**Manuscript evaluation guidelines**Title: **Health Humanities- For Healthcare Professionals**

1. Importance of the paper  
  
           Does it address issues relevant to the fields of bioethics and medical ethics in the developing countries?

**The manuscript mainly focusses on Medical Humanities and Health Humanities. There is a single paragraph on pg7, after Table 2, where reference is made to bioethics in Asia.**2. Is it topical?  
  
           Is the issue discussed from another country's/culture perspective?

**In terms of the descriptions of experiences and attitudes of students, the experiences of patients, and the gaps in the healthcare system, the perspective seems to be Indian. But major arguments for the inclusion of Health Humanities, and examples of resources suggested, are from outside India. Though a few Indian studies are cited, there is no discussion of Indian socio-cultural context at all, which is what the manuscript claims medical studies should be grounded in.**  
           Will it influence practice or policy?

**Highly unlikely**  
           Is it too specialized for the journal?

**No**  
  
3. Originality  
  
           Is the information /comment new?

**No**

Is there any likelihood of plagiarism?

**Very careless in the way references are made to works cited. In many places phrases and sentences appear as a part of the text while they appear likely to be quotes.**  
  
4. Conclusions  
           Is the interpretation warranted, unwarranted, well developed?

**Conclusions drawn are not based on well developed arguments.**  
           Does the article contain loose generalisations?

**Yes**  
  
           Are there any important omissions?

**The manuscript claims that Heath Humanities will significantly transform medical students’ training, that it will make them “critical contestants in the future delivery of health care”, by encouraging them to consider “health outside of clinical contexts, through addressing issues around socioeconomic status, aging, disability, etc.” There is no attempt to imagine and outline how a Health Humanities module in the Indian medical curriculum can help to address these socio economic factors in the Indian context. There are no references to any studies of this nature in India.**  
  
5. Other comments

**The manuscript is difficult to read because it jumps back and forth, without a reasoned logic for its arguments.**

**The manuscript needs to state clearly if it is using ‘Medical Humanties’ and ‘Health Humanities’ interchangeably.**

**New points are introduced suddenly towards the end of the manuscript, with no introduction, explanation, or substantiation.**  
  
6. Recommendation  
  
 Accept as is

**Do not recommend**  
 Accept with modifications (specify)

**The few relevant points in the manuscript to be extricated from the mass of loose generalisations, and explained adequately. The content needs major reorganizing, major editing. Indian examples can be suggested for material/resources listed**  
 - style

**Grammatical errors need to be corrected. A few words have been misused.**

- substance

**Needs more research backing, especially on how Health Humanities can work in the Indian context.**

7. Separate comments for the author

**Be clear about what you want to say. Organise your thoughts, and express them in your own language. Get your grammar and language use checked**
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\***Please let us know whether you would like your name to be published as a reviewer of the manuscript. This is optional.**