Title: **Aged Care Ethical Values: A Content Analysis of the Literature**

Reviewer 1:

**General comments**:

1. The issue is relevant and could influence practice.
2. Difficult to say if there is plagiarism, because about 48 articles have analyzed by the authors with overlapping themes. I would suggest to check the manuscript through software to remove doubt.
3. The interpretation is well-developed and does not contain loose generalisations.
4. The paperneeds to be more concise, less wordy as well as remove unnecessary referencing.
5. Substance in discussion need revision. A Sub categories table can be added.

**Specific Comments:**

Methodology section:

“The result of the search was a total of 2890 articles, out of which the full texts of 191 articles were investigated …”

Here, how were 191 out of 2890 selected?

“To validate the results, the data was obtained through the similar analysis results of the two researchers. The main researchers were engaged in the issue and its dimensions in advance, and the articles and particularly their results were reviewed several times (17)”

Here, it is unclear what these sentences convey: whether 2 authors have separated analyzed the data and then combined or otherwise? Please rephrase.

Reviewer 2:

1. Introduction should be much more crisp, language and grammar need revision.
2. Some sentences/statements do not make sense (highlighted in yellow) please explain.
3. I have made some language corrections in the reviewed attachment.
4. I was not able to check the references. Please counter check.