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**Review Comments:**

1. The article is very broad-focused in terms of examining and navigating ideas and arguments; it takes up far too many issues in a confusing theoretical frame.
2. **Length and audience of paper**: it is far too lengthy for a comment and seems to be an entire chapter of a dissertation that needs substantial reworking and cutting down to close to half its current length. Further, the author is not clear about the audience s/he would like to address: that becomes manifest upon seeing how s/he at times explains very basic things in details and at other times glosses over issue that are far more complex.
3. **Scope**: The article, as it is now, seems better suited for a journal focused on gender or reproductive issues. It does not fit the scope of the IJME. However, it could be rewritten as a fresh article with a clear location within the issues and concerns of bioethics -- of course ART and gender and reproductive health, rights and autonomy are well within the scope of bioethics, but the *focus* and conceptual language/frame should be developed accordingly. At present they are only superficially addressed.