



Programme

Master of Software Engineering

Course

MSE800 Professional Software
Engineering (Level 8, 30 Credits)

Assessment 3

Presentation

(Classification - Group)

Weighting within course:

30%

Assessment Tasks to Learning Outcome and GPOs mapping

Tasks	Learning Outcomes	GPOs
Task 1	LO5, LO6	GPO3

Objective:

The purpose of this assessment is to evaluate the development teams' ability to effectively communicate a comprehensive overview of their final product, including the Agile development process followed, challenges faced, and solutions implemented, incorporation of client feedback, and lessons learned, with active participation from each team member in the presentation.

Assessment instructions

- Ask your tutor if you need further explanation or if the instructions are not clear.
- The purpose of this assessment is to assess your knowledge. As part of your academic and professional integrity, you must work alone on this assessment. In the event Yoobee suspects collusion, this will be addressed. For more information on plagiarism, please refer to the Student Handbook.
- Submit your completed assessment online (Blackboard) in the correct space provided.
- Percentage and feedback will be returned within 15 days of the submission date.

Learning outcomes:

- **LO5:** Develop culturally informed teamwork abilities and evaluate their application in software engineering contexts.
- **LO6:** Critically reflect on the software engineering and computing industry's expectations of professional and cultural competence and conduct.

Graduate Profile Outcomes (GPOs) covered

GPO3: Develop and apply professional and ethical standards in software engineering to meet the industry's expectations and the ability to work with integrity in compliance with organisational criteria.

Success Criteria:

You need to meet all the requirements of each of the learning outcomes and receive 50% or more to pass this assessment. You are allowed a maximum of three attempts. To meet all the requirements of each of the learning outcomes, you must achieve PASS results for each task item.

Grading:

The final grade will be determined by the score achieved in this assessment based on the following table. Should a second or third attempt be required the maximum contribution toward the overall mark for the tasks that required a second or third assessment attempt is 50%. A late submission is considered a second attempt, so the contribution will be capped at 50%.

Grade	Mark Band Range
A+	Meet all course requirements, mark range (90-100)
A	Meet all course requirements, mark range (85-89)
A-	Meet all course requirements, mark range (80-84)
B+	Meet all course requirements, mark range (75-79)
B	Meet all course requirements, mark range (70-74)
B-	Meet all course requirements, mark range (65-69)
C+	Meet all course requirements, mark range (60-64)
C	Meet all course requirements, mark range (55-59)
C-	Meet all course requirements, mark range (50-54)
D	Did not meet all course requirements, mark range (40-49)
E	Did not meet all course requirements, mark range (0-39)

Submission requirements:

Upload the following and submit it through **Blackboard** in the correct space provided.

1. A pdf file of the presentation.
2. A video recording of the presentation.

Tasks:

- 1. Develop a comprehensive presentation that covers the following aspects (**LO5, LO6**):
 - Overview of the final product.
 - Agile development process followed.
 - Challenges faced and solutions implemented.
 - Incorporation of client feedback.
 - Lessons learned.
 - Instances demonstrating cultural competence while working as a team.
 - Reflection on the software engineering and computing industry's expectations of professional and cultural competence and conduct.
- The presentation should be recorded in video format.
- Each team member must actively participate in the presentation.
- The presentation should be clear, well-organized, and engaging.

Marking Rubric:

Your assessment will be evaluated based on the following criteria:

Criterion & weighting	A (80-100) %	B (65-79) %	C (50-64) %	D (40-49) %	E (0-39) %
Clarity and completeness of the presentation (LO6) 30%	The presentation was exceptionally clear, comprehensive, and engaging, effectively covering all aspects with exceptional detail and insight.	The presentation was clear, comprehensive, and engaging, covering all aspects with good detail and insight.	The presentation was mostly clear and comprehensive, covering all aspects adequately.	The presentation lacked clarity and completeness, possibly missing some key aspects or lacking detail.	The presentation was unclear and incomplete, failing to cover important aspects and lacking detail.
Demonstration of cultural competence (LO5) 20%	The team demonstrated exceptional cultural competence in their teamwork, showing deep understanding and respect for diverse perspectives.	The team demonstrated good cultural competence in their teamwork, showing understanding and respect for diverse perspectives.	The team demonstrated satisfactory cultural competence in their teamwork, showing basic understanding and respect for diverse perspectives.	The team demonstrated limited cultural competence in their teamwork, showing minimal understanding and respect for diverse perspectives.	The team demonstrated poor cultural competence in their teamwork, showing no understanding or respect for diverse perspectives.
Reflection on industry expectations (LO6) 25%	The team's reflection on industry expectations was exceptionally insightful and demonstrated a deep understanding of professional and cultural competence.	The team's reflection on industry expectations was insightful and demonstrated a good understanding of professional and cultural competence.	The team's reflection on industry expectations was satisfactory and demonstrated a basic understanding of professional and cultural competence.	The team's reflection on industry expectations was limited and lacked depth in understanding professional and cultural competence.	The team's reflection on industry expectations was poor and demonstrated no understanding of professional and cultural competence.
Professionalism in conducting the project (LO6) 25%	The team demonstrated exceptional professionalism in conducting the project, exceeding industry standards and expectations.	The team demonstrated good professionalism in conducting the project, meeting industry standards and expectations.	The team demonstrated satisfactory professionalism in conducting the project, meeting basic industry standards and expectations.	The team demonstrated limited professionalism in conducting the project, not fully meeting industry standards and expectations.	The team demonstrated poor professionalism in conducting the project, failing to meet industry standards and expectations.

Note: The ranges for each grade level encompass the full 11-point grading system as outlined in the accompanying table. Please refer to the table for detailed percentage ranges associated with each letter grade.