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**Review comments**

**Reviewer 1**

1. Yes it addresses issues relevant to the Indian medical scene.

2. It gives a global understanding of physician assisted euthanasia and an indication of whether or not it will be accepted by future clinicians. However, the sample size is very small. The survey is more qualitative than quantitative.

3. References are required for the following:

* Baby Doe and Baby Jane Doe cases.
* Today all types of euthanasia are gathered under the umbrella term "physician-assisted death".
* Legalisation of pediatric euthanasia in Europe and the US

4. Belgium law needs to specify age of "child" who gives consent.

5. In Table 1 Arguments against euthanasia, the duties of the physician, the second half of the paragraph is in favour of euthanasia. Needs to be shifted.

6. In Table 1 Arguments for euthanasia, Just distribution of scare resources, last sentence, change "sources" to "resources".

7. Please check space between words in entire document.

**Reviewer 2**

The paper addresses a topic relevant to Indian medical ethics, to a large extent, considering similar socio-cultural and economic realities.

These are perspectives of medical students that can initiate a debate/related research/discussion which might lead to eventual policy change.

From the perspective of resource limited countries, it is an original paper.

It’s a well written article with relevant and pragmatic conclusions. I enjoyed reading it.

 In addition, please ensure language and grammatical corrections.