

# MATH 5615H Homework 1

Fletcher Gornick

September 7, 2022

1) Let  $x < y$  be distinct real numbers. Prove that there exists an irrational number  $\alpha$  with  $x < \alpha < y$ . (No cardinality argument allowed!)

2) Sketch the subsets of the  $(x, y)$  plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$  specified by each of the following inequalities. Explain your reasoning clearly.

(i)  $x^2 + y^2 - 5 \leq 4x$

(ii)  $|x^2 + y^2 - 5| \leq 4x$

(iii)  $x^2 + y^2 - 5 \leq |4x|$

(iv)  $|x^2 + y^2 - 5| \leq |4x|$

3) Suppose that  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a function such that, for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have  $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$  and  $f(xy) = f(x) \cdot f(y)$ . Prove that either:

(i) For all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f(x) = 0$ ; or

(ii) For all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f(x) = x$

(At some point in your argument, the fact that every positive real number has a real square root will be essential.)

4) For each finite list  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N$  of strictly positive real numbers, we set

$$A_N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) = \frac{1}{N}(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_N) \quad \text{the “arithmetic mean” and}$$

$$G_N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) = \frac{1}{N}(x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot \dots \cdot x_N)^{\frac{1}{N}} \quad \text{the “geometric mean”}.$$

It is always true that  $G_N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) \leq A_N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)$  and equality holds if and only if  $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_N$ . Two proofs of this fact are developed below.

(i) *Proof.* For each list  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N$ , we let  $d(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)$  be the number of indices  $l$  for which  $x_l \neq A_N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)$ . If  $d(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) > 0$ , then there must be two indices  $i$  and  $j$  such that  $x_i < A_N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) < x_j$ . Why? If we select two such indices  $i$  and  $j$ , and form a new list  $x'_1, x'_2, \dots, x'_N$  by setting  $x'_l = x_l$  for  $l \neq i, j$  and  $x'_i = A_N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)$  and  $x'_j = x_i + x_j - A_N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)$  then...

(a)  $x'_1, x'_2, \dots, x'_N$  is again a list of strictly positive real numbers.

(b) For the two indices  $i$  and  $j$  we chose,  $x'_i + x'_j = x_i + x_j$  and  $x'_i x'_j > x_i x_j$ .

Therefore,

$$A_N(x'_1, x'_2, \dots, x'_N) = A_N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)$$

$$G_N(x'_1, x'_2, \dots, x'_N) > G_N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)$$

$$d_N(x'_1, x'_2, \dots, x'_N) < d_N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N).$$

□

Explain why (a) and (b) are true, then use this to fashion one proof, using “complete induction” on the size of  $d(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)$ .

(ii) *Proof.* A second proof establishes our result first for lists whose length is a power of 2, and then deduces the general case.

(a) Check directly that if  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are positive, then  $\frac{1}{2}(x_1 + x_2) \geq \sqrt{x_1 x_2}$ , and that equality holds if and only if  $x_1 = x_2$ . This is our result for lists of length 2.

(b) Now let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer. For each list  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2^{k+1}}$ , check that

$$A_{2^{k+1}}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2^{k+1}}) = A_2(A_{2^k}(x_1, \dots, x_{2^k}), A_{2^k}(x_{2^k+1}, \dots, x_{2^{k+1}})) \quad \text{and}$$

$$G_{2^{k+1}}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2^{k+1}}) = G_2(G_{2^k}(x_1, \dots, x_{2^k}), G_{2^k}(x_{2^k+1}, \dots, x_{2^{k+1}}))$$

Use this and (a) to prove, by induction on  $l$ , that for all  $l \geq 1$ , and lists  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2^l}$

$$A_{2^l}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2^l}) \geq G_{2^l}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2^l})$$

with equality if and only if  $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_{2^l}$ .

(c) Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N$  be a list of arbitrary positive length  $N$ , and select a positive integer  $l$  such that  $2^l > N$ . By considering the list  $x'_1, x'_2, \dots, x'_{2^l}$  of length  $2^l$  formed by setting  $x'_j = x_j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq N$  and  $x'_j = A_N(x_1, \dots, x_N)$  for  $N + 1 \leq j \leq 2^l$ , deduce the general result.

□