**Manuscript evaluation guidelines**Title :**Addressing an Indian nurse, are we still not rudimentary?**   
1. Importance of the paper  
  
           Does it address issues relevant to the fields of bioethics and medical ethics in the developing countries?

**Not really !**  
2. Is it topical?  
  
           Is the issue discussed from another country's/culture perspective?

**Yes.**  
  
           Will it influence practice or policy?

**May not be**  
           Is it too specialized for the journal?

**No**  
3. Originality  
  
           Is the information /comment new?

**No**

Is there any likelihood of plagiarism?  
**No**   
  
4. Conclusions  
           Is the interpretation warranted, unwarranted, well developed?  
 **unwarranted**   
        Does the article contain loose generalisations?

**The article is contextualized to government and mission hospitals in India. In many hospitals specially in the corporate the uniforms have changed , nurses have been empowered , few of them are CEO’S of hospitals .**   Are there any important omissions?

**The change must come from the nurses and within an organization.**

Clarification from the reviewer on the above:

I feel the article need not be so generalised and it needs statistical data to support the percentage of nurses who opined the same thoughts of the author .

I don’t consider it to be an article that’s addressing a very important issue of the nurses.

I meant in my last comment that change needs to arise from the nurses themselves and not others.

5. Other comments  
  
  
6. Recommendation  
  
 Accept as is  
  
 Accept with modifications (specify)  
  
 - style

- substance  
  
  
  
7. Separate comments for the author
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