



- A 2 x 2 empty area next to the live end that is walled by three sides (2-2-2 long) will have a future line going through along the walls. In this example, the far end is already extended by one step as it had only one option to move.



- Future line extension when we step on a future line: The far can be extended if it was 2 distance away from the near end. It can now fill the C-shape.




The same goes with 1 x- and y-distance. A C-Shape is not always created in this case.

# The one-way labyrinth algorithm

([github.com/fodorbalint/OneWayLabyrinth](https://github.com/fodorbalint/OneWayLabyrinth))

This research aims to solve the following problem:  
 "Draw a line that goes through an  $n \times n$  grid (where  $n$  is an odd number), passing through each field once. The line has to start from the field at the upper left corner (1,1) and end at (n,n). At any time it is allowed to move left, right, up or down, and it has to randomly choose between the available fields."

At first sight it may look easy. But look at the following example:




Based on the black line's movement, blue fragments were drawn to indicate a path we have to go through in the future in order to fill the board. Do you see why the situation is impossible from now on?


The question is, is there a single rule or a set of rules that will guarantee you can draw a labyrinth of any size? Or do the rules get infinitely complex?

To assist with the research, I have written a computer program. In the beginning, I let it run on a 21 x 21 field, and whenever I noticed a trouble, I coded the solution into it. While you can discover many patterns this way, they will be random and do not help in gaining a fundamental understanding. At one point you will find things get too complex, and you are still far from solving the 21 x 21 board. That's where a gradual approach comes in.


A 3 x 3 area can only be filled in two ways, like this and mirrored:




The 5 x 5 requires much more consideration. Whenever it is possible to draw future lines, the program has to be able to do it. The future lines can not only extend at each step but connect too.




By August 21, 2023 all 5 x 5 scenarios were discovered. The number of walkthroughs are 104. Here are the things to consider on a grid of this size:





- A single field next to the live end that is walled from two other sides (either by the border or the line) needs to be filled in the next step. I call it C-shape. The pattern is both mirrored and rotated, so that the empty field is straight ahead. To qualify for this rule, the empty field cannot be the end corner. If there is a C-shape, we don't need to check other rules.







- Movement near the edge: In the example, we cannot step left (3,5), since the (2,5) field is empty.




- A 2 x 3 empty area next to the live end that is walled by three sides (2-3-2 long) will have a future line going through along the walls. At the wall next to the main line, its direction is the opposite of the main line, meaning it will go from (3,2) upwards whereas the main line just took a step downwards. How the middle field will be filled is not yet known. Either the near end (the one the main line will go through first) or the far end can fill it.



And when none of the 1-distance situations are valid, we check for 2-distance.






- When we are two distance away from the edge, we need to check if stepping towards it is possible.

It is because if we do so, an enclosed area is created, with one way to go out of it. If that area has an impair amount of cells, it cannot be filled, so we cannot take that step.


The explanation is simple: Imagine if the table was a chess board. In order to step from white to black, you would need to take an impair amount of steps - the color changes at every step. Here, the entry of the area would be (4,3) and the exit (5,3). An impair amount of steps means pair amount of cells.

In the example, you can also say that we cannot step right, because there is a future line start 2 to straight and an end 2 to straight and 2 to right. On 7 x 7, there will be examples where this is the rule we have to apply, because area counting is not getting triggered:




▪ But let's start with the simpler rules:


- Future line extension: When a near end is at 2 distance left or right from the live end, it will fill the field between them if the live end steps elsewhere. That's what happened in the 5 x 5 example above before the line failed.



In other situations, there is a 1-thin future line next to the live end that can be extended if its far end is at the corner. Though disabling this rule does not affect the total amount of walkthroughs on a 7 x 7 grid, I chose to include it in the project on the basis that if a future line can be extended, we should do it. It can make a considerable difference. The left picture is without the rule, the right is with it.



- Just like moving near the edge, we need to disable some fields if we are approaching an older section of the main line. In order to determine on which side the enclosed area is created, we need to examine the direction of the line at the connection point.



- And these are the remaining size-specific rules. Future 2 x 2 Start End, Future 2 x 3 Start End and Future 3 x 3 Start End.


The program, in fast mode, can run through approximately 100 cases per second, depending on your computer speed. This enables us to discover all 7 x 7 walkthroughs, which is 111 712. It is equal to what is described in the Online Encyclopedia of Integer Series (Number of simple Hamiltonian paths connecting opposite corners of a  $2n+1 \times 2n+1$  grid).

As the sizes grow, it will be impossible to run through all cases with one computer in a reasonable time. In order to discover the patterns, we need to run the program randomly.

Is it possible to develop an algorithm that works for all sizes? The edge-related and area-counting rules are universal, but the size-specific rules get more and more complex. Can you define them with one statement?

I have made statistics about how many random walkthroughs you can complete on different grids using the 7 x 7-specific and the universal rules before running into an error. Based on 1000 attempts, here are the results:

- 9: 19.5
- 11: 5.7
- 13: 2.6
- 15: 1.2
- 17: 0.7
- 19: 0.4
- 21: 0.2




The procedure is similar to the the straight 2-distance rule. The only difference is that we count the area starting and ending at the marked fields. In the first, the direction of the circle is left, in the second right.


Besides mirroring them, we also have to rotate them both counter-clockwise and clockwise.

But we do not need 12 of such rules. Taking the first, the live end cannot come from the left, because the area parity was already checked in the previous step, and now we just added 2 fields to it. It can come from the right, and then there is naturally only one field we might have to disable.


Here are the representations of the two scenarios for the left side:




Similarly to the straight rules, these will only apply if there is no wall 2 distance to the left or right. Let's construct these preconditions.




We are not finished. Did you notice the example above is not covered by these rules? We have to move the taken fields 1 and 2 steps to the side, both in straight and side direction.





When any of the straight 2-distance rules are present, we don't need to check the side rules or the area created with the border. This is not entirely proven, but take these 9 x 9 examples:




And these are the rest of the rules:




- This is what I started the 7 x 7 introduction with. I will call it Future L.





It would be a mistake to disable the right field.


So we need to check if an enclosed has been created on that side, but counting the area is unnecessary. Nevertheless, we can represent the rule this way, setting the circle direction to right:





The code generator will examine if the count area start and end fields are 1 or 2 distance apart. In the first case, it will only determine in which direction the taken field straight ahead is going to, and if it is right, the forbidden field will take effect.

You may ask, why that field is "taken", not "taken or border". From what I found through some examples, if that field is border, the enclosed area on the right is impair, so the line cannot step in the other direction anyway. But it needs further examination.

To discover 9-specific patterns, I run the program keeping it left as long as the time to get to the first error is too big. After that, I will run it randomly. The first 13 826 walkthroughs are completed before we encounter a situation. It is similar to the last one we discovered on 7 x 7:



Let's simplify the pattern. Which will be impossible to fill?




It is the picture on the left. Since the yellow-bordered area is impair, adding the (4,2) (4,3) (4,4) fields will be pair. We enter the area at (4,4), so we will exit at (4,3). Now we enter the 3 x 3 area in the top left corner at its side, (3,3) and will exit at (2,4). The results is two C-shapes on each side:






One certain situation reveals the incorrectness of the 7-rules when it comes to a 9-grid. In the following example, when I apply a rule rotated, it will disable a field that would otherwise be viable.




Rotating was not necessary to start with on 7 x 7, because no such situation occurred.



We can see that defining a rule with future line starts and ends does not tell us on which side the future line was created. That is the side that contains the enclosed area. We need to therefore replace such rules with area counting, which we actually already did, with the exception of Future L. Here the future line couldn't have been created on the other side, because that's the side the live end is at right now. And area counting is not always possible, like in this situation:

The next error, at 14 004 has something to do with how I defined the universal rules of approaching an older section of the line, it needs to be reworked in light of the C-shape the main line can create with the border.




We need to take a few steps back, and then we can create the rule. It is similar to the universal 2-distance rule on the side, it just checks the field 2 behind and 1 to the side too. Even though the area counted is pair, now stepping to the right is disabled.






18 19

A 10x10 grid with a black path and blue obstacles. The path starts at (0,0), goes right to (3,0), down to (3,3), right to (3,4), down to (3,7), right to (6,7), up to (6,4), left to (6,3), up to (6,2), left to (6,1), up to (6,0), left to (5,0), down to (5,1), left to (5,2), up to (5,3), left to (5,4), up to (5,5), left to (5,6), up to (5,7), left to (5,8), up to (5,9), left to (4,9), down to (4,8), left to (4,7), up to (4,6), left to (4,5), up to (4,4), left to (4,3), up to (4,2), left to (4,1), up to (4,0), left to (3,0). The obstacles are blue squares at (0,1), (1,1), (2,1), (3,1), (4,1), (5,1), (6,1), (7,1), (8,1), (9,1), (0,2), (1,2), (2,2), (3,2), (4,2), (5,2), (6,2), (7,2), (8,2), (9,2), (0,3), (1,3), (2,3), (3,3), (4,3), (5,3), (6,3), (7,3), (8,3), (9,3), (0,4), (1,4), (2,4), (3,4), (4,4), (5,4), (6,4), (7,4), (8,4), (9,4), (0,5), (1,5), (2,5), (3,5), (4,5), (5,5), (6,5), (7,5), (8,5), (9,5), (0,6), (1,6), (2,6), (3,6), (4,6), (5,6), (6,6), (7,6), (8,6), (9,6), (0,7), (1,7), (2,7), (3,7), (4,7), (5,7), (6,7), (7,7), (8,7), (9,7), (0,8), (1,8), (2,8), (3,8), (4,8), (5,8), (6,8), (7,8), (8,8), (9,8), (0,9), (1,9), (2,9), (3,9), (4,9), (5,9), (6,9), (7,9), (8,9), (9,9).





Any of the far straight rules (straight, mid across and across as I call them, depending on the horizontal distance of the obstacle) on the left side can be combined with any of those on the right side when the enclosed area is going to the same direction - left for left side and right for right side. And the same is true when the pattern is rotated to the left or right side. As far as programming concerned, it just needed a rework of the universal rules, we didn't need to make completely new ones.


As we run the program further, we will discover this at 227 200:



Intuitively, we can draw up the square, and let's mark the exit as well. There can be loops on the upper, lower and right side, they have no importance when tracing it back to the live end. There is only one way to go through.





233 810 will look like:




Once we step to A, it is unavoidable to get to B before entering the outlined area. It is because we can only reach B from the left or the bottom. The area is impair, therefore we cannot complete it starting in C and ending in D.


If we omit the C field from the area, the area becomes pair. It is clear that the start and end field being across each other, a pair amount of fields cannot be filled. We must therefore enter the area now.




The same concept we encounter at 635 301, only the C-shape is created when we enter an area, on the other side of it.




We have seen this in the third 9 x 9 rule. There the taken field next to the exit was in middle across position, and now it is across. And we also need to think about an obstacle straight ahead. Here are the original universal rules and their modifications.  
Straight, circle direction left:



At 349 215, we find this:




Though a double C-shape has been created in backwards direction, it indicates that the area on the right cannot be filled either.  
We have made a similar rule previously. Now we need to simplify it.



The area now has to be impair for the right direction to be forbidden.  
Essentially, we just added the three extra fields to the pair area.


A 5x5 grid world environment. The robot is at (1, 1) and the goal is at (4, 4). Obstacles are located at (1, 3), (1, 4), (2, 3), (2, 4), (3, 3), (3, 4), (4, 3), (4, 4), (4, 5), and (5, 4). The robot's path is shown as a blue line, and the goal is marked with a green 'X'.

Let's mark the original example as a checkerboard.




We enter at a black field and exit at black too, so the number of black fields should be one more than the number of white fields. Here there are 14 black fields and 15 white. That's why the area cannot be filled. The up and right directions need to be disabled, so we can only step left.


This is the rule representation. The reddish arealine now means the arealine is impair, and we know that the entry and exit points are the arealine start and end fields.







Circle direction right:



Side, with taken fields above and below:






I have made some changes by adding some empty fields in side positions, so they are the same as the straight rules, just rotated.

Also, I have added the side across down rule and changed the straight across rule accordingly. Not only fields next to each other can define an area, they can be across too. In that case, if the area originally was marked impair, now it has to be pair.

Notice that in side rules, when the taken field that would create the C-shape is below the obstacle creating the area, it can be a border field too. We have seen an example of that previously.

Now what if both the start and end C-conditions are true? We can construct this on 13 x 13:




Several walkthrough attempts will leave you thinking why you cannot fill the area once obstacle responsible for the start C-shape is created (A). The area enclosed by A, B and C is pair. So when you enter it at A or B (obviously C is not a possibility), in order to exit at C, you need to leave out an impair amount of fields from the area. In case of entering at B, you cannot leave out A, but when you enter at A, you can leave out B, and no more than that. Now the area will be impair.

The minimal area would be stepping left from A, left again, up and up to get to C. You have covered 5 fields.


In order to make a walkthroughable area, you would need to extend it by pairs of fields next to each other, like D and E. One will be filled at a pair amount of steps, the other at an impair amount.




The rule will now look like this:




740 039 is a slight modification.




The only difference is, that the obstacle is 3-distance away. With the area being impair, if we enter at A, we must exit at C. What if we omit D from the area? Then the area will be pair, so we must exit at B, and the only way to get there is from C. And if D is included, we can only step to C from there. Either way, we step away from the area beyond D, so the rule will be:



And now the walkthrough is possible.




Continuing the 9 x 9 program, we get this at 641 019:




If you enter the pair area straight ahead, you will exit at A, and you need to turn towards B because of the C-shape. Now you cannot go in and out of the area enclosed by C, and the situation would be the same if that obstacle was in D.

|   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|
|   |   | ← |   |   |
|   | ↖ |   |   |   |
|   | □ |   |   |   |
|   | ⊗ |   |   |   |
| ⊗ | — | → | ↖ | ↓ |


So we get the 2-distance across rule, the straight 3-distance rule to prevent a double C-shape, and the square constellation with 3 areas. All of them are rotated clockwise or counter-clockwise.




34 35




The rule will be now symmetrical. It is similar to the square obstacle pattern.




The same concept we encounter at 725 325. We have seen this previously, just with C-shape, not an area.




On 17 x 17, we can construct a situation where the obstacle across the stair is 2 behind and 2 to right. As the table size increases, the stair-obstacle narrowing can move infinite distance away from the live end. That's why it is important to group these rules as one.




811 808:




Recognize it is a variation of the square obstacle pattern where instead of an area, there is a C-shape at the rule's upper edge.




1 261 580:




Again, same pattern with area. The upper obstacle is now moved, but it will satisfy the previous examples too. The rule replaces the old one.





2 022 337 is getting stuck because of the stair-shaped walls that force the future line along them. Therefore, an area is created with only one field to go in and out of it. What is the solution?




Though not as universal as we want it to be, this will solve this specific situation:




And soon, at 2 022 773 we encounter a similar one:




8 076 012 builds upon the existing rule where C-shapes are created on both sides if we enter an impair area.




Here, a C-shape at the start would force the line to enter the area.




We have all the tools to handle 2 034 575.




It is an impair area where the number of the starting field's color is less than the other color.



Next stop is at 3 224 847.




A pair area is created with the obstacle 3 distance away, so if we step into it, we will exit at the middle, but because of an area, we cannot step there.





Should the left field be disabled too? Yes. We still have to exit at the middle, but the count area start and end field cannot be filled simultaneously.



From our experience, the area can be substituted with C-shape.




3 225 432 is a variation of the impair area imbalance rules we have seen before.




19 718 148 is a slight modification of 2 022 773 where there is an area instead of a C-shape straight ahead.




We encounter a new constellation of 3 areas in 23 310 321 where the exit is next to the live end.




Soon we get a similar situation, only here it is the imbalance of pair and impair fields that is to blame.




If we step to A, we cannot step left and therefore must continue to B (or right). From B, the only possibility is C, but the 5 x 3 area is not just impair, there is less of the C-parity field than the other. In the rule, I introduced a new field that indicates the entry point; this has always been the start field until now.




At 19 717 655 the program stops.



Obviously, we cannot step straight, but had we extended the future line until the end corner, the situation would not have occurred and we would have just got this:



Though the algorithm including the reliance on the future lines is just as solvable, we miss patterns and therefore narrow the spectrum of the discoverable rules. We would eventually discover the patterns as we increase the table, but why not gain the most out of the 9 x 9 study? From now on, future lines are treated as a visible aid, but they do not play a role in deciding which fields are available for the next move. When a possible field is within the body of a future line, the program should stop.





It is not the only thing. So far, when we entered a future line, the program just followed it without checking the possibilities for the next step. This behaviour needs to be changed too. Future lines are no longer needed, and we should restart the 9 x 9 walkthroughs.


For now, here is the solution to this and the next cases:

|   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|   | ← | → | ↗ | ↑ |   |   |
|   | ↗ |   |   |   | + |   |
|   | ✗ |   |   |   | → |   |
| ✗ | ↓ |   |   |   | ↗ |   |
|   |   | + |   |   |   | → |





The two empty fields in the middle will not be filled if the don't enter the impair area now.





When restarting the run with the new approach, we will find that some walkthroughs were missed previously. The 10 000 th path is slightly younger than before. It is not because the future lines had been drawn incorrectly, but because the 7 x 7 rules that I used in the beginning were not precise for this size.



In the following section I list the 9 x 9 rules in chronological order. The patterns are not introduced when they are first recognized, but when they are first needed, meaning that they disable fields that the other rules don't. And the disabled fields have to be empty.

Still, the number of completed walkthroughs before the appereance of the rule may not be the same as the number of those before getting stuck in the lack of that rule. If the rule disables a field right to a possible field, the left branch would run through first.



462, Double Area C-Shape





1 861, Double C-Shape





9 121, Count Area 2 Across





22 328, Straight Mid Across 3 End Area





2 023 198, Double Area Stair 2





2 034 435, Mid Mid Across 3 Determined (and Mid Across 3 Impair Determined)





25 153, Straight Across End C





227 130, Square 4 x 2 C-Shape





231 960, Square 4 x 2 Area





740 129, Straight Across 3 End Area




740 363, Triple Area





2 022 763, Double Area Stair




19 720 614, Double Area Stair Area




23 350 320 is new, but it shows similarity to the Mid Across 3 Impair Determined rule. As the double C-shape reveals, it is about pair/impair field imbalance.








2 059 934, Mid Across 3 Impair Determined



8 076 202, Straight Mid Across 3 End C







Here, there are one more white field than black. To fill the area, 1 line of impair length would be needed that starts and ends on white, but if the enter the area now, the corner black could not have been filled. Now there are 2 less black fields available for the rest of the area, but making two lines starting and ending on white is impossible, there are not that many white fields on the boundary.

Needless to say that we cannot enter later either, there is only one white field on the boundary.

If there are even fewer black fields relative to the white, the situation is the same.


If we step left in the impair area, we can only exit at the count area middle field, but there is one less field of that type than the other.

And no fields can be omitted from the area for entry and exit later.

When the count area start field + middle field is omitted (subtracting a pair amount of cells from the area), the possible exit is the count area end field, which has a different parity than the field to the left.

When the count area middle + end field is omitted, the possible exit is the count area start field, which has again different parity.

By now, we are able to group some rules and even solve the original 21 x 21 example. Previously, we have covered all of the cases where an obstacle is 2 distance away from the live end. Let's examine distances of 3, 4 and so on in this constellation:



Besides the count area fields being empty, here I also assume that the left field and the field below the obstacle is also empty. In the future, this might have to be changed and new constellations added.


Knowing the difference between the number of pair and impair fields, we can make a decision in some cases.

The diagram illustrates a 3D convolution operation on a grid. The input is a 5x5x3 volume (depth, height, width). A 3x3x3 kernel is applied to a 3x3x3 sub-volume of the input. The output is a 1x1x3 volume. The diagram uses a grid to represent the spatial dimensions and color coding to distinguish between the input, kernel, and output volumes.

62 63



If  $\text{black} = \text{white} - 2$ :



Two white to white lines would be needed, but there are only 3 white fields on the boundary, and none is the corner.

Without finding concrete examples, at 5 distance I only draw the boundary and go through the different possibilities.




**black = white + 2**

If we enter now and finish at black, only two black fields remain. Drawing two black to black lines is not possible, so this direction has to be disabled. We can enter later, go through the corner and draw another black to black line.

At 4 distance (and in any case), we still don't have a problem filling an area that has equal number of black and white fields.

When the number of black fields are two more than the whites (16 and 14):



Two black to black lines would be needed to fill the area. Apart from the black in the corner, there is only one black field on the boundary.

A 10x10 grid with a red path and a black path. The red path starts at the top-left corner (0,0) and moves right to (9,0), then down to (9,9), then left to (0,9), and finally down to (0,0). The black path starts at (0,0), moves right to (4,0), then down to (4,4), then right to (4,5), then down to (4,9), then left to (0,9), and finally down to (0,0). The black path is a subset of the red path, following a more direct route through the center of the grid.

**This direction therefore has to be disabled.**

66

67

#### 4) Impair distance, pair black and impair white: 3, 7 etc.

If we enter now and exit at black,  $B / 2$  black lines are possible.


If we exit at white: Similarly to the first of the four cases, a black to black edge will remain on one side. Drawing  $(W-1) / 2$  more white lines is either not possible, or if we do so, the corner black may make up a black to black line, decreasing the difference.



When entering later,  $B / 2$  and  $(W-1) / 2$  are the numbers. Since they match the above, no rule is applied.

Check the original 21 x 21 example. Two steps back, there will be 9 distance with the wall to the left. The number of black fields on the edge is 5, therefore there cannot be 3 more black fields in the area than white, but counting them, they are 51 and 48.

Does this procedure apply to any of the size-specific rules? Not exactly, but let's look them through. Here are all of them that deal with black and white field imbalance:



$$\text{black} = \text{white} + 3$$

There is not enough black fields for three black to black lines.

$$\text{black} = \text{white} + 1$$

We can enter now, finish on a black field and draw another black to black line. Or enter later.

$$\text{black} = \text{white} - 1$$

A white to white line is possible in either case.

$$\text{black} = \text{white} - 2$$

We cannot enter now, because even if we end on a white field, only one white remains, and that is not the corner. And cannot enter later either.

The same procedure applies at 6 distance.



#### **black = white + 2**

The number of black fields is the same as previously, so entering now is not possible.

black = white + 3 is impossible.

black = white + 1 and black = white - 1 is possible.

#### **black = white - 2**

Entering now is possible if we step upwards and exit at the neighbouring white field. Two white fields remains.

But we cannot enter later and make two white to white lines using three white fields.

From now on, we can distinguish between four cases:

**1) Pair distance, pair black and white** (indicated by B and W): 4, 8, 12 etc. distance

If we enter now and exit on black, B-1 black field remains on the border. B-1 is impair, and the corner alone can make a black to black line. Hence  $(B-2) / 2 + 1 = B / 2$  black to black line would be possible, but...

- if the first line finishes at the corner black, the opportunity for it to make a single line is lost, and the remaining B-1 black fields cannot make  $B / 2$  black lines.

- if it finishes on any other black field, on one side there will be a section that has white fields on both ends (below the greenish fields were taken by the first line)



A black to black line cannot have three white fields on the border (unless more black fields were used up).

So there can be at most  $B / 2 - 1$  black to black lines.

If we enter now and exit on white, W-1 white fields remain, so  $1 + (W-2) / 2 = W / 2$  white to white lines is possible.

If we enter later, the number of possible black to black lines is at most  $B / 2$ , and the white ones  $W / 2$ .

Our rule will look like this: If the number of black fields in the area is greater or equal than the number of white fields plus  $B / 2$ , we cannot enter now.

**2) Pair distance, impair black and white:** 6, 10 etc.

If we enter now and exit at black,  $(B-1) / 2$  black to black lines can be drawn.

If we exit at white,  $(W+1) / 2$  white to white lines can be drawn. (We have to move to the corner black and exit at the first white during the first line)

If we enter later,  $(B+1) / 2$  and  $(W-1) / 2$  black and white lines are possible, respectively.

The rule is double:

- If the number of black fields is greater or equal than the number of whites plus  $(B+1) / 2$ , we cannot enter now.

- If the number of white fields is greater or equal than the number of blacks plus  $(W+1) / 2$ , we have to enter now.

**3) Impair distance, impair black and pair white:** 5, 9 etc.

If we enter now and exit at black,  $(B-1) / 2$  black lines are possible.

If we exit at white,  $W/2$  white lines are possible.

If we enter later, the number of black lines can be up to  $(B+1)/2$ , the whites  $W/2$


Single rule: When the difference is at least  $(B+1)/2$ , we cannot enter now.

In case of 7, there are 4 fields added to the 3-distance example. We would expect that in case of 2W, we cannot enter later, but now, 2W is possible even when entering later, because one line will be the corner white, and the other can go between the other two white fields, taking up all the blacks along the way.

From now on, increasing the numbers by 1 for every 4 distance increase will work.

The next thing to do is the horizontal increase.

3 distance:




The picture on the left is the representation we have used so far. However, we cannot exit at the black in the middle, and when we exit at one of the whites, the other is only accessible for immediate entry. Therefore, I will add the extra field. If there is a taken field anywhere ahead acting as the obstacle, we can get to it by drawing a straight line and a stair.

Now: 0W -> 2B


Later: 1B -> 2B

If we used all 3 black corners for a separate line, we would not enter the area.



As a reminder, they indicate impair areas, and in the first two case if the count area start field (black) type is not 1 field more in the area, we cannot enter later. In the fourth, the field marked with + (white) is the type that needs to be 1 more than black, otherwise stepping forward is forbidden.

I will take the second rule under examination as it contains both a horizontal and vertical offset.



If we enter now:

- We can exit at the end white, so 1 white line is possible.
- We can exit at the black farthest away and then make a line using the black field closest. 1 black line is possible.

I will mark it like this: 1W -> 1B


If we enter later:

- Having two black fields, 1 black line is possible.
- There is only one white field. It sits in a corner, but the two black fields will give 1 black line. 0 white line is possible.

0W -> 1B

So if there are 1 more white fields in the area than black (1W), we cannot enter later.

Now let's increase the vertical distance.




If we enter now, 1 white line to 2 black lines are possible. There are 2 black fields on a corner.

1W -> 2B  
Later, 0 white line and 2 black lines can be drawn.  
0W -> 2B


Conclusion: in case of 1W, we cannot enter later.

5 distance:




Now: 2W -> 1B  
Later: 1W -> 2B  
2W: cannot enter later  
2B: cannot enter now

6 distance:



Now: 1W -> 2B  
Later: 1W -> 3B  
3B: cannot enter now

7 distance:



Now: 2W -> 2B  
Later: 2W -> 2B  
No rule.

x = 1:  
 Now: 0W -> 0B  
 We cannot enter later.

x = 2:  
 Now: 0W -> 1B  
 Later: 1B


x = 3:  
 Now: 0W -> 2B  
 Later: 1B -> 2B

x = 4:  
 Now: 0W -> 3B  
 Later: 1B -> 3B

x = n:  
 Now: 0W -> (n-1)B  
 Later: 1B -> (n-1)B  
 There is an x amount of corner blacks, but we need to enter the area as well.

Conclusion: if the white and black fields in the area are equal, we cannot enter later.

4 distance:




Now: 2W -> 0B

If we exited at the nearest white after entering, we have either not entered the area or not filled the black field.

Later: 2W -> 0B

5 distance:




Now: 0W -> 3B

If we reserved the 3 black corners, the only way to end the first line on black is to move downwards after entry.


Later: 0W -> 3B

6 distance:



Now: 3W -> 1B  
Similarly, we need to move down after entry in order to finish at the second black field, leaving the first for itself.  
Later: 3W -> 1B


7 distance:



Now: 1W -> 3B  
Later: 0W -> 4B  
There cannot be one white line, because out of the first three black fields only 2 would be filled.

Notice that as we added 4 distance to 3, now both the white and the black end of the ranges have increased by one.

But we are not finished, we still need to examine the distance of 8.




Now: 4W -> 1B  
After entry, we need to move up to fill the corner black and exit at the first white field.  
At 4 distance, only 2W was possible, but now we can exit at the first white and fill the area when entering at the second and exiting at the third.  
Later: 3W -> 1B

After this practice, let's calculate how many white and black lines we can draw when we have an obstacle x and y distance away.

There are three cases to look at.

1. Equal horizontal and vertical distance




There are an x number of black fields on the area boundary.



$$(x-1)W \rightarrow 0B$$


$$2n + 1 = 3, n = 1:$$





The black line count is now 1, but we need to step downwards and finish at the first white and second black in order to have the corner black available.  
xW -> 1B

Later: xW -> 1B

$$2n + 1 = 5, n = 2:$$



$$(x+1)W \rightarrow 1B$$

## 2. Larger horizontal distance



**If the added distance is pair:**


$2n = 2:$



0W -> xB

0W -> xB

$2n = 4$ :




Now: 1W is now possible by ending at the second white, and everything can be filled on the way, while  $(x+1)B$  is not possible. Two inline blacks remain after taking off all the corner blacks, and we have to use one of them to exit the first line.

$1W \rightarrow xB$

Later:  $1W \rightarrow (x+1)B$

The line connecting the two inline blacks can fill the rest of the area.

$2n = 6$ :



Now: If the first line goes through the first black and exits at the first white, the second line can go between the remaining two whites. As far as the blacks concerned, if we exit at the second black, we can use the third and fourth for a line, plus the  $x$  amount of corners.  $2W \rightarrow (x+1)B$

- Later: Because of three inline white fields, one white line is possible. For blacks, we can use all the corners and two out of the three inline blacks.  $1W \rightarrow (x+1)B$

We don't need more examples.


For  $2n$  added fields, there will be  $n$  amount of inline white fields.

If we enter now,  $(n+1 - (n+1) \% 2) / 2$  white lines can be drawn if  $n > 1$ . The black line count is all the corners minus one for finishing the first line, plus one for each remaining pair.  $x + (n-1 - (n-1) \% 2) / 2$

For entering later, the white line count is  $(n - n \% 2) / 2$ , and the black line count is  $x + (n - n \% 2) / 2$ .


**If the added distance is impair:**

- $2n + 1 = 1, n = 0$ :



Now: If we end the first line at the first white, we could not have filled the corner black and the area simultaneously.  $xW$  is therefore not possible, but  $(x-1)W$  is. And since there is only one black field, the black line count will be 0.  $(x-1)W \rightarrow 0B$

$2n = 6$ :



Now:  $2W \rightarrow (x+1)B$

Later:  $1W \rightarrow (x+1)B$

When entering now, the general formula will be  $(n+1 - (n+1) \% 2) / 2$  white and  $x + (n-1 - (n-1) \% 2) / 2$  black.

The later case is the same as previously,  $(n - n \% 2) / 2$  for white and  $x + (n - n \% 2) / 2$  for black.

Later:  $xW \rightarrow 2B$


From now on, the calculations are the following:

If we enter now,  $x + (n - n \% 2) / 2$  white lines can be drawn if  $n > 0$ .

The number of black fields is  $n+1$ , and when we use up one to finish the first line,  $n$  amount remains, one of which is a corner. Add one to make pairs, and the formula will be  $(n+1 - (n+1) \% 2) / 2$ .


When entering later, the white line count is  $x-1 + (n+1 - (n+1) \% 2) / 2$ , and the black line count is  $(n+2 - (n+2) \% 2) / 2$  if  $n > 0$ .

### 3. Larger vertical distance



If the added distance is pair:


$2n = 2:$



Now: It is possible to exit at the white field, having filled everything. The previous step must have been the last black.  
When counting the blacks, we exit the first line at the first black field above the stair, and x amount of corner blacks will remain.  
 $1W \rightarrow xB$

Later: Same as the  $2n = 2$  case previously.  
 $0W \rightarrow xB$

$2n = 4:$




Now: There cannot be more than 1W. And the black line count is unchanged too. One of the two inline blacks will be used for completing the first line, and one remains plus x amount of corner.  
 $1W \rightarrow xB$


Later:  $1W \rightarrow (x+1)B$

The only difference is the added 2x2 area. To the first, we apply the straight-to-side algorithm, while at the second, we have a corner that defines the area, but essentially, the procedure is the same.

Having the universal algorithm, these two size-specific rules can be deleted:




As we continue the case above, soon we will discover a deficiency which actually has been visible all along.




**If the added distance is impair:**

$$2n + 1 = 1, n = 0:$$



Now: 1W -> (x-1)B  
Later: (see horizontal case) 0W -> (x-1)B

$$2n + 1 = 3, n = 1:$$



Now: 2W -> (x-1)B  
Later: 1W -> xB

Now: The corner white always gives 1. Then make pairs with the remaining inline whites plus the white field we are stepping first.


$$1 + (n+1 - (n+1) \% 2) / 2$$

For the blacks, one of the inline black fields will be taken by the first line. We can then make pairs with the remaining inline blacks and add the corners.

$$x - 1 + (n - n \% 2) / 2.$$

Later: The white line count is  $1 + (n - n \% 2) / 2$  if  $n > 0$ , and the black line count is  $x - 1 + (n+1 - (n+1) \% 2) / 2$ .

Next, we will look at the corner discovery algorithm.





If the field at 1 horizontal and 1 vertical distance is empty, we check the next field in the row until we find a taken or border field.

Then we walk through the quarter until we get to 0 horizontal distance.


If, at any point we step right and then up, a corner field is found. If it is going down and left, the area is on the side we want, and we can apply the rule to it.

Compare these two cases:




|  |   |   |
|--|---|---|
|  |   | ← |
|  | ↖ |   |
|  | □ |   |
|  | + |   |
|  | ↗ | × |
|  |   | — |


The following rules are active, in addition to the universal one that disables the left field:



The straight-to-side algorithm has to be rotated upwards and mirrored, so we get this:



96      93



$D \text{ (distance)} \% 4 = 3$ :

Now:  $1W \rightarrow 0B$ ,  $2W \rightarrow 1B$  etc. =  $(D+1)/4 W \rightarrow (D-3)/4 B$   
 Later:  $0W \rightarrow 1B$ ,  $1W \rightarrow 2B$  etc. =  $(D-3)/4 W \rightarrow (D+1)/4 B$   
 (double rule)

$D \% 4 = 0$ :

Now:  $1W \rightarrow 0B$ ,  $2W \rightarrow 1B$  etc. =  $D/4 W \rightarrow D/4 - 1 B$   
 Later:  $1W \rightarrow 1B$ ,  $2W \rightarrow 2B$  etc. =  $D/4 W \rightarrow D/4 B$   
 (single rule)


$D \% 4 = 1$ :

Now:  $1W \rightarrow 1B$ ,  $2W \rightarrow 2B$  etc. =  $(D-1)/4 W \rightarrow (D-1)/4 B$   
 Later:  $1W \rightarrow 1B$ ,  $2W \rightarrow 2B$  etc. =  $(D-1)/4 W \rightarrow (D-1)/4 B$   
 (no rule)

$D \% 4 = 2$ :

Now:  $2W \rightarrow 1B$ ,  $3W \rightarrow 2B$  etc. =  $(D+2)/4 W \rightarrow (D-2)/4 B$   
 Later:  $1W \rightarrow 1B$ ,  $2W \rightarrow 2B$  etc. =  $(D-2)/4 W \rightarrow (D-2)/4 B$   
 (single rule)

And as we step back, we find the point where the line should move in another direction.





I will now take the case of x horizontal and y vertical distance big area.  
 All representations are the same as in the small area case, only the area is on the other side.  
 So I will just summarize the black and white limits here.

### 1. Equal horizontal and vertical distance

x = 2:

Now: 0W -> 1B

Later: 1B

x = 3:

Now: 0W -> 2B

Later: 1B -> 2B

x = n:

Now: 0W -> (n-1)B

Later: 1B -> (n-1)B

Exactly the same as with the small area.

### 2. Larger horizontal distance

#### If the added distance is pair:

2n = 2:

Now: 1W is possible. The entry field and the first white is at least 2 distance from each other, the whole area can be filled between them.

1W -> xB

Later: All corner blacks can be used. The line between the first black and first white will fill the area.


0W -> xB


The number of black fields is one more than the whites. Without the size-specific rule, we could step straight. Let me remind you that this rule is based upon the Double C-Shape. If we stepped straight and then into the area, we would come out in the middle, creating two C-shapes.

What this actually means is that there would be two fields of the same color that cannot be filled simultaneously.

If we now extended the area to include the 4 fields straight ahead, there would be still one more black than white, and by stepping straight to a white field, it is clear that a black to black line cannot be drawn.

An extension of the universal rule is necessary to include cases of a "big" area where the obstacle is on the other side of the live end.





$D \% 4 = 3$ :

Now:  $1W \rightarrow 0B = (D+1)/4 \ W \rightarrow (D-3)/4 \ B$

Later:  $1W \rightarrow 0B = (D+1)/4 \ W \rightarrow (D-3)/4 \ B$   
(no rule)

$D \% 4 = 0$ :

Now:  $1W \rightarrow 0B = D/4 \ W \rightarrow D/4 - 1 \ B$

Later:  $1W \rightarrow 1B = D/4 \ W \rightarrow D/4 \ B$   
(single rule)

$D \% 4 = 1$ :

Now:  $2W \rightarrow 0B = (D+3)/4 \ W \rightarrow (D-5)/4 \ B$

Later:  $1W \rightarrow 1B = (D-1)/4 \ W \rightarrow (D-1)/4 \ B$   
(double rule)


$D \% 4 = 2$ :

Now:  $2W \rightarrow 1B = (D+2)/4 \ W \rightarrow (D-2)/4 \ B$


If the distance is 2, the area has to be  $W = B$  if we enter now by stepping straight.

Later:  $1W \rightarrow 1B = (D-2)/4 \ W \rightarrow (D-2)/4 \ B$   
(single rule)

While this will not solve the case above (we are not able to step left), we can construct one where it is of use when the Double C-Shape Determined rule is turned off.




We can now continue working out all scenarios.



So far we have solved all cases indicated by green:


- 0 vertical distance small area
- x horizontal and y vertical distance small area
- 0 horizontal distance small area
- 0 horizontal distance big area

On the left, the largest area contains 1 more white field than black, and on the right it is 2 more black. It would be possible if the upper left corner was filled, like this:



So it is not any of the small area rules and neither the 0 horizontal distance big area rule that has something to do with it.

One thing is sure, we have been using the small area representations when defining the rule set, which does not give us the minimal area in this case. See the difference:



Can it be a problem?

We will see, but let's look at one detail: If we step upwards, we have to step left to fill the corner white, otherwise it is only good for an exit, which we do not want if the area contains 1 more white fields than black (for the left representation).

After this, we step upwards and then left again. We did not enter the area.

General:

The only difference is the  $n = 1$  case. Otherwise, the number of inline and corner fields are the same.

Now:  $(n+1 - (n+1) \% 2) / 2 W \rightarrow x + (n-1 - (n-1) \% 2) / 2 B$

Later:  $(n - n \% 2) / 2 W \rightarrow x + (n - n \% 2) / 2 B$

**If the added distance is impair:**

$2n + 1 = 1, n = 0:$

Now:  $xW$  is possible.

$xW \rightarrow 0B$

Later: Same values as previously.

$(x-1)W \rightarrow 0B$

$2n + 1 = 3, n = 1:$

Note that in the small area case, there were  $x-1$  corner whites and 1 corner black. Now there are  $x$  amount of corner whites and 2 inline blacks instead of 1.

Now:  $xW \rightarrow 0B$

Later:  $xW \rightarrow 1B$

$2n + 1 = 5, n = 2:$

Now:  $(x+1)W \rightarrow 1B$

Later:  $(x+1)W \rightarrow 1B$

General:

Now:  $x + (n+1 - (n+1) \% 2) / 2 W \rightarrow (n - n \% 2) / 2 B$

Later:  $x + (n - n \% 2) / 2 W$  if  $n > 0 \rightarrow (n+1 - (n+1) \% 2) / 2 B$

### 3. Larger vertical distance

**If the added distance is pair:**

We will find it is the same as the small area.

$2n = 2:$

Now: 1W -> xB

Later: 0W -> xB

$2n = 4:$

Now: 1W -> xB

Later:  $1W \rightarrow (x+1)B$

General:

Now:  $(n+1 - (n+1) \% 2) / 2$  W  $\rightarrow$  x +  $(n-1 - (n-1) \% 2) / 2$  B

Later:  $(n - n \% 2) / 2 \text{ W} \rightarrow x + (n - n \% 2) / 2 \text{ B}$

**If the added distance is impair:**

$$2n + 1 = 1, n = 0:$$

Now:  $1W \rightarrow (x-1)B$

Later:  $0W \rightarrow (x-1)B$

$$2n + 1 = 3, n = 1:$$

Here comes the change again, due to having one more corner black field and one less corner white field than in the small area case.

Now: 1W -> xB

Later: 1W -> xB


General:

Now: If  $n > 0$ , we can use all corner blacks after exiting the first line.

$$(n+2 - (n+2) \% 2) / 2 \text{ W} \rightarrow x + (n-1 - (n-1) \% 2) / 2 \text{ B if } n > 0.$$


Later:  $(n+1 - (n+1) \% 2) / 2$  W  $\rightarrow$   $x + (n - n \% 2) / 2$  B if  $n > 0$ .

While creating a case to verify the newly created rule set, I have encountered this:




It is not possible to continue after stepping upwards.  
But where is the missing part?


C-Shape Next:




0 horizontal distance obstacle, area is  $W = B$ :



1 horizontal distance, 2 vertical distance obstacle, area is  $W = B$ :



1 horizontal distance, 3 vertical distance obstacle, area is  $1B$ :




This can be calculated for any  $x$  and  $y$  distance obstacle, but we are still missing some single field rules.

The 1 added distance case is therefore  $0W \rightarrow (x-1)B$  when entering now by stepping upwards and  $1W \rightarrow (x-1)B$  when stepping right.

To simplify things, I will specify the cases again using the minimal area representation.

Notice that these are the same as the small area patterns, things are just mirrored, so that the previous horizontal expansion is now vertical.


$n = 1$



Now:  $(n+1 - (n+1) \% 2) / 2 W \rightarrow x + (n-1 - (n-1) \% 2) / 2 B$

Later:  $(n - n \% 2) / 2 W \rightarrow x + (n - n \% 2) / 2 B$


$n = 0$



Now:  $1 + (n+1 - (n+1) \% 2) / 2 W \rightarrow x - 1 + (n - n \% 2) / 2 B$

Later:  $1 + (n - n \% 2) / 2 W$  if  $n > 0$  (0 if  $n = 0$ )  $\rightarrow x - 1 + (n+1 - (n+1) \% 2) / 2 B$


$n = 1$



Now:  $(n+1 - (n+1) \% 2) / 2$  W if  $n > 1$  (0 if  $n = 1$ )  $\rightarrow x + (n-1 - (n-1) \% 2) / 2$  B

Later:  $(n - n \% 2) / 2$  W  $\rightarrow x + (n - n \% 2) / 2$  B

$n = 0$




Now:  $x - 1 + (n+2 - (n+2) \% 2) / 2$  W if  $n > 0$  ( $x - 1$  if  $n = 0$ )  $\rightarrow (n+1 - (n+1) \% 2) / 2$  B

Later:  $x - 1 + (n+1 - (n+1) \% 2) / 2$  W  $\rightarrow (n+2 - (n+2) \% 2) / 2$  B if  $n > 0$  (0 if  $n = 0$ )

The difference between stepping up and right still remains in these vertical expansion cases. We didn't have to deal with it at the small area, because the line could not step backwards.

It just means we have to remove the Now W conditions for stepping right.


Now we can continue the case, but we will find that we cannot go past this point:



The picture on the right is the crossroad. If we step upwards, the area can be completed.


- The area between the live end and the corner on the left contains one more black field than white, so the number could be made by stepping left and up, only we cannot step left because of the C-shape.
- To make things general, we need to look at, in which cases is the only possibility to step left with the area being on the left side. If the situation both exist on the left and the right side, we cannot step straight.

Remember we have made rules previously that take both sides into account, like this:



These cases cannot be solved by single area patterns.


As a reminder:



Let's put this into the perspective of our current knowledge.


What happens here is that the 3 x 2 area has a certain exit point, the middle, because the area is pair. Then it will create a C-Shape on one side and an area on the other side with a 1-wide gap.

In general, if we have an area of this shape,




that consists equal amount of black and white fields, and we enter now, we will exit at the black field, and the preceding field could only have been the farthest corner white, and we can continue backwards through all corner whites like this:

Straight obstacle:



Besides all the fields straight ahead, the gray field has to be empty in order to apply the rule.



Notice the area is the same as with the case where the obstacle is one field to the right, but there is a difference:

Look at the 3-distance case. Since the obstacle is straight ahead, if we enter now by stepping left, we cannot exit at the black field, because then one of the whites could not have been filled.

The area can only be filled if it is 1W. (This is the case we previously called Double C-Shape.) Adding 4 extra distance does not make the problem disappear: if we exit at the first black and the previous field was the first white, now we get the 5-distance case with 3 white fields and 2 black. A black to black line is therefore not possible.

These are the new values:

$D \% 4 = 3$ :

Now:  $1W \rightarrow -1B = (D+1)/4$   $W \rightarrow (D-7)/4$   $B$

Later:  $1W \rightarrow 0B = (D+1)/4$   $W \rightarrow (D-3)/4 B$

(single rule)

$$D \% 4 = 1:$$

Now:  $2W \rightarrow 0B = (D+3)/4$   $W \rightarrow (D-5)/4$   $B$



Later:  $1W \rightarrow 0B = (D-1)/4$   $W \rightarrow (D-5)/4$   $B$

(single rule)

Also, pay attention to the 2-distance case. If we enter now by stepping left, 1W is possible. If we step straight, it is 0W. When the distance is 6, there is no difference, because if the step straight, we can exit the area immediately.


For straight left and right cases, the rule can be rotated to left or right.

And here is an example of the x horizontal, 0 vertical distance big area corner. None of the existing cases cover it. The area is impair, so we shouldn't be able to step right.




When we re-run the program on 9 x 9, it will be obvious that covering all the above 9 cases of area checking still does not solve everything.

If we don't apply the first size-specific rule, we get stuck here:






There is an area with a straight border line of maximum 3 fields, with the obstacle being in the upper left corner. The farthest border field cannot be the exit, the line needs to go downwards from it, but another area is created with one of the obstacles on the up side.



The live end can be in 3 different positions in relation to the area. All patterns can be rotated clockwise, but the first can also be rotated counter-clockwise, shown in the fourth picture. I call this group of rules Double Area.

When exiting the first area, we check for mid across and across obstacles on the left side. Why can C-shape checking be omitted? It is already solved by the single area universal rules.

And the left forbidden field in the first rule is already disabled too.



If an area is created with either of the directional obstacles, it cannot be filled.


The algorithm first checks if the two fields to the right are empty. Then, starting from the field straight ahead, it takes each field in the row until an obstacle is encountered. If the horizontal distance of the obstacle is vertical distance + 3, the area is of the desired shape and the 3 empty fields on the top are checked. If the number of black and white fields are equal, the program checks at each corner point on the border if there is a mid across obstacle going to the left, creating a small area on that side.

The whole process is then repeated, increasing the vertical distance, until a non-empty field is found at  $n$  horizontal and  $n + 1$  vertical distance.

The fields on the border (blue line) are of course also checked for being empty.

You could make the process more bulletproof by including the across obstacles at the corner points or the straight obstacle at the top, but is it necessary? It is not proven until we have a case for them.

Previously, we represented it like this:




Reviewing those 2-distance rules, we can see that many of them has a double area (one of which is a C-shape). They cannot be solved with the single area patterns. It is best to re-enable the whole set even if it is a repetition in other cases.

The figure consists of two 10x10 grids. The left grid shows a red shape with a black boundary. The right grid shows the same shape with a checkerboard pattern of red and light gray cells.

The diagram illustrates the step-by-step construction of a 4x4 Latin square. It consists of four 4x4 grids, each representing a stage in the process:


- Grid 1:** Shows the initial state with some cells pre-filled. The top row has an arrow pointing left, an arrow pointing right, an arrow pointing up-right, and an arrow pointing up. The bottom row has a red X and a vertical line.
- Grid 2:** Shows the next step where a plus sign is placed in the top-right cell. The bottom row now has a red X and a vertical line.
- Grid 3:** Shows the next step where a plus sign is placed in the top-right cell. The bottom row now has a red X and a vertical line.
- Grid 4:** Shows the final step where a plus sign is placed in the top-right cell. The bottom row now has a red X and a vertical line.

But let's build the program step by step, based on the discovered 9 x 9 rules. The second case, Triple Area, uses an area where the obstacle is 3 distance away.




Stepping to the left is already disabled in the single area straight obstacle rule. Otherwise, the pattern is rotated, like in the previous case.


The reason the line is not exiting from the corner is that there is one more black field than white:




When checking the conditions for the first area, these are the fields that need to be empty:



Notice that the first two cases can exist simultaneously. Then we examine the smaller area (second case); the obstacle in the first will create a C-shape with the exit of that area and the leftwards step will be disabled by the single area rule:




Can the border line be longer?




If we look at 4 distance: It is true that if the area is 1W and we step forward, only one white field remains on the border, but we can also exit immediately, leaving a 3-long border line and a 0W area. Then, the area can be entered at the second white and exited at the last black.




The next stop is similar in concept (Square 4 x 2 C-Shape and Square 4 x 2 Area): When we exit the first area, there are two close obstacles on either side:




The pattern is rotated counter-clockwise.



Accordingly, there will be 3 ways the rule is rotated.



The first obstacle is not necessarily straight ahead. It can be positioned 1 right, and then we get a C-Shape with it when we exit the area. The best representation of the rule I can give is seen on the right.




When determining whether is it necessary to consider the followings after exiting the area, there is nothing else to do than looking through existing examples or create new ones.


- C-shape left
- Mid across left
- Across left
- Mid across right
- Across right

In the original Triple Area case, we had a Mid across on both sides. In the case above, we have the same, plus we have a C-shape on the left side as well. But at the same time, the Directional Area rule is activated too. So we don't need to include the C-shape into this sequence rule.

But we do need the Across on both sides:




Notice that if the first obstacle placement 4 straight, 1 right, the Directional Area will be activated too at minimal distance.




I have rotated the rule to accomodate both fields that need to be distabled, as well as I added the Across cases for a yellow obstacle. While in theory this is a workable rule, is there a case where the distance to the first obstacle is greater than 3? When I tried to recreate such a scenario, one of the single area rules got in the way. It is okay to deactivate Directional Area until we get stuck because of the lack of it.

Double Area Stair will be a 4-step sequence.



After exiting the first area, we step to the field 2 straight, 1 right (A). There will be a Mid across obstacle on the left side, so we exit that area at the field marked with B. From the C-shape we exit at C where we encounter a C-shape on the left and an Across obstacle on the right. We do not code the 4 steps in the program. We are writing a recursive function that calls itself until it runs into the double obstacle case. Notice, the start area is the same as in Square 4 x 2 C-Shape and Square 4 x 2 Area. So these are also solved by this algorithm.


Double Area Stair 2 - although looking similar - will have a somewhat different solution.




Because of the start area obstacle, only the forward field will be forbidden. If we step left, the entry point to the area will be of different color, and we do not exit next to the obstacle, but at the field marked forbidden. And if we step right, we have a chance to fill the right-side area first.




In the next case, the area is 1W. So if we enter now by stepping straight, we should exit at the last border field. But stepping straight is already disabled.



Similarly, at 4 distance we cannot even recreate a 1B area if there is an obstacle right to the farthest border field.



Now, take a look at the following two cases. The first is the well-known Triple Area Exit Down, at over 18 million, while the other comes at around 51 million.



In the first, we can only step left. In the second, we cannot step left. It is easy to see that the pattern can be longer. If there is a stair shape downwards with 3 fields at the bottom, there will be a stair backwards, which conflicts with the obstacle on the left (D). But there are more things that need to be present:

- There should be an obstacle at 2 distance straight ahead to start with.
- If the B and C weren't taken, the area could be filled.

What is the simplest algorithm to apply?

In the following, I check these fields as empty, taken or directional taken.



[illegible]

|   |   |  |   |
|---|---|--|---|
| ← |   |  |   |
|   | ■ |  | ↑ |
|   | ■ |  |   |
|   | ■ |  |   |
|   | ■ |  |   |
|   | ■ |  |   |
|   | ■ |  |   |

A 10x10 grid with a black path and a blue region. The path starts at the top-left corner (0,0), goes right to (9,0), then down to (9,9), then left to (0,9), and finally up to (0,0). The blue region consists of the following cells: (3,3), (3,4), (4,3), (4,4), (5,3), (5,4), (6,3), (6,4), (7,3), (7,4), (8,3), (8,4), (9,3), (9,4), (9,5), (9,6), (9,7), (9,8), (9,9).

A 10x10 grid with a black path and colored regions. The path starts at the top-left corner (0,0) and ends at the bottom-right corner (9,9). The path is composed of horizontal, vertical, and curved segments. The grid is divided into regions by the path and the colored cells. The regions are colored red, light blue, and light gray. The red regions are the top-left corner, the bottom-left corner, and the bottom-right corner. The light blue regions are the top-right corner, the middle-left corner, and the middle-right corner. The light gray regions are the top-middle, middle, and bottom-middle areas.

A 10x10 grid with a black path and colored regions. The path starts at (0,0), goes right to (9,0), then down to (9,9), then left to (0,9), and finally down to (0,0). The path is composed of straight segments and quarter-circle arcs. The regions between the path and the grid boundaries are colored: red for the outer regions and blue for the inner regions. The red regions are located at the top, bottom, left, and right edges of the grid. The blue regions are located in the center of the grid, forming a cross-like shape.

Figure 1 consists of two 10x10 grids. The left grid shows a blue shape with a black boundary and a red background. The right grid shows the same shape with a red background and a black boundary, with a red square indicating the starting point for the boundary generation.


The four grids illustrate different paths from a start cell (down arrow) to an end cell (up arrow) using only horizontal and vertical moves. Gray cells are obstacles.

- Top Left:** The path starts at (1,1), moves down to (2,1), right to (2,2), down to (3,2), right to (3,3), down to (4,3), right to (4,4), down to (5,4), right to (5,5), down to (6,5), right to (6,6), and finally up to (6,7).
- Top Right:** The path starts at (1,1), moves down to (2,1), right to (2,2), (2,3), (2,4), (2,5), (2,6), (2,7), up to (1,7), right to (1,8), and finally up to (1,9).
- Bottom Left:** The path starts at (1,1), moves down to (2,1), right to (2,2), (2,3), (2,4), (2,5), (2,6), (2,7), up to (1,7), right to (1,8), and finally up to (1,9).
- Bottom Right:** The path starts at (1,1), moves down to (2,1), right to (2,2), (2,3), (2,4), (2,5), (2,6), (2,7), up to (1,7), right to (1,8), and finally up to (1,9).

When disabling a field in a rule, pay attention to its rotation. When the area is large, the direction opposite to the obstacle may be disabled, but doing so is an error in case of a small area.




5 and 6 distance:



No condition. 2W and 1B can be completed without relying on the corner field.

Where the issue is present, the numbers of possible white or black lines will increase by one for every 4 distance added.

The area to be checked is not exactly the same as in the single area rule with the same obstacle placement. Compare:




That means, we have to check the new border fields for being empty, and the number for the original area will be:

For 3 distance: 1W


For 4 distance: 1B

A similar approach can be used to incorporate the Double Area cases. Here the difference is that at the corner border field, the line is going from the inside of the area to the next last border field. The second obstacles have to be moved one upwards.



We can see that if  $W = B$ , then we will step downwards from the corner to exit the area. And that it is only possible to enter by stepping straight.

But if we add 4 extra distance, should we increase the allowed number of black to black lines, the whites, or should they remain equal?





If  $W = B$ , and we step straight, the area can be filled with two lines without a problem. The same can be done if we step left.

If the area is 1W, we can fill the area with one line either way.

It has to be said that at this point, the 9 x 9 grid is complete with this set of rules.

My program ran through the left side in 45 hours, producing the expected number of walkthroughs of 1 344 153 757.


There are a few examples on bigger boards that get stuck, but I don't think they are unsolvable. Let's see if we can fit them into the system we have built up so far. We run the program on 11 x 11, at random.




It is again about the movement rules on the area border, but it is a new case. If the area at 5 distance is 1W, we can enter later, but we have to step up from there in order to be able to exit at the farthest white field. The first black field will be filled from left to down.

The field at 2 distance to the left must therefore not be taken.

At 5 distance,  $W = B$  can be filled without issue.




At 6 distance, 2W for left movement will result in the problem.




But this case should not occur, because at 7 distance, we are prevented to step straight. In case we were to come from the right side, the x horizontal and y vertical distance rule group has to be updated. More on that later.

Let's finish this set and look at an 1W area for straight movement. It can go through.




Now we take the second case of Double Area:




On the left, we step left, and the area is  $W = B$ . If we extend the distance, the rule will be valid at 1B as we would expect.

The third case is:





At 3 distance, we step left, and the area is 1B.  
At 7 distance, it is 2B.

When integrating the rule, pay attention to its rotation. If the obstacles are on the right side, the left field also has to be disabled.




In the third rotation position, where the first obstacle is behind on the right side, all 3 directions would have to be disabled. Therefore, it is safe to say, such a situation does not exist.


The environment is a 10x10 grid. The robot is at (1, 1) with a heading of 0. Obstacles are located at (2, 2), (2, 3), (3, 2), (3, 3), (4, 2), (4, 3), (5, 2), (5, 3), (6, 2), (6, 3), (7, 2), (7, 3), (8, 2), (8, 3), (9, 2), (9, 3), (10, 2), (10, 3), (10, 4), (10, 5), (10, 6), (10, 7), (10, 8), (10, 9), (10, 10), (1, 10), (2, 10), (3, 10), (4, 10), (5, 10), (6, 10), (7, 10), (8, 10), (9, 10), (1, 9), (2, 9), (3, 9), (4, 9), (5, 9), (6, 9), (7, 9), (8, 9), (9, 9), (1, 8), (2, 8), (3, 8), (4, 8), (5, 8), (6, 8), (7, 8), (8, 8), (9, 8), (1, 7), (2, 7), (3, 7), (4, 7), (5, 7), (6, 7), (7, 7), (8, 7), (9, 7), (1, 6), (2, 6), (3, 6), (4, 6), (5, 6), (6, 6), (7, 6), (8, 6), (9, 6), (1, 5), (2, 5), (3, 5), (4, 5), (5, 5), (6, 5), (7, 5), (8, 5), (9, 5), (1, 4), (2, 4), (3, 4), (4, 4), (5, 4), (6, 4), (7, 4), (8, 4), (9, 4), (1, 3), (2, 3), (3, 3), (4, 3), (5, 3), (6, 3), (7, 3), (8, 3), (9, 3), (1, 2), (2, 2), (3, 2), (4, 2), (5, 2), (6, 2), (7, 2), (8, 2), (9, 2), (1, 1), (2, 1), (3, 1), (4, 1), (5, 1), (6, 1), (7, 1), (8, 1), (9, 1), (1, 0), (2, 0), (3, 0), (4, 0), (5, 0), (6, 0), (7, 0), (8, 0), (9, 0), (0, 1), (0, 2), (0, 3), (0, 4), (0, 5), (0, 6), (0, 7), (0, 8), (0, 9), (0, 10), (1, 11), (2, 11), (3, 11), (4, 11), (5, 11), (6, 11), (7, 11), (8, 11), (9, 11), (10, 11), (11, 1), (11, 2), (11, 3), (11, 4), (11, 5), (11, 6), (11, 7), (11, 8), (11, 9), (11, 10), (11, 11). The goal is at (5, 5). The robot's path is shown as a black line starting from (1, 1) and ending at (5, 5). The path is: (1, 1) → (1, 2) → (1, 3) → (1, 4) → (1, 5) → (1, 6) → (1, 7) → (1, 8) → (1, 9) → (1, 10) → (2, 10) → (3, 10) → (4, 10) → (5, 10) → (5, 9) → (5, 8) → (5, 7) → (5, 6) → (5, 5).




Because the field 1 right and 1 up is taken, the area needs to be defined as:




144 141



At the previous step, the big area is 1W. Because of that, in the next step we cannot go straight or right, but there is a mid across obstacle on the other side. We can also say that the movement on the area borderline conflicts the mid across obstacle. Compare the first case with this:



To group these situations, first we check the area like this:



- Then, depending on the distance, we will know that we need to step up after entering the area in the following cases:
- 3 distance: 1W
- 4 distance: 1B, but this situation cannot arise, because then we wouldn't be able to enter the area now.
- 5 distance: 1W
- 6 distance: If the area is 1B, it can be filled without having to enter at the first black field or having to step up if we do so.


The rule has three rotations. The obstacle defining the area can be:

- Up left side
- Right up side
- Bottom right side

The second obstacle can be either in mid across or across position relative to the entry point as we have seen in the examples.

- If we run statistics now, it turns out that without these area border movement rules, the average number of walkthroughs before getting an error is 293, based on 100 attempts. By adding them, the number increases to 695. It tells us that in over half of the failures, this is the solution.
- This is a useful tool for debugging too. If, by introducing a new rule set, the errors become more frequent, there is a mistake in the algorithm.
- When we finished the 7 x 7 grid, the statistics gave us 5.7 walkthroughs on 11 x 11 using those rules.
- By developing the algorithm further, we have solved 99 % of the cases we could not at that time. We get a little less ratio on a 21 x 21 grid, but of a similar order of magnitude: 9 vs. 0.2.





The area is the extended version of the y vertical distance, 0 horizontal distance rule. (The first area we examined in this book.) It is not necessary to decide whether we can enter now or later, it is already taken care of by the other single area groups. But there is a close obstacle at the entry point outside the area. If, at 4 distance, the area is 1W, we enter at the first white field, from which we step down and left.

Therefore, we cannot enter later.

