# Equilibrium for combustion of rocket propellant

## Mathematical Models of Technology

A. Delgado Calvache, F. Granell Yuste, M. Llinàs Comas and J. Puig Lescure Supervised by: J. Saludes Closa

#### 9th December 2015

## Contents

| 1                  | $\mathbf{Pre}$ | Preliminaries                      |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------|----------------|------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|                    | 1.1            | Review of Kinetic Molecular Theory | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|                    | 1.2            | Review of Thermodynamics           | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
|                    | 1.3            | Review of Chemical Equilibrium     | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Existent solvers |                |                                    |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|                    | 2.1            | Basic procedure                    | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
|                    | 2.2            | HGS                                | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
|                    | 2.3            | Thermopy                           | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3                  | Mo             | Modified Thermopy                  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|                    | 3.1            | Minimizing problem                 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |
|                    | 3 2            | Compound properties                | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |

# Abstract

Rocket propellant is a material used by a rocket that reacts chemically, ejecting a reaction mass with very high speed to produce thrust, and thus provide spacecraft propulsion. The type of propellant varies depending on the type of rocket. In this paper, we focus on rockets that are propelled by means of combustion reactions, which are exothermic and which happen at high temperatures. Under these conditions, the components dissociate, which makes the parameters of the reaction difficult to find. Our aim is to determine them at the same time as we provide a full open-source solver for combustion problems involving a gas mixture with dissociation.

## 1 Preliminaries

### 1.1 Review of Kinetic Molecular Theory

**Ideal Gases** The study of the behaviour and macroscopic properties of gases began in the eighteenth century. A first result concerning these is the  $Ideal\ Gas\ Law^1$ , according to which the pressure P, volume

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>An alternative approach consists of defining ideal gases as those for which the Ideal Gas Law holds.

1 PRELIMINARIES 2

V and temperature T of an ideal gas relate to each other through the formula

$$PV = nRT, (1)$$

where n is the number of moles contained in the gas and  $R = 0,082 \text{ atm} \cdot \text{L} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \cdot \text{K}^{-1}$  in the SI. An equivalent expressions of this formula is

$$PM = \rho RT, \tag{2}$$

where M is the molecular mass of the gas and  $\rho$  is its density.

Since gas properties depend on temperature and pressure conditions, it is useful to set fixed values for them. The IUPAC established that the  $standard\ temperature\ and\ pressure\ (STP)$  are 273,15 K (0 °C) and 1 bar (0.9869 atm), whereas the  $normal\ temperature\ and\ pressure\ (NTP)$  are 298,15 K (25 °C) and 1 atm. An important result is that the volume of 1 mol of an ideal gas in STP is 22,414 litres.

Mixture of gases John Dalton proposed that gases consist mostly of empty space, being given their low density and high compressibility. Hence, when two or more different gases occupy the same volume, they behave entirely independently, and so each gas in a mixture of gases exerts the same pressure as if it were present alone in the container. This pressure is called the *partial pressure* of the gas  $P_i$ . According to *Dalton's Law of Partial Pressures*, the total pressure of a mixture of gases equals the sum of the partial pressures. Mathematically, given a mixture of n gases in a container,

$$P = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_i. \tag{3}$$

If we now write the total pressure P of the gas in terms of the total number of moles, the constant R, the temperature T and volume V, we can express the partial pressure of each component in the mixture as

$$P_i = n_i \frac{RT}{V} = n_i \frac{P}{n} = \frac{n_i}{n} P = \chi_i P, \tag{4}$$

which leads to the definition of the molar fraction  $\chi_i$  of the gas i, seen as the fraction of the mixture a specific gas is. Equivalent expressions for the molar fraction are

$$\chi_i = \frac{n_i}{n} = \frac{P_i}{P} = \frac{V_i}{V}.\tag{5}$$

#### 1.2 Review of Thermodynamics

Around the first half of the nineteenth century, the prevailing electrochemical theory could not successfully explain which the causes of chemical reactions are. As a result, scientists tried to find an answer in Thermodynamics.

First Law of Thermodynamics "The total energy of an isolated system is constant; energy can be transformed from one form to another, but cannot be created or destroyed." Mathematically, we write

$$\Delta U = Q + W,\tag{6}$$

where U is the  $state\ variable^2$  internal energy (i.e. energy contained within the system, while excluding the kinetic or potential energy of the system as a whole due to external force fields), Q is the heat involved in the process and W is the work performed through compression or expansion of the system, which can be written as

$$W = -P_{\text{ext}}\Delta V = -\Delta nRT,\tag{7}$$

where  $P_{\rm ext}$  is the pressure that the exterior exerts upon the gas and  $\Delta n$  is the variation in the number of moles

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>A state variable is one of the set of variables that are used to describe the mathematical "state" of a dynamical system. Intuitively, the state of a system describes enough about the system to determine its future behaviour in the absence of any external forces affecting the system. In thermodynamics, a state variable is also called a state function. Examples include temperature, pressure, volume, internal energy, enthalpy, and entropy. In contrast, heat and work are not state functions, but process functions.

1 PRELIMINARIES 3

Let us now consider some reagents as the initial state of a thermodynamic system and some products as the final state. If the reaction occurs at a constant volume, there is no work performed. Hence,

$$\Delta U = Q_v, \tag{8}$$

where the constant-volume heat can be written as  $Q_v = Q_p + W$  (notice that subindices v and p have respectively been used to account for constant-volume and constant-pressure processes). For the sake of convenience, let us define the *enthalpy* H as

$$H = U + PV. (9)$$

Indeed, in constant-pressure systems we can write

$$\Delta H = H_f - H_i = (U_f + PV_f) - (U_i + PV_i) = (U_f - U_i) + P(V_f - V_i) = \Delta U + P\Delta V, \tag{10}$$

and so  $\Delta H = Q_p$ .

It is important to notice that enthalpy itself is a thermodynamic potential, so in order to measure the enthalpy of a system, we must refer to a defined reference point. Therefore what we measure is the change in enthalpy,  $\Delta H$ .

It is useful to work with values of the enthalpy at particular conditions. In particular, the standard enthalpy of reaction  $\Delta H_r^0$  is the change in the enthalpy of a reaction in which the reagents and products are at standard conditions of pressure and temperature (if not stated differently). A particular case of standard enthalpies of reaction is the standard enthalpy of formation  $\Delta H_f^0$ , which corresponds to the formation of 1 mol of a substance from the basic elements in the standard states of reference (i.e. the more stable forms of the elements at 1 bar of pressure and a given temperature), which are assigned a null value of enthalpy. Other particular cases are the reticular energy (i.e. the energy released when a mol of crystal is formed from the corresponding ions in gaseous state) and the bond dissociation energy (i.e. the energy needed to brake 1 mol of covalent bonds at a fundamental state).

The standard enthalpy of a reaction  $\Delta H_r^0$  can be calculated using the formula

$$\Delta H_r^0 = \sum_{p \in P} v_p \Delta H_f^0(p) - \sum_{r \in R} v_r \Delta_f^0(r), \tag{11}$$

where P and R are the set of products and reagents, respectively, and  $v_p$  and  $v_r$  are the stoichiometric coefficients in the reaction. Alternatively, one can use the Hess's Law, according to which if a reaction can be expressed as the sum of several elementary reactions, then the variation in the enthalpy of the reaction can be calculated adding up the variations in the enthalpy of each elementary reaction.

A negative sign of  $\Delta H$  represents that the enthalpy of the products is less than the enthalpy of the reagents. This drop appears as heat transferred to the environment. Conversely, in order to gain enthalpy it is necessary to absorb heat from the environment.

An enthalpy diagram is a schematic representation of the variations of enthalpy in a process.

Aquí va imatge d'un diagrama entàlpic.

Second Law of Thermodynamics The entropy S of a system measures the number of specific ways in which it may be arranged; it is commonly understood as a measure of disorder. The Second Law of Thermodynamics states that "the entropy of an isolated system never decreases; such systems spontaneously proceed towards thermodynamic equilibrium, the configuration with maximum entropy." Given a system, the change in entropy can be expressed as

$$\Delta S = \frac{Q_{\text{rev}}}{T},\tag{12}$$

where  $Q_{rev}$  is the reversible heat or heat that intervenes in a reversible process.

**Third Law of Thermodynamics** This principle establishes which situations have the minimum possible entropy. It is stated as "the entropy of a perfect pure crystal at 0 K is zero".

1 PRELIMINARIES 4

**Spontaneity** In order to predict whether a process is spontaneous or not, we need to take into account that

$$\Delta S_{\text{universe}} = \Delta S_{\text{system}} + \Delta S_{\text{environment}} > 0, \tag{13}$$

which is another way of expressing the Second Law of Thermodynamics. In practice, the variation in the entropy of the environment is difficult to calculate. Nevertheless, we can assume that these changes are due to  $-\Delta H_{\rm system}$  and hence

$$\Delta S_{\text{environment}} = -\frac{\Delta H_{\text{system}}}{T}.$$
(14)

Using this formula in (13), we get

$$\Delta H - T\Delta S < 0, \tag{15}$$

where S denotes  $S_{\rm system}$ . Consequently, the issue of spontaneity can now be addressed if we define the Gibbs free energy G as

$$G = H - TS, (16)$$

so in order to know the spontaneity of a process, we follow the next table:

| $\Delta H$ | $\Delta S$ | $\Delta G$ | Nature of the process     |
|------------|------------|------------|---------------------------|
| _          | _          | ?          | spontaneous for small $T$ |
| _          | +          | _          | spontaneous               |
| +          | +          | ?          | spontaneous for large $T$ |
| +          | _          | +          | non spontaneous           |

Table 1: Criteria for predicting the nature of a thermodynamic process.

#### 1.3 Review of Chemical Equilibrium

As we have seen, there are chemical reactions that are reversible. We say that the chemical equilibrium is attained when the concentrations of the species involved remain constant along time. This is certainly a dynamical situation in which products are formed and destroyed simultaneously. For instance, nitrogen dioxide is a brown-yellowish gas that transforms into dinitrogen tetroxide when pressure rises in a closed container, becoming colourless. In turn, when the pressure goes down, the substance takes the original colouration. Therefore, there exists an exact value of the pressure for which the reaction occurs in both ways:

$$2NO2(g) = 10004(g)$$

For reactions in equilibrium, the Law of Mass Action states that there exists a constant that depends on the temperature. It is called the equilibrium constant, which for a reaction (we will denote it  $r_1$  for later reference)

$$aA + bB + \dots = i \cdot gG + hH + \dots$$

is given by the formula

$$K_c = \frac{[G]^g \cdot [H]^h \cdot \dots}{[A]^a \cdot [B]^b \cdot \dots},\tag{17}$$

where [X] is the concentration of the substance X. It is convenient to define as well the three constants

$$K_P = \frac{P_G^g \cdot P_H^h \cdot \dots}{P_A^a \cdot P_B^b \cdot \dots}, \qquad K_\chi = \frac{\chi_G^g \cdot \chi_H^h \cdot \dots}{\chi_A^a \cdot \chi_B^b \cdot \dots}, \qquad \text{and} \qquad K_n = \frac{n_G^g \cdot n_H^h \cdot \dots}{n_A^a \cdot n_B^b \cdot \dots}, \tag{18}$$

which are related through the expressions

$$K_P = K_c(RT)^{\Delta n} = K_n \left(\frac{RT}{V}\right)^{\Delta n} = K_\chi P^{\Delta n},\tag{19}$$

2 EXISTENT SOLVERS 5

where  $\Delta n = g + h + \cdots - (a + b + \ldots)$ . Moreover, if we denote by  $r_2$  the inverse reaction, by  $r_3$  the same reaction with different stoichiometric coefficients and by  $r_4$  the reaction consisting of  $r_1$  and  $r_3$  happening simultaneously:

$$gG+hH+\dots <=>aA+bB+\dots,$$
(20)

$$akA + bkB + \dots <=>gkG + hkH + \dots, \tag{21}$$

$$aA + bB + akA + bkB + \dots <=> gG + hH + gkG + hkH + \dots,$$
(22)

where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then their equilibrium constants are affected by

$$K_{c_2} = K_c^{-1}, K_{c_3} = K_c^k, \text{and} K_{c_4} = K_c K_{c_3}, (23)$$

where  $K_{c_i}$  is the equilibrium constant of the reaction  $r_i$ . It is important to have an intuitive approach of what the equilibrium constant means. For large values of  $K_c$ , there are almost no reagents left (we sometimes say that the reaction is complete). In contrast, if  $K_c$  is small, the reaction does not occur significantly. In order to predict which way the reaction goes, we need to define the reaction quotient

$$Q_c = \frac{[G]_0^g \cdot [H]_0^h \cdot \dots}{[A]_0^a \cdot [B]_0^b \cdot \dots},$$
(24)

where  $[X]_0$  is the initial concentration of the substance X. Then, if  $Q_c < K_c$ , the reaction "goes to the right", if  $Q_c > K_c$ , the reaction "goes to the left" and if  $Q_c = K_c$ , the reaction is in equilibrium.

# 2 Existent solvers

### 2.1 Basic procedure

As we previously stated the aim of this project was to develop a solver that given a set of chemical compounds and environmental conditions (temperature, pressure) returnes the products of the chemical reaction that takes place, especially at high temperatures when the compounds dissociate.

There are already solvers that do this, and they are all based in the following: of all the possible reactions, the one that takes place is the one that minimizes the Gibbs free energy, which can easily be obtained from the temperature, the enthalpy and the entropy.

It follows then that the solvers have to be able to compute the properties of the compunds (molar mass, partial pressure, etc.) in order to compute the enthalpy and enthropy of the reaction, and once this is done they have to solve a minimization problem.

The minimization problem can be stated as:

Minimize G such that the number of moles of every compound is non negative and the initial number of moles of each element present in the reaction is conserved.

The enthalpy and enthropy of a reaction are computed using the Burcat database, a database that gives the coefficients of interpolation polynomials for the enthalpy and enthropy of every known chemical compund.

#### 2.2 HGS

The HGS package is a Matlab based solver that works for every combustion reaction. It consists of ten different functions as well as the Burcat database. The objective was to replace this package by an open source solver, using python for example. However, some of the function in HGS use Matlab's black-box minimising functions, so our basing the new solver on HGS would require the implementation of a brand new minimising function in python.

#### 2.3 Thermopy

Thermopy is a pyhton-based solver that gives the properties of each element and attempts to give the values of the enthalpy, entropy and Gibbs free energy of combustion reactions. That notwithstanding, the results obtained with Thermopy do not correspond with those that HGS provides.

Since our idea was to "implement HGS in python", we attempted to fix the issues Thermopy has by regarding the way that it computes the properties, and then adding the minimization problem to be solved with a python minimizing function to get the final solution (i.e. products of a reaction).

# 3 Modified Thermopy

### 3.1 Minimizing problem

We first give some definitions in order to formulate the problem easily. Given a set of compounds  $C = \{c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n\}$ , we define a vector of moles n, where  $n_i$  is the number of moles of the i-th compound. If in the reaction elements  $e_1, \ldots, e_k$  are involved, we define the matrix  $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ , where  $a_{ij}$  id the number of moles of the j-th element in each mole of the i-th compound. For instance, given  $C = \{O_2, H_2O, H_2\}$ , the elements are H and O and we get

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We can now state the minimization problem, which is: (FALTA POSAR "MINIMIZE" A LA EQUACIO)

$$f(n) = G(n)$$
, such that  $n_i \ge 0 \ \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n \ \text{and} \ n \cdot A = n_0 \cdot A$ . (25)

Python's minimizing functions could not solve this problem because the Jacobian of the function was singular at some points, so we changed the formulation of the problem in a way that we eliminated restrictions by including them in the function to minimize, and at the same time avoided the singularity of the Jacobian. The alternative formulation of the problem is:

(FALTA POSAR "MINIMIZE" A LA EQUACIO)

$$f(n) = G(n) + \lambda ||n \cdot A - n_0 \cdot A||$$
, such that  $n_i \ge 0 \ \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . (26)

## 3.2 Compound properties

Once the minimization problem was solved we found that the solutions given by Thermopy had a smaller Gibbs free energy than the ones given by HGS but were not consistent with the real reactions that take lace at high temperatures (preguntar a Alba!!), so we went back to the properties in order to see where the differences were. In fact, the computation of the values did not give the same results, which we attempted to fix this in several ways:

- The HGS routine was using the partial pressures of the species of the mixture in order to calculate the entropy and enthalpy, whereas Thermopy was not. in order to get similar results, we replaced the corresponding formula in Burcat database by the one that HGS was using. This would in theory give us the same values for enthalpy and entropy that HGS gives. However, this did not seem to solve the problem.
- Still trying to fix this, we introduced a subroutine that checks if the units of the properties computed coincide. This was very useful for detecting where the problem occurred, if there were errors in the formulae used to calculate properties of compounds. We eventually have observed that this subroutine does not account for formulae whose units are the same but which are not equivalent. For instance, in order to calculate the entropy of the mixture, the HGS function computes

$$S = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} S_i \cdot m_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i},\tag{27}$$

where  $S_i$  and  $m_i$  are, respectively, the entropy and the mass of each substance in the mixture (this is known as gravimetric analysis, which takes into account the masses of each of the components in order to calculate properties of a mixture). On the other hand, Burcat's database uses the formula

$$S = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} S_i \cdot n_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} n_i},\tag{28}$$

where  $S_i$  and  $n_i$  are, respectively, the entropy and the number of moles of each substance in the mixture (this is known as molar analysis). Indeed, both formulae are equivalent as far as units are concerned, but they do not, however, give the same values. We do not know which of these two methods is the appropriate one (it is beyond our knowledge).

4 CONCLUSIONS 7

# 4 Conclusions

We need more time, we need to deepen into chemical concepts and we have got very familiar with python! :) ueah