
Detecting stress level through computer usage pattern

name1

EMAIL1

school addy

name1

EMAIL1

school addy

Abstract

Stress is inevitable. Sometimes people fall back to their old habits or perform a series of actions that can be directly linked to their stressful states. Since many perform work on their personal computers, stress detection through these devices could lead to insightful results. This project aims to discover which computer activities, if any, correlate with individual stress levels. Can ones typing pattern, websites usage, browsing duration, or overall computer usage be linked to their stress level?

1. Introduction

Stress is inevitable. Sometimes when stressed, we fall back to our old habits or perform a series of actions in order to become relaxed. Other times, we are oblivious of our stressful states not because we cannot anticipate that we are stressed but because we feel it is just one of lifes challenges. Since the computing era has propelled many to perform work on their personal computers, stress detection correlated to usage of these electronic devices could lead to insightful results. This research aims to discover which computer activities, if any, correlate with individual stress levels. Can ones typing pattern, websites usage, browsing duration, or overall computer usage be linked to their stress level? Positive results could have multiple applications such as personalized health recommendation systems for handling stress, timely interventions for detecting stress at an individuals peak, holistic feedback for doctors during diagnosis, among other health driven purposes.

As we know, overstress undermines our productivity, and more seriously, it might bring harm to our health,

even cause mental diseases. However, sometimes we tend to feel exhausted and stressed, but unaware of what cause us to feel stressed exactly. Therefore, it is crucial to find out the sources of stress, and at some appropriate time, give users some reminders that you are overstressed and you should take a break and relax yourself right away.

When people are under stress, their behaviors tend to change accordingly. In particular, we have observed that peoples computer using patterns, the way they type, click, browse webs change with regard to their stress, or anxiousness level. For example, when people feel stressed, they click the mouses with higher frequency; they tend to have more typos, and thus the frequency of doing error correction raises; the frequency of switching between different web pages also increases; they might check their phones more frequently but unconsciously, etc. We suppose these are good indicators to users stress level, and by analyzing users baseline stress level and detecting abnormal stress level, we will be able to understand whether they are overstressed and give proper reminders.

2. Related Work

Beyond emotional states, we are more interested in connecting other computer activities such as mouse activity and application switch. Further, our work extends to multi-modal stress sensing with multiple sensors [2].

2.1. Affective Computing

Epp Clayton et al, arguably did the first major work connecting keystroke dynamics and emotional states. This research measured 15 emotional states of users using periodical self report and keystroke features throughout the day. For sensing stress, we initially proposed self-report and GSR but we are foregoing the latter while adding other sensing devices. Our stress

sensors will involve:

Stress-sense for vocal stress detector *In Ubicomp 12, Hong et al. proposed StressSense, which can detect human stress via through acoustic features. Hence we will use StressSense as our baseline [8].

Affectiva worn on the wrist

Its claimed that Affectiva is an unobtrusive, watch-like sensor, which can detect a persons emotion through his/her skin . Therefore we will use Affectiva to explore some correlations between their keystroke/clicking patterns and their emotions [7]. Single question self-report logging emotional states *In Ubicomp 14, Wang et al. used SurveyMonkey and mobileEMA to measure participants stress as ground truth in StudentLife. We will also use the same approaches as our ground truth [6].

2.2. Keystroke Dynamics

Hernandez, Javier, et al in Under Pressure use a pressure sensitive keyboard and capacitive mouse to discriminate between stressful and relaxed states in a lab setting. We do not rely on custom hardware as this may not be scalable in reality [4].

2.3. Mouse Usage

Sun, David et al in MouStress proposed an approach to detect stress from mouse motion, but from a physiological perspective – a model of the arm. Our approach differs as it is not in a lab setting: we focus on continuous mouse-sensing as observed in real world [1].Ark Wendy S. et al in The Emotion Mouse relate the mouse touch to the emotion attached to a computer task. GSR and chest sensors were used to collect heart rate, temperature, galvanic skin response (GSR), and somatic movement, which were measured against the six Ekmans facial emotions. Our approach is less intrusive as we do not propose a chest sensor. Besides, we measure other computer activities [3].

2.4. Computer Applications

Karpathy on his blog shows how he measures computer activities for self tracking. Some of the ideas stated will be utilized in our project especially the visualization insights [5].

3. Methodology

The experiment involves experience sampling and data collection of keystrokes, mouse together with computer applications used.

3.1. Experience Sampling of Keystrokes

Emotional states were collected using PAM and EMA.

3.2. Data Collection Software

Using our custom applications, we will install our background scripts on the participants computers. Ideally, our background programs will record the keys users type, but the corresponding values will be randomized due to privacy sensitivity, and the frequency of mouse clicking as well. Data for Web browsing and application usage will be collected through available python APIs. All the data will be stored as txt/csv files, awaiting further analysis.

3.3. Feature Extraction

For training and testing, 15 keyboard features were used, which include typing speed per minute, etc;

3.4. Classification

4. Results

Figure 1 shows the performance when all keyboard features were used.

5. Discussion

PAM and EMA were used in other to reduce the chances of false report. For instance, a user who picks an 'angry' picture and goes on to select 'not stressed at all', 'very energetic', 'very pleasant', will have two differing results, thereby nullifying the input.

5.1. Limitations

Since recruited participants were PhD students, our prediction model performance cannot be generalized to a broader audience. Since self-report surveys are subject, the definition of stress for different participants will have varying likert scale values.

Survey popping up periodically can become annoying to users thereby making reducing performance over time. Since emotional states are short-lived and a user can have multiple emotions in a short time, the surveys cannot fully capture the end user's entire emotional states but only a fraction of it.

6. Future Work

In order to improve multimodal sensing, future work will involve external sensors for measuring HR, BP, EEG. The current application focuses on batch learn-

ing of data already amassed so for next iteration will involve online learning especially for making efficient recommendation systems. Participants for this experiment were PhD students recruited from a research lab familiar with some of the measuring tools used; more insightful applications might involve workers in industries especially end users oblivious of the methods of the current research.

Acknowledgments

Special thanks to Prof Daniel Cosley, students of INFO 6010, reviewers of Advanced Machine Learning course (Spring 2015), members of the PAC lab at Cornell University Information Science, and Prof Tanzeem Choudhury, whose guidance was instrumental in this project.

6.1. Citations and References

Please use APA reference format regardless of your formatter or word processor. If you rely on the L^AT_EX bibliographic facility, use `natbib.sty` and `icml2012.bst` included in the style-file package to obtain this format.

Citations within the text should include the authors' last names and year. If the authors' names are included in the sentence, place only the year in parentheses, for example when referencing Arthur Samuel's pioneering work (1959). Otherwise place the entire reference in parentheses with the authors and year separated by a comma (Samuel, 1959). List multiple references separated by semicolons (Kearns, 1989; Samuel, 1959; Mitchell, 1980). Use the 'et al.' construct only for citations with three or more authors or after listing all authors to a publication in an earlier reference (Michalski et al., 1983).

Authors should cite their own work in the third person in the initial version of their paper submitted for blind review. Please refer to Section ?? for detailed instructions on how to cite your own papers.

Use an unnumbered first-level section heading for the references, and use a hanging indent style, with the first line of the reference flush against the left margin and subsequent lines indented by 10 points. The references at the end of this document give examples for journal articles (Samuel, 1959), conference publications (Langley, 2000), book chapters (Newell & Rosenbloom, 1981), books (Duda et al., 2000), edited volumes (Michalski et al., 1983), technical reports (Mitchell, 1980), and dissertations (Kearns, 1989).

Alphabetize references by the surnames of the first authors, with single author entries preceding multiple author entries. Order references for the same authors by

year of publication, with the earliest first. Make sure that each reference includes all relevant information (e.g., page numbers).

References

- Duda, R. O., Hart, P. E., and Stork, D. G. *Pattern Classification*. John Wiley and Sons, 2nd edition, 2000.
- Kearns, M. J. *Computational Complexity of Machine Learning*. PhD thesis, Department of Computer Science, Harvard University, 1989.
- Langley, P. Crafting papers on machine learning. In Langley, Pat (ed.), *Proceedings of the 17th International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML 2000)*, pp. 1207–1216, Stanford, CA, 2000. Morgan Kaufmann.
- Michalski, R. S., Carbonell, J. G., and Mitchell, T. M. (eds.). *Machine Learning: An Artificial Intelligence Approach, Vol. I*. Tioga, Palo Alto, CA, 1983.
- Mitchell, T. M. The need for biases in learning generalizations. Technical report, Computer Science Department, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, MA, 1980.
- Newell, A. and Rosenbloom, P. S. Mechanisms of skill acquisition and the law of practice. In Anderson, J. R. (ed.), *Cognitive Skills and Their Acquisition*, chapter 1, pp. 1–51. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc., Hillsdale, NJ, 1981.
- Samuel, A. L. Some studies in machine learning using the game of checkers. *IBM Journal of Research and Development*, 3(3):211–229, 1959.