





糟糕的心路历程

学为贵·赢来来

- 1. 我到底要赞同还是不赞同啊!!!
- 2. 要不哪边好写就写哪边吧!
- 3. (写了100词以后)完蛋! 写不下去了!
- 4. 要不把两边都写了吧!
- 5. 完蛋! 我到底赞不赞同啊!
- 6. 不管了, 反正都讨论到了!

2018-5-26

版权所有 盗版必究 有奖举报热线: 4006236898



学为告·赢来来

•核心段只能讲解你自己的观点或者陈述事实,绝对不要花大量笔墨去讨论别人的看法。

版权所有 盗版必究 有奖举报热线: 4006236898

2018-5-26



三种可能的个人观点

学为贵·赢来来

- 1. completely agree ---顺着题目写咯(出现的几率最低,因为题目中经常出现极端限定词)
- 2. completely disagree---逐一反驳咯(比较高的可能性,怼起来很爽.但是你的怼人能力有限)
- 3. 一分为二地来看问题(必须是一个明确的个人观点,要求比较高的掌控力)
- 1). 虽然我承认....... 但是我认为......(出场几率最大, 但千万不要前后矛盾)
- 2). 我认为是否应该......取决于.....(别乱用,一定要确保有明确的划分标准)
- 3). 我认为...和...都应该被重视(使用几率很少,除非你实在分不出高低弱强)

版权所有 盗版必究 有奖举报热线: 4006236898

2018-5-26

_ 新浪微博: @杜仕明-学为贵

מו החלומו החלומו החלומו ה

GUIXUE. COM

学为贵·赢来来

完全赞同的写法并不常见,最主要的原因是官方出题的时候经常在题目里设定极端限定词,这就导致完全赞同的写法会显得比较肤浅。但是,大家最好还是把完全赞同的逻辑藏在某个小角落,万一有时候能用到呢。

版权所有 盗版必究

有奖举报热线: 4006236898

2018-5-26

新浪微博:@杜仕明-学为贵

3



学为贵·赢来来

- 1. 改写观点, 然后表达完全赞同;
- 2. 赞同理由-1
- 3. 赞同理由-2
- 4. 赞同理由-3
- 5. In conclusion, 重复自己的观点.

版权所有 盗版必究 有奖举报热线: 4006<u>236898</u>

2018-5-26

新浪微博: @杜仕明-学为贵



学为贵·赢来来

有人认为生活在大城市对人们的健康有很大的负面影响。你是否赞同此观点?

For various reasons, such as higher salaries and more job opportunities, myriads of people migrate to urban areas. Some people argue that health-related problems would be caused by living in big cities. In my view, I completely agree with this opinion because urban dwellers may suffer from air pollution, stressful life, and unhealthy eating habits.

Firstly, city dwellers are exposed to polluted air which is extremely harmful for their health

Countless automobiles and industries would produce a great number of emissions in big cities. Those toxic fumes are very likely to lead to some life-threatening diseases, like lung cancer. Last winter, for example, hospitals in Beijing were crowded with elderly people and young children because of the air pollution.

版权所有 盗版必究 有奖举报热线: 4006236898

2018-5-26





In addition, the highly intense life style in urban areas is unhealthy. This is because living costs in those areas are extremely high. In order to buy an apartment and raise children, people have to spend most of their time on work. The lack of social time and exercise may lead to a variety of problems, ranging from sleeplessness to anxiety, or even depression.

Finally, the unhealthy dietary habit of people living in big cities poses a threat to their health. Junk food restaurants are located everywhere in big cities, and they offer food in a short time with a cheap price. For those who only have one hour lunchtime, McDonald's seems to be a good choice. However, taking too much those food can cause obesity and diabetes.

In conclusion, living in urban areas is harmful to people's health. Those who want to migrate to big cities should take air quality, intense life, and food problems into account.

版权所有 盗版必究 有奖举报热线: 4006236898

2018-5-20

新浪微博:@杜仕明-学为贵





Some people think that unpaid community service should be a compulsory part of high school programmes (such as working for a charity, improving the neighbourhood or teaching sports to young children).

To what extent do you agree or disagree?

版权所有 盗版必究 有奖举报热线: 4006236898

2018-5-26



It has been suggested that high school students should be involved in unpaid community services as a compulsory part of high school programmes. Most of the colleges are already providing opportunities to gain work experience, however these are not compulsory. In my opinion, sending students to work in community services is a good idea as it can provide them with many lots of valuable skills.

赢来来

Life skills are very important and by doing voluntary work, students can learn how to communicate with others and work in a team but also how to manage their time and improve their organisational skills. Nowadays, unfortunately, teenagers do not have many after-school activities. After-school clubs are no longer that popular and students mostly go home and sit in front of the TV, browse internet or play video games.

By giving them compulsory work activities with charitable or community organisations, they will be encouraged to do something more creative. Skills gained through compulsory work will not only be an asset on their CV but also increase their employability. Students will also gain more respect towards work and money as they will realise that it is not that easy to earn them and hopefully will learn to spend them in a more practical way.

Healthy life balance and exercise are strongly promoted by the NHS, and therefore any kind of spare time charity work will prevent from sitting and doing nothing. It could also possibly reduce the crime level in the high school age group. If students have activities to do, they will not be bored and come up with silly ideas which can be dangerous for them or their surroundings.

盗版必究)6236898

In conclusion, I think this is a very good idea, and I hope this programme will be put into action for high schools/colleges shortly.



2018-5-26

学为贵·赢未来

完全不赞同逻辑的使用比例比完全赞同多得多,这是因为有的题目读起来简直丧心病狂。作为作者,只想怼死这个提出观点的人,所以就直接针对题目里的各个点进行反驳就好。

版权所有 盗版必究 有奖举报热线: 4006236898

2018-5-26





- 1. 改写观点, 然后表达完全不赞同;
- 2. 不赞同理由-1
- 3. 不赞同理由-2
- 4. 不赞同理由-3
- 5. In conclusion, 重复自己的观点.

版权所有 盗版必究 有奖举报热线: 4006236898

2018-5-26

GUIXUE.COM 学 为 贵



Some people believe that wild animals have no place in the 21st century, so protecting them is a waste of resources. To what extent do you agree or disagree?

版权所有 盗版必究 有奖举报热线: 4006236898

2018-5-26





Some people argue that it is pointless to spend money on the protection of wild animals because we humans have no need for them. I completely disagree with this point of view.

In my opinion, it is absurd to argue that wild animals have no place in the 21st century. I do not believe that planet Earth exists only for the benefit of humans, and there is nothing special about this particular century that means that we suddenly have the right to allow or encourage the extinction of any species. Furthermore, there is no compelling reason why we should let animals die out. We do not need to exploit or destroy every last square metre of land in order to feed or accommodate the world's population. There is plenty of room for us to exist side by side with wild animals, and this should be our aim.

版权所有 盗版必究 有奖举报热线: 4006236898

2018-5-26

新浪微博:@杜仕明-学为贵






I also disagree with the idea that protecting animals is a waste of resources. It is usually the protection of natural habitats that ensures the survival of wild animals, and most scientists agree that these habitats are also crucial for human survival. For example, rainforests produce oxygen, absorb carbon dioxide and stabilise the Earth's climate. If we destroyed these areas, the costs of managing the resulting changes to our planet would far outweigh the costs of conservation. By protecting wild animals and their habitats, we maintain the natural balance of all life on Earth.

In conclusion, we have no right to decide whether or not wild animals should exist, and I believe that we should do everything we can to protect them

版权所有 盗版必究 有奖举报热线: 4006236898

2018-5-26







- 1). It is sometimes argued that tourists from overseas should be charged more than local residents to visit important sites and monuments. Personally, I completely disagree with this opinion.
- 2). First of all, it is unfair to ask foreign tourists to pay more when visiting tourist attractions. Although local people have help with the maintenance of these sites through taxes, foreign tourists also contribute significantly to the economy of the host country with the money they spend on a wide range of goods and services, including food, souvenirs, accommodation and travel. Therefore, the governments and inhabitants of every country should be happy to subsidize important tourist sites and encourage more people from the rest of the world to visit them.

版权所有 盗版必究 有奖举报热线: 4006236898

2018-5-26





- 3). In addition, if travelers realized that they would have to pay more to visit historical and cultural attractions in a particular nation, they would perhaps decide not to go to that country on holiday. Most tourist sites charge the same price regardless of nationality, and this helps to promote the nation's cultural heritage. To take the UK as an example, the tourism industry and many related jobs rely on visitors coming to the country to see places like Windsor Castle or Saint Paul's Cathedral. If overseas tourists stopped coming due to higher prices, there would be a risk of insufficient funding for the maintenance of these important buildings.
- 4). Finally, charging foreign tourists differently may cause more trouble than benefits. More specifically, it takes extra time to distinguish local residents from foreigners and this can be especially difficult when people do not have any identification with them. As a consequence, there may be chaos at the ticket office.
- 5). In conclusion, I believe that every effort should be made to attract tourists from overseas and I would be counterproductive to make them pay more than local residents.

版权所有 盗版必究 有奖举报热<u>线: 4006236898</u>

2018-5-26

新浪微博:@杜仕明-学为贵





Individuals can do nothing to change society. New developments can be only brought about by governments and large institutions. To what extent do you agree or disagree?

版权所有 盗版必究 有奖举报热线: 4006236898

2018-5-26





Opinions are divided on whether it is possible for individuals to make changes to society. I believe there are many examples of individual scientists, inventors or even political activists who have made significant contributions which have benefited their societies or mankind in general Therefore, I completely disagree with the given statement.

One field in which individuals have made positive changes is that of science, examples of which are medicine and electricity. With regard to the former, a British scientists called Sir Alexander Fleming accidentally discovered penicillin when he noticed that bacteria could not survive near certain fungi. This discovery, the first antibiotic, has saved millions of lives. As far as electricity is concerned, perhaps the greatest scientist of all was Nicola Tesla, without whose inventions in this field we might not have the safe system of distributing electricity by alternating current that we have today. Among his other inventions were radio waves which are used to broadcast TV and radio, and of course wifi

版权所有 盗版必究 有奖举报热线: 4006236898

2018-5-26

新浪微博:@杜仕明-学为贵





Apart from science, a number of political activists have also made significant contributions to their societies, and have helped to win freedom for their people. Perhaps the most famous of these was Mahatma Gandhi, an Indian lawyer who helped to win independence for his nation from the British with his unique style of peaceful protest, which was later an inspiration to other leaders in the 20th century. For example, in the US, a black civil rights leader called Martin Luther King acknowledged the influence of Gandhi. King's passionate style and non-violent protests against segregation helped to bring about political change for black people in that country.

In conclusion, the scientists, inventors, and activists mentioned above show clearly that individuals can contribute greatly to their societies, so it is not true that they cannot change society.

版权所有 盗版必究 有奖举报热线: 4006236898

2018-5-26

___ 新浪微博: @杜仕明-学为贵

11



学为贵·赢来来

思考这个问题

在历史上, 男性领导者带来了很多的暴力和冲突。有人认为如果女性 来承担领导人角色的话, 她们能创造一个更和平的世界。你是否赞同 此观点?

> 版权所有 盗版必究 有奖举报热线: 4006236898

2018-5-26

_ 新浪微博: @杜仕明-学为贵

GUIXUE. COM 学为贵

被严重依赖的一分为二逻辑

学为贵·赢来来

一分为二的逻辑被严重依赖,这是因为两个原因。首先,这种写法能充分保障字数;其次,这种构思能体现你对所给观点的全面认识。

一分为二写法最重要的是第一段不用回答agree or disagree, 而是需要用一个明确的句子来预告整个核心段结构。

版权所有 盗版必究 有奖举报热线: 4006236898

2018-5-26



覆盖更全面的一分为二逻辑



- 1. 改写陈述题目观点。I believe that 一句话写出你的清晰观点(前面所提到的三种方法)
- 2. It is true that.../I accept that.../I admit that ...(这里有n种方法来写topic sentence)
- 3. However/Nevertheless, I would argue that开始做出补充或者选择。
- 4. In conclusion, 重复自己的观点和看法。

版权所有 盗版必究 有奖举报热线: 4006236898

2018-5-26

新浪微博:@杜仕明-学为贵



"虽然…但是…"的一分为二 等为责·赢未来

Some people think that all young people should be required to have full-time education until they are 18 years old. To what extent do you agree or disagree with it?

版权所有 盗版必究 有奖举报热线: 4006236898

2018-5-26



Some educationists suggest that every child should stay at school receiving full-time education until they grow up. Despite the importance of school education, in my opinion, it is not for everyone.

On the one hand, it is widely accepted that receiving education at school as long as possible prepares young children better for their work and life in the future. With regard to the former, this is especially true in the knowledge-based world where decent jobs and well-paid positions are available mostly to those equipped with knowledge of a wide range of subjects, such as science, foreign languages and computer skills. As for everyday life, qualities acquired from good schooling also play a crucial role. For example, in most primary and secondary schools, students are required to get involved in moral education, which teaches them the importance of obeying rules and respecting others.

版权所有 盗版必究 有奖举报热线: 4006236898

2018-5-26

新浪微博:@杜仕明-学为贵






On the other hand, there are many children who have to or choose to drop out of school at a much earlier age than 18. For example, as the cost of living has been rising, some parents have no choice but to rely on their teen children who work full time and earn a regular paycheck. Without such a financial support, the family may be in a poor living condition and even face debt problems. In addition, some young children are exceptionally talented in sport or art. Rather than staying at school like the majority of children, home schooling or attending a special training program seems to be a better option for maximizing their innate abilities.

In conclusion, I strongly believe that after a certain age, such as 16, teenagers should be allowed the freedom to make their own decisions for their future, depending on their individual conditions.

版权所有 盗版必究 有奖举报热线: 4006236898

2018-5-26





Most governments are faced with the dilemma of whether to spend a huge amount of money protecting old buildings or simply knock them down. In my opinion, whether an old building should be torn down and make way for a new one mainly depends on its value in terms of art and history.

On the one hand, it is not wise for the government to invest financial and other resources in protecting some valueless old buildings in spite of their centurial existence. If a house or tower closely resembles hundreds of other buildings in terms of design and function, then it would be a waste of money to keep it alive. The government instead should consider making space for modern buildings, especially those high-rise ones, which will be followed by a range of benefits, such as increasing affordable housing supply and improving living conditions.

版权所有 盗版必究 有奖举报热线: 4006236898

2018-5-26





On the other hand, it is undoubtedly worthwhile to preserve buildings with historic and artistic meanings even at a significant cost. The first reason is that these buildings attract people from domestic cities and all over the world. Whether the feeling is patriotic or exotic, visitors to these meaningful sites can be spiritually satisfied and at the same time make economic contributions to the city. In addition, today's designers may be able to learn from some creatively designed and well erected buildings. For example, a century-old mansion may be a textbook illustrating modern developers how to construct houses that could withstand the fiercest of hurricane.

In conclusion, I do not agree that all old buildings should be demolished without taking their unique meanings into consideration. Culturally and historically valuable old architectural works are worth preserving and protecting.

版权所有 盗版必究 有奖举报热线: 4006236898

2018-5-26

新浪微博:@杜仕明-学为贵

GUIXUE. COM





Task2: In many countries, prison is the common solution to the problem of crime. However a more effective way is to provide people with better education so that they will not become criminals. To what extent do you agree or disagree?

版权所有 盗版必究 有奖举报热线: 4006236898

2018-5-26



"一样重要"的一分为二



Many places around the world are troubled by an increasing number of crimes. In order to combat this problem, governments have been seeking the best solution. In my opinion, only by providing better education and punishing offenders strictly at the same time can the crime rate be effectively lowered.

There is no doubt that education has a critically important role to play in crime prevention and this is particularly evident in this knowledge-based century. Studies have shown that a large proportion of offenses are committed by poorly educated people who can neither make a living without the skills and knowledge acquired from school nor are aware of the line between legal and illegal. Therefore, if the government makes more effort towards eliminating illiteracy and improving academic competence, crimes such as theft, robbery and violent attack can be effectively prevented

版权所有 盗版必究 有奖举报热线: 4006236898

2018-5-26

新浪微博:@杜仕明-学为贵





However, even though the access to education has been guaranteed, the possibility of breaking the law still remains. This is because apart from poverty and lack of legal awareness, there are many other reasons for committing a crime, such as greed, envy and vengeance. Therefore, the establishment of prisons is as effective as education to prevent crime, serving the purpose of deterring potential offenders. By confining prisoners within an extremely small area and taking away their freedom to meet families, law enforcement departments can punish them with pain both psychologically and physically. As a result, those who intend to receive bribes or abuse animals may weigh the cost carefully.

In conclusion, although ensuring that every citizen has the access to being sufficiently educated contributes positively to reducing crimes, locking up criminals is equally effective and extremely necessary.

版权所有 盗版必究 有奖举报热线: 4006236898

2018-5-26



介绍段的可能性

学为贵·赢未来

- 1. 改写原题观点 + 自己的观点---最常用
- 2. 事实背景 + 改写原题观点 + 自己的观点---容易重复词汇
- 3. 事实背景 + 自己的观点---难度最大

版权所有 盗版必究 有奖举报热线: 4006236898

2018-5-26

新浪微博: @杜仕明-学为贵



是否赞同的介绍段



Increasing the price of petrol is the best way to solve growing traffic and pollution problems. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this opinion?

版本一: It is sometimes argued that the increase in the price of fuels can be the best solution to problems related to traffic and environment. I completely disagree with this opinion.

版本二: It is sometimes argued that the increase in the price of fuels can be the best solution to problems related to traffic and environment. Although I believe that higher fuel prices can reduce the number of cars on the street, I do not agree that a simple rise in the price is enough.

版权所有 盗版必究 有奖举报热线: 4006236898

2018-5-26



是否赞同的介绍段



Some people think that instead of preventing climate change, we need to find a way to live with it. Do you agree or disagree?

(As a result of human activities, climate has never stopped changing.) Some people believe that human beings should explore new technology to live with climate change rather than stop it from becoming worse. My view is that while we have no choice but to adapt to the changing environment, just doing so is not enough.

版权所有 盗版必究 有奖举报热线: 4006236898

2018-5-26



是否赞同的介绍段



用In order to来引出话题

Some people think that media violence should be banned to reduce crimes. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this opinion?

In order to create a society with lower crime rate, governments have been seeking various methods. Some people suggest that banning violent media contents could be an effective way. In my opinion, whether media violence should be banned depends on the age of the viewer or the player.

版权所有 盗版必究 有奖举报热线: 4006236898

2018-5-26



是否赞同的介绍段

学为贵・嬴未来

有人认为在城市空旷地带种更多树比修建更多房屋更重要。你是否赞同此观点。

Some people suggest that open space of a city should be used to plant more trees rather than build more houses. While having more trees helps with improvements of environmental conditions, I believe that the government ought to prioritize satisfying citizens' needs of better housing conditions.

版权所有 盗版必究 有奖举报热<u>线</u>: 4006236898

2018-5-26

新浪微博: @杜仕明-学为贵

GUIXUE. COM 学 为 贵

是否赞同的介绍段

学为贵·赢未来

用题目中的事实来做背景句

Some people have benefited from modern communication technology, but some groups of people have not benefited at all.

To what extent do you agree or disagree?

The 21st century has seen a large number of changes that are beyond our wildest expectations. One of these advances is the rapid development of communications technology. The advantages of convenience and high efficiency brought by digital communication are obvious, but I do not think that everyone has access to these benefits.

版权所有 盗版必究 有奖举报热线: 4006236898

2018-5-26