

AN R PACKAGE TO INTERACT WITH THE OPEN TREE OF LIFE

## **rot1 an R package to interact with the Open Tree of Life Data**

5 François Michonneau<sup>1,2</sup>, Joseph Brown<sup>3</sup>, David Winter<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Whitney Laboratory for Marine Sciences, University of Florida, St. Augustine, FL, USA*

<sup>2</sup> *Florida Museum of Natural History, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA*

<sup>3</sup> *University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA*

<sup>4</sup> *Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ, USA*

10 **Corresponding author:** François Michonneau, Division of Invertebrate Zoology,  
Florida Museum of Natural History, Gainesville, FL 32611-7800, USA; E-mail:  
francois.michonneau@gmail.com

*Abstract.—*

While phylogenies have been getting easier to build, it has been difficult to  
15 re-use, combine, and synthesize the information they provide because published trees  
are often only available as image files, and taxonomic information is not standardized  
across studies. The Open Tree of Life (OTL) project addresses these issues by providing  
a digital tree that encompasses all organisms, built by combining taxonomic  
information and published phylogenies. The project also provides tools and services to  
20 query and download parts of this synthetic tree, as well as the source data used to build

it. Here, we present `rotl`, an R package to search and download data from the Open Tree of Life directly in R. `rotl` uses common data structures allowing researchers to take advantage of the rich set of tools and methods that are available in R to manipulate, analyze, and visualize phylogenies.

25 Advances in sequencing and computing technologies have lead to a revolution in systematic biology. The ability to routinely generate molecular datasets from any extant organism has allowed researchers to resolve long-standing taxonomic disputes and estimate phylogenies for previously understudied groups. In parallel, the ease with which phylogenies can be estimated has spurred the development of new phylogenetic  
30 comparative methods. These methods allow researchers to explore fundamental questions about the origin of biodiversity including the evolution of morphological and ecological traits, the spatio-temporal variation in speciation rates, or both (O'Meara 2012; Pennell and Harmon 2013).

Ideally, the ever increasing number of published phylogenies would contribute  
35 to a synthesis of phylogenetic knowledge, ultimately leading to a better understanding of the history of life while at the same time providing high-quality phylogenetic information for use in comparative analyses. However, in practice, synthesizing phylogenetic data is a difficult task. Phylogenetic information is largely scattered, often only available as image files within publications, and the lack of standardization to  
40 store and represent phylogenetic data makes it difficult for researchers to access, synthesize, and integrate this information into their own research (Stoltzfus et al. 2012; ?; but see Cranston et al. 2014 for suggestions of best practices).

The Open Tree of Life (OTL) project aims at assembling and synthesizing our

current understanding of phylogenetic relationships across all organisms on Earth  
45 while providing tools and services that facilitate access to this information (Hinchliff  
et al. 2015). OTL combines taxonomic information that serves as the backbone for the  
phylogenetic relationships, and published phylogenies to elucidate relationships among  
taxa. This combination of information is used to structure the comprehensive synthetic  
tree. Studies can be contributed to the synthetic tree through a curator interface  
50 (<https://tree.opentreeoflife.org/curator>), allowing the synthetic tree to be  
continuously updated as relationships are elucidated or reevaluated. The current draft  
of the OTL synthetic tree contains 2.3 million tips. Beyond obvious applications across  
the life sciences to explore questions in evolution, biodiversity, and conservation, the  
resources OTL provides are useful for education and outreach (e.g., illustrating course  
55 material, or developing outreach activities to explore relationships among species).

The R programming language is a popular tool for phylogenetics and  
comparative analysis. The R packages *ape* (Paradis et al. 2004), *phylobase* (Bolker et al.  
2015), and *RNeXML* (Boettiger et al. 2015) each provide functions to import and  
manipulate trees within R and save the results in standard data formats. Additional  
60 packages including *phytools* (Revell 2012), *geiger* (Pennell et al. 2014), and *ggtree* (Yu  
et al. 2015), allow users to analyze and visualize data in a phylogenetic context (see  
<https://cran.r-project.org/web/views/Phylogenetics.html> for a comprehensive  
list of phylogenetics packages in R). In addition to packages for phylogenetic and  
comparative analyses, a growing number of R packages allows users to query and  
65 access data from the web (e.g. *rFISHBASE* (?), *rAvis* (?), and *paleoDB* (?)), such that data  
associated with taxa in a given phylogeny can be obtained directly in R.

In ecology, the development of the field of community phylogenetics (Webb et al.  
2002) has created a need for researchers to have access to the evolutionary  
relationships of species making up communities. The relative contributions of the role  
70 of the environment (e.g., habitat filtering), and of biotic interactions (e.g., competitive

exclusion), are inferred from the distribution of taxa on a phylogeny composed from species occurring at larger spatial scale. R packages and other software have been developed to generate phylogenies from species lists using taxonomic information or DNA sequences (e.g., Webb et al. 2008; Pearse and Purvis 2013). These phylogenies can then be used for community phylogenetics analyses (e.g., Pearse et al. 2015), (Kembel et al. 2010), but they are often incomplete or not resolved enough. As OTL becomes more comprehensive, and its taxonomic resolution increases, it could become a valuable resource for ecologists seeking to use phylogenetic information in their research.

These packages, combined with the language's support for literate programming (R Markdown), make R an ideal platform for reproducible research in phylogenetics and comparative biology, as they allow a complete record of the steps taken in gathering, processing, and analyzing a given data set to be produced.

Here we present `rotl`, an R package that allows users to download phylogenetic and taxonomic data from the OTL directly in R. `rotl` takes advantage of OTL's Application Programming Interfaces (APIs) to access sub-trees from the synthetic Open Tree, as well as the published source trees that contribute to the synthesis. By providing direct access to high quality phylogenetic data in R, `rotl` fills a key gap in typical comparative analysis workflows, and extends the degree to which R supports reproducible research in phylogenetics and comparative biology.

## API services provided by OTL

The OTL project provides four resources that serve data to users through the APIs:

1. The *taxonomy* used as the backbone of the tree, the Open Tree Taxonomy (OTT);

2. The *studies* and their associated trees, some of which are chosen by curators to assemble the synthetic tree;
3. A *taxonomic name resolution service* (TNRS) used to match taxon names to the Open Tree Taxonomy identifiers;
4. The *synthetic tree* itself, the ‘Open Tree’.

Additionally, the project provides access to other data, the Graph of Life, that stores information about the underlying tree alignment graph (Smith et al. 2013) used to synthesize the various sources of information from which the Open Tree is assembled. Data from this API are not intended for normal users, and its use will not be demonstrated in this paper, although `rotl` has functions to retrieve data it provides.

`rotl` gives users access to all endpoints provided by version 2 of the OTL APIs, and other versions of the API can be selected by the user as they become available. Phylogenetic trees served by the API can be imported directly into R’s memory and are represented using the `ape` (Paradis et al. 2004) tree structure (objects of class `phylo`), or can be written to files in the Newick, NEXUS (Maddison et al. 1997), or NeXML (Vos et al. 2012) file formats. This allows researchers to use these trees either directly with other R packages, or to be imported in other programs that make use of phylogenetic tree files.

The full Open Tree currently does not have any branch lengths associated with it, therefore parametric comparative methods cannot be used directly on the subtrees returned by OTL (although the OTL `treestore` contains the raw published source phylogenies, complete with branch lengths and node annotations; see below). However, resources and methods are being developed to add branch lengths to these topological subtrees (e.g., Ksepka et al. 2015) or use topological trees to identify phylogenetically equivalent species to increase overlap between chronograms and species trait data (Pennell et al. 2015). Without branch lengths, these subtrees are nonetheless useful to

illustrate relationships among species, or to map traits on a phylogeny.

## Technical information about `rotl`

Phylogenetic information retrieved from OTL is converted into `phylo` objects by `rotl` using the NEXUS Class Library (NCL, Lewis 2003) as implemented in the `rncl` package (<https://cran.r-project.org/package=rncl>). Using NCL provides robust and efficient parsing of large trees that may contain singleton nodes labeled with taxonomic information (i.e., a monotypic taxon). Singleton nodes are collapsed after the tree has been parsed, making the resulting `phylo` object compatible with all functions from the `ape` package.

The package is well-documented, and includes three package vignettes (documents that demonstrate the use of the package and contain executable R code). There is also an extensive test-suite that covers both the internal functions that `rotl` uses to connect to OTL and public functions that users apply to access and process data.

## Demonstrations

### *Getting relationships from a list of taxa*

Before a researcher can use the Open Tree to retrieve relationships among a set of taxa, they first need to match the taxon names in their dataset with records in the Open Tree Taxonomy (OTT). The TNRS allows users to search for taxon names, and retrieve identifiers for each matching taxon. We demonstrate the use of the TNRS within `rotl` by searching for taxonomic records associated with several model organisms.

```

taxa <- tnrs_match_names(names = c("Escherichia colli",
                                   "Chlamydomonas reinhardtii",
                                   "Drosophila melanogaster",
                                   "Arabidopsis thaliana",
                                   "Rattus norvegicus",
                                   "Mus musculus",
                                   "Cavia porcellus",
                                   "Xenopus laevis",
                                   "Saccharomyces cerevisiae",
                                   "Danio rerio"))

```

The function `tnrs_match_names` returns a data frame that lists the Open Tree identifiers as well as other information to help users ensure that the taxa matched are the correct ones. Here, there is no ambiguity in the taxa matched; however, as OTT
   
 145 includes taxa from bacteria, plants, and animals that are regulated by different nomenclatural codes (ICNP, ICN, and ICZN, respectively), both OTL and `rot1` provide tools to deal with names that may represent valid taxa in more than one code. The argument `context_name` can be used to limit potential matches to a taxonomic group such as “Animals” (see the function `tnrs_contexts` for a complete list of possible
   
 150 options). When this strategy cannot be used (as in the present example, where the tree encompasses multiple domains), the function `inspect` lists alternative matches for a taxon name, and `update` replaces it in the results. An example of this approach is provided in the vignette “How to use `rot1`?” that accompanies the package.

By default, approximate matching is enabled when attempting to match
   
 155 taxonomic names to their OTT identifiers. Additionally, taxonomic synonyms are included in OTT, allowing researchers to match correct identifiers for taxon names that might include misspellings or synonyms. These features will facilitate the tedious




Figure 1: The phylogenetic tree returned by OTL for the list of model species used as an example.

data cleaning process often needed when mapping taxon names. In the example provided, both *Escherichia coli* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* are misspelled, but the OTL  
 160 TNRS finds the correct match for these taxa.

Now that the taxon names are matched to the Open Tree identifiers, we can pass them to the function `tol_induced_subtree` to retrieve the relationships among these taxa. In turn, the tree can be plotted directly as it is returned as `phylo` object (Figure 1).

```
tree <- tol_induced_subtree(ott_ids = taxa[["ott_id"]])
plot(tree, cex = .8, label.offset = .1, no.margin = TRUE)
```

## Getting trees from studies

165 `rotl` can also be used to retrieve trees accompanying studies that have been submitted through the curator interface, and identify the trees that contribute to the synthetic tree. As of March 2016, the Open Tree of Life project stores 7755 trees from



3399 studies (each having between 0 and 61 trees), and 477 of these trees are used to assemble the synthetic tree. These trees constitute a useful resource to reproduce or expand on a previously published analysis, or to explore how the elucidation of relationships within a clade has changed through time.

Criteria that can be used to search for studies or their associated trees are available through the output of the function `studies_properties`. The meaning of these properties is described at:

<https://github.com/OpenTreeOfLife/phylesystem-api/wiki/NexSON>. Typically, users will want to search for studies or trees based on taxon names (or their OTT identifiers), but other criteria such as the title of the publication can be used. Here we demonstrate how to look for and retrieve trees for studies focusing on the family Felidae (Figure 2).

```
cat_studies <- studies_find_studies(property = "ot:focalCladeOTTTaxonName",
```

```
value = "Felidae", exact = TRUE)
```

*## No encoding supplied: defaulting to UTF-8.*

*## No encoding supplied: defaulting to UTF-8.*

*## No encoding supplied: defaulting to UTF-8.*

*## No encoding supplied: defaulting to UTF-8.*

*## No encoding supplied: defaulting to UTF-8.*

*## No encoding supplied: defaulting to UTF-8.*



*## No encoding supplied: defaulting to UTF-8.*

*## No encoding supplied: defaulting to UTF-8.*

*## No encoding supplied: defaulting to UTF-8.*

*## No encoding supplied: defaulting to UTF-8.*

*## No encoding supplied: defaulting to UTF-8.*

*## No encoding supplied: defaulting to UTF-8.*

*## No encoding supplied: defaulting to UTF-8.*

*## No encoding supplied: defaulting to UTF-8.*



cat\_studies

```
## study_ids n_trees tree_ids candidate study_year
```

```
## 1 ot_611 1 tree1 tree1 2006
```

```
## 2 pg_1981 1 tree4052 tree4052 2006
```

```
## 3 ot_612 1 tree1 tree1 2005
```

```
## 4 ot_616 1 tree2 tree2 2013
```

```
## 5 ot_628 1 tree1 2005
```

```
## 6 ot_636 1 tree1 1976
```

```
## 7 ot_626 1 tree1 2014
```

```
## title
```

```
## 1 ' Phylogeny of the Oxycoryninae sensu lato (Coleoptera:Belidae) and evolution of
```

```
## 2 The late Miocene radiation of modern Felidae: a genomic perspective
```

```
## 3 'Larval morphology and biology of oxycorynine weevils and the higher phylogeny
```

```
## 4 ' Finding Unexpected Beetles in Odd Places: Archicorynus kuscheli
```

```
## 5 'New Oxycoryninae from Central and South America: phylogenetic and biogeographical impl
```

```
## 6
```

```
## 7 <NA>
```

```
## study_doi
```

```
## 1 http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/is05059
```

```
## 2 http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1122277
```

```
## 3 http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1463-6409.2005.00169.x
```

```
## 4 http://dx.doi.org/10.1649/0010-065x-67.2.61
```

```
## 5 http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3113.2005.00304.x
```

```
## 6 http://www.latindex.org/buscador/ficRev.html?folio=21882
```

```
## 7 https://kuscholarworks.ku.edu/handle/1808/14552
```

Currently only one study focused on this family is available from OTL, and a  
180 single tree is associated with it. We can then retrieve the study and tree identifiers, and  
pass them to the function `get_study_tree` to have the tree in memory:

```
cat_tree <- get_study_tree(study_id = cat_studies[["study_ids"]][1],  
                           tree_id = cat_studies[["tree_ids"]][1])  
cat_tree
```

```
##  
## Phylogenetic tree with 21 tips and 20 internal nodes.  
##  
## Tip labels:  
## Palophagoides, Rhynchitomacerinus, Agathinus, Cyrotypus, ...  
##  
## Rooted; no branch lengths.
```

When more than one tree is available for a given study, the function `list_trees`  
returns a list containing the tree identifiers for each study. Alternatively, the function  
`get_study` returns all the trees (by default as `phylo`) associated with a particular study.  
185 Metadata about the study (e.g., citation information, information about the curator for  
the study, and other technical information regarding the import of this study) can be  
obtained using the function `get_study_meta`.

### *How does `rotl` fit into the R package ecosystem?*

In recent years, R has become an essential part of the toolbox of many  
190 researchers in evolutionary biology. R greatly facilitates the analysis of large datasets,  
and allows researchers to combine methods in novel ways because many methods for




Figure 2: Phylogeny of the Felidae published in Johnson et al. 2006 and retrieved from OTL using `rotl`.

comparative analyses are implemented, and because it is a relatively easy to use programming language. Additionally, as more data are made available online and accessible using APIs, several packages have been developed to interact and download these datasets directly in R, thereby enabling direct and reproducible analyses. Notably, the organization rOpenSci (<https://ropensci.org>) has fostered a community of researchers who develop tools and methods to facilitate the use of open data as well broaden the adoption of open science practices in general. For instance, the rOpenSci-developed package TreeBase (?) allows users to access phylogenies stored in treeBASE ([www.treebase.org](http://www.treebase.org)). `rotl` contributes to this initiative, and greatly extends the number of taxa for which phylogenetic data can be retrieved within R, while allowing the data from OTL to be combined with other sources easily.

Here we show how we can obtain a map of the occurrences for a subset of the cat species that were included in the phylogeny retrieved from the Felidae study above

205 (genus *Lynx*). We extract the species names from the phylogeny, and use them to ask for the records for these species found in GBIF (Figure 3). We include the code to reproduce this figure in Appendix.

As trait databases are becoming increasingly more common, and interfaces to the data they contains are being developed as R packages (e.g., the package `traits` ?),  
210 `rot1` provides a way to easily retrieve phylogenetic information for species trait data that are available.

In addition of an introduction on how to use the package, `rot1` includes two vignettes that demonstrate how to integrate a phylogeny and data associated with the taxa it represents. Specifically, the “Data mashups” vignette provides an example of  
215 how to retrieve a phylogeny for species a researcher may have data for, and visualize both the phylogeny and data associated with the species at the tips. The other vignette titled “Meta-analysis” demonstrates how a complete comparative method analysis, including the gathering of data and a phylogeny, can be performed in a single R session. We reproduce a published meta-analysis testing for differential investment in male and  
220 female offsprings among 51 species of birds. As new versions of the OTL API and `rot1` are released, these vignettes will be kept up-to-date.

## Concluding remarks

The recognition of the importance of phylogenies to account for the statistical non-independence of species in comparative methods, and the recent development of  
225 methods to explore trait evolution or changes in diversification rates, have driven the need for accurate phylogenies. However, there is often a discrepancy between taxa targeted by comparative methods, and taxa for which phylogenies are available. We believe that by providing an easy-to-use interface to obtain phylogenies for an arbitrary set of taxa directly in R, `rot1` will be useful in a wide variety of contexts.




Figure 3: GBIF records for the species in *Lynx* included in the phylogeny associated with the study by Johnson et al. 2006.

The accuracy and usefulness of the data provided by OTL relies on the community to make generated phylogenies (and their metadata) digitally available as tree files (i.e., Newick, NEXUS or NeXML). We strongly encourage researchers to submit their published phylogenies to OTL using the curator interface (<https://tree.opentreeoflife.org/curator>). By facilitating the discovery and re-use of published trees and of the synthetic Open Tree, we hope `rot1` will contribute to the wider adoption of best practices to make phylogenetic information available and re-usable.

## Availability

`rot1` is free, open source, and released under a Simplified BSD license. Stable versions are available from the CRAN repository (<https://cran.r-project.org/package=rot1>), and development versions are available

from GitHub (<https://github.com/ropensci/rotl>). This manuscript was built using version 0.4.1.999-rotl-ms.1

(<https://github.com/ropensci/rotl/tree/v0.4.1.999-rotl-ms.1>). The package is

245 under active development, and authors welcome bug reports or feature requests via the GitHub repository. The source for this manuscript is available on GitHub (<https://github.com/fmichonneau/rotl-ms>).

Python (<https://github.com/OpenTreeOfLife/pyopentree>) and Ruby

(<https://github.com/SpeciesFileGroup/bark>) libraries to interact with the OTL APIs

250 are also available.

## Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the organizers of the OpenTree of Life APIs hackathon that was held at the University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, 15-19 September, 2014, where the development of `rotl` was started. We would also like to thank Scott Chamberlain

255 (rOpenSci) for providing a thorough code review and Shinichi Nakagawa and Alistair Senior for their help in developing the package's meta-analysis vignette. DJW was supported by NIH Grant R01-GM101352. FM was supported by iDigBio, and

therefore this material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation's Advancing Digitization of Biodiversity Collections Program (Cooperative

260 Agreement EF-1115210).

✱

## References

Boettiger, C., S. Chamberlain, R. Vos, and H. Lapp. 2015. RNeXML: a package for reading and writing richly annotated phylogenetic, character, and trait data in R.

265 Methods in Ecology and Evolution Pages n/a–n/a.

Bolker, B., M. Butler, P. Cowan, D. de Vienne, D. Eddelbuettel, M. Holder, T. Jombart, S. Kembel, F. Michonneau, D. Orme, B. O'Meara, E. Paradis, J. Regetz, and D. Zwickl. 2015. phylobase: Base Package for Phylogenetic Structures and Comparative Data.

Cranston, K., L. J. Harmon, M. A. O'Leary, and C. Lisle. 2014. Best practices for data sharing in phylogenetic research. *PLoS currents* 6:1–8.

Hinchliff, C. E., S. A. Smith, J. F. Allman, J. G. Burleigh, R. Chaudhary, L. M. Coghill, K. A. Crandall, J. Deng, B. T. Drew, R. Gazis, K. Gude, D. S. Hibbett, L. A. Katz, H. D. Laughinghouse, E. J. McTavish, P. E. Midford, C. L. Owen, R. H. Ree, J. A. Rees, D. E. Soltis, T. Williams, and K. A. Cranston. 2015. Synthesis of phylogeny and taxonomy into a comprehensive tree of life. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 112:12764–12769.

Johnson, W. E., E. Eizirik, J. Pecon-Slaterry, W. J. Murphy, A. Antunes, E. Teeling, and S. J. O'Brien. 2006. The Late Miocene Radiation of Modern Felidae: A Genetic Assessment. *Science* 311:73–77.

Kembel, S. W., P. D. Cowan, M. R. Helmus, W. K. Cornwell, H. Morlon, D. D. Ackerly, S. P. Blomberg, and C. O. Webb. 2010. Picante: R tools for integrating phylogenies and ecology. *Bioinformatics* 26:1463–1464.

Ksepka, D. T., J. F. Parham, J. F. Allman, M. J. Benton, M. T. Carrano, K. A. Cranston, P. C. J. Donoghue, J. J. Head, E. J. Hermesen, R. B. Irmis, W. G. Joyce, M. Kohli, K. D. Lamm, D. Leehr, J. L. Patané, P. D. Polly, M. J. Phillips, N. A. Smith, N. D. Smith, M. Van Tuinen, J. L. Ware, and R. C. M. Warnock. 2015. The Fossil Calibration Database—A New Resource for Divergence Dating. *Systematic Biology* 64:853–859.

Lewis, P. O. 2003. NCL: A C++ class library for interpreting data files in NEXUS format. *Bioinformatics* 19:2330–2331.

- 290 Maddison, D. R., D. L. Swofford, and W. P. Maddison. 1997. NEXUS: an extensible file  
format for systematic information. *Systematic biology* 46:590–621.
- O'Meara, B. C. 2012. Evolutionary Inferences from Phylogenies: A Review of Methods.  
*Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* 43:267–285.
- Paradis, E., J. Claude, and K. Strimmer. 2004. APE: Analyses of Phylogenetics and  
295 Evolution in R language. *Bioinformatics* 20:289–290.
- Pearse, W. D., M. W. Cadotte, J. Cavender-Bares, A. R. Ives, C. M. Tucker, S. C. Walker,  
and M. R. Helmus. 2015. Pez: Phylogenetics for the environmental sciences.  
*Bioinformatics* 31:2888–2890.
- Pearse, W. D. and A. Purvis. 2013. phyloGenerator: An automated phylogeny  
300 generation tool for ecologists. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 4:692–698.
- Pennell, M. W., J. M. Eastman, G. J. Slater, J. W. Brown, J. C. Uyeda, R. G. FitzJohn,  
M. E. Alfaro, and L. J. Harmon. 2014. Geiger V2.0: an Expanded Suite of Methods for  
Fitting Macroevolutionary Models To Phylogenetic Trees. *Bioinformatics*  
30:2216–2218.
- 305 Pennell, M. W., R. G. FitzJohn, and W. K. Cornell. 2015. A simple approach for  
maximizing the overlap of phylogenetic and comparative data. *Methods in Ecology  
and Evolution* .
- Pennell, M. W. and L. J. Harmon. 2013. An integrative view of phylogenetic  
comparative methods: connections to population genetics, community ecology, and  
310 paleobiology. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 1289:90–105.
- Revell, L. J. 2012. phytools: an R package for phylogenetic comparative biology (and  
other things). *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 3:217–223.



Smith, S. a., J. W. Brown, and C. E. Hinchliff. 2013. Analyzing and Synthesizing  
Phylogenies Using Tree Alignment Graphs. *PLoS Computational Biology* 9.

315 Stoltzfus, A., B. O'Meara, J. Whitacre, R. Mounce, E. L. Gillespie, S. Kumar, D. F.  
Rosauer, and R. a. Vos. 2012. Sharing and re-use of phylogenetic trees (and associated  
data) to facilitate synthesis. *BMC Research Notes* 5:574.

Vos, R. A., J. P. Balhoff, J. A. Caravas, M. T. Holder, H. Lapp, W. P. Maddison, P. E.  
Midford, A. Priyam, J. Sukumaran, X. Xia, and A. Stoltzfus. 2012. NeXML: Rich,  
320 extensible, and verifiable representation of comparative data and metadata.  
*Systematic Biology* 61:675–689.

Webb, C. O., D. D. Ackerly, and S. W. Kembel. 2008. Phylocom: Software for the  
analysis of phylogenetic community structure and trait evolution. *Bioinformatics*  
24:2098–2100.

325 Webb, C. O., D. D. Ackerly, M. A. McPeck, and M. J. Donoghue. 2002. Phylogenies and  
Community Ecology. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 33:475–505.

Yu, G., D. Smith, H. Zhu, Y. Guan, and T. T.-Y. Lam. 2015. ggtree: an R package for  
visualization and annotation of phylogenetic tree with different types of meta-data.  
Methods in Ecology and Evolution submitted.

# Appendix

Source code used to generate Figure 3.

```
## load required packages. Versions used for the manuscript listed below.
library(rotl)      # v0.5.0
library(rgbif)     # v0.9.2
library(ggplot2)   # v2.1.0
library(maps)      # v3.1.0

## Import the felid tree using study and tree IDs discovered with
## studies_find_studies() in the manuscript
cat_tree <- get_study_tree(study_id = "pg_1981",
                          tree_id = "tree4052")

## Find the species of Lynx in the phylogeny
cat_species <- cat_tree$tip.label
lynx_species <- grep("^Lynx", cat_tree$tip.label, value = TRUE)

## Match the Lynx species to the GBIF identifiers
gbif_keys <- sapply(lynx_species,
                   function(x) name_backbone(name = x)$speciesKey,
                   USE.NAMES = FALSE)

## Search for the GBIF records for these species
lynx_loc <- occ_search(taxonKey = gbif_keys, limit = 500,
                      return = "data", fields = "minimal",
                      hasCoordinate = TRUE)

## Make a data frame of the results
lynx_loc <- do.call("rbind", lynx_loc)
names(lynx_loc)[1] <- "Species"

## Clean up the data with missing locality data
lynx_loc[["decimalLatitude"]] <- as.numeric(lynx_loc[["decimalLatitude"]])
lynx_loc[["decimalLongitude"]] <- as.numeric(lynx_loc[["decimalLongitude"]])
lynx_loc[lynx_loc[["decimalLatitude"]] == 0 &
          lynx_loc[["decimalLongitude"]] == 0,
          c("decimalLatitude", "decimalLongitude")] <- c(NA, NA)
lynx_loc <- lynx_loc[complete.cases(lynx_loc), ]

## Draw the map
world <- map_data("world")

ggplot(lynx_loc) +
  annotation_map(world, fill="gray40", color="gray40") +
  geom_point(aes(y = decimalLatitude, x = decimalLongitude, color = Species),
            size = 1) +
  coord_map(projection = "mercator", orientation = c(90, 0, 0)) +
  xlab("Longitude") + ylab("Latitude") +
  theme(legend.position="top", legend.key = element_rect(fill = "gray40")) +
  ylim(c(0,72))
```