

5C COMPLIANT LOW-LEVEL COPTER CONTROLLER

FEDERICO MARIA ROSSI

December 2016

Federico Maria Rossi: *5C compliant low-level copter controller* © December 2016

SUPERVISORS:

Dr. Lorenzo Marconi

Dr. Herman Bruyninckx

ABSTRACT

Modern engineering address the problem of making implementations of control algorithms flexible, scalable and reusable. This thesis provides a study case where a novel low-level copter controller is implemented in the UBX software framework following the Model Driven Engineering methodology and the 5C separation of software behavior.

*We have seen that computer programming is an art,
because it applies accumulated knowledge to the world,
because it requires skill and ingenuity, and especially
because it produces objects of beauty.*

— Donald E. Knuth



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost I want to thank my family for all their love and encouragement. For my parents who raised me with a love of science and supported me in all my pursuits.

I am grateful to my supervisor Prof. Lorenzo Marconi that helped me in my thesis and to my co-supervisor Dr. Herman Bruyninckx that really opened my mind.

Thanks to the whole ROB group, especially Nico Huebel, Enea Scioni and Lin Zhang for their support and their company during my work.

Part I

BACKGROUND

Preamble

INTRODUCTION

Every day new technologies are available on the market making the realization of advanced robotics applications easier and accessible to large public. This is leading to a spread of a number of different approaches to typical problems causing many redundant implementations of relatively common problems.

This thesis investigates a flexible and scalable approach to the development of software for robotics, trying to maximize the potentiality of the underlying components while abstracting the complexity of the low-level implementation. The idea of a component-based software framework is not new: among others we recall Orocos, Open-RTM, ROS, OPRoS and SmartSoft.

MODEL DRIVEN ENGINEERING

Model driven engineering (MDE from now on) is a promising approach to problem solving, especially in complex applications. MDE alleviate the complexity of the problem by using a domain specific language to express domain specific concepts. This aims to maximize compatibility between systems, especially those implemented by different individuals or different teams: such goal is archived by making terminology and design patterns uniform. While MDE is quite popular in software engineering (especially in large software projects), it is still considered not necessary in fields like robotics where the

3.1 METAMODELLING

A model is an abstraction of a real system and it exactly describes its behavior; multiple systems can conform to the same model, meaning that they behave the same (e.g. multiple implementations of a control system). The same way, a meta-model is a model of models, meaning that it is yet another abstraction; it is used to describe at a more conceptual level rules and constraints of a given class of models. To make an example, in the study case presented in this thesis the extended Kalman filter conforms to the mathematical model of an extended Kalman filter which in turns conforms to the meta-model of a *state estimator* like models of other types of filters, e.g. a particle filter.

3.2 DOMAIN SPECIFIC LANGUAGES

Domain specific languages (DSL) are languages specifically designed to easily express schemes or patterns commons within a certain field, without the need of details of some particular implementation. DSL are commonly used to describe models and meta-models.

AN ALTERNATIVE APPROACH TO SENSE-PLAN-ACT

In the following two different control strategy are compared: the *sense-plan-act* and the *task-skill-motion*. Pro and cons of the two approaches are compared to justify the choices made in the work presented in this thesis.

4.1 SENSE-PLAN-ACT

A common approach to control is to solve the overall complex problem by combining the low-level functionalities of the underlying platform into a monolithic control loop, resulting in a controller is strictly tied to that specific problem and therefore poorly re-usable. From a long-term prospective, it is wider to spend more time during the development to come out with a scalable solution for the future.

4.2 TASK-SKILL-MOTION

Although sense-plan-act is the most widely used control strategy, other alternatives have been proposed. As in MDE we use different DSL's to represent the behavior of the system to be controlled at different levels of abstraction, we can in the same way define multiple control loops, one for every level of behavior abstraction. In many complex application is usually present a mission composed by more task. Each task can be realized employing the skills of the platform and each skill if archived by low-level motion control.

We can see that the mission is a far more abstract control problem than the low-level actuation: a mission can be coordinated by a software or human supervisor, usually with more relaxed time constraints, and may distribute the necessary tasks to more agents. Each agent may than decide how to complete the task autonomously, on the basis of its own skills (which obviously depend on the actual hardware platform on which the

Although this may seems the fastest and simpler approach, on a long-term period causes many redundant and bug-prone re-implementation of the same patterns.

Sometimes it is more convenient to re-implement from scratch then re-use old (maybe well-tested!) solutions...




Figure 1: The task-skill-motion control strategy.

agent is running). In turn, the skills are composed by one ore more low-level motions.

THE 5C'S OF ROBOTICS

5.1 50 YEARS OF

Most engineering problems are still solved as particular and stand-alone problems, so if a solution is not already available for that problem, a new one is developed with no concerns of making that solution re-usable for similar problems. Industrial research often force the researchers to opt for a quick, simple and cost-effective solution, ignoring the fact that tens or hundreds (maybe thousands!) of other engineers already addressed that problem, re-implementing the solution almost each time. Certainly it would be useful to reuse the same solution if possible, eventually improving it if it does not exactly fit the problem.

5.2 SEPARATION OF CONCERNS

The separation of concerns is an approach to the code re usability problem promoted by BRICS. Researchers had identified 4 possible concerns, or behavior, that should be kept distinct with the goal of decoupling them and allowing an easy and standardized practice to reuse them across different applications, but also to make it easy to re-implement or substitute them within the *same* application. These behaviors are computation, communication, coordination and configuration[6].

An additional concern was added to give this practice a good trade-off between scalability and complexity: more components can be composed to obtain a new component also having only one of the listed possible behaviors.

5.2.1 *Computation*

Computation is the core of a system functionality: it usually require read and/or write access to data, configuration and synchronization to produce the expected result.

Composition	Computation
	Communication
	Coordination
	Configuration

5.2.2 *Communication*

Communication is responsible for data transmission between different computational components

with the proper quality of service. A communication component usually store and retrieve data for computational components. In case a communication block do actually communicate with *other* agents, it is named a *bridge*.

5.2.3 *Coordination*

Coordination is responsible for the actual discrete behavior of the system and can be represented by a finite state machine, in other words it ensures the correct computational behavior at the correct time.

5.2.4 *Configuration*

Configuration is also responsible for the behavior of single computation or communication components; should be kept distinct and, indeed, configurable. Bad practice in software engineering is to hard-code configuration values; yet there are obvious exceptions like mathematical constants, e.g. π .

5.2.5 *Composition*

While separation of the previous 4 concerns gives a way to de-couple a system components, it is still necessary to introduce a trade-off between modularity and simplicity of the implementation. For this reason the last introduced behavior is *composition* which gives the capability of combining components (with different concerns) into a macro component which in turn has one of the described behavior.

Part II

THE STUDY CASE

THE CONTROL ALGORITHM

Unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) are a class of aircraft under the control of either a remote human pilot or a on board computer. UAVs were originally developed for military purposes, although recent advances in technologies, like Li-Ion batteries and brush-less motors, have brought this type of aircraft to others scopes of usage, like commercial, scientific and also recreational. Although most UAVs are fixed-wing aircraft, rotorcraft are becoming popular too due to the low price and ease of maintenance and run. The demand for this type of drone is increasing and the global market for commercial use is expected to grow in the next few years, thats why the study case is a low-level controller for helicopters, ducted-fan tail-sitters and multi-propeller helicopters.

Quad-copter, more commonly referred to as drones, are commercially available to a large amateur public.

6.1 A NOVEL APPROACH TO THE COPTER CONTROL PROBLE

A common control strategy is a cascade feedback: an outer loop for the position and an inner loop for the attitude. The inner control loop is usually composed by 3 control loop for the roll, pitch and yaw attitude angles. While this is a easy and intuitive approach to the problem, a more advanced one can be developed: the rest of this chapter describes a novel approach as presented in [7].

6.2 QUATERNIONS FOR ROTATIONS REPRESENTATION

Although the attitude has 3 degrees of freedom, any minimal parametriza-
tion suffers of singularity problems (or gimbal-lock).

A very common alternative representation is rotational matrices: those do not suffer of singularities problems but are quite redundant since 9 scalar values are used to express just 3 degrees of freedom.

A good trade-off between compactness and ease of representation is archived with unit quaternions, a notation that allows to represent rotations in a compact form and with no gimbal-lock problems. Another advantage to consider is numerical stability: numerical drifts make both rotation matrices and unit quaternions loose their own properties: respectively being orthonormal and having module one; it is however way easier to scale a vector than force the orthonormal

Rotations of 3D objects in a 3D world can be expressed by 3 scalar quantities, usually Euler angles or roll-pitch-yaw angles (RPY).

A rotation matrix is a 3x3 orto-normal matrix, so although it is composed by 9 scalar values, the matrix itself has just 3 degrees of freedom

structure of a matrix. More details can be found in ??.

Here it is highlighted that the general quaternion q is given by:

$$q := \begin{bmatrix} \eta \\ \epsilon \end{bmatrix} \quad (1)$$

where η is the *real* component and ϵ is the *pure imaginary* vector component of q and the Hamilton product between 2 quaternion is indicated by the symbol \oplus .

6.3 THE COPTER MODEL

The dynamic model of the copter is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} M\ddot{p} &= -u_f R e_3 + M g e_3 \\ \dot{R} &= R S(w) \\ J\dot{w} &= S(Jw)w + u_\tau \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Where we call p the position vector of the center of gravity of the copter in the inertial reference frame \mathcal{F}_i and w the angular velocity of the vehicle in the body reference frame \mathcal{F}_b . R is the rotation matrix representing the orientation of \mathcal{F}_b wrt \mathcal{F}_i , J is the inertia matrix of the system and u_f and u_τ are respectively the force and the torque vector generated by the propellers. The $S(m)$ function generates a 3×3 matrix from vector v such that the matrix product between $S(v)$ and another 3×1 vector n correspond to the cross (vector) product between m and n . S can be constructed as:

$$S(m) := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -m_3 & m_2 \\ m_3 & 0 & -m_1 \\ -m_2 & m_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (3)$$

The copter model can be rewritten using quaternions to represent rotations by mean of the Rodriguez formula[8]:

$$\mathcal{R}(q) = I + 2\mu S(\epsilon) + 2S(\epsilon)^2$$

as

$$\begin{aligned} M\ddot{p} &= -u_f \mathcal{R}(q) e_3 + M g e_3 \\ \dot{q} &= \frac{1}{2} q \oplus \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ w \end{bmatrix} \\ J\dot{w} &= S(Jw)w + u_\tau \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Note that the while the u_f is a scalar force which direction always aligned with the z axis of \mathcal{F}_b , u_τ is a 3-dimensional vector.

6.4 POSITION CONTROL LOOP

We can write the position error dynamic as:

$$M\ddot{\mathbf{p}} = -\mathbf{u}_f \mathcal{R}e_3 + Mge_3 - M\ddot{\mathbf{p}}_R \quad (5)$$

And define the control force as:

$$\begin{aligned} v_R^c(\ddot{\mathbf{p}}_R) &:= Mge_3 - M\ddot{\mathbf{p}}_R \\ v^c(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \dot{\bar{\mathbf{p}}}, \ddot{\mathbf{p}}_R) &:= v_R^c(\ddot{\mathbf{p}}_R) + \kappa(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \dot{\bar{\mathbf{p}}}) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where $\kappa(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \dot{\bar{\mathbf{p}}})$ is a feedback action that can be computed as follow:

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_1 &:= \bar{\mathbf{p}} \\ \zeta_2 &= \dot{\bar{\mathbf{p}}} + \lambda_1 \sigma\left(\frac{k_1}{\lambda_1} \lambda_2\right) \\ \kappa(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \dot{\bar{\mathbf{p}}}) &:= \lambda_2 \sigma\left(\frac{k_2}{\lambda_2} \zeta_2\right) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where k_1 , k_2 , λ_1 and λ_2 are parameters to be tuned.

In [Equation 6](#) is mandatory to respect the constraint

$$\mathcal{R}_R e_3 = \frac{v_R^c(\ddot{\mathbf{p}}_R)}{\|v_R^c(\ddot{\mathbf{p}}_R)\|} \quad (8)$$

The control scalar control force is than computed as:

$$\mathbf{u}_f := \|v^c(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \dot{\bar{\mathbf{p}}}, \ddot{\mathbf{p}}_R)\| \quad (9)$$

[Equation 6](#) gives the total thrust the propeller should generate, however as already explained it is also necessary to compute the torque necessary to correct the vehicle attitude.

[Section 6.5](#) will describe the attitude control low.

6.5 ATTITUDE CONTROL LOOP

The torque control vector is computed in a control loop nested into the position control loop, therefore, as usual in cascade control loops, it has a faster dynamic. We start by defining the error attitude quaternion and the error angular velocity vector:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathbf{q}} &= \mathbf{q}_c^{-1} \oplus \mathbf{q} \\ \bar{\mathbf{w}} &:= \mathbf{w} - \bar{\mathbf{w}}_c \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

with

$$\bar{\mathbf{w}}_c := \mathcal{R}(\bar{\mathbf{q}})^T \mathbf{w}_c \quad (11)$$

and \mathbf{q}_c computed as explained in [Section 6.6](#).

Then the control torque is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_\tau &= \mathbf{u}_\tau^{\text{FF}}(\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \mathbf{w}_c, \dot{\mathbf{w}}_c) + \mathbf{u}_\tau^{\text{FB}}(\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{w}}, \bar{\mathbf{h}}) \\ \mathbf{u}_\tau^{\text{FF}}(\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \mathbf{w}_c, \dot{\mathbf{w}}_c) &= \mathbf{J}\mathcal{R}(\bar{\mathbf{q}})^T \dot{\mathbf{w}}_c - \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{J}\bar{\mathbf{w}}_c)\bar{\mathbf{w}}_c \\ \mathbf{u}_\tau^{\text{FB}}(\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{w}}, \bar{\mathbf{h}}) &= -k_p \bar{\mathbf{h}}\bar{\epsilon} - k_d \bar{\mathbf{w}} \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

In the previous formula k_p and k_d are positive gains and $\bar{\mathbf{h}} = \{-1, 1\}$ is obtained by the hybrid function \mathcal{H}_c

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_c &= \begin{cases} \dot{\bar{\mathbf{h}}} = 0 & \bar{\mathbf{h}}\bar{\eta} \geq -\delta \\ \bar{\mathbf{h}}^+ \in \overline{\text{sgn}}(\bar{\eta}) & \bar{\mathbf{h}}\bar{\eta} \leq -\delta \end{cases} \\ \overline{\text{sgn}}(s) &= \begin{cases} \text{sgn}(s) & |s| > 0 \\ \{-1, 1\} & s = 0 \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

$\eta \in (0, 1)$ is the hysteresis threshold.

The control problem is more extensively explained in [7], however the article does not specify how to compute the reference attitude \mathbf{R}_R ; this problem is addressed in the following section.

6.6 ATTITUDE SET-POINT GENERATION

The attitude reference orientation should be computed satisfying constraint 8. The problem has just 1 degree of freedom which, for standard uses cases (i.e. for non acrobatic maneuver), is the vehicle yaw angle.

This thesis proposes an algorithm to compute the reference rotation matrix \mathbf{R}_R based on geometric projection. Considering the yaw angle given, then the heading vector is defined as:

$$\mathbf{d}(\text{yaw}) = [\cos(\text{yaw}), \sin(\text{yaw}), 0]^T \quad (14)$$

The matrix \mathbf{R}_R is computed column-by-column as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}_R \mathbf{e}_3 &:= \frac{\mathbf{g}\mathbf{e}_3 - \ddot{\mathbf{p}}}{\|\mathbf{g}\mathbf{e}_3 - \ddot{\mathbf{p}}\|} \\ \mathbf{R}_R \mathbf{e}_2 &:= \frac{\mathbf{R}_R \mathbf{e}_3 \times \mathbf{d}(\text{yaw})}{\|\mathbf{R}_R \mathbf{e}_3 \times \mathbf{d}(\text{yaw})\|} \\ \mathbf{R}_R \mathbf{e}_1 &:= \frac{\mathbf{R}_R \mathbf{e}_2 \times \mathbf{R}_R \mathbf{e}_3}{\|\mathbf{R}_R \mathbf{e}_2 \times \mathbf{R}_R \mathbf{e}_3\|} \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

This technique allows to easily compute the reference rotation matrix that can be then easily converted to the quaternion rotation thanks to

the Rodriguez formula. The control attitude required in [Equation 10](#) is then computed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_c e_3 &:= \frac{v_c}{\|v_c\|} \\
 R_c e_1 &:= \frac{R_R e_1 \times R_c e_3}{\|R_R e_1 \times R_c e_3\|} \\
 R_c e_2 &:= \frac{R_c e_3 \times R_c e_1}{\|R_c e_3 \times R_c e_1\|}
 \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

end finally

$$q_c = \mathcal{R}^{-1}(R_c) \tag{17}$$

UBX FRAMEWORK

UBX is a novel framework created to support the development of 5C compliant programs. The coder does not have to write and compile an executable (indeed, the *behaviors* of the implemented algorithm should be kept isolated) but have to write and compile special *function blocks* which in turns implements those behaviors and are then composed *at runtime* by UBX to produce the desired result. Blocks are actually nothing more than functions contained in libraries, the path of those libraries and how the ports of the blocks should be connected is specified in a configuration file.

7.0.1 Environment

A UBX program instance is named *node*. A node is a set of blocks properly connected. There are 3 types of blocks:

- i-blocks: those blocks are capable of storing, transmitting and/or receiving data; they implement the communication and configuration behavior of the node (therefore i-blocks should not manipulate data); they only have an input port (to which data can be written) and an output port (from which data can be read); i-blocks capable of communications out of the scope of the node are named *bridges*;
- c-blocks: are responsible for the computational behavior of the node; when triggered, they can read and/or write from/to i-blocks and perform calculus; c-blocks can have an arbitrary number of input/output ports and must have a trigger port;
- s-blocks: implement the coordination behavior of the node, they can *trigger* (i.e. activate) c-blocks or other s-blocks to produce the overall node expected behavior; s-blocks can have an input trigger port and one or more output trigger port;

Blocks have a life cycle: when instantiated a block is in a pre-init state; by calling an init function an instance reaches a pre-start state from which it can jump to a started state by calling the start function. Once started, c-blocks can be triggered (which cause the c-blocks execute the step function) while i-blocks call the read or write function on respectively read and write operations.

The UBX framework is responsible for calling the state-transition function of the blocks and always pass as argument a memory pointer

Thanks to the private data, c-blocks are actually capable of storing data even if formally they should not; this feature was introduced for storing configuration but can be exploited for other purposes.

to the so called *context* of the instances (which is unique for each instance); among other things, the context contains a *private data* pointer the instance can use to allocate, indeed, private data. Blocks instances are not aware of blocks instances they are connected to, they do only see pointers (contained in the instance's context) passed by the UBX framework.

7.0.2 Ports

c-blocks and i-blocks are connected by linking their data ports. A data port has a data type which is predefined by the coder as a struct, typically the data type contains both meta-data and payload data: the meta-data contains an uri to the model to which the payload conforms to.

7.0.3 c-blocks

c-blocks are pure computation: they should not store any information or data apart from their own configuration: once triggered, they should just read data, perform computation and write out the output data. They implements the *computation behavior*.

The life cycle is composed by an *initialization* phase, a *start* phase, one or more *step* phase, a *stop* phase and a *clean-up* phase. Each phase is implemented in a function, the UBX framework is responsible for calling the functions; UBX passes one single arguments to the function: a pointer to a `ubx_block_t` C struct

```
1 int ciao;
```

During the initialization phase a c-block should perform all the preliminary operations it need and it should reach a state where it is ready to start with no error. Typical operations during the initialization phase is:

- Check for the availability of hardware resources;
- Allocate the memory necessary during normal operations;

During the start phase a c-block should finish its configuration and be ready for being triggered; a typical operation is set-up all the parameters. The step phase is usually triggered by an i-block and make the c-block perform its functionalities

7.0.4 i-blocks

i-blocks implement the *configuration and computation behavior*: they are used to store and communicate data (in the main memory, in files, on

the network, ecc.) but should not perform non-transparent manipulation of data. If an i-block is able to communicate out it's own UBX context, than it is named as *bridge*. The most common type of i-blocks, at least in this application, is a pool of allocated system memory used to exchange data between c-blocks, a read request from a c-block make the i-block write out the stored data while a write operation from a c-block make the i-block store the incoming data

7.0.5 s-blocks

s-blocks take cares of *coordinantion*. Their role is to trigger c-blocks or other i-blocks.

7.0.6 Installation

The UBX team uses a stand-alone package[9] containing the UBX framework and few demo blocks; this package is not meant for installation. git can be used to download the project:

```
git clone https://github.com/UbxTeam/microblx
```

However some works has been done in the context of this thesis, some commits have been accepted in the official UBX project, others was not. One important accepted work is a deploy system for the ubx_launcher tool[2]. With this feature the main node launcher is able to also read a .udc file containing the configuration to start the blocks in the proper order, without having to access the web interface to manually initialize and start the blocks nor having to create custom deploy scripts. The deploy file can be (optionally) passed via an argument after the -d switch.

In the context os this thesis however the official project has been forked and splitted into multiple git repositories to organize the work and bound the scope of each of them. In the details:

- ubx-core: contains the core library and tool from the original UBX project, no blocks there. The link to the repository is: <https://bitbucket.org/fmr42/ubx-core>
- ubx-base: contains base blocks from the original UBX project: <https://bitbucket.org/fmr42/ubx-base>
- ubx-control: contains, among other things, all the blocks necessary to run the copter controller and the configuration and deploy configuration file for the controller simulation nodes; the link to the repository is: <https://bitbucket.org/fmr42/ubx-control>

To download the projects move to the preferred workspace and run:

Chapter 10 contains examples on how to lauch the nodes.

```
git clone https://bitbucket.org/fmr42/ubx-core
git clone https://bitbucket.org/fmr42/ubx-base
git clone https://bitbucket.org/fmr42/ubx-control
```

Prior to compile the projects, be sure to satisfy the required dependencies:

lua_{jit}, liblua_{jit}-5.1-dev ($\geq 2.0.0$ -beta11, for scripting (optional, but recommended)

clang++ (only necessary for compiling C++ blocks)

gcc (v4.6 or newer) or clang

only for development: cproto to generate C prototype header file

The install the projects by running:

```
2 make
  sudo make install
```

into each cloned projects folders. The `install` make goal is not implemented in the original project but is very useful because it copy the shared libraries and the scripts to the proper folders and allow a more natural use of the tool-chain.

[Chapter 10](#) will explain how to launch the nodes while [Chapter 9](#) will explain the implemented blocks in the details.

Part III

REALIZATION

MODELLING

Most of the modeling work has been performed on the data since there is no component meta-model to conform to. However, as a proof-of-concept exercise, it will be reported an conceptual example of how a model for this controller bay looks like.

8.1 DATA MODEL

Data modeling is partially supported in UBX in the sense that only a Mo and M1 model can be expressed. In the details, each data port defined in i-blocks and c-blocks have a predefined data-type; UBX only defines primitives data-types like integers, floating-point and characters. Additional and composed types can be defined however; MicroBLX is not a mature project and therefore data-types are hand-written and stored in the types directory of the ubx-control project, but the idea behind data-types models is that an abstract meta-model should be instead be defined by the user, for example it is possible to do so using the JSON language, and leave to a tool-chain the dirty work of generating the language-specific headers files defining those data-types. Vice-versa it should be possible from the data instance to go back to its model, thats why all the data types defined have a meta data field that should be used to store the URI of the relative model.

8.1.1 *State data model*

The data meta-model of the state is a probability function representing an estimate of the real state of the system. This formulation is too general for being used with a Kalman filter, but may be useful if a more advanced estimator would be introduced, for example a particle filter. In this particular application we simply suppose to have a probability function representable with a Gaussian function, therefore a vector and a covariance matrix have been used to represent the state.

The state vector is a composition of the position vector and its first and second derivative and the orientation quaternion and its first and second derivatives (with a total size of 21 elements). The covariance matrix is accordingly a 21×21 elements matrix.

8.1.2 *Sensor data model*

The sensor data model is similar to the state data model in the sense that is composed by a vector of arbitrary length (specified in an integer variable) and its covariance matrix. Please note that while the covariance matrix is a property of the sensor data only, the sensor model needed by the Kalman filter require the knowledge of both the state and the sensor data types, therefore it is specified as an input of the filter, not a property of the sensor data.

8.1.3 *Set-point and control action model*

The set-point and the control action can be represented using just a vectors: in this study case the set-point vector is a vector with the same structure used to represent the state, but no covariance matrix is necessary; the control action is a composition of the scalar thrust and the vector torque to be generated by the propellers.

8.2 FILTER MODEL

The meta-model of the extended Kalman filter is a Bayesian estimator, multiple filters conforms to this type of filter like, for example, the particle filter. It is important to conform to the meta-model since conceptually it could be possible to have an automated model transformation tool able to select alternative filters to replace the original one and a supervisor able to switch the filter node on-line whenever necessary.

As example, think to have a controller that usually flies outdoor relaying on a GPS signal filtered by a Kalman filter for position estimation, but also embedded with a particle filter for indoor map-based navigation; it could be possible to have a software supervisor able to detect the feasibility of switching the GPS Kalman filter with the map-based particle filter and able to hot-swap those filters, thus allowing the vehicle to adapt the control system to the environment. For this to be possible, the supervisor should be able to perform model-transformation of both the data model and the filter model, thats why whenever this will be possible it will be necessary to have models of the components. A scheme in the following page shows conceptually the structure of the filter model.




Figure 2: The Kalman filter model and meta-model.

IMPLEMENTATION

This chapter gives an introspection of the UBX project and the derived work. There are 3 section: one fore the core libraries, necessary to run nodes, one for the blocks from the official project and one for the blocks designed and implemented in the context of this thesis.

9.1 CORE UBX LIBRARY

This library is composed by the core shared library `libubx.so` and the Lua scripts and macros necessary to link the component functions together. Please note that since all the UBX functionalities are implemented in C or C++ function, the native Lua interpreter is not capable of linking them; it fact, the LuaJIT interpreter has been used. LuaJIT implements the FFI library which allows calling external C functions and using C data structures from pure Lua code[3].

The Makefile has been expanded, beyond the compilation directives already present in the official UBX project, it also contains directives to install the core libraries, the scripts and the macro into the system, plus generates a proper configuration file automatically loaded by the `ubx_launch` command.

9.2 OFFICIAL UBX TEAM BLOCKS

The `ubx-base` projects contains blocks from the official UBX team; some of those blocks are not used or are re-implemented while others are fundamental for the simulation to work. Moreover it contains the native (basic) data types like `int`, `char`, `long`, `long long`, `short`, `double` and `float`. It worths to highlight the presence of two blocks:

- `ptrig`: an s-block that, once properly configured, trigs a list of c-blocks in a timed loop;
- `webif`: this c-block does not need to be triggered nor to be connected: it is able to open a html interface useful to configure, control and monitor the node it is added to.

9.3 STUDY-CASE BLOCKS

This section describes the blocks designed for the purpose of controlling a quadcopter.

On ?? Orocos has been used to deploy the node since it supports Lua components, the Orocos Lua interpreter however if currently the native one, for this reason the project itself has been forked and re-linked to the LuaJIT library to allow execution of a MicroBLX node on top of Orocos.

9.3.1 *Copter controller (copter/ctrl_copter)*

This block implements the control algorithm as explained in [Chapter 6](#) and [\[7\]](#). It reads the state and the set-point of the vehicle and writes a control action in the form of vectored-thrust control action (i.e. the scalar thrust and the vectored torque the propellers should generate).

It is implemented in C++ in order to exploit the capability of the mathematical library Armadillo that greatly simplify operations on vectors and matrices.

9.3.2 *Extended predict-only Kalman filter (copter/kf_predict_copter)*

Models the behavior of the vehicle: reads the estimate of the state and the control action and generate a new estimate of the state (which is usually written back to the same i-block the state was read from).

This block is written in C++ and use Armadillo too.

9.3.3 *Update-only Kalman filter (control/kf_update)*

Fuses the sensor data with the state. Using the notation from Wikipedia[\[10\]](#), the sensor model matrix H is read from a file specified in the UBX node configuration file while the sensor data covariance matrix R is computed on-line from the covariance embedded in the sensor data.

9.3.4 *Fast shm memory access control/shm*

This general-purpose i-block is able to dynamically allocate vectors of arbitrary length of arbitrary data-types in shared memory. Is is used for fast data passing between c-blocks. Data can be accessed by blocks in the same node by properly linking the port connection.

Note that, if necessary, multiple shm blocks running on different nodes on the same machine can share the same memory pool, however no synchronization mechanism has been implementer to avoid race condition and therefore it has been chosen to grant write permissions only to the block that allocated the pool while other blocks do only have read access.

9.3.5 *AHRS+ sensor data acquisition control/sensor_myAHRS*

This i-block acts as bridge between the node and the physical Hard-kernel AHRS+ sensor. It is worth to point out that the official library from the sensor manufacturer can not be used with the extern "C" directive that is instead necessary to run C++ blocks in UBX, so a wrapper has been created.

9.3.6 *GUI copter/copter_graphic_dump*

Even if there is a dump of the state of the controller to the terminal, a graphical output is present too. This is a c-block that when triggered reads the state and the set-point of the vehicle to generate and display a 2-D output. The block use the OpenCV library for the rendering. The block obviously refuse to start out of a graphic environment. The output produced is a view of the vehicle from the top, the size and the center of the environment in wich the copter is moving can be adjusted, the current copter state and set-point is rendered respectively as a red and a green circle with a small tooth to visualize the heading. More detailed information are showed in text format on the top of the screen.

9.3.7 *Set-point generation copter/prim_trj_gen*

The set point of the vehicle is generated by this block from 10 primitives trajectories. The trajectory code is read from a TCP socked, then the primitive trajectory is scaled on the basis of configurable parameters both in time and space and generated as a function of time. The trajectory primitive are, by now, to translate along the 4 cardinal directions, move up and down and rotate by 90 degrees clockwise or anti-clockwise.

The code of the primitive is passed via a TCP connection by a GUI application described in [Section 9.4](#), the connection is handled using the ZMQ[11] library.

9.4 VIRTUAL REMOTE CONTROLLER

This GUI application act as remote controller for the copter, however it does not directly generates the set-point for the vehicle, which by the way may be unfeasible because of timing constraints, but instead instructs the trajectory generation block about which pre-defined trajectory should generate. This virtual remote controller is named "Fake Planner" because it can (should!) be replaced by a software planner (or supervisor) capable of decision taking.

SIMULATION

Since a real copter was not available for testing, 2 proof-of-concept simulation were performed. In the first one the controller has been used with an extended Kalman filter but no sensor has been used: the state of the copter is estimated by mean of the control signal and the model of the copter.

10.1 KALMAN FILTER IN PREDICT-ONLY MODE

The node core functionality is implemented in the extended Kalman filter and in the controller. The set-point of the copter is computed by the node on the basis of 10 primitives that can be called via a tool that acts as remote controller for the copter, the communication between the node and the controller is realized via a TCP connection.

The controller block `ctrl` reads the copter state from the block `state` and the set-point from the block `setpoint`, then computes and store the control action in `ctrl_action`. The Kalman filter block `kf_pred_cptr` in turns reads the state and the control action applied and write the estimate of the state back.

Other blocks are however necessary:

- `ptrig1` is the i-block in charge to call all the c-block of the node (except the graphic dump) in an infinite loop with a period of 1ms;
- `tgj_gen` is a c-block responsible for generating the current set-point: it receives the trajectory primitive from a tcp connection and computes the actual copter set-point as a function of the time;
- `state` is a i-block that store the state of the copter in virtual memory;
- `setpoint` is a i-block that store the set-point of the copter in virtual memory;
- `ctrl_action` is a i-block that store the current applied control action in virtual memory;
- `timing` generates a virtual clock allowing to simulate the system beyond the actual computation capabilities of the computer platform.
- `graphic_dump` opens a gtk 2-D graphic interface showing the position of the copter; a screenshot is reported in [Figure ??](#);

- ptrig_graphic trigs graph_dump with a period of 10 ms;

The configuration file of the node is here reported:

```

local bd = require("blockdiagram")

3  return bd.system {
    imports = {
        "types/stdtypes/stdtypes.so",
        "types/control/control_types.so",
        "blocks/ptrig/ptrig.so",
8      "blocks/control/shm.so",
        "blocks/control/dump_ctrl.so",
        "blocks/copter/ctrl_copter.so",
        "blocks/copter/prim_trj_gen.so",
        "blocks/copter/kf_predict_copter.so",
13     "blocks/control/dump_state.so",
        "blocks/copter/copter_graphic_dump.so",
        "blocks/control/dump_time_stat.so",
        "blocks/webif/webif.so",
    },

18     blocks = {
        { name = "ptrig1" , type = "std_triggers/ptrig" },
        { name = "ptrig_graphic", type = "std_triggers/ptrig" },
        { name = "ctrl_action" , type = "control/shm" },
23     { name = "state" , type = "control/shm" },
        { name = "setpoint" , type = "control/shm" },
        { name = "ctrl" , type = "copter/ctrl_copter" },
        { name = "ctrl_dump" , type = "control/dump_ctrl" },
        { name = "state_dump" , type = "control/dump_state" },
28     { name = "kf_pred_cpctr" , type = "copter/kf_predict_copter" },
        { name = "tgj_gen" , type = "copter/prim_trj_gen" },
        { name = "graph_dump" , type = "copter/copter_graphic_dump" },
        { name = "timing" , type = "control/dump_time_stat" },
        { name = "webif1" , type = "webif/webif" },
33     },

    connections = {
        { src="ctrl.ctrl_action" , tgt="ctrl_action" },
        { src="ctrl.ctrl_action" , tgt="ctrl_dump" },
38     { src="state" , tgt="ctrl.state" },
        { src="setpoint" , tgt="ctrl.setpoint" },
        { src="kf_pred_cpctr.stt_out" , tgt="state" },
        { src="kf_pred_cpctr.stt_out" , tgt="state_dump" },
        { src="state" , tgt="kf_pred_cpctr.stt_in" },
43     { src="ctrl_action" , tgt="kf_pred_cpctr.ctrl_in" },
        { src="tgj_gen.setpoint_out" , tgt="setpoint" },
        { src="state" , tgt="tgj_gen.state_in" },
        { src="state" , tgt="graph_dump.state" },
        { src="setpoint" , tgt="graph_dump.setpoint" },
48     },

    configurations = {
        {
            name="webif1",
53         config = { port="8888" },
        },
        {
            name="kf_pred_cpctr" ,
            config = {
58                 mass          = 1 ,
                    J_xx        = 0.01,
                    J_yy        = 0.01,
                    J_zz        = 0.01,
                    dt           = 0.001 ,
63                 process_noise = 0 ,
            }
        }
    }
}

```

```

    },
    {
        name="ctrl_action" ,
        config = {
            shm_name="/ctrl_action" ,
            type_name="struct ControlAction",
        },
    },
    {
        name="setpoint" ,
        config = {
            shm_name="/setpoint" ,
            type_name="struct SetPoint",
        },
    },
    {
        name="state" ,
        config = {
            shm_name="/state" ,
            type_name="struct State",
        },
    },
    {
        name="tgj_gen" ,
        config = {
            dt = 0.001 ;
            zmq_address = "tcp://127.0.0.1:8890"
        },
    },
    {
        name="ctrl" ,
        config = {
            mass = 1 ,
            inertia_xx = 0.01,
            inertia_yy = 0.01,
            inertia_zz = 0.01,
            k1 = 1 , --0.9 ,
            l1 = 5 , --5 , -- i.e.
                max speed for static set-point
            k2 = 10 , --8 ,
            l2 = 10 , --8 ,
            kp = 100 ,
            kd = 10 ,
            hysteresis_thr = 0.01 ,
            max_thrust = 20 ,
            max_torque_xx = 3 ,
            max_torque_yy = 3 ,
            max_torque_zz = 3 ,
        },
    },
    {
        name="timing" ,
        config = {
            period = 60*1000 ;
            update_rate = 0.001 ;
        },
    },
    {
        name="ptrig1" ,
        config = {
            period = {sec=0, usec=1000 },
            trig_blocks={
                { b="#ctrl" , num_steps=1,
                    measure=0 },
            }
        }
    }

```



```

128         { b="#kf_pred_cptr"      , num_steps=1,
           measure=0 },
           { b="#tgj_gen"          , num_steps=1,
           measure=0 },
           { b="#timing"            , num_steps=1,
           measure=0 },
        },
    },
133    {
        name="graph_dump" ,
        config = {
            zoom = 5 ;
            max_q = 2 ;
        },
138    },
    {
        name="ptrig_graphic" ,
        config = {
143            period = {sec=0, usec=10000 },
            trig_blocks={
                { b="#graph_dump"      , num_steps=1,
                measure=0 },
            },
148        },
    },
}

```

10.1.1 Launching the node

The node can be launched by calling the script `ubx-control/test/04-working_ctrl/launch`. This script executes the gtk app `fake_planner` specifying with an argument to connect to `tcp://127.0.0.1:8890` (obviously the node is configured to listen at the same TCP address and port).. Then the script launch and deploy the node. Messages from the node are dumped to the terminal but the state of the node can be also checked via a web interface at `http://127.0.0.1:8888`. [Figure ??](#) shows the structure of the node.

The name of the remote controller executable is `fake_planner` because there may be an actual mission planner (or supervisor) controlling the drone instead of a human operator.




Figure 3: The node used for the simulation in predict-only mode




Figure 4

10.2 KALMAN FILTER IN UPDATE-ONLY MODE

The second simulation was performed in a configuration where the Kalman filter is used to update the estimate of the state of the vehicle by reading from an attitude and heading reference system (AHRS) [1]. This application is a proof-of-concept demonstration for sensor fusion and the controller has no role here, the control action is constant (i.e. no feedback) and manually tuned to make the copter hover. The Kalman filter then update the copter attitude with the data from the sensors and accordingly predict the estimate of the position too. The AHRS used is a USB device from Hardkernel [4]; it embeds a triple axis gyroscope, a triple axis accelerometer and a triple axis magnetometer, plus it embeds an extended Kalman filter (so data is pre-filtered and pre-fused); data can be read via a I2C or UART or USB serial interface. The i-block used to read is a bridge written in C++ named `sensor_myAHRS` and can be found in the `ubx-control` project.

The node can be launched by executing:

```
cd ubx-control/test/06_test_myAHRS/  
ubx_launch -c 06.usc -d deploy.udc
```


Part IV

CONCLUSIONS

ARCHIVED RESULT

This work demonstrate how components block application can be developed in UBX. Obviously, this framework is

FURTHER WORK

12.1 UBX LIMITATIONS

The UBX framework allows to write blocks with well defined separation of concerns, but does not actually require it: a coder could, for example, make a c-block store data in its private memory space, or make a i-block process the data with a computational behavior.

Moreover another limitation of UBX is that it still does not support blocks composition, while it should be possible to define sub-nodes to be used as regular blocks.

A new library named AB5C is in a very early developing stage and should replace UBX and solve those problematics.

12.2 DEPLOY

Deploy of the UBX node in a real-time environment as stand-alone application is trivial, but it could be necessary to integrate the node with other software components.

Orocos is yet another software framework for the real-time execution and communication of (mainly) robotics applications and can be used to run UBX nodes alongside other processes, moreover it embeds a Lua environment in the ocl package that can be used to parse the UBX configuration files and scripts; however ocl is linked to the native Lua libraries that does not support the FFI library necessary to call C functions from Lua.

To demonstrate the feasibility of such set-up, Orocos ocl source code has been forked and modified in order to link against the LuaJIT libraries. The repository can be found at:

<https://github.com/fmr42/ocl>

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] *Attitude and heading reference system*. Dec. 12, 2016. URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Attitude_and_heading_reference_system.
 - [2] *Author pull request to the UBX team*. Dec. 12, 2016. URL: <https://github.com/UbXTeam/microblx/pull/5>.
 - [3] *FFI Library*. Dec. 12, 2016. URL: http://luajit.org/ext_ffl.html.
 - [4] *Hardkernel myAHRS+ product informations*. Dec. 12, 2016. URL: <http://www.hardkernel.com/>.
 - [5] Donald E. Knuth. "Big Omicron and Big Omega and Big Theta." In: *SIGACT News* 8.2 (1976), pp. 18–24.
 - [6] N. Hochgeschwender G. Kraetzschmar L. Gherardi D. Brugali M. Klotzbücher H. Bruyninckx. "The BRICS Component Model: A Model-Based Development Paradigm For Complex Robotics Software Systems." In: ().
 - [7] R. Naldi M. Furci R. G. Sanfelice L. Marconi. "Global Trajectory Tracking for Underactuated VTOL Aerial Vehicles using a Cascade Control Paradigm." In: ().
 - [8] M.D. Shuster. "A survey of attitude representation." In: *The Journal of the astronautical sciences* 41.4 (Dec. 1993), 439–517.
 - [9] *UBX git repository*. Dec. 12, 2016. URL: <https://github.com/UbXTeam/microblx>.
 - [10] *Wikipedia Kalman filter page*. Dec. 12, 2016. URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kalman_filter.
 - [11] *ZMQ Library*. Dec. 12, 2016. URL: <http://zeromq.org/intro:read-the-manual>.
-

COLOPHON

This document was typeset using the typographical look-and-feel classicthesis developed by André Miede. The style was inspired by Robert Bringhurst's seminal book on typography "*The Elements of Typographic Style*". classicthesis is available for both L^AT_EX and L^YX:

<https://bitbucket.org/amiede/classicthesis/>

Happy users of classicthesis usually send a real postcard to the author, a collection of postcards received so far is featured here:

<http://postcards.miede.de/>

Final Version as of December 13, 2016 (title version 1.0).