**Manuscript evaluation guidelines  
  
Title**: Death of a Representative

1. Importance of the paper  
  
           Does it address issues relevant to the fields of bioethics and medical ethics in the developing countries?

Yes it does address issues relevant to field of Bioethics in developing coinutries.  
  
2. Is it topical?  
  
           Is the issue discussed from another country's/culture perspective?

This issue is mostly from Indian perspective. To be specific from the Indian government medical schools and hospitals.  
  
           Will it influence practice or policy?

Though this article gives a perspective of the Indian Government medical school and hospitals, it is arguable and the author does not suggest any practice or change of policy. In short it will not influence policy  
  
           Is it too specialized for the journal?

No it is apt for a medical ethics journal  
  
3. Originality  
  
           Is the information /comment new?

No. This hierarchical merit based culture in medical schools has been discussed before. Reservation in entrance and other positions has been talked about all the time.

Is there any likelihood of plagiarism?

Need to do one to check it. But I don’t personally feel. Its well drafted.  
  
  
4. Conclusions  
           Is the interpretation warranted, unwarranted, well developed?

The interpretation is warranted but very brief.  
  
           Does the article contain loose generalisations?

No generalizations.  
  
           Are there any important omissions?

The author in this article is a strong critique about the way Indian government colleges are providing PG training. After all these comment the author only solution is to have regular mental health checkup of trainee doctors. The author has pointed on various issues and should provide discussion on the possible ways to make it better based on his experiences and what other countries policies about theses issues as this is prevalent in many nations as per the authors draft.

5. Other comments

The author in the start says that “casteism overshadows the workplace bullying and non-violent aggression that lurks in government medical colleges “but eventually most of the article covers about reservation and casteism. He does mention about the over-burdened government healthcare facilities and the demand from the senior doctors to provide quality healthcare by their PG trainees. But there too the author says that caste of a junior doc maybe the reason of tyrannizing the junior doctors by the seniors. The author in my suggestion should remove those lines which says the casteism overshadows the other issues. The authors main focus on this article is about casteism in healthcare.

6. Recommendation  
  
 Accept as is  
  
 Accept with modifications (specify)  
  
 - style

Add sub headings to make it an easy read.

- substance  
  
More suggestions on the current deficiencies in the Indian government colleges. Discussing deeper on the issues of transfers, innumerable admissions and why this phenomenon is not seen in the private medical colleges and hospitals  
  
7. Separate comments for the author

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

\***Please let us know whether you would like your name to be published as a reviewer of the manuscript. This is optional.**

I am okay with publishing my name as the reviewer.