## CSCI 2011 HW 5

Fletcher Gornick

October 28, 2020

#### 1 Chapter 5.3 Problem 28

Let  $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $B = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$  and  $C = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . Also let  $f : A \to B$  and  $g : B \to C$ , where  $f = \{(1, 4), (2, 5), (3, 1)\}$  and  $g = \{(1, 3), (2, 3), (3, 2), (4, 4), (5, 1)\}$ ,

- (a) **Determine**  $(g \circ f)(1)$ ,  $(g \circ f)(2)$  and  $(g \circ f)(3)$ .  $(g \circ f)(1) = 4$  because f(1) = 4 and g(4) = 4.  $(g \circ f)(2) = 1$  because f(2) = 5 and g(5) = 1.
  - $(g \circ f)(3) = 3$  because f(3) = 1 and g(1) = 3.
- (b) **Determine**  $g \circ f$ .

Since in the previous example, we found all possible values of  $g \circ f$ , we know  $g \circ f = \{(1,4), (2,1), (3,3)\}$ .

## 2 Chapter 5.4 Problem 24

Prove or disprove each of the following.

- (a) There exists functions  $f:A\to B$  and  $g:B\to C$  such that f is not one-to-one and  $g\circ f:A\to C$  is one-to-one.
  - Suppose  $g \circ f$  is injective, and we want to show that f is not. There must exist elements a and b such that  $a \neq b$  but f(a) = f(b) in order for f to not be injective. Therefore g(f(a)) = g(f(b)) because f(a) = f(b). Since  $g(f(x)) = (g \circ f)(x)$ , this means that  $(g \circ f)(a) = (g \circ f)(b)$ , meaning that  $g \circ f$  is not injective, which contradicts our supposition. Therefore, by contradictive proof, if f is not one-to-one, it cannot be the case that  $g \circ f$  is.
- (b) There exists functions  $f:A\to B$  and  $g:B\to C$  such that f is not onto and  $g\circ f:A\to C$  is onto.

Suppose  $A = \{a\}$ ,  $B = \{b, c\}$  and  $C = \{d\}$ . We can also assume f(a) = b and g(b) = d. Therefore f is not onto, because you cannot link element c in set B to any element in set A through f. But we do know that  $g \circ f$  is onto, because  $(g \circ f)(a) = g(f(a)) = g(b) = d$ , and there's only one element in C that links to  $a \in A$ . Therefore, by proof of existence, there exists functions f and g, such that  $g \circ f$  is onto but f is not.

# 3 Chapter 5.5 Problem 12

Prove or disprove: The set  $S = \{(a, b) : a, b \in \mathbb{R}\}$  of all points in the plane is uncountable.

we can take a subset of S by making b constant and leaving a as an element in  $\mathbb{R}$ . So we have a set A such that  $A \subseteq S$ , and  $A = \{(a,0) : a \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . We can now create a bijective function  $f : \mathbb{R} \to A$ , where  $f(x) = (x,0), \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ . We know this function is bijective because for every distinct value of x, we have a distinct f(x) (therefore it's onto), and we know that every value in the co-domain of f can be mapped to it's domain  $((x,0) \to x$ , so it's onto as well). Since A has the same cardinality of  $\mathbb{R}$ , and the set of real numbers is uncountable, we know that S is uncountable because  $|A| = |\mathbb{R}|$  and  $A \subseteq S$ .

## Chapter 5 Problem 32

Prove that the function  $f: \mathbb{R} - \{3\} \to \mathbb{R} - \{1\}$  defined by  $f(x) = \frac{x}{x-3}$  is bijective.

First, we must show the function is one-to-one.

Suppose there exists two numbers  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $a, b \neq 3$ , such that f(a) = f(b), therefore  $\frac{a}{a-3} = \frac{b}{b-3}$ , which means  $ab - 3a = ab - 3b \Rightarrow 3a = 3b \Rightarrow a = b$ . This means that f is one-to-one.

Next, we show the function is onto.

Suppose y = f(x) and  $y \neq 1$  as stated in the definition. therefore  $y = \frac{x}{x-3}$ , so we can manipulate this equation to find a function mapping the co-domain to the domain.  $y = \frac{x}{x-3} \Rightarrow yx - 3y = x \Rightarrow yx - x = 3y \Rightarrow x(y-1) = 3y \Rightarrow x = \frac{3y}{y-1}$ , and since  $y \neq 1$ , f is onto.

$$y = \frac{x}{x-3} \Rightarrow yx - 3y = x \Rightarrow yx - x = 3y \Rightarrow x(y-1) = 3y \Rightarrow x = \frac{3y}{y-1}$$
, and since  $y \neq 1$ , f is onto.

Since the function is both one-to-one and onto, f is bijective.

#### 5 Chapter 5 Problem 40

Determine, with explanation, whether the following is true or false. If A and B are disjoint sets such that A is countable and B is uncountable, then  $A \cup B$  is uncountable.

Since B is uncountable, and  $B \subseteq A \cup B$ ,  $A \cup B$  must also be uncountable, because by theorem 5.81 from the textbook, every set that contains an uncountable set is itself uncountable.