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1. Importance of the paper  
  
           Does it address issues relevant to the fields of bioethics and medical ethics in the developing countries?

I found this paper totally in the realm of law and the relation with medical ethics has not been well established.

The paper tries to show what is the basis for the judgement given by the Supreme court while quashing the GR banning manufacture of the drug, ‘Oxytocin’ which is essential drug for managing post-partum hemorrhage which is an important cause behind maternal mortality in India.  
  
2. Is it topical?

The issue is quite relevant and timely, however, the paper provides an analysis of the judgement thus will not influence policy or practice. Also I feel that the scope and language of the paper is too specialized for general audience.   
  
             
  
3. Originality-

The information is new as the issue of oxytocin ban is quite recent. There is no plagiarism but the paper mostly discusses the judgement by the court, thus, most of the text is what is quoted in the judgement.   
  
4. Conclusions  
    -Since the paper is trying to explain the judgement of the court, there is not much scope for independent conclusions.  
Does the article contain loose generalisations? No  
           Are there any important omissions? No  
  
  
5. Other comments  
  
  
6. Recommendation  
Accept as is   
  
 Accept with modifications (specify) -

- substance- Link with medical ethics needs to be established  
  
  
  
7. Separate comments for the author
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