|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Sr No** | **Reviewer Comment** | **Author Response** |
|  | ***Reviewer 1*** |  |
| 1 | It is topical but it needs to be more comprehensive. | Selected articles entered into the article based on the defined search strategy. If more articles are to be included in the study, the search strategy and inclusion criteria should be changed in general. |
| 2 | Language may be edited for clarity. Concept to be made clear, argument to be presented more clearly, unnecessary/unconnected blocks of text may be reduced or improved | The whole text was edited by a specialized editor |
|  | ***Reviewer 2*** |  |
| 1 | Grammatical errors may be corrected , especially in the conclusion part on page 7 line 18-19 | The whole text was edited by a specialized editor |
| 2 | What is the reason for choosing articles in different languages? The languages should be mentioned with reason to choose them. | In order to reduce the limitations in the criteria for entering articles in a systematic review, we decided to include articles in any language. |
| 3 | The reference to CONSORT statement may be further elaborated to show how it is connected to the subject. | We did not use the CONSORT tool. This paragraph is related to the introduction of the SURE tool, which is also designed using the CONSORT. |
| 4 | Line 10 on same page (8) talks about report in the article. What is this report? Please clarify. | I cannot find what the esteemed judge intended |
| 5 | In the conclusion in line 17-19 the statement is not clear. It may be modified to convey the exact meaning | Additional sentences were removed from the conclusion |

Dear Editor of Indian Journal of Medical Ethics

Thank you very much for judging the article and providing corrective comments.

Best regard