

# Homework 7

Fletcher Gornick

March 12, 2021

## Problem 1 (30 points)

```
type 'a tree = Leaf | Branch of 'a tree * 'a * 'a tree

let rec flip_tree =
  fun t ->
  match t with
  | Leaf -> Leaf
  | Branch (left, root, right) ->
    Branch (flip_tree right, root, flip_tree left)
```

**Theorem 1.** For any type `a` and any value `t` of type `a tree`, we have

`flip_tree (flip_tree t) = t`

**Domain** All values of type `a tree`.

**Property**  $P(t)$ : `flip_tree (flip_tree t) = t`.

**Inductive order**  $R(t_1, t_2)$ : `t1` is a proper subtree of `t2`.

1. `t = Leaf`.

Left-hand side: `flip_tree (flip_tree Leaf) = flip_tree Leaf = Leaf`.

Right-hand side: `Leaf`.

Both sides agree.

2. `t = Branch (left, root, right)`.

Left-hand side:

```
flip_tree (flip_tree (Branch (left, root, right)))
= flip_tree (Branch ((flip_tree right), root, (flip_tree left)))
= Branch (flip_tree (flip_tree left), root, flip_tree (flip_tree right))
```

Right-hand side:

```
Branch (left, root, right)
```

It is thus sufficient to show that

```
flip_tree (flip_tree left) = left
flip_tree (flip_tree right) = right
```

Which is exactly  $P(\text{left})$  and  $P(\text{right})$ .  $P(\text{left})$  and  $P(\text{right})$  are implied by the inductive hypothesis because both `left` and `right` are proper subtrees of `t`. That is,  $R(\text{left}, t)$  and  $R(\text{right}, t)$ .

## Problem 2 (30 points)

```
let rec sum_tree =  
  fun t ->  
    match t with  
    | Leaf -> 0  
    | Branch (left, root, right) ->  
      sum_tree left + root + sum_tree right
```

**Theorem 2.** For any value  $t$  of type `int tree`, we have

$$\text{sum\_tree (flip\_tree } t) = \text{sum\_tree } t$$

**Domain** All values of type `int tree`.

**Property**  $P(t)$ :  $\text{sum\_tree (flip\_tree } t) = \text{sum\_tree } t$ .

**Inductive order**  $R(t_1, t_2)$ :  $t_1$  is a proper subtree of  $t_2$ .

1.  $t = \text{Leaf}$ .

Left-hand side:  $\text{sum\_tree (flip\_tree Leaf)} = \text{sum\_tree Leaf} = 0$ .

Right-hand side:  $\text{sum\_tree Leaf} = 0$ .

Both sides agree.

2.  $t = \text{Branch (left, root, right)}$ .

Left-hand side:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{sum\_tree (flip\_tree (Branch (left, root, right)))} \\ &= \text{sum\_tree (Branch ((flip\_tree right), root, (flip\_tree left)))} \\ &= \text{sum\_tree (flip\_tree right)} + \text{root} + \text{sum\_tree (flip\_tree left)} \\ &= \text{sum\_tree (flip\_tree left)} + \text{root} + \text{sum\_tree (flip\_tree right)} \end{aligned}$$

Right-hand side:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{sum\_tree (Branch (left, root, right))} \\ &= \text{sum\_tree left} + \text{root} + \text{sum\_tree right} \end{aligned}$$

It is thus sufficient to show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{sum\_tree (flip\_tree left)} = \text{sum\_tree left} \\ & \text{sum\_tree (flip\_tree right)} = \text{sum\_tree right} \end{aligned}$$

Which is exactly  $P(\text{left})$  and  $P(\text{right})$ .  $P(\text{left})$  and  $P(\text{right})$  are implied by the inductive hypothesis because both `left` and `right` are proper subtrees of  $t$ . That is,  $R(\text{left}, t)$  and  $R(\text{right}, t)$ .

## Bonus Problem (10 points)

```
let rec length l =
  match l with
  | [] -> 0
  | h :: t -> 1 + length t

let rec size_tree =
  fun t ->
  match t with
  | Leaf -> 0
  | Branch (left, _, right) ->
    size_tree left + 1 + size_tree right

let rec list_of_tree_helper =
  fun t l ->
  match t with
  | Leaf -> l
  | Branch (left, root, right) ->
    list_of_tree_helper left (root :: list_of_tree_helper right l)

let list_of_tree t = list_of_tree_helper t []
```

**Lemma 3.** For any type `a`, any value `t` of type `a tree`, and any value `l` of type `a list`, we have

$$\text{length (list\_of\_tree\_helper } t \text{ } l) = \text{size\_tree } t + \text{length } l$$

**Domain** All values of type `a tree` and `a list`.

**Property**  $P(t)$ :  $\text{length (list\_of\_tree\_helper } t \text{ } l) = \text{size\_tree } t + \text{length } l$ .

**Inductive order**  $R(t_1, t_2)$ :  $t_1$  is a proper subtree of  $t_2$ .

1.  $t = \text{Leaf}$ .

Left-hand side:  $\text{length (list\_of\_tree\_helper Leaf } l) = \text{length } l$ .

Right-hand side:  $\text{size\_tree Leaf} + \text{length } l = 0 + \text{length } l = \text{length } l$ .

Both sides agree.

2.  $t = \text{Branch (left, root, right)}$ .

Left-hand side:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{length (list\_of\_tree\_helper (Branch (left, root, right)) } l) \\ &= \text{length (list\_of\_tree\_helper left (root :: list\_of\_tree\_helper right } l)) \\ &= \text{size\_tree left} + \text{length (root :: (list\_of\_tree\_helper right } l)) \\ &= \text{size\_tree left} + 1 + \text{length (list\_of\_tree\_helper right } l) \\ &= \text{size\_tree left} + 1 + \text{size\_tree right} + \text{length } l \end{aligned}$$

Since the trees `left` and `right` are proper subtrees of `t`, that is,  $R(\text{left}, t)$  and  $R(\text{right}, t)$ ,  $P(\text{left})$  and  $P(\text{right})$  are implied by the inductive hypothesis. This means

$$\begin{aligned} \text{length (list\_of\_tree\_helper left)} &= \text{size\_tree left} + \text{length } l \\ \text{length (list\_of\_tree\_helper right)} &= \text{size\_tree right} + \text{length } l \end{aligned}$$

Which is why these equalities are made above in *Left-hand side*.

Right-hand side:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{size\_tree } t + \text{length } l \\ &= \text{size\_tree left} + 1 + \text{size\_tree right} + \text{length } l \end{aligned}$$

Again, both sides agree.

Therefore, by the principle of mathematical induction, the claim  $\text{length } (\text{list\_of\_tree\_helper } t \ l) = \text{size\_tree } t + \text{length } l$  must hold for all values  $t$  and  $l$  of type `a tree` and `a list` respectively.

**Theorem 4.** *For any type `a` and any value  $t$  of type `a tree`, we have*

$$\text{length } (\text{list\_of\_tree } t) = \text{size\_tree } t$$

**Domain** All values of type `a tree`.

**Property**  $P(t)$ :  $\text{length } (\text{list\_of\_tree } t) = \text{size\_tree } t$ .

The function  $\text{length } (\text{list\_of\_tree } t)$  can be rewritten as  $\text{length } (\text{list\_of\_tree\_helper } t \ [])$ . As proven in *Lemma 3*,  $\text{length } (\text{list\_of\_tree\_helper } t \ l) = \text{size\_tree } t + \text{length } l$ , so we can apply this to our new case, giving us  $\text{length } (\text{list\_of\_tree\_helper } t \ []) = \text{size\_tree } t + \text{length } []$ . Finally, since  $\text{length } [] = 0$ , we have  $\text{length } (\text{list\_of\_tree\_helper } t \ []) = \text{size\_tree } t + 0 = \text{size\_tree } t$ .

Again, since  $\text{length } (\text{list\_of\_tree\_helper } t \ [])$  can be rewritten as  $\text{length } (\text{list\_of\_tree } t)$ , we can conclude that  $\text{length } (\text{list\_of\_tree } t) = \text{size\_tree } t$ .