Awareness regarding rights of a Dead among medical students

The introduction states that the study was designed to “know the awareness towards the rights of the dead among medical students”; but it does not explain why a control group was needed, and why did the control group have to be constituted of police trainees. It says that the study wanted to “evaluate the level of awareness among masses as compared to medical students” but does not explain how a group of 100 police trainees represent the masses; also, why a comparison is required in the first place? The tools of the survey should have been more elaborately discussed and the questionnaire presented as an appendix. The review of literature is not substantial either.

This claims to be a research article but does not stand up to it; there is the description of a study, the method and also the presentation of the ‘findings’, but the discussion has little connection with this. The submission presents the findings of the survey and moves to a long list of ‘suggestions’ which does not stem from the study findings at all. They are a discrete list and stand in total disjunction from the study findings.

Sentences such as, ”This issue really needs to be legalized on war foot basis on eve of the cases like famous Nithari tragedy in Delhi” makes for a jarring note – the Nithari killings, notwithstanding their gruesomeness and their exposure of the incompleteness of the Indian legal system, took place more than a decade ago. To use the word ‘eve’ sounds odd thus.

The lone sentence that stands in for a conclusion says “In a nutshell rather than searching for the ethical solution the state should came out with the practical laws and legislations to preserve the rights of the dead”; I am of the opinion that *nothing* discussed in the submission leads to this observation, and certainly not in a nutshell. There is no discussion before this on ethics, no discussion on how (going by the implicit argument in the grammar of this sentence), the state *might* have been looking for ethical solutions rather than practical ones! This sentence seems very loosely placed – almost seems like an afterthought.
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