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**‘Global Health Watch 5 – An alternative world health report’**, London: Zed Books; 2017, 448 pp. $29.99(Paperback) ISBN 9781786992239.

The Global Health Watch reports originated at the World Health Assembly in May 2003 as an alternative to the ‘inadequate’ WHO reports, which were found to be faltering in their response to the growing neo-liberal discourse and its consequences. The Watch was envisaged to enable a more people centric approach that would broaden and strengthen the global community of health advocates to take action on global ill health and inequalities as well as their underlying political and economic determinants. In September 2015, the UN General assembly adopted a resolution on 17 SGDs and recognised, at least on paper, that health and well-being are part of a global and integrated approach based on socially inclusive and sustainable economic growth strategies that preserve the planet.

The book describes in detail how the SDGs appear to be about ‘constraining the predatory nature of capitalism’, but, in reality, perpetuate the current neoliberal model of ever increasing extraction, production and consumption. These, in turn, are seen to be prime drivers of poverty, environmental degradation and sustained inequality. The poorest 60% of humanity has received only 5% of the income generated by global GDP growth between 1999 and 2008. Structural adjustment programs, the greatest single cause of poverty since colonialism and imposed on developing countries by the World Bank, the IMF and the EU (in Greece) are never mentioned in the SDG; neither is there a demand for strong regulation and accountability of the financial market. The authors feel that for these global targets to become real changes, and not just empty words, it must involve social organisations, civil society, academics, community representatives, and citizen assemblies.

They argue that global governance is being increasingly reoriented to allow direct participation of private actors alongside states, weakening democratic representation. Established global structures like the United Nations and World Health Organisation (WHO) are under a barrage of assaults, with their agency and independence being rapidly eroded. The perceived failure of the WHO to play a more decisive role in containing the Ebola epidemic in 2014 is criticised. The WHO is financed through a mix of assessed and voluntary contributions. Shortfalls in public funding has obliged the WHO to turn to private, corporate philanthropic organisations – aptly described as ‘philanthro-capitalism’. A focus on vertical programs, a disproportionate budget allocation for vertical program, a negative effect on research systems, public private partnerships, lack of accountability, weakened leadership, alienation of communities and opening up of markets to multinationals are all consequences.

**Universal Health Coverage**

The term Universal Health Coverage (UHC), widely used in global health parlance, is open to multiple and often contradictory interpretations. While World Bank can readily reduce it to financial protection, others use the language of quality, equity, efficiency and prevention. A primary healthcare approach foregrounds community involvement in decision making, planning, accountability and prevention. In stark contrast, is the argument for purchasing healthcare as a commodity, often at costs that governments and communities can ill afford, while also dismantling even existing public services. The burgeoning ‘Medical Industrial complex’ has no qualms about conflict of interest, pollution of rational and independent scientific research, lobbying, extortion, arm-twisting, bullying, coercion and bypassing regulatory mechanisms.

**Determinants of health**

The engagement of UHC on social determinants such as employment status, migration, gender, caste, access to food/water/livelihood, sanitation etc. on these determinants should be more than just tokenism.

Since the financial crisis and recession of 2008, global unemployment is at its highest, with much of the burden borne by low and middle income countries. It is of some concern that the broad umbrella of UHC hides the rapid informalisation of employment in public health services, which, in turn leads to low motivation, low retention of workforce, migration, employment insecurity and undignified work conditions.

The book presents shocking statistics around international migration and one cannot ignore that the consequent high levels of global inequity, ecological mayhem, exclusion, misconceptions and multiple levels of vulnerability.

Women’s choice and autonomy in matters of sexuality and reproduction have to be factored into the discussion on UHC, and conditioned by social (class, caste, gender, race, religion, ethnicity, sexuality), economic and political structures.

Community led total sanitation is now being adopted in the rural areas of many Asian and African countries. The Swachh Bharat Abhiyan or Clean India campaign aims to construct millions of toilets but these stand-alone toilet construction programs do not take into consideration the dimension of water availability, community choice, affordability, caste, gender and other issues. The coercive and mandatory nature of this program has led to a number of infringements of peoples’ legal entitlements privacy financial security and human rights.

Securitization of health was visible in the aftermath of the Ebola epidemic in West Africa and is symptomatic of how health issues particularly those related to infectious diseases have been presented as threats from developing countries to the developed ones. Rather than creating compassion and a shared global healthcare concern, this process creates fear, misallocates resources and undermine structural changes.

**Management firms**

According to the authors the role of management consulting firms which can be linked to all significant global health institutions, has remained ‘hidden from the public eye’.

The new public management strategy brings in vocabulary such as private sector efficiency, cost effectiveness, project management skills, public private partnerships, value for money, results based financing, high impact interventions etc. and result in those with the greatest need losing out. Civil society also is compelled to engage with the same ‘obscure jargon’ as opposed to the rights based or practical approach, with confidentiality becoming an excuse against transparency.

**Environment**

Rampant industrial growth and activities propelling the global economy has brought about economic degradation with depletion and contamination of land, water, air - all of which have health consequences. With 8 billionaires in 2016 possessing wealth equivalent to the world’s poorest 3.6 billion, and temperatures of each of the last three years being the warmest on record, the per capita ecological footprints of wealthy nations are achieved by consuming other people’s share of the planet’s resources. The only solution, namely a dramatic reduction in the level of material consumption by the better off, ‘contravenes the very logic that drives the capitalist system’. Unless this is addressed, the planet is only hurtling towards irreversible destruction of its natural resources.

**Comments**

The Global Health Watch 5 has undertaken the daunting task of documenting several dimensions of healthcare and has been able to give the reader a broad overview of the global scenario

The book presents several examples of community processes that challenge the large global multinational agenda. Ecuador, building on its indigenous past, has incorporated the concept of *summakkawsay* or *buenvivir* as a community centred, ecologically balanced and culturally sensitive process.

Although Latin America is seen as an example of a departure from the neoliberal discourse leading to impressive expansion of public services to provide universal access, there is a strong counter force that aims to destabilise the public services and bring in a market logic. The chapter on South Africa follows the development of civil society activism and reflects a changed nature of donor funding under neo-liberalisation characterised by directing of funding through private and non-governmental recipients in a climate of cuts in public spending.

The GHW5 is brave in its criticism and takes on the large corporations on behalf of people’s rights to health and its social determinants. It is important that bodies such as the People’s Health Movement that set out to criticise top down, expert heavy approaches, are constantly introspective to makes sure that they don’t, over time, tread the same path. Is there diversity and representation in the groups that bring out this report? Has the PHM ‘leadership’ only become the domain of a few who have permanent leadership positions? What efforts are being made to bring in more voices into this movement? Is there a second, third and fourth line of leadership being actively facilitated?

Although the writers say that the book was written pro-bono, it is also important to mention other sources of funding support to ensure transparency and avoid conflict of interest. While the authors talk about ‘NGOisation’ of civil society one also has to establish where one locates oneself in this spectrum.

Since the book repeatedly emphasises the role of community in all aspects of decision making, policy analysis and demand generation, what role is PHM making towards making the information in this book widely available and minimising a crucial gap between theory/rhetoric and practice? Are there efforts to translate and disseminate into local languages and in a much less academic form? Some of these questions would need to be answered to prevent the GHW from being just another report, but instead become a crucial part of an evidence-based process to make healthcare more equitable, comprehensive, accessible and available.