Un aporte al estudio de los Panares-E'ñepa. Caracas: Graficas Armitano.
- Meira, Sérgio (1998). "A reconstruction of Proto-Taranoan: Phonology and Inflectional Morphology". MA thesis. Rice University.
- (1999). "A Grammar of Tiriyó". Ph.D. dissertation. Rice University.
- (2000). "The accidental intransitive split in the Cariban family". In: *Reconstructing Grammar: Comparative Linguistics and Grammaticalization*. Spike Gildea (ed.). Vol. 43. Typological Studies in Language. Amsterdam: John Benjamins: 201–230.
- (2003a). "A marcação de pessoa nos verbos em Bakairi (Karíb)". In: Ergatividade na Amazônia. Vol. 2. Francesc Queixalós (ed.). Brasília, Paris: Laboratório de Línguas Indígenas, Centre d'études des langues indigènes d'Amérique: 155–163.
- (2003b). "Primeras observaciones sobre la lengua yukpa". In: *Lingua Americana* 7.12.
- (2005). "Reconstructing Pre-Bakairi Segmental Phonology". In: *Anthropological Linguistics* 47.3: 261–291.

- Meira, Sérgio (2006). "Syntactic reanalysis in Yukpa (Cariban)". In: *International Journal of American Linguistics* 72.1: 135–150.
- Meira, Sérgio & Bruna Franchetto (2005). "The Southern Cariban languages and the Cariban family". In: *International Journal of American Linguistics* 71.2: 127–192.
- Meira, Sérgio & Spike Gildea (2009). "Property concepts in the Cariban family: adjectives, adverbs, and/or nouns". In: *The linguistics of endangered languages: Contributions to morphology and morphosyntax*. W. Leo Wetzels (ed.). Utrecht: LOT: 95–133.
- Meira, Sérgio, Spike Gildea & Berend J. Hoff (2010). "On the Origin of Ablaut in the Cariban Family". In: *International Journal of American Linguistics* 76.4: 477–515.
- Méndez-Arocha, Alberto (1959). "Un vocabulario Yabarana con Apuntes Fonéticos". In: *Antropológica* 7: 65–84.
- Pachêco, Frantomé B. (1997). "Aspectos da gramática Ikpeng (Karíb)". MA thesis. Universidade Estadual de Campinas.
- (2001). "Morfossintaxe do verbo Ikpeng (Karíb)". Ph.D. dissertation. Universidade Estadual de Campinas.
- Payne, Thomas E. & Doris L. Payne (2013). *A Typological Grammar of Panare: A Cariban Language of Venezuela*. Brill's Studies in the Indigenous Languages of the Americas. Leiden: Brill.
- Robayo Moreno, Camilo Alberto (1989). "En faisant une rame": texte carijona". In: *Amerindia* 14: 189–199.
- (2000). "Avance sobre morfología carijona". In: *Lenguas indígenas de Colombia: una visión descriptiva*. María Stella González de Pérez & María Luisa Rodríguez de Montes (eds.). Santafé de Bogotá: Instituto Caro y Cuervo: 171–180.
- Schuring, Phillip Arthur (n.d.). "Uma gramática morfossintática bâsica da língua Katxuyana".

 MS.
- Stegeman, Ray & Rita Hunter (2014). *Akawaio-English Dictionary*. SIL International. URL: https://akawaio.webonary.org/.
- Stolz, Thomas, Aina Urdze & Johan van der Auwera (2012). "Introduction: Irregularity glimpses of a ubiquitous phenomenon". In: *Irregularity in Morphology (and beyond)*. Thomas Stolz et al. (eds.). Berlin: Akademie Verlag: 7–38. DOI: 10.1524/9783050059587.
- Swiggers, Gisela (2010). "Gramática de Referencia del Pemón". Ph.D. dissertation. Universidad del Zulia.
- Tavares, Petronila da Silva (2005). "A grammar of Wayana". Ph.D. dissertation. University of Houston.
- von den Steinen, Karl (1892). Die Bakaïri-Sprache: Wörterverzeichniss, Sätze, Sagen, Grammatik; mit Beiträgen zu einer Lautlehre der karaïbischen Grundsprache. Leipzig: Koehler.
- Wheatley, James (1969). "Bakairi verb structure". In: Linguistics 47.47: 80–100.
- Yamada, Racquel-María (2011). "A New Approach to *ky-* and *-ng* in Kari'nja: Evidentiality or Something Else?" In: *International Journal of American Linguistics* 77.1: 59–89.