Fall 2016 CIS 515

Fundamentals of Linear Algebra and Optimization Jean Gallier

Homework 2

September, 20 2016; Due October 11, 2016 Francine Leech, Chen Xiang, Reffat Manzur

Problem B1 (10 pts).

Suppose $A = (a_{i,j})_{m \times n}$, $B = (b_{i,j})_{n \times p}$, $C = AB = (c_{i,j})_{m \times p}$. It is easy to write $c_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{ik} b_{kj}$, $\forall i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$ and then consider $(A^{1}B_{1} + \dots + A^{n}B_{n})_{ij}$, we have $(A^{1}B_{1} + \dots + A^{n}B_{n})_{ij} = (a_{i1}b_{1j} + a_{i2}b_{2j} \dots a_{in}b_{nj}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{ik}b_{kj}$. So $AB = A^{1}B_{1} + \dots + A^{n}B_{n}$.

Problem B2 (10 pts).

Because f is a linear map, thus we have

$$f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y)$$
$$f(\lambda x) = \lambda f(x)$$

So write the inverse function $g = f^{-1}$ and $x_1, x_2 \in E$, we have

$$\exists x \in E, \ x = g(f(x_1) + f(x_2)) \Rightarrow f(x) = f(x_1) + f(x_2) = f(x_1 + x_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow x = x_1 + x_2$$

$$\Rightarrow g(f(x)) = g(f(x_1 + x_2)) = g(f(x_1)) + g(f(x_2))$$

$$\exists x^* \in E, \ x^* = g(f(\lambda x)) \Rightarrow f(x^*) = f(\lambda x)$$

$$\Rightarrow x^* = \lambda x$$

$$\Rightarrow g(\lambda f(x)) = g(f(\lambda x)) = \lambda g(f(x))$$

Problem B3 (10 pts). Given two vectors spaces E and F, let $(u_i)_{i\in I}$ be any basis of E and let $(v_i)_{i\in I}$ be any family of vectors in F. Prove that the unique linear map $f: E \to F$ such that $f(u_i) = v_i$ for all $i \in I$ is surjective iff $(v_i)_{i\in I}$ spans F.

For $x \in E$, it can be written as $x_1 u_1 + x_2 u_2 + \cdots + x_n u_n$ as $(u_i)_{i \in I}$ is a basis of E and $(u_i)_{i \in I}$ spans E. Then, $f(x) = x_1 f(u_1) + x_2 f(u_2) + \cdots + x_n f(u_n)$. Since $f(u_i) = v_i$, this can be rewritten as: $f(x) = x_1 v_1 + x_2 v_2 + \cdots + x_n v_n$. If the linear map f is surjective, then every element in F has a corresponding element in E and $f(x) = x_1 v_1 + x_2 v_2 + \cdots + x_n v_n$ indicates that $(v_i)_{i \in I}$ must span F.

Problem B4 (10 pts). Let $f: E \to F$ be a linear map with $\dim(E) = n$ and $\dim(F) = m$. Prove that f has rank 1 iff f is represented by an $m \times n$ matrix of the form

$$A = uv^{\top}$$

with u a nonzero column vector of dimension m and v a nonzero column vector of dimension sion n. dim(E) = n indicates that the basis of E consists of n vectors and dim(F) = mindicates the basis of F consists of m vectors. From class notes, we know that M(f) =

indicates the basis of
$$F$$
 consists of m vectors. From class notes, we know that $M(f) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{pmatrix} = A$, an mxn matrix.
$$u = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ u_3 \\ \vdots \\ u_m \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } v^T = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & v_2 & v_3 & \cdots & v_n \end{pmatrix} \text{ so } uv^T \text{ is an } mxn \text{ matrix of the form:}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_1v_1 & u_1v_2 & u_1v_3 & \cdots & u_1v_n \\ u_2v_1 & u_2v_2 & u_2v_3 & \cdots & u_2v_n \\ u_3v_1 & u_3v_2 & u_3v_3 & \cdots & u_3v_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ u_mv_1 & u_mv_2 & u_mv_3 & \cdots & u_mv_n \end{pmatrix} = v_1 \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ u_3 \\ \vdots \\ u_m \end{pmatrix} + v_2 \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_3 \\ \vdots \\ u_m \end{pmatrix} + \cdots + v_n \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ u_3 \\ \vdots \\ u_m \end{pmatrix}. \text{ Since every }$$
column in the matrix of f is a multiple of one column, the rank is 1 for f and the matrix is

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_1v_1 & u_1v_2 & u_1v_3 & \cdots & u_1v_n \\ u_2v_1 & u_2v_2 & u_2v_3 & \cdots & u_2v_n \\ u_3v_1 & u_3v_2 & u_3v_3 & \cdots & u_3v_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ u_mv_1 & u_mv_2 & u_mv_3 & \cdots & u_mv_n \end{pmatrix} = v_1 \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ u_3 \\ \vdots \\ u_m \end{pmatrix} + v_2 \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ u_3 \\ \vdots \\ u_m \end{pmatrix} + \cdots v_n \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ u_3 \\ \vdots \\ u_m \end{pmatrix}. \text{ Since every}$$

column in the matrix of f is a multiple of one column, the rank is 1 for f and the matrix is always of this form by definition for an mxn matrix.

Problem B5 (120 pts). (Haar extravaganza) Consider the matrix

$$W_{3,3} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

(1)

$$W_{3,3}c = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ c_3 \\ c_4 \\ c_5 \\ c_6 \\ c_7 \\ c_8 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \cdot c_1 + 1 \cdot c_5 \\ 1 \cdot c_1 + -1 \cdot c_5 \\ 1 \cdot c_2 + 1 \cdot c_6 \\ 1 \cdot c_2 + 1 \cdot c_6 \\ 1 \cdot c_3 + 1 \cdot c_7 \\ 1 \cdot c_3 + -1 \cdot c_7 \\ 1 \cdot c_4 + 1 \cdot c_8 \\ 1 \cdot c_4 + -1 \cdot c_8 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 + c_5 \\ c_1 - c_5 \\ c_2 + c_6 \\ c_3 + c_7 \\ c_3 - c_7 \\ c_4 + c_8 \\ c_4 - c_8 \end{pmatrix}$$

(2) If the inverse of $W_{3,3}$ is $(1/2)W_{3,3}^{\top}$, then the product of the two matrices is the identity matrix.

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0.5 + 0.5 & 0.5 + -0.5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.5 + -0.5 & 0.5 - -0.5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.5 + 0.5 & 0.5 + -0.5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.5 + -0.5 & 0.5 - -0.5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.5 + 0.5 & 0.5 + -0.5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.5 + -0.5 & 0.5 - -0.5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.5 + 0.5 & 0.5 + -0.5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.5 + -0.5 & 0.5 - -0.5 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

(3) Let $W_{3,2}$ and $W_{3,1}$ be the following matrices:

$$W_{3,2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad W_{3,1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Show that given any vector $c = (c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5, c_6, c_7, c_8)$, the result $W_{3,2}c$ of applying $W_{3,2}$ to c is

$$W_{3,2}c = (c_1 + c_3, c_1 - c_3, c_2 + c_4, c_2 - c_4, c_5, c_6, c_7, c_8),$$

the second step in reconstructing a vector from its Haar coefficients, and the result $W_{3,1}c$ of applying $W_{3,1}$ to c is

$$W_{3,1}c = (c_1 + c_2, c_1 - c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5, c_6, c_7, c_8),$$

the first step in reconstructing a vector from its Haar coefficients.

Conclude that

$$W_{3,3}W_{3,2}W_{3,1} = W_3,$$

the Haar matrix

$$W_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hint. First, check that

$$W_{3,2}W_{3,1} = \begin{pmatrix} W_2 & 0_{4,4} \\ 0_{4,4} & I_4 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$W_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

First: $W_{3,2}c = (c_1 + c_3, c_1 - c_3, c_2 + c_4, c_2 - c_4, c_5, c_6, c_7, c_8)$

Second: $W_{3,1}c = (c_1 + c_2, c_1 - c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5, c_6, c_7, c_8)$

Now check $W_{3,2} * W_{3,1}$

$$W_{3,2} * W_{3,1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$=\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} W_2 & 0_{4,4} \\ 0_{4,4} & I_4 \end{pmatrix},$$

Conclude $W_{3,3} * W_{3,2} * W_{3,1} = W_3$

$$W_{3,3}*W_{3,2}*W_{3,1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$=$$

(4) Prove that the columns and the rows of $W_{3,2}$ and $W_{3,1}$ are orthogonal. Deduce from this that the columns of W_3 are orthogonal, and the rows of W_3^{-1} are orthogonal. Are the rows of W_3 orthogonal? Are the columns of W_3^{-1} orthogonal? Find the inverse of $W_{3,2}$ and the inverse of $W_{3,1}$.

We have $W_{3,3}=(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\cdots,\alpha_8)$, then write $\alpha_i^T\alpha_j, \forall i,j=1,2,\cdots 8 \ i\neq j$ there are two conditions:

- 1. α_i and α_j have elements on the same positions.
- 2. α_i and α_j have elements on different postions.

For the first condition, we have $\alpha_i^T \alpha_j = 1 - 1 = 0$ and for the second condition, we have $\alpha_i^T \alpha_j = 0$. Also, $\alpha_i^T \alpha_i = 1 + 1 = 2$, so we can prove that the columns are orthogonal. It is the same to prove the rows are orthogonal.

(5) For any $n \geq 2$, the $2^n \times 2^n$ matrix $W_{n,n}$ is obtained form the two rows

$$\underbrace{\frac{1,0,\ldots,0}_{2^{n-1}},\underbrace{\frac{1,0,\ldots,0}_{2^{n-1}}}}_{2^{n-1}},\underbrace{\frac{-1,0,\ldots,0}_{2^{n-1}}}$$

by shifting them $2^{n-1} - 1$ times over to the right by inserting a zero on the left each time.

Given any vector $c = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{2^n})$, show that $W_{n,n}c$ is the result of the last step in the process of reconstructing a vector from its Haar coefficients c. Prove that $W_{n,n}^{-1} = (1/2)W_{n,n}^{\top}$, and that the columns and the rows of $W_{n,n}$ are orthogonal.

Extra credit (30 pts.)

Given a $m \times n$ matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ and a $p \times q$ matrix $B = (b_{ij})$, the Kronecker product (or tensor product) $A \otimes B$ of A and B is the $mp \times nq$ matrix

$$A \otimes B = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}B & a_{12}B & \cdots & a_{1n}B \\ a_{21}B & a_{22}B & \cdots & a_{2n}B \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1}B & a_{m2}B & \cdots & a_{mn}B \end{pmatrix}.$$

It can be shown (and you may use these facts without proof) that \otimes is associative and that

$$(A \otimes B)(C \otimes D) = AC \otimes BD$$
$$(A \otimes B)^{\top} = A^{\top} \otimes B^{\top},$$

whenever AC and BD are well defined.

Check that

$$W_{n,n} = \begin{pmatrix} I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix},$$

and that

$$W_n = \left(W_{n-1} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

Use the above to reprove that

$$W_{n,n}W_{n,n}^{\top} = 2I_{2^n}.$$

Let

$$B_1 = 2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and for $n \geq 1$,

$$B_{n+1} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} B_n & 0 \\ 0 & I_{2^n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Prove that

$$W_n^{\top}W_n = B_n$$
, for all $n \ge 1$.

We can find

$$\left(I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}\right) \in M_{2^n,2^n}$$

and it is easy to get

$$I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in M_{2^{n}, 2^{n-1}},$$

$$I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & -1 & \cdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 \end{pmatrix} \in M_{2^{n}, 2^{n-1}},$$

SO

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1 \end{pmatrix} & I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1\\-1 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 0\\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & -1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 1 & \vdots\\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & -1 & \vdots\\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & & & \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 1\\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & \cdots & -1 \end{pmatrix} = W_{n,n}.$$

$$W_{n,n}W_{n,n}^{\top} = \left(I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1 \end{pmatrix} I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1\\-1 \end{pmatrix}\right) \left(I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1 \end{pmatrix} I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1\\-1 \end{pmatrix}\right)^{\top}$$
$$= I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1 \end{pmatrix} [I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1 \end{pmatrix}]^{\top} + I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1\\-1 \end{pmatrix} [I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1\\-1 \end{pmatrix}]^{\top}$$

according to the facts above, we have

$$W_{n,n}W_{n,n}^{\top} = [I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}][I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}^{\top}] + [I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}][I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}^{\top}]$$

$$= I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = 2I_{2^{n}}$$

(6) The matrix $W_{n,i}$ is obtained from the matrix $W_{i,i}$ $(1 \le i \le n-1)$ as follows:

$$W_{n,i} = \begin{pmatrix} W_{i,i} & 0_{2^i,2^n-2^i} \\ 0_{2^n-2^i,2^i} & I_{2^n-2^i} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It consists of four blocks, where $0_{2^i,2^n-2^i}$ and $0_{2^n-2^i,2^i}$ are matrices of zeros and $I_{2^n-2^i}$ is the identity matrix of dimension 2^n-2^i .

Explain what $W_{n,i}$ does to c and prove that

$$W_{n,n}W_{n,n-1}\cdots W_{n,1}=W_n,$$

where W_n is the Haar matrix of dimension 2^n .

Hint. Use induction on k, with the induction hypothesis

$$W_{n,k}W_{n,k-1}\cdots W_{n,1} = \begin{pmatrix} W_k & 0_{2^k,2^n-2^k} \\ 0_{2^n-2^k,2^k} & I_{2^n-2^k} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Prove that the columns and rows of $W_{n,k}$ are orthogonal, and use this to prove that the columns of W_n and the rows of W_n^{-1} are orthogonal. Are the rows of W_n orthogonal? Are the columns of W_n^{-1} orthogonal? Prove that

$$W_{n,k}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} W_{k,k}^{\top} & 0_{2^k,2^n-2^k} \\ 0_{2^n-2^k,2^k} & I_{2^n-2^k} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Problem B6 (20 pts). Prove that for every vector space E, if $f: E \to E$ is an idempotent linear map, i.e., $f \circ f = f$, then we have a direct sum

$$E = \operatorname{Ker} f \oplus \operatorname{Im} f$$
,

so that f is the projection onto its image Im f.

Problem B7 (20 pts). Let U_1, \ldots, U_p be any $p \geq 2$ subspaces of some vector space E and recall that the linear map

$$a: U_1 \times \cdots \times U_p \to E$$

is given by

$$a(u_1,\ldots,u_p)=u_1+\cdots+u_p,$$

with $u_i \in U_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, p$.

(1) If we let $Z_i \subseteq U_1 \times \cdots \times U_p$ be given by

$$Z_{i} = \left\{ \left(u_{1}, \dots, u_{i-1}, -\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{p} u_{j}, u_{i+1}, \dots, u_{p} \right) \middle| \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{p} u_{j} \in U_{i} \cap \left(\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{p} U_{j} \right) \right\},$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, p$, then prove that

$$\operatorname{Ker} a = Z_1 = \dots = Z_p.$$

In general, for any given i, the condition $U_i \cap \left(\sum_{j=1,j\neq i}^p U_j\right) = (0)$ does not necessarily imply that $Z_i = (0)$. Thus, let

$$Z = \left\{ \left(u_1, \dots, u_{i-1}, u_i, u_{i+1}, \dots, u_p \right) \middle| u_i = -\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^p u_j, u_i \in U_i \cap \left(\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^p U_j \right), 1 \le i \le p \right\}.$$

Since $\operatorname{Ker} a = Z_1 = \cdots = Z_p$, we have $Z = \operatorname{Ker} a$. Prove that if

$$U_i \cap \left(\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^p U_j\right) = (0) \quad 1 \le i \le p,$$

then $Z = \operatorname{Ker} a = (0)$.

(2) Prove that $U_1 + \cdots + U_p$ is a direct sum iff

$$U_i \cap \left(\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^p U_j\right) = (0) \quad 1 \le i \le p.$$

(3) Extra credit (40 pts). Assume that E is finite-dimensional, and let $f_i : E \to E$ be any $p \ge 2$ linear maps such that

$$f_1 + \dots + f_p = \mathrm{id}_E$$
.

Prove that the following properties are equivalent:

- (1) $f_i^2 = f_i, 1 \le i \le p$.
- (2) $f_j \circ f_i = 0$, for all $i \neq j$, $1 \leq i, j \leq p$.
 - $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$

We multiply f_i on each side of the equation, then get

$$f_i^2 + \sum_{j \neq i} f_j \circ f_i = f_i$$

$$\sum_{j \neq i} f_j \circ f_i = 0$$

Because E is finite-dimensional, then for all $y \in E$ we can write $y = \sum \lambda_i x_i$, where (x_i) is the base. Then for all $y \in E$, we have $\sum_{j \neq i} f_j \circ f_i(y) = 0$, suppose there is a k which

makes $f_k \circ f_i \neq 0$ then $ker(f_k \circ f_i) = E$, thus $f_k \circ f_i = 0$, which is a contradiction.

$$(2) \Rightarrow (1)$$

(2) \Rightarrow (1) We multiply f_i , $\forall i=1,2,\cdots,p$ on each side of the equation, then get

$$f_i^2 + \sum_{j \neq i} f_j \circ f_i = f_i$$

$$f_i^2 = f_i$$

TOTAL: 200 + 70 points.