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**Review comments**

The authors have described a case study of negligence in dental practice. They have described a case in which instead of local anesthetic some other material was injected into the gums during a dental procedure, leading to damage to the gums and teeth.

1. The article is titled "Negligence of dental staff auxiliaries". The dentist as the "respondent superior" is also vicariously liable. So the title needs to be changed. It is not just negligence of the dental staff auxiliaries. It is negligence of the dental health facility as a whole, including the dentist and the auxiliaries.

 2. It is a very useful case study and has some important lessons. I would recommend that this is re-written as a simple case study with a discussion. The word limit may be restricted to 1000 words. The elaborate details of the physical findings of the gums and teeth can be removed and only the essential information that communicates the extent of damage may be retained.