# Fall 2016 CIS 515

# Fundamentals of Linear Algebra and Optimization Jean Gallier

## Homework 2

September, 20 2016; Due October 4, 2016 Francine Leech, Chen Xiang, Reffat Manzur

#### Problem B1 (10 pts).

Suppose  $A = (a_{i,j})_{m \times n}$ ,  $B = (b_{i,j})_{n \times p}$ ,  $C = AB = (c_{i,j})_{m \times p}$ . It is easy to write  $c_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{ik} b_{kj}$ ,  $\forall i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .  $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$  and then consider  $(A^{1}B_{1} + \dots + A^{n}B_{n})_{ij}$ , we have  $(A^{1}B_{1} + \dots + A^{n}B_{n})_{ij} = (a_{i1}b_{1j} + a_{i2}b_{2j} \dots a_{in}b_{nj}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{ik}b_{kj}$ . So  $AB = A^{1}B_{1} + \dots + A^{n}B_{n}$ .

### Problem B2 (10 pts).

Because f is a linear map, thus we have

$$f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y)$$
$$f(\lambda x) = \lambda f(x)$$

So write the inverse function  $g = f^{-1}$  and  $x_1, x_2 \in E$ , we have

$$\exists x \in E, \ x = g(f(x_1) + f(x_2)) \Rightarrow f(x) = f(x_1) + f(x_2) = f(x_1 + x_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow x = x_1 + x_2$$

$$\Rightarrow g(f(x)) = g(f(x_1 + x_2)) = g(f(x_1)) + g(f(x_2))$$

$$\exists x^* \in E, \ x^* = g(f(\lambda x)) \Rightarrow f(x^*) = f(\lambda x)$$

$$\Rightarrow x^* = \lambda x$$

$$\Rightarrow g(\lambda f(x)) = g(f(\lambda x)) = \lambda g(f(x))$$

**Problem B3 (10 pts).** Given two vectors spaces E and F, let  $(u_i)_{i\in I}$  be any basis of E and let  $(v_i)_{i\in I}$  be any family of vectors in F. Prove that the unique linear map  $f: E \to F$  such that  $f(u_i) = v_i$  for all  $i \in I$  is surjective iff  $(v_i)_{i\in I}$  spans F.

**Problem B4 (10 pts).** Let  $f: E \to F$  be a linear map with  $\dim(E) = n$  and  $\dim(F) = m$ . Prove that f has rank 1 iff f is represented by an  $m \times n$  matrix of the form

$$A = uv^\top$$

with u a nonzero column vector of dimension m and v a nonzero column vector of dimension n.

Problem B5 (120 pts). (Haar extravaganza) Consider the matrix

$$W_{3,3} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

(1)

$$W_{3,3}c = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ c_3 \\ c_4 \\ c_5 \\ c_6 \\ c_7 \\ c_8 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \cdot c_1 + 1 \cdot c_5 \\ 1 \cdot c_1 + -1 \cdot c_5 \\ 1 \cdot c_2 + 1 \cdot c_6 \\ 1 \cdot c_2 + -1 \cdot c_6 \\ 1 \cdot c_3 + 1 \cdot c_7 \\ 1 \cdot c_3 + -1 \cdot c_7 \\ 1 \cdot c_4 + 1 \cdot c_8 \\ 1 \cdot c_4 + -1 \cdot c_8 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 + c_5 \\ c_1 - c_5 \\ c_2 + c_6 \\ c_2 - c_6 \\ c_3 + c_7 \\ c_3 - c_7 \\ c_4 + c_8 \\ c_4 - c_8 \end{pmatrix}$$

(2)

If the inverse of  $W_{3,3}$  is  $(1/2)W_{3,3}^{\top}$ , then the product of the two matrices is the identity matrix.

$$W_{3,3} \cdot (1/2) W_{3,3}^{\top} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0.5 & 0.5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.5 & 0.5 \\ 0.5 & -0.5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.5 & -0.5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.5 & -0.5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.5 & -0.5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.5 & -0.5 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

We have  $W_{3,3} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_8)$ , then write  $\alpha_i^T \alpha_j, \forall i, j = 1, 2, \dots 8 \ i \neq j$  there are two conditions:

- 1.  $\alpha_i$  and  $\alpha_j$  have elements on the same positions.
- 2.  $\alpha_i$  and  $\alpha_j$  have elements on different postions.

For the first condition, we have  $\alpha_i^T \alpha_j = 1 - 1 = 0$  and for the second condition, we have  $\alpha_i^T \alpha_j = 0$ . Also,  $\alpha_i^T \alpha_i = 1 + 1 = 2$ , so we can prove that the columns are orthogonal. It is the same to prove the rows are orthogonal.

(3) Let  $W_{3,2}$  and  $W_{3,1}$  be the following matrices:

$$W_{3,2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad W_{3,1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Show that given any vector  $c = (c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5, c_6, c_7, c_8)$ , the result  $W_{3,2}c$  of applying  $W_{3,2}$  to c is

$$W_{3,2}c = (c_1 + c_3, c_1 - c_3, c_2 + c_4, c_2 - c_4, c_5, c_6, c_7, c_8),$$

the second step in reconstructing a vector from its Haar coefficients, and the result  $W_{3,1}c$  of applying  $W_{3,1}$  to c is

$$W_{3,1}c = (c_1 + c_2, c_1 - c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5, c_6, c_7, c_8),$$

the first step in reconstructing a vector from its Haar coefficients.

Conclude that

$$W_{3,3}W_{3,2}W_{3,1} = W_3,$$

the Haar matrix

$$W_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hint. First, check that

$$W_{3,2}W_{3,1} = \begin{pmatrix} W_2 & 0_{4,4} \\ 0_{4,4} & I_4 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$W_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (4) Prove that the columns and the rows of  $W_{3,2}$  and  $W_{3,1}$  are orthogonal. Deduce from this that the columns of  $W_3$  are orthogonal, and the rows of  $W_3^{-1}$  are orthogonal. Are the rows of  $W_3$  orthogonal? Are the columns of  $W_3^{-1}$  orthogonal? Find the inverse of  $W_{3,2}$  and the inverse of  $W_{3,1}$ .
  - (5) For any  $n \geq 2$ , the  $2^n \times 2^n$  matrix  $W_{n,n}$  is obtained form the two rows

$$\underbrace{\frac{1,0,\ldots,0}_{2^{n-1}},\underbrace{\frac{1,0,\ldots,0}_{2^{n-1}}}}_{\underbrace{1,0,\ldots,0}},\underbrace{-1,0,\ldots,0}_{2^{n-1}}$$

by shifting them  $2^{n-1} - 1$  times over to the right by inserting a zero on the left each time.

Given any vector  $c = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{2^n})$ , show that  $W_{n,n}c$  is the result of the last step in the process of reconstructing a vector from its Haar coefficients c. Prove that  $W_{n,n}^{-1} = (1/2)W_{n,n}^{\top}$ , and that the columns and the rows of  $W_{n,n}$  are orthogonal.

# Extra credit (30 pts.)

Given a  $m \times n$  matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$  and a  $p \times q$  matrix  $B = (b_{ij})$ , the Kronecker product (or tensor product)  $A \otimes B$  of A and B is the  $mp \times nq$  matrix

$$A \otimes B = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}B & a_{12}B & \cdots & a_{1n}B \\ a_{21}B & a_{22}B & \cdots & a_{2n}B \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1}B & a_{m2}B & \cdots & a_{mn}B \end{pmatrix}.$$

It can be shown (and you may use these facts without proof) that  $\otimes$  is associative and that

$$(A \otimes B)(C \otimes D) = AC \otimes BD$$
$$(A \otimes B)^{\top} = A^{\top} \otimes B^{\top},$$

whenever AC and BD are well defined.

Check that

$$W_{n,n} = \begin{pmatrix} I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix},$$

and that

$$W_n = \left(W_{n-1} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

Use the above to reprove that

$$W_{n,n}W_{n,n}^{\top} = 2I_{2^n}.$$

Let

$$B_1 = 2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and for  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$B_{n+1} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} B_n & 0 \\ 0 & I_{2^n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Prove that

$$W_n^{\top} W_n = B_n$$
, for all  $n \ge 1$ .

We can find

$$\left(I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}\right) \in M_{2^n, 2^n}$$

and it is easy to get

$$I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in M_{2^n, 2^{n-1}},$$

$$I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & -1 & \cdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 \end{pmatrix} \in M_{2^{n}, 2^{n-1}},$$

SO

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 1 & \vdots \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & -1 & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & \cdots & -1 \end{pmatrix} = W_{n,n}.$$

$$W_{n,n}W_{n,n}^{\top} = \left(I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1 \end{pmatrix} I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1\\-1 \end{pmatrix}\right) \left(I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1 \end{pmatrix} I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1\\-1 \end{pmatrix}\right)^{\top}$$
$$= I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1 \end{pmatrix} [I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1 \end{pmatrix}]^{\top} + I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1\\-1 \end{pmatrix} [I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1\\-1 \end{pmatrix}]^{\top}$$

according to the facts above, we have

$$W_{n,n}W_{n,n}^{\top} = [I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}][I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}^{\top}] + [I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}][I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}^{\top}]$$

$$= I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = 2I_{2^{n}}$$

(6) The matrix  $W_{n,i}$  is obtained from the matrix  $W_{i,i}$   $(1 \le i \le n-1)$  as follows:

$$W_{n,i} = \begin{pmatrix} W_{i,i} & 0_{2^i,2^n-2^i} \\ 0_{2^n-2^i,2^i} & I_{2^n-2^i} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It consists of four blocks, where  $0_{2^i,2^n-2^i}$  and  $0_{2^n-2^i,2^i}$  are matrices of zeros and  $I_{2^n-2^i}$  is the identity matrix of dimension  $2^n-2^i$ .

Explain what  $W_{n,i}$  does to c and prove that

$$W_{n,n}W_{n,n-1}\cdots W_{n,1}=W_n,$$

where  $W_n$  is the Haar matrix of dimension  $2^n$ .

*Hint*. Use induction on k, with the induction hypothesis

$$W_{n,k}W_{n,k-1}\cdots W_{n,1} = \begin{pmatrix} W_k & 0_{2^k,2^n-2^k} \\ 0_{2^n-2^k,2^k} & I_{2^n-2^k} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Prove that the columns and rows of  $W_{n,k}$  are orthogonal, and use this to prove that the columns of  $W_n$  and the rows of  $W_n^{-1}$  are orthogonal. Are the rows of  $W_n$  orthogonal? Are the columns of  $W_n^{-1}$  orthogonal? Prove that

$$W_{n,k}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} W_{k,k}^{\top} & 0_{2^k,2^n-2^k} \\ 0_{2^n-2^k,2^k} & I_{2^n-2^k} \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Problem B6 (20 pts).** Prove that for every vector space E, if  $f: E \to E$  is an idempotent linear map, i.e.,  $f \circ f = f$ , then we have a direct sum

$$E = \operatorname{Ker} f \oplus \operatorname{Im} f,$$

so that f is the projection onto its image Im f.

**Problem B7 (20 pts).** Let  $U_1, \ldots, U_p$  be any  $p \geq 2$  subspaces of some vector space E and recall that the linear map

$$a: U_1 \times \cdots \times U_p \to E$$

is given by

$$a(u_1,\ldots,u_p)=u_1+\cdots+u_p,$$

with  $u_i \in U_i$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, p$ .

(1) If we let  $Z_i \subseteq U_1 \times \cdots \times U_p$  be given by

$$Z_{i} = \left\{ \left( u_{1}, \dots, u_{i-1}, -\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{p} u_{j}, u_{i+1}, \dots, u_{p} \right) \middle| \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{p} u_{j} \in U_{i} \cap \left( \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{p} U_{j} \right) \right\},$$

for  $i = 1, \ldots, p$ , then prove that

$$\operatorname{Ker} a = Z_1 = \dots = Z_p.$$

In general, for any given i, the condition  $U_i \cap \left(\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^p U_j\right) = (0)$  does not necessarily imply that  $Z_i = (0)$ . Thus, let

$$Z = \left\{ \left( u_1, \dots, u_{i-1}, u_i, u_{i+1}, \dots, u_p \right) \middle| u_i = -\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^p u_j, u_i \in U_i \cap \left( \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^p U_j \right), 1 \le i \le p \right\}.$$

Since  $\operatorname{Ker} a = Z_1 = \cdots = Z_p$ , we have  $Z = \operatorname{Ker} a$ . Prove that if

$$U_i \cap \left(\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^p U_j\right) = (0) \quad 1 \le i \le p,$$

then  $Z = \operatorname{Ker} a = (0)$ .

(2) Prove that  $U_1 + \cdots + U_p$  is a direct sum iff

$$U_i \cap \left(\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^p U_j\right) = (0) \quad 1 \le i \le p.$$

(3) Extra credit (40 pts). Assume that E is finite-dimensional, and let  $f_i : E \to E$  be any  $p \ge 2$  linear maps such that

$$f_1 + \cdots + f_p = \mathrm{id}_E$$
.

Prove that the following properties are equivalent:

- (1)  $f_i^2 = f_i, 1 \le i \le p$ .
- (2)  $f_j \circ f_i = 0$ , for all  $i \neq j$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq p$ .
  - $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$

We multiply  $f_i$  on each side of the equation, then get

$$f_i^2 + \sum_{j \neq i} f_j \circ f_i = f_i$$

$$\sum_{j \neq i} f_j \circ f_i = 0$$

Because E is finite-dimensional, then for all  $y \in E$  we can write  $y = \sum \lambda_i x_i$ , where  $(x_i)$  is the base. Then for all  $y \in E$ , we have  $\sum_{j \neq i} f_j \circ f_i(y) = 0$ , suppose there is a k which makes  $f_k \circ f_i \neq 0$  then  $ker(f_k \circ f_i) = E$ , thus  $f_k \circ f_i = 0$ , which is a contradiction.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ 

We multiply  $f_i$ ,  $\forall i = 1, 2, \dots, p$  on each side of the equation, then get

$$f_i^2 + \sum_{j \neq i} f_j \circ f_i = f_i$$

$$f_i^2 = f_i$$

TOTAL: 200 + 70 points.