

AN R PACKAGE TO INTERACT WITH THE OPEN TREE OF LIFE

## rotl an R package to interact with the Open Tree of Life Data

François Michonneau<sup>1,2</sup>, Joseph Brown<sup>3</sup>, David Winter<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Whitney Laboratory for Marine Sciences, University of Florida, St. Augustine, FL, USA*

<sup>2</sup> *Florida Museum of Natural History, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA*

<sup>3</sup> *University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA*

<sup>4</sup> *Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ, USA*

**Corresponding author:** François Michonneau, Division of Invertebrate Zoology, Florida Museum

of Natural History, Gainesville, FL 32611-7800, USA; E-mail: francois.michonneau@gmail.com

### *Abstract.—*

While phylogenies have been getting easier to build, it has been difficult to re-use, combine, and synthesize the information they provide because published trees are often only available as image files, and taxonomic information is not standardized across studies. The Open Tree of Life (OTL) project addresses these issues by providing a digital tree that encompasses all organisms, built by combining taxonomic information and published phylogenies. The project also provides tools and services to query and download parts of this synthetic tree, as well as the source data used to build it. Here, we present `rotl`, an R package to search and download data from the Open Tree of Life directly in R. `rotl` uses common data structures allowing researchers to take advantage of the rich set of tools and methods that are available in R to manipulate, analyze, and visualize phylogenies.

Advances in sequencing and computing technologies have lead to a revolution in systematic biology. The ability to routinely generate molecular datasets from any extant organism has allowed researchers to resolve long-running taxonomic disputes and estimate phylogenies for previously understudied groups. In parallel, the ease with which phylogenies can be estimated has spurred the development of new phylogenetic comparative methods. These methods allow researchers to explore fundamental questions about the origin of biodiversity including the evolution of morphological and ecological traits, the spatio-temporal variation in speciation rates, or both (O'Meara 2012; Pennell and Harmon 2013).

Ideally, the ever increasing number of published phylogenies would contribute to a synthesis of phylogenetic knowledge, ultimately leading to a better understanding of the history of life while at the same time providing high-quality phylogenetic information for use in comparative analyses. However, in practice, synthesizing phylogenetic data is a difficult task. Phylogenetic information is largely scattered, often only available as image files within publications, and the lack of standardization to store and represent phylogenetic data makes it difficult for researchers to access, synthesize, and integrate this information into their own research (Stoltzfus et al. 2012; Drew et al. 2013; but see Cranston et al. 2014 for suggestions of best practices).

The Open Tree of Life (OTL) project aims at assembling and synthesizing our current understanding of phylogenetic relationships across all organisms on Earth (Hinchliff et al. 2015), while providing tools and services that facilitate access to this information. OTL combines taxonomic information that serves as the backbone for the phylogenetic relationships, and published phylogenies to elucidate relationships among taxa. This combination of information is used to structure the comprehensive synthetic tree. Studies can be contributed to the synthetic tree through a curator interface (<https://tree.opentreeoflife.org/curator>), allowing the synthetic tree to be continuously updated as relationships are elucidated or reevaluated. The current draft of the OTL synthetic tree contains 2.3 million tips. Beyond obvious applications across the life sciences to explore questions in evolution, biodiversity, and conservation, the resources OTL provides are useful for education and outreach (e.g., illustrating course material, or developing outreach activities

to explore relationships among species).

The R programming language is a popular tool for phylogenetics and comparative analysis.

50 The R packages *ape* (Paradis et al. 2004), *phylbase* (Bolker et al. 2015), and *RNeXML* (Boettiger et al. 2015) each provide functions to import and manipulate trees within R and save the results in standard data formats. Additional packages including *phytools* (Revell 2012), *geiger* (Pennell et al. 2014), and *ggtree* (Yu et al. 2015), allow users to analyze and visualize data in a phylogenetic context (see <https://cran.r-project.org/web/views/Phylogenetics.html> for a  
55 comprehensive list of phylogenetics packages in R). In addition to packages for phylogenetic and comparative analyses, a growing number of R packages allows users to query and access data from the web (e.g. *rFISHBASE* (Boettiger et al. 2012), *rAvis* (Varela et al. 2014), and *paleoDB* (Varela et al. 2015)), such that data associated with taxa in a given phylogeny can be obtained directly in R. These packages, combined with the language's support for literate programming (Knuth 1984; Xie  
60 2015), make R an ideal platform for reproducible research in phylogenetics and comparative biology, as they allow a complete record of the steps taken in gathering, processing, and analyzing a given data set to be produced.

Here we present *rotl*, an R package that allows users to download phylogenetic and taxonomic data from the OTL directly in R. *rotl* takes advantage of OTL's Application

65 Programming Interfaces (APIs) to access sub-trees from the synthetic Open Tree, as well as the published source trees that contribute to the synthesis. By providing direct access to high quality phylogenetic data in R, *rotl* fills a key gap in typical comparative analysis workflows, and extends the degree to which R supports reproducible research in phylogenetics and comparative biology.

## API services provided by OTL

70 The OTL project provides four resources that serve data to users through the APIs:

1. The *taxonomy* used as the backbone of the tree, the Open Tree Taxonomy (OTT);

2. The *studies* and their associated trees some of which are chosen by curators to assemble the synthetic tree;
3. A *taxonomic name resolution service* (TNRS) used to match taxon names to the Open Tree Taxonomy identifiers;
4. The *synthetic tree* itself, the ‘Open Tree’.

Additionally, the project provides access to other data, the Graph of Life, that stores information about the underlying tree alignment graph (Smith et al. 2013) used to synthesize the various sources of information from which the Open Tree is assembled. Data from this API are not intended for normal users, and its use will not be demonstrated in this paper, although `rotl` has functions to retrieve data it provides.

`rotl` gives users access to all endpoints provided by version 2 of the OTL APIs. Phylogenetic trees served by the API can be imported directly into R’s memory and are represented using the `ape` (Paradis et al. 2004) tree structure (objects of class `phylo`), or can be written to files in the Newick, NEXUS (Maddison et al. 1997), or NeXML (Vos et al. 2012) file formats. This allows researchers to use these trees either directly with other R packages, or to be imported in other programs that make use of phylogenetic tree files.

The full Open Tree currently does not have any branch lengths associated with it, therefore parametric comparative methods cannot be used directly on the subtrees returned by OTL (although the OTL treestore contains the raw published source phylogenies, complete with branch lengths and node annotations; see below). However, resources and methods are being developed to add branch lengths to these topological subtrees (e.g., Ksepka et al. 2015) or use topological trees to identify phylogenetically equivalent species to increase overlap between chronograms and species trait data (Pennell et al. 2015). Without branch lengths, these subtrees are nonetheless useful to illustrate relationships among species, or to map a trait on a phylogeny for instance.

## Technical information about ROTL

Phylogenetic information retrieved from OTL is converted into `ape::phylo` objects by `rotl` using the NEXUS Class Library (NCL, Lewis 2003) as implemented in the `rncl` package (<https://cran.r-project.org/package=rncl>). Using NCL provides robust and efficient  
100 parsing of large trees that may contain singleton nodes labeled with taxonomic information (i.e., monotypic taxon).

The package is well-documented, and includes two package vignettes (documents that demonstrate the use of the package and contain executable R code) . There is also an extensive test-suite that covers both the internal functions that `rotl` uses to connect to OTL and public  
105 functions users apply to access and process data.

## Demonstrations

### *Getting relationships from a list of taxa*

To get the relationships among a set of taxa from the Open Tree, the taxa first needs to be matched against the OTL taxonomy (OTT) using the TNRS. This step retrieves the identifiers that  
110 will be used to extract the relationships for the set of requested taxa.

To illustrate how to obtain relationships from a set of taxa, we will rely on OTL to draw a phylogenetic tree for a set of model organisms. First, we use the function `tnrs_match_names` to match the taxon names to their Open Taxonomy identifiers.

```
taxa <- tnrs_match_names(names = c("Escherichia coli",
```

```

"Chlamydomonas reinhardtii",
"Drosophila melanogaster",
"Arabidopsis thaliana",
"Rattus norvegicus",
"Mus musculus",
"Cavia porcellus",
"Xenopus laevis",
"Saccharomyces cerevisiae",
"Danio rerio"))

```

The function `tnrs_match_names` returns a data frame that lists the Open Tree identifiers as well as other information to help users ensure that the taxa matched are the correct ones. Here, there is no ambiguity in the taxa matched; however, as OTT includes taxa from bacteria, plants, and animals that are regulated by different nomenclatural codes (ICNP, ICN, and ICZN, respectively), both OTL and `rotl` provide tools to deal with potential hemihomonyms. The argument `context_name` can be used to limit potential matches to a taxonomic group such as “Animals” (see the function `tnrs_contexts` for a complete list of possible options). When this strategy cannot be used (as in the present example, where the tree encompasses multiple domains), the function `inspect` lists alternative matches for a taxon name, and `update` replaces it in the results. An example of this approach is provided in the vignette “How to use `rotl`?” that accompanies the package.

By default, approximate matching is enabled when attempting to match taxonomic names to their OTT identifiers. Additionally, taxonomic synonyms are included in OTT, allowing researchers to match correct identifiers for taxon names that might include misspellings or synonyms. These features will facilitate the tedious data cleaning process often needed when mapping taxon names. In the example provided, both *Escherichia coli* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* are misspelled, but the OTL TNRS finds the correct match for these taxa.




Figure 1: The phylogenetic tree returned by OTL for the list of model species used as an example.

Now that the taxon names are matched to the Open Tree identifiers, we can pass them to the function `tol_induced_subtree` to retrieve the relationships among these taxa. In turn, the tree can be plotted directly as it is returned as an `ape::phylo` object (Figure 1).

```
tree <- tol_induced_subtree(ott_ids = taxa[["ott_id"]])
plot(tree, cex = .8, label.offset = .1, no.margin = TRUE)
```

### Getting trees from studies

135 `rotl` can also be used to retrieve trees accompanying studies that have been submitted through the curator interface, and identify the trees that contribute to the synthetic tree. These trees constitute a useful resource to reproduce or expand on a previously published analysis, or to explore how the elucidation of relationships within a clade has changed through time.

Criteria that can be used to search for studies or their associated trees are available through  
140 the output of the function `studies_properties`. Typically, users will want to search for studies or

trees based on taxon names (or their OTT identifiers), but other criteria such as the title of the publication can be used. Here we demonstrate how to look for and retrieve a tree for studies focusing on the family Felidae (Figure 2).

```
cat_studies <- studies_find_studies(property = "ot:focalCladeOTTTaxonName",
                                   value = "felidae")

cat_studies

##   study_ids n_trees tree_ids candidate study_year
## 1  pg_1981      1 tree4052  tree4052      2006
##                                     title
## 1 The late Miocene radiation of modern Felidae: a genetic assessment
##                                     study_doi
## 1 http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1122277
```

Currently only one study focused on this family is available from OTL, and a single tree is  
145 associated with it. We can then retrieve the study and tree identifiers, and pass them to the function  
get\_study\_tree to have the tree in memory:

```
cat_tree <- get_study_tree(study_id = cat_studies[["study_ids"]][1],
                          tree_id = cat_studies[["tree_ids"]][1])

cat_tree

##
```






Figure 2: Phylogeny of the Felidae published in Johnson et al. 2006 and retrieved from OTL using `rotl`.

```
## Phylogenetic tree with 38 tips and 37 internal nodes.
##
## Tip labels:
##  Neofelis_nebulosa, Panthera_tigris, Panthera_uncia, Panthera_pardus, ...
##
## Rooted; includes branch lengths.
```

When more than one tree is available for a given study, the function `list_trees` returns a list containing the tree identifiers for each study. Alternatively, the function `get_study` returns all the trees (by default as `ape::phylo` objects) associated with a particular study. Additional details about the study (i.e., its metadata) can be obtained using the function `get_study_meta`.

In recent years, R has become an essential part of the toolbox of many researchers in evolutionary biology. R greatly facilitates the analysis of large datasets, and allows researchers to combine methods in novel ways because many methods for comparative analyses are implemented, and because it is a relatively easy to use programming language. Additionally, as more data are made available online and accessible using APIs, several packages have been developed to interact and download these datasets directly in R, thereby enabling direct and reproducible analyses. Notably, the organization rOpenSci (<https://ropensci.org>) has fostered a community of researchers who develop tools and methods to facilitate the use of open data as well broaden the adoption of open science practices in general. For instance, the rOpenSci-developed package TreeBase (Boettiger and Temple Lang 2012) allows users to access phylogenies stored in treeBASE ([www.treebase.org](http://www.treebase.org)). `rotl` contributes to this initiative, and greatly extends the number of taxa for which phylogenetic data can be retrieved within R, while allowing the data from OTL can be combined with other sources easily.

The package vignettes provide two demonstrations of the integration of a phylogeny and data associated the taxa it represents. Specifically, the “Data mashups” vignette provides an example of how data associated with tip-taxa can be gathered and visualized. Another vignette titled “meta-analysis” demonstrates how a complete analysis, including the gathering of data and a phylogeny, can be performed in a single R session. We further demonstrate the integration of phylogenetic data retrieved using `rotl` with other data here. We show how we can obtain a map of the occurrences for some of the cat species (genus *Lynx*) included in the phylogeny above using records for these species found in GBIF (Figure 3; code available in the Appendix).

## Concluding remarks

The recognition of the importance of phylogenies to account for the statistical non-independence of species in comparative methods, and the recent development of methods to explore trait evolution or changes in diversification rates, have driven the need for accurate phylogenies. However, there is often a discrepancy between taxa targeted by comparative methods,




Figure 3: GBIF records for the species in *Lynx* included in the phylogeny associated with the study by Johnson et al. 2006.

and taxa for which phylogenies are available. We believe that by providing an easy-to-use interface to obtain phylogenies for an arbitrary set of taxa directly in R, `rot1` will be useful in a wide variety of contexts.

The accuracy and usefulness of the data provided by OTL relies on the community to make generated phylogenies (and their metadata) digitally available as tree files (i.e., Newick, NEXUS or NeXML). We strongly encourage researchers to submit their published phylogenies to OTL using the curator interface (<https://tree.opentreeoflife.org/curator>). By facilitating the discovery and re-use of published trees and of the synthetic Open Tree, we hope `rot1` will contribute to the wider adoption of best practices to make phylogenetic information available and re-usable.

## Availability

`rot1` is free, open source, and released under a Simplified BSD license. Stable versions are available from the CRAN repository (<https://cran.r-project.org/package=rot1>), and

development versions are available from GitHub (<https://github.com/ropensci/rotl>). The package is under active development, and authors welcome bug reports of feature requests via the GitHub repository. The source for this manuscript is available on GitHub (<https://github.com/fmichonneau/rotl-ms>).

Python (<https://github.com/OpenTreeOfLife/pyopentree>) and Ruby (<https://github.com/SpeciesFileGroup/bark>) libraries to interact with the OTL APIs are also available.

## Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the organizers of the OpenTree of Life APIs hackathon that was held at the University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, 15-19 September, 2014, where the development of `rotl` was started. We would also like to thank Scott Chamberlain (`rOpenSci`) for providing a thorough code review. FM was supported by iDigBio, and therefore this material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation's Advancing Digitization of Biodiversity Collections Program (Cooperative Agreement EF-1115210).

\*

## References

Boettiger, C., S. Chamberlain, R. Vos, and H. Lapp. 2015. RNeXML: a package for reading and writing richly annotated phylogenetic, character, and trait data in R. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* Pages n/a–n/a.

Boettiger, C., D. T. Lang, and P. C. Wainwright. 2012. `rfishbase`: exploring, manipulating and visualizing FishBase data from R. *Journal of Fish Biology* 81:2030–2039.

Boettiger, C. and D. Temple Lang. 2012. Treebase: an R package for discovery, access and manipulation of online phylogenies. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 3:1060–1066.

Bolker, B., M. Butler, P. Cowan, D. de Vienne, D. Eddelbuettel, M. Holder, T. Jombart, S. Kembel, F. Michonneau, D. Orme, B. O'Meara, E. Paradis, J. Regetz, and D. Zwickl. 2015. phylobase: Base  
215 Package for Phylogenetic Structures and Comparative Data.

Cranston, K., L. J. Harmon, M. A. O'Leary, and C. Lisle. 2014. Best practices for data sharing in  
phylogenetic research. *PLoS Currents* 6:1–8.

Drew, B. T., R. Gazis, P. Cabezas, K. S. Swithers, J. Deng, R. Rodriguez, L. A. Katz, K. A. Crandall,  
D. S. Hibbett, and D. E. Soltis. 2013. Lost branches on the tree of life. *PLoS Biology* 11:e1001636.

220 Hinchliff, C. E., S. A. Smith, J. F. Allman, J. G. Burleigh, R. Chaudhary, L. M. Coghill, K. A. Crandall,  
J. Deng, B. T. Drew, R. Gazis, K. Gude, D. S. Hibbett, L. A. Katz, H. D. Laughinghouse, E. J.  
McTavish, P. E. Midford, C. L. Owen, R. H. Ree, J. A. Rees, D. E. Soltis, T. Williams, and K. A.  
Cranston. 2015. Synthesis of phylogeny and taxonomy into a comprehensive tree of life.  
*Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 112:12764–12769.

225 Johnson, W. E., E. Eizirik, J. Pecon-Slaterry, W. J. Murphy, A. Antunes, E. Teeling, and S. J. O'Brien.  
2006. The late Miocene radiation of modern Felidae: a genetic assessment. *Science* 311:73–77.

Knuth, D. E. 1984. Literate programming. *The Computer Journal* (British Computer Society)  
27:97–111.

Ksepka, D. T., J. F. Parham, J. F. Allman, M. J. Benton, M. T. Carrano, K. A. Cranston, P. C. J.  
230 Donoghue, J. J. Head, E. J. Hermesen, R. B. Irmis, W. G. Joyce, M. Kohli, K. D. Lamm, D. Leehr,  
J. L. Patané, P. D. Polly, M. J. Phillips, N. A. Smith, N. D. Smith, M. Van Tuinen, J. L. Ware, and  
R. C. M. Warnock. 2015. The Fossil Calibration Database—A new resource for divergence dating.  
*Systematic Biology* 64:853–859.

Lewis, P. O. 2003. NCL: A C++ class library for interpreting data files in NEXUS format.  
235 *Bioinformatics* 19:2330–2331.

Maddison, D. R., D. L. Swofford, and W. P. Maddison. 1997. NEXUS: an extensible file format for systematic information. *Systematic Biology* 46:590–621.

O'Meara, B. C. 2012. Evolutionary inferences from phylogenies: a review of methods. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* 43:267–285.

240 Paradis, E., J. Claude, and K. Strimmer. 2004. APE: Analyses of Phylogenetics and Evolution in R language. *Bioinformatics* 20:289–290.

Pennell, M. W., J. M. Eastman, G. J. Slater, J. W. Brown, J. C. Uyeda, R. G. FitzJohn, M. E. Alfaro, and L. J. Harmon. 2014. geiger v2.0: an expanded suite of methods for fitting macroevolutionary models to phylogenetic trees. *Bioinformatics* 30:2216–2218.

245 Pennell, M. W., R. G. FitzJohn, and W. K. Cornell. 2015. A simple approach for maximizing the overlap of phylogenetic and comparative data. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* submitted.

Pennell, M. W. and L. J. Harmon. 2013. An integrative view of phylogenetic comparative methods: connections to population genetics, community ecology, and paleobiology. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 1289:90–105.

250 Revell, L. J. 2012. phytools: an R package for phylogenetic comparative biology (and other things). *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 3:217–223.

Smith, S. A., J. W. Brown, and C. E. Hinchliff. 2013. Analyzing and synthesizing phylogenies using tree alignment graphs. *PLoS Computational Biology* 9:e1003223.

Stoltzfus, A., B. O'Meara, J. Whitacre, R. Mounce, E. L. Gillespie, S. Kumar, D. F. Rosauer, and R. A. Vos. 2012. Sharing and re-use of phylogenetic trees (and associated data) to facilitate synthesis. *BMC Research Notes* 5:574.

Varela, S., J. González-Hernández, E. Casabella, and R. Barrientos. 2014. rAvis: an R-package for downloading information stored in Proyecto AVIS, a citizen science bird project. *PLoS ONE* 9:e91650.

- 260 Varela, S., J. González-Hernández, L. F. Sgarbi, C. Marshall, M. D. Uhen, S. Peters, and  
M. McClennen. 2015. paleobioDB: an R package for downloading, visualizing and processing data  
from the Paleobiology Database. *Ecography* 38:419–425.
- Vos, R. A., J. P. Balhoff, J. A. Caravas, M. T. Holder, H. Lapp, W. P. Maddison, P. E. Midford,  
A. Priyam, J. Sukumaran, X. Xia, and A. Stoltzfus. 2012. NeXML: Rich, extensible, and verifiable  
265 representation of comparative data and metadata. *Systematic Biology* 61:675–689.
- Xie, Y. 2015. *Dynamic Documents with R and knitr*. 2nd ed. Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton,  
Florida iISBN 978-1498716963.
- Yu, G., D. Smith, H. Zhu, Y. Guan, and T. T.-Y. Lam. 2015. ggtree: an R package for visualization  
and annotation of phylogenetic tree with different types of meta-data. *Methods in Ecology and*  
270 *Evolution* submitted.

# Appendix

Source code used to generate Figure 3.

```
## load required packages
library(rotl)
library(rgbif)
library(ggplot2)
library(maps)

## Import the felid tree using study and tree IDs discovered with
## studies_find_studies() in the manuscript
cat_tree <- get_study_tree(study_id = "pg_1981",
                          tree_id = "tree4052")

## Find the species of Lynx in the phylogeny
cat_species <- cat_tree$tip.label
lynx_species <- grep("^Lynx", cat_tree$tip.label, value = TRUE)

## Match the Lynx species to the GBIF identifiers
gbif_keys <- sapply(lynx_species,
                   function(x) name_backbone(name = x)$speciesKey,
                   USE.NAMES = FALSE)

## Search for the GBIF records for these species
lynx_loc <- occ_search(taxonKey = gbif_keys, limit = 500,
                     return = "data", fields = "minimal",
                     hasCoordinate = TRUE)

## Make a data frame of the results
lynx_loc <- do.call("rbind", lynx_loc)
names(lynx_loc)[1] <- "Species"

## Clean up the data with missing locality data
lynx_loc[["decimalLatitude"]] <- as.numeric(lynx_loc[["decimalLatitude"]])
lynx_loc[["decimalLongitude"]] <- as.numeric(lynx_loc[["decimalLongitude"]])
lynx_loc[lynx_loc[["decimalLatitude"]] == 0 &
         lynx_loc[["decimalLongitude"]] == 0,
         c("decimalLatitude", "decimalLongitude")] <- c(NA, NA)
lynx_loc <- lynx_loc[complete.cases(lynx_loc), ]

## Draw the map
world <- map_data("world")

ggplot(lynx_loc) +
  annotation_map(world, fill="gray40", color="gray40") +
  geom_point(aes(y = decimalLatitude, x = decimalLongitude, color = Species),
            size = 1) +
  coord_map(projection = "mercator", orientation = c(90, 0, 0)) +
  xlab("Longitude") + ylab("Latitude") +
  theme(legend.position="top", legend.key = element_rect(fill = "gray40")) +
  ylim(c(0,72))
```